	Page 1		Page 3
1	Monday, 24 September 2018	1	performance in this Inquiry, and I look forward to
2	(10.00 am)	2	working with both of them, as indeed I do with all the
3	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	3	other counsel involved in the Inquiry.
4	MR PENNICOTT: Good morning, sir, and good morning,	4	Can I also say at this stage, before I go on, that
5	everybody. Sir, can I start in time-honoured fashion by	5	if anybody wishes to take their jacket off, that will be
6	introducing everybody who is here this morning.	6	met with a sympathetic ear from me.
7	CHAIRMAN: Yes, thank you.	7	CHAIRMAN: It will be met with a sympathetic ear from me as
8	MR PENNICOTT: I will do that very quickly.	8	well. This is the first morning where the
9	Sir, as you know, I appear on behalf of the	9	air-conditioning is back in service, and it came on
10	Commission, together with my learned friends Mr Calvin	10	a little later than normal. It's quite a crowded room
11	Cheuk and Mr Solomon Lam.	11	as well, so if anybody wants to take their jacket off,
12	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	12	that's both today and during the course of substantive
13	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, for the government, in all its guises,	13	proceedings, please feel free to do so.
14	which we will be referring to a little later, they are	14	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.
15	represented by my learned friends Mr Richard Khaw, SC,	15	What I plan to do now is to go through the recent
16	Anthony Chow, Bonnie Cheng, and Ellen Pang	16	open address, which I think was handed around to various
17	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	17	representatives a little while ago this morning, and
18	MR PENNICOTT: on the instructions of Department of	18	I apologise that it wasn't handed around earlier, but we
19	Justice.	19	just wanted to make sure that the opening address
20	For MTRC, they are represented by my learned friends	20	reflected the up-to-date position as best we can at this
20	Mr Philip Boulding, QC, and Mr Jat Sew-tong, SC, on the		stage.
21	instructions of Mayer Brown.	22	When I've been through the opening address, I will
22	So far as Leighton are concerned, the main	23	then go through the intended rules of procedure and
23	contractors, they are represented by my learned friends	23	practice. When I've done that, I will invite any of my
25	Mr Sean Wilken, QC, Mr Paul Shieh, SC, and Jonathan	25	learned friends for the various parties to say anything
	Page 2	23	Page 4
1	Chang, on the instructions of O'Melveny & Myers.	1	that they wish to do so. And could I suggest that the
2	For Intrafor, they are represented on the front row	2	order in which any observations or comments or
3	by my learned friend Mr Cohen, on the instructions of	3	submissions are made is as follows: the government
4	Haldanes; and China Technology are represented by	4	first, MTRC second, Leighton third, China Technology
5	Mr Christopher To and Mr Simon So, and they are over on	5	fourth, and Intrafor fifth.
6	that side of the room	6	Can I, however, just make this observation by way of
7	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	7	emphasis, that we are here this morning to deal with
8	MR PENNICOTT: on the instructions of Messrs Tso Au Yim &		procedural matters. You are sitting alone, without
9	Yeung.	9	Prof Hansford, who we know is the other Commissioner,
10	Sir, the only involved party that is not represented	10	and you sitting alone can only deal with procedural
11	here this morning are Fang Sheung. They have written to	11	matters.
12	my instructing solicitors, explaining their absence	11	CHAIRMAN: Could I just at this juncture, just for
12	today, but we understand they will be fully	12	clarification, say that when the Commission was
14	participating at the substantive hearing. And they	13 14	appointed, it was always the intention of the Chief
14	will, we understand, be represented by my learned friend	14	Executive in Council to have the Commission constituting
16	Ms Sezen Chong(?), on the instructions of Messrs Fung &	15 16	not simply a judicial officer, namely myself, but also
17	Fung.	10 17	to have an expert in matters of engineering, and it took
18	Can I just add this. So far as Mr Wilken and	17	a while to find Prof Hansford; that is, to find the
19	Mr Boulding are concerned, they have been granted ad hoc	18 19	person that was able to do the work, and was of required
20	admission to the Hong Kong Bar for the purposes of	19 20	seniority and expertise.
20	representing their clients at this Inquiry. That was	20 21	I say that because the fact that we are starting on
21	representing then energy at this inquiry. That was		
21 22		,,	
22	required because this is under section 11(1) of the	22 23	22 October is not in any way due to sloth on the part of those who are preparing the Commission or on my part
22 23	required because this is under section 11(1) of the Commissions of Inquiry Ordinance judicial proceedings,	23	those who are preparing the Commission, or on my part,
22	required because this is under section 11(1) of the		· · · ·

25

conducted last Friday. The purpose of that site visit

	Page 5		Page 7
1	able to come here on an extended time until the 22nd.	1	was purely and simply to acquaint us with the physical
	It's one of those matters we have to accept that we	2	structures, where they were; in short, to try and get
2	-		
3	are starting with the substantive hearing somewhat later	3	some feel. For somebody like myself, who doesn't have
4	than would have been ideal. In addition to which, as we	4	an engineering background, that's really important.
5	will see later on, there is going to be a break of	5	I would also say that, to ensure that if there is
6	a week, which probably will suit all the parties, but	6	any concerns as to what may have happened, conversations
7	again, that was due to the fact that Prof Hansford had	7	were recorded, and transcripts can be made available.
8	commitments that he could not avoid. Even though he is	8	But it was purely for us as a walk-around-and-feel
9	not here at the moment, I would say that he has done	9	visit. It wasn't intended to debate any issues of
10	everything in his power to make sure that any delays	10	contention.
11	have been kept to a minimum. Thank you.	11	Thank you.
12	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.	12	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, on 31 May of this year the Director of
13	Submissions by MR PENNICOTT	13	Highways requested MTR as project manager of the SCL
14	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the opening	14	Project to prepare and submit a report on the alleged
15	address, I simply summarise there the appointment of the	15	non-compliant steel fixing works at, and I quote, "the
16	Commission and indicate obviously the point that I've	16	joints between diaphragm walls and the platform slabs at
17	already made, and you have just emphasised, that	17	Hung Hom Station under contract 1112".
18	Prof Hansford is presently unable to come here today due	18	On 15 June 2018, MTR published and submitted its
19	to prior commitments, but you have decided in the	19	report to the Railway Development Office of the Highways
20	interest of time to get on with this preliminary hearing	20	Department, and that MTR report was limited to a review
21	and to determine the rules and procedures of the	21	of the EWL slab. In the MTR report, and I say this for
22	Inquiry.	22	reasons which become apparent in a moment, it is
23	So far as the background to this Inquiry is	23	apparent that the terms "EWL platform slab", "EWL
24	concerned, since May 2018, various reports have begun to	24	platform slab works", "EWL slab", "EWL track slab" are
25	appear in the local media which suggested that certain	25	used interchangeably.
	Page 6		Page 8
1	steel fixing works in the diaphragm walls and platform	1	Sir, it has apparently subsequently emerged that
2	slabs at the Hung Hom Station Extension, constructed as	2	there are a number of inaccuracies in the MTR report
3	part of the Shatin to Central Link Project under MTRC	3	which may be relevant to this Commission of Inquiry.
4	contract 1112 might be defective.	4	On 21 June, MTR announced that the Capital Works
5	Contract 1112 involves the expansion of the existing	5	Committee under the MTR board of directors would conduct
6	station and comprises, amongst other things, two	6	a review of the processes and procedures for the SCL
7	additional platform or track slabs for the East West	7	Project and engage external consultants to assist in the
8	Corridor and the North South Corridor.	8	review. We now know, from information received from
9	Allegations have been made that an unknown number of	9	MTR, that those external consultants are Messrs Turner
10	steel bars, which were designed to be connected by	10	& Townsend.
11	couplers, embedded in the concrete of the completed	11	On 22 June, MTR submitted a preliminary load test
12	diaphragm walls and the EWL slab were either	12	proposal prepared by an independent expert to Highways
13	deliberately shortened, or cut, or never properly	13	and the Buildings Department but, as we understand it,
14	connected to the couplers before concrete pouring took	14	the proposed load test has not yet been carried out. So
15	place.	15	we also now know from information received both from MTR
16	As a result of all this, and these allegations,	16	and government that the consultant, the independent
17	public concern has arisen over the quality of works and	17	expert engaged to put together the design of a load test
18	the safety of the Hung Hom expanded station, which is	18	is Messrs CM Wong & Associates Ltd.
19	still under construction.	19	The load test proposal is contained in a document
20	Sir, I will come on to this in a moment, but you,	20	entitled "Safety test outline proposal" and the
21	I know, and we have had a site visit, and we know that,	21	Commission's current understanding is that the proposal
22	while I say it is still under construction, it is	22	has been considered by an expert engaged by the
23	obviously at a very advanced stage of construction.	23	Buildings Department, namely a Prof Nethercot of
24	CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would mention there was a site visit	24	Imperial College London, but as I say, the current

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

1 2	Page 9		Page 11
2	load test has not yet been carried out.	1	individuals, companies, institutions or departments to
	CHAIRMAN: Or commenced even.	2	criticisms and make recommendations which may have
3	MR PENNICOTT: Or indeed commenced.	3	a long-term impact on the future conduct of such
4	On 10 July, as already indicated, this Commission	4	entities. There is therefore a need to ensure fairness
5	was appointed by the Chief Executive in Council, as	5	to all parties who may be affected by the Commission's
6	I have already indicated.	6	work or criticised in the report. Consequently, at this
7	So far as the terms of reference is concerned, it	7	preliminary hearing and pursuant to the notice published
8	has, through the evidence of certain witnesses, been	8	by the Secretariat on 10 September, the Commission will
9	drawn to the attention of the Commission that there may	9	deal with applications by individuals or entities who
10	be a technical distinction between, on the one hand, the	10	may apply to participate in the substantive hearing and
11	EWL/NSL platform slab, and on the other hand the EWL/NSL	11	also hear the involved parties on any particular
12	track slab. It has been suggested that, strictly	12	directions they may wish to seek.
13	speaking, the platform slabs, properly so-called, are	13	With regard to the first element of that, that is
14	constructed on top of the track slabs.	14	applications by individuals or entities who may apply to
15	The ToR, the terms of reference, use the term	15	participate, I am not aware of any other party than the
16	"platform slab", as does the Director of Highways'	16	involved parties who are represented here today having
17	request, which I've just referred to above, and also the	17	made any application to join, but as we will see, or
18	MTR report.	18	I think as is clear in the notice, any other entity who
19	And so whilst the Commission's legal team does not	19	wishes to be represented at this Inquiry may do so upon
20	believe that the terminology used in the terms of	20	notice and upon application to the Secretariat. But as
21	reference has caused any confusion to anybody, the	21	I say, as we sit here/stand here today, no applications
22	Commission clarifies, for the avoidance of any doubt,	22	have been received by any other individuals or entities.
23	that the Inquiry is concerned with the diaphragm walls	23	CHAIRMAN: I should mention there has been a document
24	and the track slabs which will be referred to as the	24	submitted by and forgive me if I have the terminology
25	"EWL slab" and the "NSL slab".	25	wrong but essentially the society of auditors in
	Page 10		Page 12
1	Sir, I then have a heading "The Commission and its	1	Hong Kong, and they haven't, to my knowledge, asked to
2	powers". The position is this, that the Commission has	2	join, but they think that their written submissions may
3	wide statutory powers, that persons may be compelled to	3	be of assistance to this Commission, and obviously those
4	disclose documents and give evidence, witnesses may be	4	submissions will be taken into account and the
	examined on oath or affirmation, oral evidence of		submissions will be taken into account and the
5	examined on oath of armination, oral evidence of	5	submissions will be made available to all parties who
5 6	witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence	5 6	
			submissions will be made available to all parties who
6	witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions	6 7 8	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the
6 7 8 9	witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission	6 7 8	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.
6 7 8 9 10	witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in	6 7 8	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of
6 7 8 9 10 11	witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and	6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and practice.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> <li>a proactive role in investigating the subject matter of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be inappropriate for me to indicate those entities at this</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> <li>a proactive role in investigating the subject matter of</li> <li>the terms of reference and the course of the proceedings</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be inappropriate for me to indicate those entities at this stage, and we are not suggesting for one moment we are
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> <li>a proactive role in investigating the subject matter of</li> <li>the terms of reference and the course of the proceedings</li> <li>is not directed by any involved party.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be inappropriate for me to indicate those entities at this stage, and we are not suggesting for one moment we are going to be adding to the involved parties, but are
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> <li>a proactive role in investigating the subject matter of</li> <li>the terms of reference and the course of the proceedings</li> <li>is not directed by any involved party.</li> <li>Within the terms of reference a number of lines of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be inappropriate for me to indicate those entities at this stage, and we are not suggesting for one moment we are going to be adding to the involved parties, but are simply entities that may be able to assist the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> <li>a proactive role in investigating the subject matter of</li> <li>the terms of reference and the course of the proceedings</li> <li>is not directed by any involved party.</li> <li>Within the terms of reference a number of lines of</li> <li>inquiry can be opened up and old lines of inquiry can be</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be inappropriate for me to indicate those entities at this stage, and we are not suggesting for one moment we are going to be adding to the involved parties, but are simply entities that may be able to assist the Commission with evidence.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> <li>a proactive role in investigating the subject matter of</li> <li>the terms of reference and the course of the proceedings</li> <li>is not directed by any involved party.</li> <li>Within the terms of reference a number of lines of</li> <li>inquiry can be opened up and old lines of inquiry can be</li> <li>closed down, all with short timeframes, subject, of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be inappropriate for me to indicate those entities at this stage, and we are not suggesting for one moment we are going to be adding to the involved parties, but are simply entities that may be able to assist the Commission with evidence. Of course, if it should transpire that the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> <li>a proactive role in investigating the subject matter of</li> <li>the terms of reference and the course of the proceedings</li> <li>is not directed by any involved party.</li> <li>Within the terms of reference a number of lines of</li> <li>inquiry can be opened up and old lines of inquiry can be</li> <li>closed down, all with short timeframes, subject, of</li> <li>course, to procedural fairness to the parties.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be inappropriate for me to indicate those entities at this stage, and we are not suggesting for one moment we are going to be adding to the involved parties, but are simply entities that may be able to assist the Commission with evidence. Of course, if it should transpire that the Commission's legal team obtains evidence from uninvolved
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>witnesses will be adduced in public and in the presence</li> <li>of the involved parties who, subject to the Commission's</li> <li>permission, will have the opportunity to ask questions</li> <li>of witnesses and make such submissions to the Commission</li> <li>as may be appropriate. I will be dealing with that in</li> <li>more detail when we look at the rules of procedure and</li> <li>practice.</li> <li>It should be noted that the inquisitorial procedure</li> <li>adopted by the Commission is not the same as that</li> <li>adopted in ordinary adversarial civil litigation or more</li> <li>formal arbitration proceedings. The Commission may take</li> <li>a proactive role in investigating the subject matter of</li> <li>the terms of reference and the course of the proceedings</li> <li>is not directed by any involved party.</li> <li>Within the terms of reference a number of lines of</li> <li>inquiry can be opened up and old lines of inquiry can be</li> <li>closed down, all with short timeframes, subject, of</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	submissions will be made available to all parties who are here. I will ensure that that's done within the next week or so. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Before I get into just a very brief description of the role of each of the involved parties, can I just say this. The Commission's legal team, as it has been gathering more and more information, is also pursuing certain lines of inquiries with certain other entities, ie not entities volunteering to come here but entities we feel may be able to assist the Inquiry. It would be inappropriate for me to indicate those entities at this stage, and we are not suggesting for one moment we are going to be adding to the involved parties, but are simply entities that may be able to assist the Commission with evidence. Of course, if it should transpire that the

	Page 13		Page 15
1	So far as the involved parties are concerned, the	1	including blinding concrete, soffit formwork and slab
2	Commission has issued letters requesting documentation	2	and side construction joint formwork, installation of
3	and witness statements and "Salmon letters", as they are	3	electrical and cast-in items, carrying out cleaning
4	called, that is letters giving advanced notice to	4	prior to pouring concrete and then pouring the concrete
5	entities that might be the subject of criticism to the	5	to form, amongst other things, the EWL slab and the NSL
6	parties identified below. Those parties have been asked	6	slab.
7	to consider participating in and having separate legal	7	So that's the background to the involved parties.
8	representation at the substantive hearing, and the	8	I turn then to the question of witness statements
9	Commission's current understanding of each involved	9	and documents. The position currently is this, that
10	party's role is described here.	10	pursuant to the Commission's requests, witness
11	Sir, there are four government departments or bureau	11	statements and documents of the abovementioned parties
12	involved, namely the Transport and Housing Bureau; the	12	have been delivered to Messrs Lo & Lo solicitors for the
13	Highways Department, which includes the Railways	13	Commission. I should say have been and continue to be
14	Development Office; the Development Bureau; and the	14	delivered, because this is an ongoing process, and it is
15	Buildings Department. The first two entities performed	15	certainly not a process that has yet been completed.
16	a monitoring role in the project, and the latter two	16	To date, the Commission has received witness
17	carried out their statutory duties and functions. The	17	statements from in excess of 40 individuals. And
18	four entities, as I've already mentioned, are	18	I should add that further witness statements are
19	represented collectively by the Department of Justice.	19	expected, and the final number of factual witnesses is
20	MTR was appointed by the Transport and Housing	20	currently anticipated to exceed 50. The precise number
21	Bureau on behalf of the Government under an entrustment	21	is not yet ascertainable with any degree of certainty,
22	agreement dated 24 November 2008 to design and carry out	22	but we anticipate over 50.
23	site investigation works for the project, by a further	23	At this point, I say that unless notified otherwise,
24	entrustment agreement dated 17 May 2011 to carry out	24	all the individuals from whom witness statements have
25	certain advanced works as defined therein, and by	25	been received will be required to give evidence at the
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Page 14 a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most	1	Page 16 substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the
1 2	-	1 2	
	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And,		substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the
2	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority	2	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary.
2 3	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And,	2 3	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the
2 3 4	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the	2 3 4	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received,
2 3 4 5	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst	2 3 4 5 6 7	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 28 August 2015, Fang	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as follows. We have got ten bundles from MTR, 16 Leighton,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 28 August 2015, Fang Sheung Construction Company was Leighton's subcontractor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as follows. We have got ten bundles from MTR, 16 Leighton, China Technology is bundle D, and there are just two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 28 August 2015, Fang Sheung Construction Company was Leighton's subcontractor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as follows. We have got ten bundles from MTR, 16 Leighton, China Technology is bundle D, and there are just two from them; six from Fang Sheung, 34 from Intrafor, 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 28 August 2015, Fang Sheung Construction Company was Leighton's subcontractor responsible for carrying out the steel reinforcement bar cutting, bending and fixing works for the slabs and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as follows. We have got ten bundles from MTR, 16 Leighton, China Technology is bundle D, and there are just two from them; six from Fang Sheung, 34 from Intrafor, 11 from the Transport and Housing Bureau and HyD, and 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 28 August 2015, Fang Sheung Construction Company was Leighton's subcontractor responsible for carrying out the steel reinforcement bar cutting, bending and fixing works for the slabs and associated structures, as well as the south approach	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as follows. We have got ten bundles from MTR, 16 Leighton, China Technology is bundle D, and there are just two from them; six from Fang Sheung, 34 from Intrafor, 11 from the Transport and Housing Bureau and HyD, and 14 from the Development Bureau and Buildings Department,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 28 August 2015, Fang Sheung Construction Company was Leighton's subcontractor responsible for carrying out the steel reinforcement bar cutting, bending and fixing works for the slabs and associated structures, as well as the south approach tunnel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as follows. We have got ten bundles from MTR, 16 Leighton, China Technology is bundle D, and there are just two from them; six from Fang Sheung, 34 from Intrafor, 11 from the Transport and Housing Bureau and HyD, and 14 from the Development Bureau and Buildings Department, and anybody who is doing the maths, that adds up to 86
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 28 August 2015, Fang Sheung Construction Company was Leighton's subcontractor responsible for carrying out the steel reinforcement bar cutting, bending and fixing works for the slabs and associated structures, as well as the south approach tunnel. Finally, pursuant to a subcontract dated 8 May 2015,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as follows. We have got ten bundles from MTR, 16 Leighton, China Technology is bundle D, and there are just two from them; six from Fang Sheung, 34 from Intrafor, 11 from the Transport and Housing Bureau and HyD, and 14 from the Development Bureau and Buildings Department, and anybody who is doing the maths, that adds up to 86 lever arch files at the moment. Save for those files
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a further entrustment agreement, and perhaps the most important one, dated 29 May 2012, to project manage the construction and commissioning of the project. And, sir, the government, of course, is the majority shareholder of MTRC. Leighton Contractors (Asia) Ltd, Leighton, was the main contractor engaged by MTR to construct, amongst many other things, the relevant diaphragm wall and EWL and NSL slab works under contract 1112 dated 7 March 2013. Contract 1112 was a form of contract known as a target cost contract. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 6 September 2013, Intrafor Hong Kong Ltd was Leighton's subcontractor responsible, amongst other things, for the diaphragm wall construction works including rebar preparation, bending and coupler installation. Pursuant to a subcontract dated 28 August 2015, Fang Sheung Construction Company was Leighton's subcontractor responsible for carrying out the steel reinforcement bar cutting, bending and fixing works for the slabs and associated structures, as well as the south approach tunnel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	substantive hearing. That will remain, as I say, the case, unless the Commission's legal team and the Commission notifies the parties concerned to the contrary. Messrs Lo & Lo are compiling the hearing bundles based on the witness statements and documents received, and I will deal with this in a little more detail later, but parties can apply to receive the electronic version of the hearing bundles once the compilation tasks have been completed, which is soon. The current index of witness statements and documents will be available when the witness statements' documents are provided, and just to assist perhaps my learned friends to some extent, although not to a great extent, the following bundle lettering has been adopted with the number of files compiled as of Friday, as follows. We have got ten bundles from MTR, 16 Leighton, China Technology is bundle D, and there are just two from them; six from Fang Sheung, 34 from Intrafor, 11 from the Transport and Housing Bureau and HyD, and 14 from the Development Bureau and Buildings Department, and anybody who is doing the maths, that adds up to 86

	Page 17		Page 19
1	Sir, as we have already touched upon, last Friday	1	On the basis of the available factual evidence, it
2	morning you, together with the Commission's legal team,	2	is expected that Mr Rowsell will assist the Commission
3	visited the station for the purpose of better	3	in providing his expert opinion on the following
4	understanding the as-constructed condition of the	4	matters: (1) the system of supervision, monitoring,
5	diaphragm wall and slabs. I won't say more about that,	5	control and management of the project and the
6	and you have already made your observations.	6	identification of issues of non-compliances,
7	CHAIRMAN: I would just add to that, if I may, that because	7	inadequacies and deficiencies, if any; and (2) the
8	Prof Hansford was not here, and not available to	8	provision of an opinion, with a view to promoting public
9	participate in that visit, arrangements are being made	9	safety and assurance on quality of works, on how the
10	for him, I think, to have a walk-around on the same	10	system of supervision, monitoring, control and
11	basis on the Sunday morning prior to the commencement of	11	management may be strengthened and enhanced to avoid
12	the substantive hearing on 22 October, and I will	12	future incidents of non-compliance, inadequacies and
13	probably accompany him.	13	deficiencies.
14	That's just a warning order to you. That's all.	14	Then at paragraph 32 of this note we say this. If
15	Thank you.	15	any of the involved patents wishes to adduce expert
16	MR PENNICOTT: My maths was wrong just a moment ago. It's	16	evidence, an application should be made to the
17	94 lever arch files, not 86.	17	Commission on reasonable notice, and any such
18	I then turn to the question of the Commission's	18	application should be accompanied by a written report of
19	experts. The Commission, as you are aware, sir, has	19	the proposed expert. This is again fleshed out in the
20	instructed the following experts for the purposes of	20	rules of procedure and practice which I will come to in
21	assisting this Inquiry. The first expert is Prof Don	21	a moment. The Commission will deal with any such
22	McQuillan. Prof McQuillan is a director of RPS	22	applications as soon as possible and, in the event that
23	Consulting Engineers. He is a chartered engineer, a	23	the application is granted, give directions as to when
24	Royal Academy of Engineering visiting professor of	24	the expert concerned is to be called to give evidence.
25	engineering and design at Queen's University Belfast and	25	So we make these points by way of guidance for the
	Dogo 19		Dage 20

## Page 18

Page 20 vice president of the Institution of Structural 1 involved parties. The Commission expects any expert 1 2 Engineers. 2 evidence to be based on the relevant factual evidence 3 3 On the basis of the available factual evidence, it's much all witnesses, not just the party seeking to call 4 expected that Prof McQuillan will assist the Commission 4 the expert. There should be no attempt at factual 5 in providing his expert opinion on the following 5 analysis by the expert, although of course in the usual 6 matters. Firstly, the identification, if any, of 6 way factual assumptions may be stated when necessary or 7 7 matters of non-compliance which may affect the appropriate. Factual witnesses should not seek to rely 8 8 structural safety and integrity of the diaphragm walls on, refer to, or adopt matters set out in an expert 9 9 and slabs, and if so, the cause or causes of such report, and an expert report will not be admitted unless 10 defects. Secondly, the provision of an opinion on how 10 the Commission is satisfied as to the independence of 11 such defects may be rectified and how, if required, the 11 the expert. 12 diaphragm walls and slabs may be strengthened to ensure 12 Sir, what we are seeking to achieve is to clearly 13 13 public safety. differentiate between factual evidence, on the one hand, 14 The other expert is Mr Steve Rowsell, and Mr Rowsell 14 and expert opinion on the other. 15 has worked for 40 years in the public and private 15 Sir, so far as the Commission's directions are 16 sectors on major transport infrastructure projects in 16 concerned, which I'm going to come to shortly, at this 17 17 the highways and rail sectors. He is the director of preliminary hearing, the Commission will give directions 18 Rowsell Wright Ltd, a procurement consultancy providing 18 on the further conduct of the proceedings, and we have 19 advice across a range of public sector infrastructure 19 annexed the document entitled "Rules of procedure and 20 20 projects. He is a chartered engineer. He was the practice" which sets out the directions which the 21 president of the Chartered Institution of Highways and 21 Commission intends to make. I will come to those, as I 22 22 Transportation in the UK in 2016 and 2017, and he has say, in detail in a moment. 23 extensive experience and knowledge of target cost 23 But I draw particular attention to the following, 24 contracts, having been involved in the implementation of 24 namely, first of all, paragraphs 6 and 7 which deal with 25 that type of contract in the UK. 25 the procedures to be adopted by any involved party who

Γ

٦

1wishes to gain access to the documents so far received1that the less disruption to the Inquiry, the better.2by the Commission; paragraph 17 which deals with the2It is anticipated that the Commission's experts3procedure by way the Commission will receive oral3be called at the end of or at least towards the end4evidence, and paragraphs 19 to 21, which deal with the5be called at the end of or at least towards the end5timing of the substantive hearing, which you have6already touched upon.6already touched upon.6to the point I emphasised earlier, if the Commission's experts earlier, for exam7Sir, of perhaps some importance to those who have8to call the Commission's experts earlier, for exam8sat in that room this morning, paragraph 34 says this.8to call the Commission's experts earlier, for exam9With specific record to paragraph 17(1) of the rules of9an endeavour to close out a particular issue or a10procedure and practice, the Commission's current11issued to achieve that.11intention is to call the factual evidence of the11issued to achieve that.12involved parties in the order set out below. However,13as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable14and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it14services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the sm	l of ble g back sion quiry
2by the Commission; paragraph 17 which deals with the procedure by way the Commission will receive oral evidence, and paragraphs 19 to 21, which deal with the timing of the substantive hearing, which you have already touched upon.1It is anticipated that the Commission's experts be called at the end of or at least towards the end the hearing with their reports being made availal a reasonable time beforehand. But again, harkin to the point I emphasised earlier, if the Commission's concludes it would assist the efficiency of the In sat in that room this morning, paragraph 34 says this.9With specific record to paragraph 17(1) of the rules of procedure and practice, the Commission's current intention is to call the factual evidence of the 	l of ble g back sion quiry
3procedure by way the Commission will receive oral3be called at the end of or at least towards the end4evidence, and paragraphs 19 to 21, which deal with the4the hearing with their reports being made availal5timing of the substantive hearing, which you havea reasonable time beforehand. But again, harking6already touched upon.67Sir, of perhaps some importance to those who have68sat in that room this morning, paragraph 34 says this.79With specific record to paragraph 17(1) of the rules of910procedure and practice, the Commission's current1011intention is to call the factual evidence of the1112involved parties in the order set out below. However,1213I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid,1314and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it14	l of ble g back sion quiry
<ul> <li>evidence, and paragraphs 19 to 21, which deal with the timing of the substantive hearing, which you have already touched upon.</li> <li>Sir, of perhaps some importance to those who have sat in that room this morning, paragraph 34 says this.</li> <li>With specific record to paragraph 17(1) of the rules of procedure and practice, the Commission's current intention is to call the factual evidence of the involved parties in the order set out below. However, I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid, and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it</li> <li>the hearing with their reports being made available a reasonable time beforehand. But again, harking to the point I emphasised earlier, if the Commission's concludes it would assist the efficiency of the Instead of Inquiry, then again appropriate directions will issued to achieve that.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would also mention in passi as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the smaller.</li> </ul>	g back sion quiry
<ul> <li>already touched upon.</li> <li>Sir, of perhaps some importance to those who have</li> <li>sat in that room this morning, paragraph 34 says this.</li> <li>With specific record to paragraph 17(1) of the rules of</li> <li>procedure and practice, the Commission's current</li> <li>intention is to call the factual evidence of the</li> <li>involved parties in the order set out below. However,</li> <li>I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid,</li> <li>and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it</li> <li>already touched upon.</li> <li>to the point I emphasised earlier, if the Commission's concludes it would assist the efficiency of the In</li> <li>to call the Commission's experts earlier, for example an endeavour to close out a particular issue or a</li> <li>of Inquiry, then again appropriate directions will issued to achieve that.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would also mention in passi</li> <li>as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the small</li> </ul>	sion quiry
<ul> <li>already touched upon.</li> <li>Sir, of perhaps some importance to those who have</li> <li>sat in that room this morning, paragraph 34 says this.</li> <li>With specific record to paragraph 17(1) of the rules of</li> <li>procedure and practice, the Commission's current</li> <li>intention is to call the factual evidence of the</li> <li>involved parties in the order set out below. However,</li> <li>I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid,</li> <li>and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it</li> <li>already touched upon.</li> <li>to the point I emphasised earlier, if the Commission's concludes it would assist the efficiency of the In</li> <li>to call the Commission's experts earlier, for exam</li> <li>an endeavour to close out a particular issue or a</li> <li>of Inquiry, then again appropriate directions will</li> <li>issued to achieve that.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would also mention in passi</li> <li>as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable</li> <li>services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the sm</li> </ul>	quiry
7Sir, of perhaps some importance to those who have sat in that room this morning, paragraph 34 says this.7concludes it would assist the efficiency of the In to call the Commission's experts earlier, for exam an endeavour to close out a particular issue or a 109With specific record to paragraph 17(1) of the rules of procedure and practice, the Commission's current intention is to call the factual evidence of the involved parties in the order set out below. However, I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid, and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it7concludes it would assist the efficiency of the In to call the Commission's experts earlier, for exam an endeavour to close out a particular issue or a of Inquiry, then again appropriate directions will issued to achieve that.12involved parties in the order set out below. However, I and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it1214CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would also mention in passi as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the sm	
<ul> <li>9 With specific record to paragraph 17(1) of the rules of</li> <li>10 procedure and practice, the Commission's current</li> <li>11 intention is to call the factual evidence of the</li> <li>12 involved parties in the order set out below. However,</li> <li>13 I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid,</li> <li>14 and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it</li> <li>9 an endeavour to close out a particular issue or a</li> <li>10 of Inquiry, then again appropriate directions will</li> <li>11 issued to achieve that.</li> <li>12 CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would also mention in passi</li> <li>13 as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable</li> <li>14 services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the small</li> </ul>	nple in
10procedure and practice, the Commission's current10of Inquiry, then again appropriate directions will11intention is to call the factual evidence of the11issued to achieve that.12involved parties in the order set out below. However,12CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would also mention in passi13I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid,13as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable14and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it14services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the sm	inpre ini
<ol> <li>intention is to call the factual evidence of the</li> <li>involved parties in the order set out below. However,</li> <li>I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid,</li> <li>and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it</li> <li>Services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the small</li> </ol>	line
<ul> <li>involved parties in the order set out below. However,</li> <li>I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid,</li> <li>and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes. I would also mention in passi</li> <li>as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable</li> <li>services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the services</li> </ul>	be
13I emphasise that the process is flexible, it is fluid,13as it took a little while to obtain the very valuable14and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it14services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the small	
14 and if at any stage the Commission concludes that it 14 services of Prof Hansford, it has not been the sm	ng that, just
	e
	oothest
15 wishes to hear from a particular witness or witnesses on 15 road to securing expert evidence, and that's taken	n up
16a particular matter or a particular issue, then it will16some time, for a number of very compelling reas	sons, one
17 issue appropriate directions for those witnesses to come 17 of them being a question of conflict of interest.	
18and give their evidence perhaps earlier than might18A great many experts have already been involve	d with the
19otherwise have been anticipated.19MTRC, Leighton, and other parties.	
20 So, sir, what the intention is, is this, that 20 So, while we are satisfied experts of the requi	
21 witnesses will be called from various involved parties 21 level and obviously that's subject to anything	
22 in the following order, namely Intrafor first, China 22 may be brought up during the conduct of the Co	
23 Technology second, Fang Sheung third, Leighton fourth, 23 itself they haven't been able to already fulfil th	
24 MTRC fifth, and the government sixth. 24 obligations to prepare reports, and so that's one of	
25 Whilst it's not possible at this stage to give even 25 reasons for the possibility of some delay there.	it's
Page 22	Page 24
1 a rough timetable of when each involved party's 1 also to be borne in mind, for example, that the	
2 witnesses will be called, it is hoped that the 2 year commences in England, I think at about to	
3 indication above will at least in broad terms assist the 3 a number of experts were just simply in a posit	
4 planning of the involved parties. 4 they had such a load of academic obligations the	at they
5 All that can be stated, which I do with some 5 just couldn't make themselves available.	
6 certainty at this moment, is that the witnesses for 6 MR PENNICOTT: Sir, before I move on to the n	
7 Intrafor and China Technology will be required to attend 7 practice and procedure could I just mention tw	-
8 to give evidence in the first week of the hearing, as 8 by way of putting some flesh on the bones of v	
9 you have intimated, the hearing starting on 22 October. 9 proposing to call the witnesses in the order in v	which we
10Furthermore, sir, in due course, but not yet, the10are.11Commission's legal team will set out the order in which11Sir, so far as Intrafor going first is concerned	4
11Commission's legal team will set out the order in which11Sir, so far as Intrafor going first is concerned12it would prefer each involved party's witnesses to be12there are principally two reasons for that. First	
<ul> <li>called. If, however, any involved party wishes for good</li> <li>reason to alter our preferred order, the Commission's</li> <li>all, chronologically, Intrafor being responsible</li> <li>construction of the diaphragm walls, chronologically</li> </ul>	
14 legal team is prepared to be flexible and accommodate 15 that came first, before we get on to considering	
16 any reasonable alteration or request. In this regard, 16 slabs.	, 110
17 any involved party should give reasonable notice to the 17 Secondly, perhaps more importantly, it appe	ars to
18 Commission's solicitors of any desired alteration to 18 the Commission's legal team that Intrafor have	
<ul> <li>minimise any potential disruption to the hearing.</li> <li>an involved party principally by reason of certain that involved party p</li></ul>	
20 Perhaps I could add this to that observation. If 20 photographs that have been published in the pr	
21  any of the involved parties are aware of an inability of $ 21 $ newspaper articles in respect of such photogram	
<ul> <li>any of the involved parties are aware of an inability of</li> <li>any of their witnesses to attend to give evidence to the</li> <li>whilst of course certain matters will no doubt r</li> </ul>	need to
22 any of their witnesses to attend to give evidence to the 22 whilst of course certain matters will no doubt n	need to
22 any of their witnesses to attend to give evidence to the 22 whilst of course certain matters will no doubt n	

	Page 25		Page 27
1	moment is that none of the other involved parties is	1	Commission for the purposes of this Inquiry. Any
2	apparently seeking to criticise Intrafor in any material	2	involved party who wishes to gain access to such
3	respect. Consequently, it seems to us, the Commission's	3	documents or materials may apply in writing to the
4	legal team, that if that very preliminary analysis is	4	Secretariat of the Commission. At its discretion, the
5	correct, it's at least possible that Intrafor has	5	Commission shall determine whether or not, when and to
6	a relatively limited role to play in this Inquiry.	6	what extent access may be permitted, and what
7	That's another reason, or primary reason, why we think	7	conditions, if any, should be imposed upon the grant of
8	they should go first.	8	such access.
9	So far as China Technology is concerned, going	9	Given that access may be restricted and conditions
10	second for their witnesses, the position is this, that	10	may be imposed, documents and materials provided to
11	the allegations and assertions that principally the	11	an involved party shall not be disclosed or disseminated
12	director of China Technology has made is, on one	12	to other involved parties or unrelated persons without
13	analysis, the primary reason why we are all here today	13	consent in writing of the Commission.
14	and will be here potentially many weeks.	14	If access is permitted to any involved party, only
15	In those circumstances, it's the Commission's legal	15	soft copies of the documents and materials will be
16	team's view that it is only right and proper that the	16	provided.
17	China Technology evidence is put to the test and put	17	Paragraph 8 states perhaps what is the obvious, that
18	under the microscope first. That exercise being carried	18	all materials supplied by the Commission to any of the
19	out by me for the Commission, and no doubt by those	19	involved parties should only be used for the purposes of
20	representing Leighton, MTRC, the government, and	20	this Inquiry.
21	possibly other involved parties.	21	Sir, I then turn to various other procedural matters
22	Could I then turn to the directions. Those are at	22	under the general heading "Standing". Sir, written
23	annex 2 of what was handed out earlier. I'm not going	23	witness statements, insofar as not already provided, the
24	to read all of these out, but I draw your attention to	24	involved parties and other parties or individuals who
25	under the heading "General". We know that the hearings	25	have been directed by the Commission to provide written
	Page 26		Page 28
1	will be held in public. Sir, there is a prohibition on	1	statements shall provide such statements by the date
2	photography, audio, video recordings without the	2	specified by the Commission, subject to applications for
3	authorisation of the Commission within this building.	3	extension of time as approved by the Commission.
4	Unlike certain other Inquiries in the past, these	4	Sir, importantly, paragraph 10 perhaps needs to be
5	proceedings will be conducted in English, although of	5	carefully reviewed by all the parties' legal
6	course witnesses may give their evidence in any language	6	representatives.
7	or dialect of their own choice and testimony given in	7	Any involved party who wishes to provide responsive
8	a language other than English will be translated into	8	written statements to a statement provided by another
9	English and the Commission will provide simultaneous	9	involved party shall apply in writing to the Commission
10	interpretation services when appropriate.	10	within 14 days from the date when soft copies of the
11	Sir, the position is that, at the moment, we have	11	documents and materials are provided to them. When they
12	received a number of witness statements in Chinese.	12	make that application, a draft of its proposed
13	They either have been or are in the course of	13	responsive written statement or statements shall be
14		14	movided to the Commission with the application And
	translation. We will make the assumption that those		provided to the Commission with the application. And,
15	witnesses who have given their written witness	15	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the
15 16	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in	15 16	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not
15 16 17	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in Cantonese, but if there are any other witnesses whose	15 16 17	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not responsive in nature will not be permitted. In other
15 16 17 18	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in Cantonese, but if there are any other witnesses whose evidence in fact is being given to us in English in	15 16 17 18	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not responsive in nature will not be permitted. In other words, we invite those parties the involved parties
15 16 17 18 19	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in Cantonese, but if there are any other witnesses whose evidence in fact is being given to us in English in their statements but in fact they want to give evidence,	15 16 17 18 19	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not responsive in nature will not be permitted. In other words, we invite those parties the involved parties to clearly take on board the point that the responsive
15 16 17 18 19 20	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in Cantonese, but if there are any other witnesses whose evidence in fact is being given to us in English in their statements but in fact they want to give evidence, their oral evidence in Cantonese, notice of that would	15 16 17 18 19 20	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not responsive in nature will not be permitted. In other words, we invite those parties the involved parties to clearly take on board the point that the responsive written statement responsive statements should be
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in Cantonese, but if there are any other witnesses whose evidence in fact is being given to us in English in their statements but in fact they want to give evidence, their oral evidence in Cantonese, notice of that would be appreciated.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not responsive in nature will not be permitted. In other words, we invite those parties the involved parties to clearly take on board the point that the responsive written statement responsive statements should be truly responsive and not deal with new matters.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in Cantonese, but if there are any other witnesses whose evidence in fact is being given to us in English in their statements but in fact they want to give evidence, their oral evidence in Cantonese, notice of that would be appreciated. Sir, I then turn to the question of access to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not responsive in nature will not be permitted. In other words, we invite those parties the involved parties to clearly take on board the point that the responsive written statement responsive statements should be truly responsive and not deal with new matters. Then, sir, paragraph 11, of importance, and picking
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in Cantonese, but if there are any other witnesses whose evidence in fact is being given to us in English in their statements but in fact they want to give evidence, their oral evidence in Cantonese, notice of that would be appreciated. Sir, I then turn to the question of access to documents. As indicated earlier, the Secretariat of the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not responsive in nature will not be permitted. In other words, we invite those parties the involved parties to clearly take on board the point that the responsive written statement responsive statements should be truly responsive and not deal with new matters. Then, sir, paragraph 11, of importance, and picking up a point that I mentioned earlier, any involved party
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	witnesses who have given their written witness statements in Chinese will be giving their evidence in Cantonese, but if there are any other witnesses whose evidence in fact is being given to us in English in their statements but in fact they want to give evidence, their oral evidence in Cantonese, notice of that would be appreciated. Sir, I then turn to the question of access to	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sir, we emphasise that unless directed by the Commission, any further written statements which is not responsive in nature will not be permitted. In other words, we invite those parties the involved parties to clearly take on board the point that the responsive written statement responsive statements should be truly responsive and not deal with new matters. Then, sir, paragraph 11, of importance, and picking

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

	Page 29		Page 31
1	so on reasonable notice to the Commission and any such	1	entity to give evidence, but also indicated that we will
2	application shall be made to the Commission through its	2	be giving notice of the order in which each of the
3	solicitors and accompanied by the provision of three	3	individual witnesses should give evidence in due course.
4	copies of the written report signed by the expert	4	Sir, counsel for the Commission will lead the
5	concerned together with a soft copy. If the Commission	5	evidence of witnesses called by the Commission, and then
6	grants any such application, it will give directions as	6	counsel for any involved party may apply to the
7	to when the expert is required to be called to give	7	Commission for leave to question a particular witness
8	evidence at the substantive hearing. The Commission	8	and the Commission will determine the sequence of
9	will not grant any such application if it is not	9	cross-examination by those counsel whose application for
10	satisfied that the evidence to be tendered is that of	10	cross-examination has been granted. And then counsel
11	an independent expert.	11	for the Commission may re-examine the witnesses.
12	Sir, paragraphs 12 and 13 deal with the	12	At the moment, the Commission doesn't have any
13	participation of legal representation of other parties	13	witnesses, factual witnesses of its own, but of course
14	apart from the involved parties. I won't propose to	14	if it in due course does, then the involved parties will
15	read those two paragraphs out. I have already made the	15	be duly notified.
16	point earlier that if anybody else wishes to join this	16	Then, perhaps more importantly and relevantly,
17	particular party, then they are entitled to make	17	unless otherwise directed by the Commission, counsel for
18	an application to do so.	18	an involved party may lead the evidence of witnesses who
19	Sir, so far as the hearing procedure is concerned,	19	testify on behalf of such party, after which counsel for
20	I deal first of all with the question of opening	20	the Commission may question such witness. Thereafter,
21	addresses. First of all, counsel for the Commission,	21	counsel for other involved parties may apply to the
22	us, may make a written and oral opening address.	22	Commission for leave to question such witness and the
23	Likewise, counsel for the involved parties may make	23	Commission will determine the sequence of
24	their own opening addresses, provided an application to	24	cross-examination by those counsel whose application for
25	do so enclosing a written opening address which should	25	cross-examination has been granted.
	Page 30		Page 32
1	not be longer than 20 pages and provided in font size 14	1	Finally, counsel for the involved party leading the
2	with single spacing and no footnotes, other than for	2	evidence may re-examine the witness.
3	document references, has been made within 21 days from	3	Unless otherwise directed by the Commission, insofar
4	today. That is by Monday, 15 October 2018.	4	as any witness wishes to adopt his or her witness
5	In other words, we are inviting all our learned	5	statement as his or her evidence, with or without
6	friends, if they wish to make an opening address, to	6	modification or elaboration, the contents of his or her
7	provide 20 pages one week before the hearing is due to	7	witness statement are to be read out either by the
8	commence, that is on 15 October, one week before	8	witness or by his or her counsel.
9	22 October.	9	CHAIRMAN: I should say that, in that regard, this
10	If the Commission accedes to the application to make	10	Commission of Inquiry is a public inquiry, and certain
11	an oral address, those addresses will be made	11	of the things I have said to you already this morning
12	immediately after my address, and then the Commission	12	will indicate that I am aware of the fact that the
13	itself will determine the sequence and length of such	13	public have an entitlement to understand why, for
14	oral addresses.	14	example, expert evidence is not being called until
15	Sir, I turn to the evidence. We have set out	15	a particular time; and, equally, they are entitled to
16	section 4(1) of the Commissions of Inquiry Ordinance.	16	know what witnesses will say. So it can be an arduous
17	I won't read that out.	17	process listening to a witness statement being read out.
18	So far as the examination of witnesses is concerned,	18	You have as much experience of that, I'm sure, as I do,
19	oral evidence will be given under oath or affirmation.	19	but it is very necessary. And so that decision was
20	The procedure by which the Commission will receive	20	made. Thank you.
21	oral evidence is as follows. First of all, the	21	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Of course, at any stage of
22	Commission shall determine the sequence in which oral	22	Inquiry, the Commission may make questions of any
23	evidence be given in the Inquiry. Of course I have	23	witness, the Commission may give directions to each
24 25	already indicated in general terms the order in which each entity will be giving or we would wish each	24 25	party limiting the length of examination of witnesses and submissions.
23	cach chury will be giving of we would wish each	25	and submissions.

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

	Page 33		Page 35
1	Just on that point, my understanding of the	1	continuing with the evidence after the Christmas break.
2	Commission's position is that there is to be no formal	2	So I think all parties and their legal
3	chess clock-type procedure certainly to start with in	3	representatives do need to make some provisional plans
4	the Commission, but a view is going to be taken on	4	for returning to give evidence, or rather to be involved
5	a witness-by-witness basis as to how long each party	5	in the giving of evidence after Christmas or, hopefully,
6	should be given to cross examine any particular witness.	6	perhaps simply to be able to give their closing
7	Direction (7) makes the point that the Commission	7	submissions after Christmas.
8	shall inform all involved parties as and when the	8	So if we are able, for example, to finish all the
9	witness statements and/or expert reports of the	9	evidence before Christmas, then it may be the best way
10	witnesses to be called by the Commission become	10	forward to come back afterwards to give closing
11	available.	11	submissions and to be asked questions by the Commission
12	The Commission may recall any person who has given	12	in regard to those closing submissions. But everything
13	oral evidence to answer further questions.	13	is very provisional at the moment, obviously.
14	Paragraph 18 deals with closing addresses. Sir, the	14	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.
15	position there is that counsel for the Commission and	15	Sir, we then deal with the precise timing of the
16	counsel for the involved parties may make written oral	16	days of the Commission. We put in a caveat about
17	addresses. The Commission may determine the sequence		Saturday mornings. Nobody is too keen about that,
18	and length, both written and oral, of such addresses.	18	I anticipate, but it's there anyway, and will only be
19	That direction has been left, rather deliberately,	19	taken up on a Saturday morning if absolutely necessary.
20	general, unlike the position with the opening	20	CHAIRMAN: Let me just say here that we are obviously
21	submissions. That is because, as I understand it, it	21	running against a timeframe. The Commission was given
22	would be premature at this stage, for example, to	22	six months within which to complete its work, and that
23	determine the length of any written closing submissions.	23	includes submission of a report. If it's necessary to
23	An informed and better view will be available on that	24	be flexible with finishing at the end of the day, we
25	particular topic, for example, after or towards the end	25	will. If, for example, we have a witness and it
25		25	
	Page 3/		Page 36
1	Page 34 of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to	1	Page 36 transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete
1	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to	1	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete
2	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage.	2	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half
2 3	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go.	2 3	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.
2 3 4	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give	2 3 4	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware
2 3 4 5	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing	2 3 4 5	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not
2 3 4 5 6	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide.	2 3 4 5 6	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as	2 3 4 5 6 7	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour. As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four weeks until 21 December 2018 on a provisional basis, at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has indicated that any applications for subscriptions should</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four weeks until 21 December 2018 on a provisional basis, at least.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has indicated that any applications for subscriptions should be made in writing to the Secretariat of the Commission</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four weeks until 21 December 2018 on a provisional basis, at least. CHAIRMAN: I should mention here that the words in brackets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir.</li> <li>Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has indicated that any applications for subscriptions should be made in writing to the Secretariat of the Commission within 14 days of today, that is by Monday, 8 October,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage. Let's see how things go. No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide. So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four weeks until 21 December 2018 on a provisional basis, at least. CHAIRMAN: I should mention here that the words in brackets in the written document "on a provisional basis" does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has indicated that any applications for subscriptions should be made in writing to the Secretariat of the Commission within 14 days of today, that is by Monday, 8 October, stating how many subscriptions are required and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage.</li> <li>Let's see how things go.</li> <li>No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide.</li> <li>So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four weeks until 21 December 2018 on a provisional basis, at least.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I should mention here that the words in brackets in the written document "on a provisional basis" does not apply to 21 December. I think you can work on the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has indicated that any applications for subscriptions should be made in writing to the Secretariat of the Commission within 14 days of today, that is by Monday, 8 October, stating how many subscriptions are required and undertaking to pay the costs. I have no idea what the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage.</li> <li>Let's see how things go.</li> <li>No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide.</li> <li>So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four weeks until 21 December 2018 on a provisional basis, at least.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I should mention here that the words in brackets in the written document "on a provisional basis" does not apply to 21 December. I think you can work on the basis that we will finish evidence on 21 December. The</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has indicated that any applications for subscriptions should be made in writing to the Secretariat of the Commission within 14 days of today, that is by Monday, 8 October, stating how many subscriptions are required and undertaking to pay the costs. I have no idea what the costs are, but no doubt the Secretariat can tell anybody</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage.</li> <li>Let's see how things go.</li> <li>No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide.</li> <li>So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four weeks until 21 December 2018 on a provisional basis, at least.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I should mention here that the words in brackets in the written document "on a provisional basis" does not apply to 21 December. I think you can work on the basis that we will finish evidence on 21 December. The term "provisional basis" goes to what I think is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir.</li> <li>Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has indicated that any applications for subscriptions should be made in writing to the Secretariat of the Commission within 14 days of today, that is by Monday, 8 October, stating how many subscriptions are required and undertaking to pay the costs. I have no idea what the costs are, but no doubt the Secretariat can tell anybody who is interested. To view the realtime transcript</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>of the live evidence. So it would be wrong perhaps to say, well, it would be 40, 50 or 60 pages at this stage.</li> <li>Let's see how things go.</li> <li>No doubt, sir, you and Prof Hansford will give directions in due course as to the length of closing addresses you would like each party to provide.</li> <li>So far as the substantive hearing is concerned, as you have already indicated, the substantive hearing of this Inquiry will commence on the morning of 22 October at 10 o'clock in this building. The substantive hearing, again you have indicated this, shall, subject to any adjournments the Commission may consider necessary from time to time will continue until 16 November. That's a four-week period. We will then have a one-week adjournment, and we will resume on 26 November 2018 and run for another period of four weeks until 21 December 2018 on a provisional basis, at least.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I should mention here that the words in brackets in the written document "on a provisional basis" does not apply to 21 December. I think you can work on the basis that we will finish evidence on 21 December. The</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>transpires that given an extra half hour we can complete that witness's evidence, we will sit for the extra half hour.</li> <li>As far as Saturdays are concerned, I am fully aware of the fact that weekends are required by counsel not merely to recover from the week but also to get on with all the work that's required to be presented in the forthcoming week, but there is that balance, and if Saturdays are necessary, I would anticipate running through to lunch time only; if they are necessary to get on with the job at hand, then we will use those Saturdays.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, sir. Coming towards the end of this now. There is and will be a realtime transcription streaming, Transcend, of the substantive hearing. The Secretariat has indicated that any applications for subscriptions should be made in writing to the Secretariat of the Commission within 14 days of today, that is by Monday, 8 October, stating how many subscriptions are required and undertaking to pay the costs. I have no idea what the costs are, but no doubt the Secretariat can tell anybody</li> </ul>

1	Page 37		Page 39
	and to make arrangements with the service provider	1	relation to seating and other arrangements, hearing
2	directly.	2	arrangements during the course of the substantive
3	At paragraph 23, an electronic bundle has been and	3	hearing.
4	continues to be prepared for use at the substantive	4	Sir, finally, I think, at the discretion and
5	hearing, and at the substantive hearing, this will be	5	determination of the Commission, each involved party
6	managed by the Secretariat, and all involved parties	6	may, subject to availability, be allocated a lockable
7	should be able to read pages in the electronic bundle	7	room within the building for its use for the duration of
8	during the course of the hearing on the monitors that	8	the substantive hearing.
9	everybody's got in front of them today. So I should say	9	It may be, I'm not quite sure what the position is,
10	that, going back to the point about access to documents,	10	that each of the involved parties have been shown the
11	those who are given permission to have access to	11	proposed allocation of rooms this morning. If not, then
12	documents will essentially be given the electronic	12	I think there will be representatives of the Secretariat
13	bundle in its full form, the bundles, the paginated	13	available after we conclude to show each of the involved
14	version. So essentially what you will get, if you ask	14	parties the rooms that have provisionally been set aside
15	and receive, is in fact the electronic bundle.	15	for them for the purposes of the substantive hearing.
16	Sir, perhaps importantly, for good order,	16	Again, please, chaps, don't come complaining to me.
17	paragraph 24 deals with the seating arrangements in this	17	CHAIRMAN: I would say there that we have obviously done our
18	room. Sir, what it says here is that seating	18	best to try and ensure that those with the bigger teams
19	arrangements in the hearing room during the course of	19	have the bigger rooms.
20	the substantive hearing will be determined by the	20	While I'm on the subject, it's a matter de minimis
21	Secretariat on a day-to-day basis. So please,	21	in many respects but it often turns out to be of much
22	colleagues, don't come complaining to me.	22	greater impact when the hearings commence, and that is
23	Seating for counsel and solicitors for the	23	morning coffee times. Normally in Hong Kong one works
24	Commission, that's myself, Mr Cheuk, and Mr Solomon Lam	24	on the basis that you are 20 floors above the ground.
25	and my solicitors who are mostly over to my left, will	25	We are not here and you've got your own rooms, so when
	Page 38		Page 40
1	be fixed throughout the substantive hearing. So they	1	I say 15 minutes, I will expect that the parties will be
2	will be in these three seats. But the representatives	2	
1 -		2	able to retire to their rooms, coffee will be waiting
3	of the involved parties will be allocated seats	3	able to retire to their rooms, coffee will be waiting for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very
	of the involved parties will be allocated seats depending upon the witness giving evidence, those		for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion
3	*	3 4	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very
3 4	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those	3 4	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day,
3 4 5	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate.	3 4 5	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to
3 4 5 6 7 8	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if	3 4 5 6	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and
3 4 5 6 7 8	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where	3 4 5 6 7 8	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to give priority to those whose witnesses are being	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can. The order which I suggested was perhaps Mr Khaw can
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to give priority to those whose witnesses are being cross-examined and to those counsel who are doing the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can. The order which I suggested was perhaps Mr Khaw can go first, if he's got anything he wishes to raise.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to give priority to those whose witnesses are being cross-examined and to those counsel who are doing the cross-examination.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can. The order which I suggested was perhaps Mr Khaw can go first, if he's got anything he wishes to raise. MR KHAW: On behalf of the government we only wish to raise
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to give priority to those whose witnesses are being cross-examined and to those counsel who are doing the cross-examination. In that regard, within seven days of today, we	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can. The order which I suggested was perhaps Mr Khaw can go first, if he's got anything he wishes to raise. MR KHAW: On behalf of the government we only wish to raise two points for the Commission's consideration at this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to give priority to those whose witnesses are being cross-examined and to those counsel who are doing the cross-examination. In that regard, within seven days of today, we invite each involved party to nominate a single contact	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can. The order which I suggested was perhaps Mr Khaw can go first, if he's got anything he wishes to raise.</li> <li>MR KHAW: On behalf of the government we only wish to raise two points for the Commission's consideration at this stage. The first point we look to, paragraph 6 of the</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to give priority to those whose witnesses are being cross-examined and to those counsel who are doing the cross-examination. In that regard, within seven days of today, we invite each involved party to nominate a single contact person and send his or her name, post title, name of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can. The order which I suggested was perhaps Mr Khaw can go first, if he's got anything he wishes to raise. MR KHAW: On behalf of the government we only wish to raise two points for the Commission's consideration at this stage. The first point we look to, paragraph 6 of the intend rules of procedure and practice, line 3 of this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to give priority to those whose witnesses are being cross-examined and to those counsel who are doing the cross-examination. In that regard, within seven days of today, we invite each involved party to nominate a single contact person and send his or her name, post title, name of firm, telephone number and email address to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can. The order which I suggested was perhaps Mr Khaw can go first, if he's got anything he wishes to raise. MR KHAW: On behalf of the government we only wish to raise two points for the Commission's consideration at this stage. The first point we look to, paragraph 6 of the intend rules of procedure and practice, line 3 of this paragraph says:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	depending upon the witness giving evidence, those parties who have been granted leave to cross-examine the witness, and any other factors that the Secretariat deems appropriate. Sir, for the avoidance of doubt, for example, if Intrafor's witnesses, as indicated, are going first, I would expected Mr Cohen for Intrafor to be sat where he is now, and then for anybody who wants to cross-examine Mr Cohen's witnesses to be nearer the front rather than the back. But I emphasise that the Commission expects the parties' representatives to fully co-operate with each other in respect of the seating arrangements in the hearing room and, as it were, to give priority to those whose witnesses are being cross-examined and to those counsel who are doing the cross-examination. In that regard, within seven days of today, we invite each involved party to nominate a single contact person and send his or her name, post title, name of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for them, or they will be able to boil it up very, very quickly, and come back. If you do a time and motion study on it, all too often, when you've got big lifts to get into, your 15 minutes becomes 45 minutes every day, and that can be a mortal wound to those of us like me who prefer to get on with it and look to timing. So there will be coffee breaks in the morning and the afternoon and 15 minutes will be it. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, thank you for that. Sir, so far as I am concerned, that's all I wish to say, unless there is anything else. If any of my learned friends wish to make any observations, of course they may do. I don't encourage it, but of course they can. The order which I suggested was perhaps Mr Khaw can go first, if he's got anything he wishes to raise. MR KHAW: On behalf of the government we only wish to raise two points for the Commission's consideration at this stage. The first point we look to, paragraph 6 of the intend rules of procedure and practice, line 3 of this

	Page 41		Page 43
1	Secretariat of the Commission."	1	been put down about a particular document that the party
2	Mr Chairman, we have absolutely no problem with	2	would prefer it not to be disseminated and disclosed to
3	that, but as stated in our letter which was sent to	3	everybody, of course that will be respected, but I'm not
4	Messrs Lo & Lo last Friday, we only hope that the	4	sure that I can take it much further than that.
5	Commission will consider notifying the party which may		CHAIRMAN: I may have misunderstood Mr Khaw. I thought you
6	be affected by such application and giving that party an	6	were saying that if there was an application for
7	opportunity to make representations in writing, if	7	particular documents the party who has some standing in
8	necessary. That is the only observation we have in	8	respect of those documents should be entitled to know.
9	relation to this paragraph.	9	MR KHAW: Yes.
10	Mr Chairman, the second point that we wish to raise	10	CHAIRMAN: Just to ensure openness of the proceedings.
11	relates to paragraph 11 of the intended rules which	11	MR PENNICOTT: That's right. If it's in relation to
11	deals with the question of expert evidence. We had	12	documents that we don't have, if somebody makes
12		12	an application that the government gives disclosure of
	initially wished to flag that the government may seek	14	documents that we, the Commission, does not have, of
14	expert evidence for the purpose of the Inquiry; however,	14	
15	in view of what is stated in paragraph 11 now, we	15	course that will be a contested application perhaps that
16	understand that the Commission has actually considered	10	both parties and us, the Commission, will be heard on,
17	that potential applications by the involved parties may		yes.
18	be necessary in relation to expert evidence. So we	18	MR KHAW: Mr Chairman, we envisage that application under
19	don't intend to say much in that case, save and except	19	paragraph 6 will only be necessary if there are
20	that we may be in a better position to confirm whether	20	documents which have been supplied to the Commission
21	it is in fact necessary for us to make an application to	21	which have been left out of the hearing bundles, for
22	adduce expert evidence once we have a chance to study	22	example, on the basis that they contain irrelevant
23	all the materials, and pursuant to paragraph 11, we will	23	materials or, as Mr Pennicott has just pointed out, they
24	certainly, if necessary, make the application upon	24	contain sensitive information. That is the only context
25	a reasonable notice being given to the Commission.	25	where an application may be required. But in that case,
	Page 42		Page 44
1	These are the only two points I wish to raise for	1	we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be
1 2	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today.	1 2	we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to
	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much.		we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.
2	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point?	2	we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position. MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right
2 3	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that	2 3	<ul><li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li><li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li></ul>
2 3 4	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point?	2 3 4	we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position. MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right
2 3 4 5	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li><li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li><li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li><li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li><li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in them, and the Commission at the moment, as far as I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with its terms of reference. As one who expect, a body like</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in them, and the Commission at the moment, as far as I'm aware, is quite satisfied that those redactions are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with its terms of reference. As one who expect, a body like the MTR is here to provide you with all possible</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in them, and the Commission at the moment, as far as I'm aware, is quite satisfied that those redactions are properly made, and therefore the other involved parties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with its terms of reference. As one who expect, a body like the MTR is here to provide you with all possible assistance so far as the Inquiry is concerned.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in them, and the Commission at the moment, as far as I'm aware, is quite satisfied that those redactions are properly made, and therefore the other involved parties will only get access to redacted documents in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with its terms of reference. As one who expect, a body like the MTR is here to provide you with all possible assistance so far as the Inquiry is concerned. I came here today with all sorts of queries, but</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in them, and the Commission at the moment, as far as I'm aware, is quite satisfied that those redactions are properly made, and therefore the other involved parties will only get access to redacted documents in that context.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with its terms of reference. As one who expect, a body like the MTR is here to provide you with all possible assistance so far as the Inquiry is concerned.</li> <li>I came here today with all sorts of queries, but I am happy to say that whilst we are grappling with the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in them, and the Commission at the moment, as far as I'm aware, is quite satisfied that those redactions are properly made, and therefore the other involved parties will only get access to redacted documents in that context. But if one party wants to see everything, then they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with its terms of reference. As one who expect, a body like the MTR is here to provide you with all possible assistance so far as the Inquiry is concerned. I came here today with all sorts of queries, but I am happy to say that whilst we are grappling with the fine detail of my learned friend's opening, most of my</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in them, and the Commission at the moment, as far as I'm aware, is quite satisfied that those redactions are properly made, and therefore the other involved parties will only get access to redacted documents in that context. But if one party wants to see everything, then they will see everything, as far as I'm concerned. There	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with its terms of reference. As one who expect, a body like the MTR is here to provide you with all possible assistance so far as the Inquiry is concerned.</li> <li>I came here today with all sorts of queries, but I am happy to say that whilst we are grappling with the fine detail of my learned friend's opening, most of my queries have been satisfactorily resolved,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	These are the only two points I wish to raise for the purpose of today. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you very much. Mr Pennicott, on the first point? MR PENNICOTT: I think I have understood the point that Mr Khaw is making, but I'm not entirely sure. The position at the moment, as you're aware, is all the parties have been giving us witness statements, documents and they have all been put into files, and my understanding is that if an application is made for access to those documents, then the involved parties will be given evidence to all of those documents. However, I do know, from my own reading of what has been happening is that, for example, certain documents, perhaps sensitive documents, have had redactions in them, and the Commission at the moment, as far as I'm aware, is quite satisfied that those redactions are properly made, and therefore the other involved parties will only get access to redacted documents in that context. But if one party wants to see everything, then they will see everything, as far as I'm concerned. There will be no basis upon which anybody can object to that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>we say that it would be prudent if a party which may be affected by this application will be given a chance to actually state its position.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: And I agree with that. That must be right CHAIRMAN: Yes. Good. Thank you.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I don't think I need to say anything about the expert evidence point.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Then we move next to</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: To MTRC.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: May it please you, sir. First of all I thank Mr Pennicott for his very warm welcome. Indeed, I, together with my team, Mr Jat Sew-tong and Mr Kaiser Leung look forward to working with counsel for the Commission in order to assist the Commission to comply as efficiently and as cost-effectively as possible with its terms of reference. As one who expect, a body like the MTR is here to provide you with all possible assistance so far as the Inquiry is concerned.</li> <li>I came here today with all sorts of queries, but I am happy to say that whilst we are grappling with the fine detail of my learned friend's opening, most of my queries have been satisfactorily resolved, notwithstanding I would like to make just one or two</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>8 learned friend could possibly give us some indication as</li> <li>9 to when that would be available, it would be very, very</li> <li>10 helpful indeed.</li> <li>11 We already have a chart, so far as our factual</li> <li>12 witness availability is concerned, and I would be</li> <li>13 prepared to share that with my learned friend</li> <li>14 Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that</li> <li>15 would be helpful.</li> <li>16 Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to</li> <li>17 make an application to call expert evidence, but obviously to</li> <li>8 much.</li> <li>9 CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>10 MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what</li> <li>11 Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into</li> <li>12 a position of having to go into January, whether</li> <li>13 a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into</li> <li>14 Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that</li> <li>15 would be helpful.</li> <li>16 Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to</li> <li>17 make an application to call expert evidence, but obviously to</li> <li>18 MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to</li> </ul>		Page 45		Page 47
2         made. It would be useful at some stage to be given the 3         2         may well be that Mr Pennicott is taking instructions on 4           3         date when we will resume. if indeed we are going to 4         3         that wow.           5         but for obvious reasons it would be nice to know when 4         5         a aded by my instructing solicitors, that assuming we 4           6         we've got to come hack, if indeed we come back at all.         6         ane of to work and, if which so often is the case, 4           7         CHAIRMAN: Yes.         ane often work early and lak, which so often is the case, 4           8         MR BOULDING: So far as openings are concerned, we have real 4         building?           9         CHAIRMAN: Yes. Inavent spoken to anybody about that, bu 4         10           10         be making an application for an opening statement, 4         12         arrangenenas for that, with air-confinioning and access. 4           12         be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement, 4         13         MR BOULDING: for moch obliged. 4         14           13         be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement, 4         15         well as you've got to have the ability 4           14         contained in that. Is cen that there's 4         16         to prepare and to dealt with matters later in the day, if 4           15         sect an bite for that	1	after Christmas, and obviously arrangements would be	1	again, that emphasises the urgency of the matter, and it
4         Fraully, sir, it's a practical matter. I've been           5         but for obvious reasons it would be nice to know when         6           6         we've got to come back, if indeed we come back at all.         7           7         CHAIRMAN: Yes.         7           8         MR BOULDINC: So far a openings are concerned, we have read         9           9         what's been said about that. We anticipate that we will         10         be making an application for an opening statement to be           10         be making an application for an opening statement, to be         10         11         I certainly came carlier this morning and we will make           12         what's been said about that. We anticipate that we will         10         11         I certainly came carlier that, with air conditioning and access.           14         is that obviously the sconer we - and I suspect all of         14         14         I certainly came carlier that, with air conditioning and access.           15         indicial proceedings that you've got to have the ability         10         prepare and to del awith air conditioning and access.           16         we would about that. I see that there's         13         MR BOULDING: Tunker an assity ory inthemet at his stage, arrangements for that, with air conditioning and access.           17         we have mow thathrere's         18         MR BOULDING:	2	made. It would be useful at some stage to be given the	2	
5     but for ohvions reasons it would be nice to know when     5     acked by my instructing solicitors, that assuming we       6     we've got to come back, if indeed we come back at all.     6       7     CHAIRMAN: Yes.     8       8     MB BOULDING: So far as openings are concerned, we have read     8       9     what's been said about that. We anticipate that we will       10     be making an application for an opening statement to be       11     delivered on the part of MTR.       12     What we would like to emphasise, and it appears to       13     be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       14     is that obvinusly the sonner we - and I suspect all of       15     be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       14     with obvinusly the sonner we - and I suspect all of       15     be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       16     statements or the relevant documentation that's been       17     statements or the relevant documentation that's been       18     upon what's contained in that. I see that there's       19     additional witness statements. We served       21     rateferne to an electonic bundle, which I assume will       22     statements or the with the sonner we can make the neccessary       24     Office of the Chief Executive singiypy or to plain that we       23 <td>3</td> <td>date when we will resume, if indeed we are going to</td> <td>3</td> <td></td>	3	date when we will resume, if indeed we are going to	3	
5     but for ohvions reasons it would be nice to know when     5     acked by my instructing solicitors, that assuming we       6     we've got to come back, if indeed we come back at all.     6       7     CHAIRMAN: Yes.     8       8     MB BOULDING: So far as openings are concerned, we have read     8       9     what's been said about that. We anticipate that we will       10     be making an application for an opening statement to be       11     delivered on the part of MTR.       12     What we would like to emphasise, and it appears to       13     be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       14     is that obvinusly the sonner we - and I suspect all of       15     be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       14     with obvinusly the sonner we - and I suspect all of       15     be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       16     statements or the relevant documentation that's been       17     statements or the relevant documentation that's been       18     upon what's contained in that. I see that there's       19     additional witness statements. We served       21     rateferne to an electonic bundle, which I assume will       22     statements or the with the sonner we can make the neccessary       24     Office of the Chief Executive singiypy or to plain that we       23 <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>Finally, sir, it's a practical matter. I've been</td>	4		4	Finally, sir, it's a practical matter. I've been
6       we've got to come back, if indeed we come back at all.       6       need to work early and late, which so other is the case,         7       CHAIRMAN: Yes.       8       MR BOULDING: So far as openings are concerned, we have real       8         9       what's been said about that. We anticipate that we will       9       CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thaven't spoken to anybody about that,         10       be making amplication for an opening statement,       10       the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and         11       delivered on the part of MTR.       11       certainly came earlier this morning and we will make         12       what we would like to emphasise, and it appears to       12       arrangements for that, with air-confinitioning and access.         13       be durit wit in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       11       certain all of columnation that's been         16       statements or the relevant documentation that's been       16       to prepare and to deal with matters later in the day, if         17       we have its contained in that. Is se that ther's       19       MR BOULDINC: Thues, and assi you further at this stage,         18       upon what store statements.       We served       20       CHAIRMAN: Yes.       21         2       athitmain witness statements.       28       29       Areat arity tight schedule, we have a lareg amount of	5		5	
7       CHARMAN: Yes.       7       will necessary arrangements be made for access to this         8       MR BOULDING: So far as openings are concerned, we have nead       building?         9       what been said about that. We anticipate that we will       10       the making an application for an opening statement to be         10       be making an application for an opening statement to be       10       the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and         11       delivered on the part of MTR.       11       I certainly came earlier this morning and we will make         13       be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       13       MR BOULDING: I'm much obliged.         14       that we would like to emphasise, and it appears to       13       MR BOULDING: Than ad parcel of any Inquiry in any         15       statements or the relevant documentation that's been       16       to repare and to deal with atters tater in the day, if         16       statements, so we have go to mothing like 23 or 24       18       MR BOULDING: Unless 1can assist you further at this stage, sia         12       statements, so whave go to mothing like 23 or 24       24       24       as far as the time of the solicitors to the heading is concerned, Ive         24       get hold of that, the sonor we can make the necessary       25       14       As far as the time of the solicitors to the Basolicitors is the son's of	6	we've got to come back, if indeed we come back at all.	6	
8         MR BOULDING: So far as openings are concerned, we have read         8         building?           9         what's been said about that. We anticipate that we will         9         CHAIRMAN: Yes. I haven't spoken to anybody about that, but           10         be making an application for an opening statement, be         10         the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and           11         delivered on the part of MTR.         11         I certainly came earlier this moring and we will make           12         What we would like to emphasise, and it appears to         13         MR BOULDING: The much obliged.           14         is that obviously the sooner we – and I suspect all of         14         14           15         tho dust with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement.         15         16         to prepare and to deal with matters later in the day, if           16         statements or the relevant documentation that's been         16         to prepare and to deal with matters later in the day, if           17         we harrow that there's         18         MR BOULDING: Thank you.         18         MR BOULDING: Cust Cassed and you's part the day, if           23         additional witness statements. We served         20         CHAIRMAN: Thank you.         21         As far as the time of the haring is concerned. Ive           23         additional witness statements. W	7		7	-
9         what's been said about that. We anticipate that we will         9         CHAIRMAN: Yes. I haven't spoken to anybody about that, but the maswer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and the answer is yes, because there and purcel of any there ond indivision the day, if the other partise - can gethold of the other witness statements. We served the better, because so much is utterfy dependent the answer is yes. Secanse, So that will be arranged.           10         ord and indiver winness statements. We served the advector of any duration the day, if the nearing is concerned, I/Ve and advector of the issues, so we have got something tike 20 a 22         aste and y apoken with Mr Pennicott and the solicitors to the advector of the source of any ecusions.           11         If we note is got to he responsive. Expert reports, and the is got to he responsive withes statements.         Yeag 48           12 <td></td> <td>MR BOULDING: So far as openings are concerned, we have read</td> <td>8</td> <td></td>		MR BOULDING: So far as openings are concerned, we have read	8	
10       be making an application for an opening statement to be       10       the answer is yes, because there are staff here, and         11       delivered on the purt of MTR.       11       I certainly came earlier this morning and we will make         13       be dealt with in puragraph 25 of the opening statement,       13       MR BOULDING: I'm much obliged.         14       is that obviously the sconer we - and I suspect all of       14       CHAIRMAN: I's part and parcel of any Inquiry in any         16       statements or the relevant documentation that's been       16       to prepare and to deal with matters later in the day, if         17       served the better, because so much is utterly dependent       17       necessary. So that will be arranged.         18       upon what's contained in that. I see that there's       18       MR BOULDING: Unless I can assis you further at this stage, si, they are my observations.         21       17. We hear now that there is sover 40 witness       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned. I've already spoken with Mr Pennicott and the solicitors to to         23       additional winess statements. We served       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned. I've already spoken with Mr Pennicott as carly the with the word with what we already whean with Mr Pennicott as carly the with the word with what we have to deal with matters.         24       additional winess statements.       25       the a fairly tig	9			-
11       delivered on the part of MTR.       11       I certainly came earlier this morning and we will make         12       What we would like to emphasise, and it appears to       13       IR BOULDING: The much obliged.         14       is that obviously the sconer we and I suspect all of       14       I CHAIRMAN: It's part and parcel of any Inquiry in any         15       the other parties can get hold of the other winess       15       In BOULDING: The much obliged.         16       to prepare and to deal with in paragraph adcumentation that's been       16       to prepare and to deal with matters later in the day, if         17       served the better, because so much is utterly dependent       19       ir, they are my observations.         18       are forene to an electronic bundle, which I assume will       19       ir, they are my observations.         20       contain all of the other viness statements. We served       21       As far as the time of the haring is concerned, I've         21       asterments, so we have got something like 23 or 24       21       as far as the time of the cheiring is concerned, I've         23       additional witness statements. The sooner we can make the necessary       20       the laquiry, and I have already been in touch with the         24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary       25       have faredy sopken with M P rennicott as to any extensions that ma		-	10	
12       What we would like to emphasise, and it appears to       12       arrangements for that, with air-conditioning and access.         13       be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       13       MR BOULDING: I'm much obliged.         14       is that obviously the scowner we - and 1 suspect all of       14       CHAIRMAN: I's part and parcel of any Inquiry in any         15       the other parties - can get hold of the other witness       15       judicial proceedings that you've got to have the ability         16       statements or the relevant documentation that's been       15       iudicial proceedings that you've got to have the ability         17       severed the better, beccurse so much is interfly dependent       18       MR BOULDING: Unless I can assist you further at this stage,         18       upon what's contained in that. I see that there's       18       MR BOULDING: Unless I can assist you further at this stage,         19       a reference to an electronic bundle, which I assume will       20       CHAIRMAN: I's have you         21       17. We hear now that there is over 40 witness       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've         22       attending witness statements. We served       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've         23       abilitioning and access.       20       CHAIRMAN: Thave Now.       21				-
13       be dealt with in paragraph 25 of the opening statement,       13       MR BOULDING: Tm much obliged.         14       is that obviously the sconer we - and I suspect all of       14       CHAIRMAN: It's part and parcel of any Inquiry in any         15       the other parties - car age thold of the other witness       15       judicial proceedings that you've got to have the ability         16       statements or the relevant documentation that's been       16       to prepare and to deal with matters later in the day, if         17       we have now that that. I see the there's       18       MR BOULDING: The much obliged.         18       MR BOULDING: The much obliged.       18       MR BOULDING: The much obliged.         20       contain all of the other witness statements. We served       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've         21       as far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've       22       already spoken with Mr Pennicott and the solicitors to         23       additional winess statements or responsive. Expert reports, and       24       Office of the Chier Executive simply to explain that we         24       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very bits       5       susk. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7       6         5       on our team and will continue to do so, but we would       6       sure that we are all ad idem. We are nog goin		_		
14       is that obviously the sooner we and I suspect all of       14       CHAIRMAN: It's part and parcel of any Inquiry in any         15       the other parties can get hold of the other witness       15       judicial proceedings that you've got to have the ability         16       statements or the relevant documentation that's been       16       to prepare and to deal with matters later in the day, if         17       served the better, because so much is utterly dependent       17       necessary. So that will be arranged.         18       upon what's contained in that. I see that there's       18       MR BOULDING: Unless I can assist you further at this stage, is ric, they are my observations.         20       contain all of the other winness statements. We served       20       CHAIRMAN: It's part and parcel of any Inquiry in any         21       ar a ference to an electronic bundle, which I assume will       19       sir, they are my observations.         22       statements, so we have got something like 23 or 24       23       additional witness statements to read. The sooner we       23         23       additional witness statements to read. The sooner we       24       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we         24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary       24       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we         25       the like.       Yes				
15       the other parties can get hold of the other witness       15       judicial proceedings that you've got to have the ability         16       statements or the relevant documentation that's been       17       necessary. So that will be arranged.         17       served the better, becauses on much sutterly dependent       17       necessary. So that will be arranged.         18       upon what's contained in that. I see that there's       18       MR BOULDING: Unless I can assist you further at this stage, arranged.         20       contain all of the other witness statements. We served       20       CHAIRMAN: Thank you.         21       17. We hear now that there is over 40 witness       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've         22       statements, so we have got something like 23 or 24       22       already spoken with Mr Pennicott and the solicitors to         23       additional witness statements.       25       hearedy been in touch with the         24       office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we       have a fairly tight schedule, we have a large amount of         2       the like.       2       sign that you've got un formed as carly         3       required relating to your presence before the       4         4       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very toin       1       evidence to look at. We will werk togethore				-
16       statements or the relevant documentation that's been         17       served the better, because so much is utterly dependent         18       upon what's contained in that. I see that there's         19       a reference to an electronic bundle, which I assume will         20       contain all of the other winness statements. We served         21       17. We hear now that there is over 40 winness         22       statements, so we have got something like 23 or 24         23       additional witness statements to read. The sooner we         24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary         25       applications in terms of responsive witness statements.         26       Page 46         7       Page 46         7       We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and       1         2       the like.         3       CHAIRMAN: Yes.         4       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very bite       4         6       to know quite what we have to aleal with. If my         8       learned friend could possibly give us some indication as       7         9       to when that would be available, it would be very, very       7         10       helpful indeed.       11         11       We already hav				
17       served the better, because so much is utterly dependent       17       necessary. So that will be arranged.         18       upon what's containe din that. I see that there's       18       MR BOULDING: 'Unless I can assist you further at this stage,         19       a reference to an electronic bundle, which I assume will       18       MR BOULDING: 'Unless I can assist you further at this stage,         21       17. We hear now that there is over 40 witness       22       attrady spoken with MP rennicott and the solicitors to         23       additional winess statements to read. The sooner we       23       attrady spoken with MP rennicott and the solicitors to         24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary       24       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we         25       applications in terms of responsive witness statements.       28       have a fairly tight schedule, we have a large amount of         2       the like.       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early       2         3       the like.       1       evidence to look at. We will werk together on that to make         4       MR BOULDING: Thar's obviously going to be a very, very big       3       required relating to your presence before the         4       MR BOULDING: Thar's obviously give us some indication as       9       to when that would be early, so dar as our factual				
18       upon what's contained in that. I see that there's       18       MR BOULDING: Unless I can assist you further at this stage,         19       a reference to an electronic bundle, which I assume will       20       contain all of the other witness statements. We served         21       17. We hear now that there is over 40 witness       20       CHAIRMAN: Thank you.         22       statements, so we have got something like 23 or 24       20       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've         23       additional witness statements to read. The sooner we       23       already spoken with Mr Pennicott and the solicitors to         24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary       24       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we         25       have a fairly tight schedule, we have a large amount of       Page 46         7       We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         3       CHAIRMAN: Yes.       2       as is practical as to any extensions that may be         3       to when that would be available, it would be very, very       is       4         4       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big       5       Sure that we are all al idem. We are not going to catch         6       you can rest assured that people are working 24/7 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
19       a reference to an electronic bundle, which I assume will       19       sir, they are my observations.         20       contain all of the other wincess statements. We served       20       CHAIRMAN: Thank you.         21       17. We hear now that there is over 40 witness       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've         23       additional witness statements to read. The sooner we       23       the Inquiry, and I have already been in touch with the         24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary       24       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we         25       applications in terms of responsive. Expert reports, and       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         2       the like.       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         3       CHAIRMAN: Yes.       3       required relating to your presence before the         4       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big       5       sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch         5       task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7       6       on our team and will continue to do so, but we would       7         11       We already have a chart, so far as our factual       14       MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matters.       10         12       witness				
20       contain all of the other witness statements. We served       20       CHAIRMAN: Thank you.         21       17. We hear now that there is over 40 witness       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've         23       additional witness statements to read the socie we       22       already spoken with MP Penicotia and the solicitors to         24       additional witness statements or each make the necessary       25       the linquiry, and I have already been in touch with the         25       applications in terms of responsive witness statements.       26       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we         26       the like.       27       as is practical as to any extensions that may be         3       CHAIRMAN: Yes.       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         3       as is practical as to any extensions that may be       3       required relating to your presence before the         4       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big       as the two are all ad idem. We are not going to catch       6         5       task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7       6       you by suprise.       7         6       non our team and will continue to do so, but we would       6       you by suprise.       7       MR BOULDING: They much appreciate it. Thank you very         10		-		
21       17. We hear now that there is over 40 witness       21       As far as the time of the hearing is concerned, I've         22       statements, so we have got something like 23 or 24       22       already spoken with MF Pennicott and the solicitors to         23       additional witness statements to read. The sooner we       23       the Inquiry, and I have already been in touch with the         24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary       23       the Inquiry, and I have already been in touch with the         25       applications in terms of responsive witness statements.       26       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we         26       We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         2       the like.       3       required relating to your presence before the         3       CHAIRMAN: Yes.       4       Commission, and we will work together on that to make         4       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big       5       sure that we are all al idem. We are not going to catch         7       Ike to know quite what we have to deal with. If my       8       Rearned friend could possibly give us some indication as       9         8       to when that would be available, it would be very, very       9       CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
22       statements, so we have got something like 23 or 24       22       already spoken with Mr Pennicott and the solicitors to         23       additional witness statements to read. The sconer we       23       already spoken with Mr Pennicott and the solicitors to         24       get hold of that, the sconer we can make the necessary       24       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we         25       applications in terms of responsive witness statements.       25       have a fairly tight schedule, we have a large amount of         Page 46         1       We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         2       task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7       6       orur team and will continue to do so, but we would         7       like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my       required relating to your presence before the       Commission, and we will work together on that to make         8       to when that would be available, it would be very, very       6       YMR BOULDING: Ti very much appreciate it. Thank you very         10       helpful indeed.       7       MR BOULDING: To on or team and will continue to do so, but we would       7         11       we laready have a chart, so far as our factual       1       Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into         1				
23       additional witness statements to read. The sooner we       23       the Inquiry, and I have already been in touch with the         24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary       23       the Inquiry, and I have already been in touch with the         25       applications in terms of responsive witness statements.       23       the Inquiry, and I have already been in touch with the         26       Page 46       Page 48         1       We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         2       as is practical as to any extensions that may be       3       chaits. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7         6       on our team and will continue to do so, but we would       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         7       like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my       7       MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very         8       neuch.       7       CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.         10       helpful indeed.       11       Mr BoulLDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very         11       We already have a chart, so far as our factual       11       Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into         12       witness availability is concerned, and I would be       12       a				
24       get hold of that, the sooner we can make the necessary       24       Office of the Chief Executive simply to explain that we have a fairly tight schedule, we have a large amount of         25       applications in terms of responsive witness statements.       26       Page 48         1       We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be         3       CHAIRMAN: Yes.       2       as is practical as to any extensions that may be         4       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big to an uncet and will continue to do so, but we would       5       sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch         7       like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my the learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be every, very to helpful indeed.       7       MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very the much.         9       to when that would be available, it would be very, very to helpful indeed.       7       MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what         11       We already have a chart, so far as our factual to would be helpful.       10       Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that         12       would be helpful.       15       7 January, or something of that order.         16       Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likel				
25       applications in terms of responsive witness statements.       25       have a fairly tight schedule, we have a large amount of         Page 46         1       We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and       1       evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early         2       the like.       2       as is practical as to any extensions that may be         3       CHAIRMAN: Yes.       3       required relating to your presence before the         4       MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big       5       sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch         6       on our team and will continue to do so, but we would       6       you by surprise.         7       Ike to know quite what we have to deal with. If my       7       MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very         8       learned friend could possibly give us some indication as       9       to when that would be available, it would be very, very         10       helpful indeed.       10       MR PEONICOTT: Just on that matters.         11       We already have a chart, so far as our factual       11       Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into         12       witness availability is concerned, and I would be       12       a position of having to go into January, whether         13       prepared to share that				
Page 46Page 461We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and1evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early2the like.2as is practical as to any extensions that may be3CHAIRMAN: Yes.3required relating to your presence before the4MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big5sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch5on our team and will continue to do so, but we would6you by surprise.7like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my8learned friend could possibly give us some indication as9to when that would be available, it would be very, very9CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.10MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I though that what11We already have a chart, so far as our factual1112witness availability is concerned, and I would be1213prepared to share that with my learned friend1314Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he though that1115would be helpful.1616Expert evidence; we anticipate that we are likely to17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to19asist you, and we have seen what's been said about20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once23documentation, outstanding witness statements and the <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
1We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.1evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be 33CHAIRMAN: Yes.3required relating to your presence before the4MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 6636on our team and will continue to do so, but we would 71ike to know quite what we have to deal with. If my 887like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my 817MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.8to when that would be available, it would be very, very 10helpful indeed.7MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.9to when that would be available, it would be witness availability is concerned, and I would be 1210MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what 1111We already have a chart, so far as our factual 1211Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into 1312witness availability is concerned, and I would be 1412a position of having to go into January, whether 1315would be helpful.157 January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to 14157 January, or something of that order.17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of 19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about 191919assist you, an	23		25	
2the like.2as is practical as to any extensions that may be3CHAIRMAN: Yes.3required relating to your presence before the4MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big4Commission, and we will work together on that to make5task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/75sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch6on our team and will continue to do so, but we would7like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my8learned friend could possibly give us some indication as8much.9to when that would be available, it would be very, very9CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.10helpful indeed.10MR BOULDING: Tust on that matter, I thought that what11We already have a chart, so far as our factual11Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into12witness availability is concerned, and I would be12a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that14January, we will not start, for example, before15would be helpful.157 January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisi				Daga 49
3CHAIRMAN: Yes.3required relating to your presence before the4MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big5task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/76on our team and will continue to do so, but we would6you by surprise.7like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my7MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very8learned friend could possibly give us some indication as9to when that would be available, it would be very, very10helpful indeed.7MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very11We already have a chart, so far as our factual10MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what12witness availability is concerned, and I would be10Arr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that13mepared to share that with my learned friend13a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that14January, we will not start, for example, before15would be helpful.157 January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to16CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on21already, together with my team, and they emphasis	1	-	1	-
4MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 6 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would 7 like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my 8 learned friend could possibly give us some indication as 9 to when that would be available, it would be very, very 10 helpful indeed.4Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.7like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my 8 learned friend could possibly give us some indication as 9 to when that would be available, it would be very, very 10 helpful indeed.7MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.10helpful indeed.7MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.11We already have a chart, so far as our factual 1111Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.12witness availability is concerned, and I would be 1210MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that with my learned friend 1313prepared to share that with my learned friend 1413a not-beford date could be given, ie if we go into 1414Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that 15157 January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to 1718That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about 2019because other people have commitments, including myself 2020that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts 21<		We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and		evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early
<ul> <li>task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7</li> <li>on our team and will continue to do so, but we would</li> <li>like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my</li> <li>learned friend could possibly give us some indication as</li> <li>to when that would be available, it would be very, very</li> <li>helpful indeed.</li> <li>We already have a chart, so far as our factual</li> <li>witness availability is concerned, and I would be</li> <li>prepared to share that with my learned friend</li> <li>would be helpful.</li> <li>Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to</li> <li>Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to</li> <li>MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to</li> <li>assist you, and we have seen what's been said about</li> <li>that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts</li> <li>already, together with my team, and they emphasise once</li> <li>again that the sooner they get access to outstanding</li> <li>documentation, outstanding witness statements and the</li> <li>like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>they the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>they the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>they the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>they the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>they the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>they the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>they they they to an they they to they they they to they they to that. Clearly, I've been told they</li> <li>they they they they they they they they</li></ul>	2	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.	2	evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be
6on our team and will continue to do so, but we would6you by surprise.7like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my8learned friend could possibly give us some indication as7MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very8learned friend could possibly give us some indication as9to when that would be available, it would be very, very7MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very10helpful indeed.7MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what11We already have a chart, so far as our factual11Mr Pennicott, as soon as possible if he thought that12witness availability is concerned, and I would be12a position of having to go into January, whether13prepared to share that with my learned friend13a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that14January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to16CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm<	2 3	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3	evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the
<ul> <li>7 like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my</li> <li>8 learned friend could possibly give us some indication as</li> <li>9 to when that would be available, it would be very, very</li> <li>10 helpful indeed.</li> <li>11 We already have a chart, so far as our factual</li> <li>12 witness availability is concerned, and I would be</li> <li>13 prepared to share that with my learned friend</li> <li>14 Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that</li> <li>15 would be helpful.</li> <li>16 Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to</li> <li>17 make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of</li> <li>18 MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to</li> <li>19 assist you, and we have seen what's been said about</li> <li>20 that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts</li> <li>21 already, together with my team, and they emphasise once</li> <li>22 again that the sooner they get access to outstanding</li> <li>23 documentation, outstanding witness statements and the</li> <li>24 like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>7 MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>7 MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>7 MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>8 much.</li> <li>9 CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>10 MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what</li> <li>11 Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into</li> <li>13 a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into</li> <li>14 January, we will not start, for example, before</li> <li>15 7 January, or something of that order.</li> <li>16 CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank</li> <li>17 make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of</li> <li>18 MTR, independent expert evidence back to you before the hearing</li> <li>20 commences on 22 October.</li> <li>21 MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big	2 3 4	evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make
<ul> <li>8 learned friend could possibly give us some indication as</li> <li>9 to when that would be available, it would be very, very</li> <li>10 helpful indeed.</li> <li>11 We already have a chart, so far as our factual</li> <li>12 witness availability is concerned, and I would be</li> <li>13 prepared to share that with my learned friend</li> <li>14 Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that</li> <li>15 would be helpful.</li> <li>16 Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to</li> <li>17 make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of</li> <li>18 MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to</li> <li>19 assist you, and we have seen what's been said about</li> <li>20 that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts</li> <li>21 already, together with my team, and they emphasise once</li> <li>22 again that the sooner they get access to outstanding</li> <li>23 documentation, outstanding witness statements and the</li> <li>24 like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li><li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li><li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch
9to when that would be available, it would be very, very9CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.10helpful indeed.10MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what11We already have a chart, so far as our factual11Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into12witness availability is concerned, and I would be12a position of having to go into January, whether13prepared to share that with my learned friend13a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that14January, we will not start, for example, before15would be helpful.157 January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to16CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of17you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December.18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm22again that the sooner they get access to outstanding22that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing <td>2 3 4 5 6</td> <td><ul><li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li><li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li><li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would</li></ul></td> <td>2 3 4 5 6</td> <td>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</td>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li><li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li><li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.
10helpful indeed.10MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what11We already have a chart, so far as our factual11Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into12witness availability is concerned, and I would be12a position of having to go into January, whether13prepared to share that with my learned friend12a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that14January, we will not start, for example, before15would be helpful.157 January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to16CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of17you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December.18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm23documentation, outstanding witness statements and the23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li><li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li><li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li><li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very</li></ul>
11We already have a chart, so far as our factual11Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into12witness availability is concerned, and I would be12a position of having to go into January, whether13prepared to share that with my learned friend13a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that14January, we will not start, for example, before15would be helpful.157 January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to16CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of17you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December.18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm23documentation, outstanding witness statements and the23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li><li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li><li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li><li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li></ul>
12witness availability is concerned, and I would be12a position of having to go into January, whether13prepared to share that with my learned friend13a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that14January, we will not start, for example, before15would be helpful.14January, or something of that order.16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to157 January, or something of that order.17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of17you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December.18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm23documentation, outstanding witness statements and the23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> </ul>
13prepared to share that with my learned friend13a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that13a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into15would be helpful.14January, we will not start, for example, before16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to157 January, or something of that order.17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of17you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December.18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm22again that the sooner they get access to outstanding23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what</li> </ul>
14Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that14January, we will not start, for example, before15would be helpful.14January, we will not start, for example, before16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to157 January, or something of that order.17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of16CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about19because other people have commitments, including myself20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm22again that the sooner they get access to outstanding23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed.</li> <li>We already have a chart, so far as our factual</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>would be helpful.</li> <li>Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to</li> <li>make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of</li> <li>MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to</li> <li>assist you, and we have seen what's been said about</li> <li>that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts</li> <li>already, together with my team, and they emphasise once</li> <li>again that the sooner they get access to outstanding</li> <li>documentation, outstanding witness statements and the</li> <li>like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they</li> <li>that up, and we have appreciated, sir.</li> <li>That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank</li> <li>that's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there</li> <li>because other people have commitments, including myself</li> <li>I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on</li> <li>a not-before date in early January. We need to firm</li> <li>that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing</li> <li>commences on 22 October.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed.</li> <li>We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether</li> </ul>
16Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to assist you, and we have seen what's been said about that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts already, together with my team, and they emphasise once again that the sooner they get access to outstanding documentation, outstanding witness statements and the like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they16CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December. That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there because other people have commitments, including myself I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on a not-before date in early January. We need to firm that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed.</li> <li>We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into</li> </ul>
17make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to assist you, and we have seen what's been said about that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts already, together with my team, and they emphasise once again that the sooner they get access to outstanding documentation, outstanding witness statements and the like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they17you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December. That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there because other people have commitments, including myself I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on a not-before date in early January. We need to firm that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed.</li> <li>We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, we will not start, for example, before</li> </ul>
18MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about18That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts19because other people have commitments, including myself21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm22again that the sooner they get access to outstanding22that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing23documentation, outstanding witness statements and the23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed. We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, we will not start, for example, before 7 January, or something of that order.</li> </ul>
19assist you, and we have seen what's been said about that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts already, together with my team, and they emphasise once again that the sooner they get access to outstanding documentation, outstanding witness statements and the like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they19because other people have commitments, including myself 2019because other people have commitments, including myself 2020already, together with my team, and they emphasise once again that the sooner they get access to outstanding documentation, outstanding witness statements and the 2424like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed.</li> <li>We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful.</li> <li>Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, or something of that order.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank</li> </ul>
20that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts20I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm22again that the sooner they get access to outstanding22that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing23documentation, outstanding witness statements and the23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed. We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful. Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, we will not start, for example, before 7 January, or something of that order.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December.</li> </ul>
21already, together with my team, and they emphasise once21a not-before date in early January. We need to firm22again that the sooner they get access to outstanding22that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing23documentation, outstanding witness statements and the23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed. We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful. Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, or something of that order.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December. That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there</li> </ul>
22again that the sooner they get access to outstanding documentation, outstanding witness statements and the like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they22that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing 2324like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed. We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful. Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to assist you, and we have seen what's been said about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, we will not start, for example, before 7 January, or something of that order.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December. That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there because other people have commitments, including myself.</li> </ul>
23documentation, outstanding witness statements and the like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they23commences on 22 October.24like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they24MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed. We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful. Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to assist you, and we have seen what's been said about that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, or something of that order.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December. That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there because other people have commitments, including myself. I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on</li> </ul>
24 like, the sooner they can do what we've been told they 24 MR BOULDING: Very much appreciated, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed. We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful. Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to assist you, and we have seen what's been said about that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts already, together with my team, and they emphasise once	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, we will not start, for example, before 7 January, or something of that order.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December. That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there because other people have commitments, including myself. I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on a not-before date in early January. We need to firm</li> </ul>
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed. We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful. Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to assist you, and we have seen what's been said about that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts already, together with my team, and they emphasise once again that the sooner they get access to outstanding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, we will not start, for example, before 7 January, or something of that order.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December. That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there because other people have commitments, including myself. I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on a not-before date in early January. We need to firm that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing</li> </ul>
1 / 1 - Dave ODDL WHICH IS IDDIED/IE A LEDOL - NO ODCE - 1 / 1 - MR. EEDINH FLFT ' NE VOU DAVE DEAD WHICH IS A POINT ABOUT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We note it's got to be responsive. Expert reports, and the like. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR BOULDING: That's obviously going to be a very, very big task. You can rest assured that people are working 24/7 on our team and will continue to do so, but we would like to know quite what we have to deal with. If my learned friend could possibly give us some indication as to when that would be available, it would be very, very helpful indeed. We already have a chart, so far as our factual witness availability is concerned, and I would be prepared to share that with my learned friend Mr Pennicott as soon as possible if he thought that would be helpful. Expert evidence: we anticipate that we are likely to make an application to call expert evidence on behalf of MTR, independent expert evidence, but obviously to assist you, and we have seen what's been said about that. Clearly, I've been in contact with these experts already, together with my team, and they emphasise once again that the sooner they get access to outstanding documentation, outstanding witness statements and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>evidence to look at. We will keep you informed as early as is practical as to any extensions that may be required relating to your presence before the Commission, and we will work together on that to make sure that we are all ad idem. We are not going to catch you by surprise.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: I very much appreciate it. Thank you very much.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Mr Pennicott, on the other matters.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Just on that matter, I thought that what Mr Boulding was perhaps driving at was if we get into a position of having to go into January, whether a not-before date could be given, ie if we go into January, we will not start, for example, before 7 January, or something of that order.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: Yes, that's certainly one of the issues. Thank you very much. We are finishing I think on 21 December. That's, insofar as anything is set in stone, there because other people have commitments, including myself. I imagine that we have already worked provisionally on a not-before date in early January. We need to firm that up, and we will come back to you before the hearing commences on 22 October.</li> </ul>

	Page 49		Page 51
1	access to the building early in the evening I won't	1	advising the parties.
2	say more about that. So far as access to the documents	2	The difficulty will arise if we have matters
3	is concerned, I just had to double-check the position,	3	relating to merits and you are looking to having oral
4	but my understanding, my instructions are that the USB	4	argument. If that happens unfortunately,
5	sticks are ready to go, upon application so get your	5	Prof Hansford can't get here until 22 October. What we
6	letters in this afternoon and subject, and I make	6	may have to do is have a hearing heard at the end of the
7	this important caveat in relation to one or two parties,	7	first or second day after normal hours, if that comes to
8	to certain conditions that the Commission's legal team	8	that, but I don't think it necessarily will.
9	might advise the Commission about, that bundle is ready	9	MR BOULDING: Thank you for that clarification, sir. One
10	and ready to go to the parties, and the sort of	10	hopes it will not come to that.
11	conditions I'm afraid we may need to think about it's	11	CHAIRMAN: If it does, that's the way it will be. So
12	not yet been fully thought through is that we are	12	hopefully we can deal with everything on paper if it
13	waiting for certain witness statements, outstanding	13	goes to merits. If it's purely related to procedure,
13	witness statements from certain parties, and it may be	14	I will deal with it on my own. If there any matter of
15	that there will be conditions or limitations put upon	15	oral argument on a particular issue, and it has to be by
16	access to the documents in relation to those parties who	16	the two of us, it will be done out of normal court hours
17	have not yet given us all their witness statements,	17	on the first, second or third day of the hearing.
18	because we don't feel at the moment, and it may be	18	MR BOULDING: Thank you.
19	a matter we will have to consider in more detail, that	19	CHAIRMAN: So we get the whole thing out of the way as
20	parties who have not provided all their witness	20	quickly as possible.
21	statements should be given the advantage of seeing	21	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I emphasise, so there's no
22	everybody else's witness statements before they produce	22	misunderstanding, of course myself and my colleagues for
23	their primary witness statements.	23	the Commission are here to assist and advise the
24	Of course they are going to get the ability to	24	Commission, but any decisions on contentious matters
25	respond, but that's a different point. We are waiting	25	such as the admissibility of evidence and so forth is
	Page 50		Page 52
			•
1	for certain primary first witness statements which we've	1	not dealt with by me or Messrs Lo & Lo, but it's dealt
1 2	for certain primary first witness statements which we've not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are	1 2	not dealt with by me or Messrs Lo & Lo, but it's dealt with by you, sir.
	not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are		with by you, sir.
2		2	
2 3	not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.	2 3	with by you, sir. CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.
2 3 4	not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go. MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter	2 3 4	with by you, sir. CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry. Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible
2 3 4 5	not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go. MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo & Lo this	2 3 4 5	with by you, sir. CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry. Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.
2 3 4 5 6	not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go. MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo & Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an	2 3 4 5 6	with by you, sir. CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry. Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me. Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among
2 3 4 5 6 7	not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go. MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo & Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is	2 3 4 5 6 7	with by you, sir. CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry. Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me. Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li><li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>with by you, sir.</li><li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li><li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li><li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go. MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo & Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go. MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo & Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo & Lo to have the final word on that? I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural only, are made by myself, in the event of there being</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just heard Mr Pennicott say the USB is actually ready to go.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural only, are made by myself, in the event of there being any concern.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just heard Mr Pennicott say the USB is actually ready to go.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Yes, that's right. My understanding is that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural only, are made by myself, in the event of there being any concern.</li> <li>If they are going to matters of merit, they will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just heard Mr Pennicott say the USB is actually ready to go.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Yes, that's right. My understanding is that up until the position all the documents, everything</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural only, are made by myself, in the event of there being any concern.</li> <li>If they are going to matters of merit, they will have to be dealt with by myself and Prof Hansford</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just heard Mr Pennicott say the USB is actually ready to go.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Yes, that's right. My understanding is that up until the position all the documents, everything we have received by Friday is now on a USB stick and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural only, are made by myself, in the event of there being any concern.</li> <li>If they are going to matters of merit, they will have to be dealt with by myself and Prof Hansford together, prior to the Commission commencing the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just heard Mr Pennicott say the USB is actually ready to go.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Yes, that's right. My understanding is that up until the position all the documents, everything we have received by Friday is now on a USB stick and ready to go. The position, as I understand it, is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural only, are made by myself, in the event of there being any concern.</li> <li>If they are going to matters of merit, they will have to be dealt with by myself and Prof Hansford together, prior to the Commission commencing the substantive hearing on 22 October. There is no reason</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just heard Mr Pennicott say the USB is actually ready to go.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Yes, that's right. My understanding is that up until the position all the documents, everything we have received by Friday is now on a USB stick and ready to go. The position, as I understand it, is this in relation to further documentation that may</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural only, are made by myself, in the event of there being any concern.</li> <li>If they are going to matters of merit, they will have to be dealt with by myself and Prof Hansford together, prior to the Commission commencing the substantive hearing on 22 October. There is no reason why, in this electronic age, Prof Hansford and I can't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just heard Mr Pennicott say the USB is actually ready to go.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Yes, that's right. My understanding is that up until the position all the documents, everything we have received by Friday is now on a USB stick and ready to go. The position, as I understand it, is this in relation to further documentation that may come in this week, next week or the week after and so</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>not yet got. But for everybody else, the USB sticks are ready to go.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: That's very helpful, and I'm sure a letter will be winging its way to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo this afternoon, but can I just enquire how, assuming an objection is taken that a party wants to resist, that is going to be dealt with? Is it anticipated that we come back before you, or is it going to be left to Messrs Lo &amp; Lo to have the final word on that? I just enquire. One hopes it's not going to happen. But I just enquire as to any procedure.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: That was a matter dealt with this morning. I can say that my view of it is that Messrs Lo &amp; Lo, as with Mr Pennicott, are at the service of the Commission and final decisions in that regard, if they are procedural only, are made by myself, in the event of there being any concern.</li> <li>If they are going to matters of merit, they will have to be dealt with by myself and Prof Hansford together, prior to the Commission commencing the substantive hearing on 22 October. There is no reason</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>with by you, sir.</li> <li>CHAIRMAN: I would say this is a Commission of Inquiry.</li> <li>Freedom of access to documentation as early as possible is as a general principle of central importance to me.</li> <li>Even if it does perhaps cause some concerns among certain parties, that would normally be the overriding consideration, subject always to issues of fairness.</li> <li>MR BOULDING: Thank you, sir. That's very clear.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: I think that means we go to Leighton.</li> <li>MR SHIEH: Thank you for Mr Pennicott's indication in relation to the documentary position. Could I just clarify that paragraph 25 of the note, not the directions, of the note, suggests that the hearing bundles and the index is being prepared and will be available when the documents are provided, but I just heard Mr Pennicott say the USB is actually ready to go.</li> <li>MR PENNICOTT: Yes, that's right. My understanding is that up until the position all the documents, everything we have received by Friday is now on a USB stick and ready to go. The position, as I understand it, is this in relation to further documentation that may</li> </ul>

	Page 53		Page 55
1		1	•
1	a relatively modest amount of paper, relatively modest	1	MR COHEN: I did. I managed to defeat the security system.
2	amount of documentation, then all those parties who have	2	CHAIRMAN: It's been reported to me with some concern, but
3	been given access generally to the documentation will	3	all matters have been settled, and welcome.
4	then be emailed with the additional documentation soon	4	MR COHEN: I promise to behave properly next time.
5	to be paginated and so forth.	5	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. Unless you have any other
6	If the documentation is such that it is not sensibly	6	matters that you wish to mention, I think that probably
7	to be emailed, it will be put onto another USB stick or	7	concludes
8	DVD or some form of electronic form and then will be	8	CHAIRMAN: Ms Cabrelli, I hope somebody has come and spoke
9	sent to the parties in that form rather than by way of	9	to you. You haven't been ignored, and no rudeness is
10	email. So we are up to date until Friday but there is	10	intended. You are representing one individual.
11	obviously an ongoing process which either the	11	MS CABRELLI: On the basis of a watching brief.
12	Secretariat or Lo & Lo will manage as we go forward.	12	CHAIRMAN: Yes, exactly. So we didn't think it was
13	CHAIRMAN: Mr Shieh, in simple terms, you are not going to	13	necessary to over-egg the pudding in this regard, if
14	have to go inquiring of Messrs Lo & Lo whether they have	14	I can put it that way.
15	received any more evidence; they will be supplying it to	15	MS CABRELLI: Thank you, sir.
16	you.	16	CHAIRMAN: You are happy with that?
17	MR SHIEH: Grateful for that indication. And in relation to	17	MS CABRELLI: Yes.
18	expert evidence, I understand those instructing me have	18	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
19	been in communication with the Commission's solicitors.	19	Anything further? Good. Thank you very much
20	I have now read and heard the Commission's position on	20	indeed.
21	expert evidence and those matters will continue to be	21	(11.25 am)
22	liaised between those instructing me and the	22	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
23	Commission's solicitors in relation to possible expert	23	on Monday 22 October 2018)
24	evidence. I say no more about that.	24	
25	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	25	
	Page 54		
1	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you. Nothing further to add.		
2	Sir, I don't know whether China Technology which to		
3	say anything?		
4	CHAIRMAN: Yes, Mr To.		
5	MR TO: The first thing is our client basically will		
6	co-operate fully with the Commission as well as Mr Ian		
7	Pennicott and also Messrs Lo & Lo. One thing, just one		
8	matter is that he has certain commitments in November		
9	that we will inform the Commission and also the		
10	Secretariat that there are certain dates he will not be		
11	available because he has already made prior commitments		
12	on those dates. We will try to liaise with the		
13	Commission to avoid any possible delay whatsoever.		
14	CHAIRMAN: My understanding is that China Technology is		
15	going to be called very early on, and so hopefully he		
16	won't have a problem in that regard.		
17	MR TO: Thank you very much.		
18	CHAIRMAN: Anything further?		
19	MR TO: Nothing else.		
20	MR PENNICOTT: We know Fang Sheung aren't here, so that		
21	leaves Intrafor.		
22	MR COHEN: Sir, good morning. All of my queries have been		
23	resolved already, so thank you very much.		
24	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. I believe you got a bit stuck this		
24	Children and the state of the s		