Tuesday, 13 November 2018 1 (10.02 am) 3 (10.02 am) 3 (10.02 am) 4 (10.02 am) 4 (10.02 am) 5 (10.02 am) 6 (10.02 am) 7 (10.02 am) 8 (Page 1		D ₀ ~~ 2
2 gea characte to ask what they wish. Thank you very much for coming to give evidence to the Commission this morning. 3 MR PENNICOTT: Sit, good morning. Good morning, Professor. 4 The read where the specified of the Commission this morning. 5 going to take him in-chief. 5 MR WILKEN. Mr Shieh is going to take him. 6 MR WILKEN. Mr Shieh is going to take him. 6 MR WILKEN. Mr Shieh is going to take him. 7 MR PENNICOTT: Very good. 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 9 MR SHIEH: Good morning. Mr Chairman and Commissioner. 10 Good morning. Mr Law. Do you have in front of you a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or a north? 11 an orth? 12 an orth? 13 a recording system and it has to pick up words that you a seed out rather than just nodding, because we have to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have a speak. Soyou have that card in front of you; correer? 14 WITNESS. (Via interpreter) Yes. 15 a recording system and it has to pick up words that you have to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have a see that. Mr Law? Is it on the sereen in front of you; correer? 16 (All answers given ivia simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 17 Expending the depth of the Cornel of the China Technology; is that correct? 18 A Yes, Isse it. 19 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 10 Q. Then in February 2007, you worked, as I understand it, for a short period for China Technology; is that correct? 12 Q. Then in February 2007, you worked, as I understand it, for a short period for China Technology; is that correct? 19 A. Correct. 10 Q. Then in February 2007, you worked, as I understand it, for a short period that I'm interested in is September 2015. The reason for that is that you have been allegedly identified as appearing in some papears to be a signature on top of your name? 10 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 11 Q. Do you confirm that th		•		Page 3
3 MR PENNICOTT. Sir, good morning, Good morning, Professor, 6 MR WH.K.Fix. Mr Shich is going to take him. 5 going to take him in-chief. 6 MR WH.K.Fix. Mr Shich is going to take him. 6 MR PENNICOTT. Very good. 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 9 MR SHIEH. Good morning, Mr Chairman and Commissioner. 10 Good morning, Mr Law. Do you have in front of you a an oath? 11 a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or an oath? 12 an oath? 13 Mr Law, when you provide an answer, I would ask you to speak not rather than just nodding, because we have a recording system and it has to pick up words that you speak. So you have that card in front of you. 15 a recording system and it has to pick up words that you see you work that eard in front of you. 16 speak. So you have that card in front of you. 17 WITINESS: (Vin interpreter) [Vin interpreter] [-		
The next witness is Mr Law. I think Mr Wilken is going to take him in-chief. MR WILKEN. M Shieh is going to take him. MR WILKEN. M Shieh is going to take him. MR WILKEN. M Shieh is going to take him. MR WILKEN. M Shieh is going to take him. MR SHIEH. Good morning, Mr Chairman and Commissioner. Good morning, Mr Law. Do you bave in front of you a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or an oath? A recording system and it has to pick up words that you speak. So you have that card in front of you, correct? WINTNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. MR SHIEH. Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? A Yes, I see it. Page 2 A Correct. Q Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you seey your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A Yes, this is my signature. WR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seaded where you are cycles in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A Correct. Q Do you put forward this witness statement as your equestions. The Commission of Inquiry? A Yes, this is my signature. WR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seaded where you are questions. The Commission of Inquiry? A Yes, this is my signature. Page 2 A Yes, this is my signature. WR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seaded where you are questions. The Commission of Inquiry? A Yes, this is my signature. WR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seaded where you are questions. The Commission of Inquiry? A Yes, this is my signature. WR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seaded where you are questions. The Commission of Inquiry? A Yes, this is my signature. WR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seaded where you are questions. The Commission of Inquiry? A Yes, this is my signature. WR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. WITNESS: Understood. WR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when hey ask you q		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
5 going to take him in-chief. 6 MR WILKEN: Mr Shich is going to take him. 7 MR PENNICOTT: Very good. 8 CHAIRMAN: thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN: thank you. 9 MR SHIFFI. Good morning, Mr Chairman and Commissioner. 10 Good morning, Mr Law. Do you have in front of you a an early can can cut? 11 a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or an oarly. 12 Mr Law, when you provide an answer, I would ask you to a recording system and it has to pick up words that you are reproduced by the to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have a recording system and it has to pick up words that you speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 14 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 20 except where otherwise specified) 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIFII 4 (2) A. Yes, I see it. 20 A yes, I see it. 21 Page 2 22 MR SHIEFI. M Law, could out run to bundle C34 and look at page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? 1st not the screen in front of you? 24 a year to be a signature on top of your name? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 24 A Correct. 27 A. Yes, I see it. 25 Page 4 26 A. Yes, I see it. 26 A. Yes, I see it. 27 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 3 A. Yes, I see it. 38 Page 25783. Do you see your amam and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? 4 questions. The Commission of Inquiry? 4 questions. The Commission of Inquiry? 4 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and receivance in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 4 A. Yes, I see it. 39 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your of present when they ask you questions. 2 you one questions by way of rounding-up and receivance in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 4 A. West correct. 4 A. West contraction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you were? 4 A. West page 2 you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 2 you were?				
6 MR WILKEN. M Shich is going to take him. 7 MR PENNICOTT: Very good. 8 MR PENNICOTT: Very good. 9 MR SHIEH! Good morning. Mr. Chairman and Commissioner. 10 Good morning. Mr. Chairman and Commissioner. 11 a a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or 12 an ooth? 13 Mr. Law, when you provide an answer, I would ask you 14 to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have 15 a recording system and it has to pick up words that you 16 speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 17 WITNESS. (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KELING Guiffirmed in Puni) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination in-chief by MR SHIFH 22 MR SHIFIH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A Yes, I see it. Page 2 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what a papears to be a signalture on top of your name? 4 A. Yes, I see it. Page 2 1 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 4 A. Yes, this is my signature. 5 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your equications. The commissioners will also ask you a questions by way of rounding-up and reexamination. 15 WR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are a questions. The Commissioners will also ask you a questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you one questions by way of rounding-up and reexamination. 19 WITNESS: Understood. 19 WR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 20 Q. Con or the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 21 Q. Can of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 22 Q. MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. Ves, I see it. 24 Q. Then, at a precis				_
7 SCL1112 project site. My understanding is as follows. 8 CHARMAN: Thank you. 9 MR SHIEH: Good morning, Mr Law, Do you have in front of you an oath? 10 a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or an oath? 11 a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or an oath? 12 an oath? 13 Mr Law, when you provide an answer, I would ask you to speak out rather than just modding, because we have a recording system and it has to pick up words that you it to speak out rather than just modding, because we have a recording system and it has to pick up words that you it to speak with the fact of in front of you, correct? 16 Speak. So you have that card in front of you, correct? 17 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punit) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter coverpt where otherwise specified) 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH! 22 MR SHIEH! Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at page 25780. The English version is af 25782. Do you see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 26 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 27 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 21 A. Yes, Correct. 22 MR SHIEH! Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are discessed where you are in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 29 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 20 Examination by MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 21 A. Yes, I see: (A. My duties were — I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was on, I was responsible for doing the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rig				
Firstly, on 20 March 2015, you were employed by 9 MR SHIEH: Good morning, Mr Chairman and Commissioner.				•
9 MR SHIEH: Good morning, Mr Law. Do you have in front of you a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or an oath? 10 a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or an oath? 11 A. Correct. 12 an oath? 13 Mr Law, when you provide an answer, I would ask you to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have 15 a recording system and it has to pick up words that you speak. So you have that card in front of you, correct? 16 WITNESS: (Vis interpreter) Yes. 17 (In a large speak) So you have that card in front of you, correct? 18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 24 A. Correct. 25 A. Correct. 26 Q. Then in February 2007, you worked, as 1 understand it, for a short period for China Technology; is that correct? 27 (O. Chay. Now, the period that I'm interested in is September 2015. The reason for that is that you have been allegedly identified as appearing in some behotographs, and we'll look at those photographs in a moment. 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 (O. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 29 (O. Then in a precise date I'm unsure of, in September 2015, when down skell and it, for a short period for China Technology; is that correct? 29 (O. Chay. Now, the period that I'm interested in is September 2015. The reason for that is that you have been allegedly identified as appearing in some behotographs, and we'll look at those photographs in a moment. 29 (D. Then in the broad activation and to an analyst and the photographs in a moment. 20 (D. O. you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 21 (D. The in it period for China Technology; is that correct? 22 (D. Say. Now, the period that I'm interested in is September 2015, when you were we'll a photographs, an				
10 Good morning, Mr Law. Do you have in front of you a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or 12 an oath? 11 a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or 12 an oath? 12 an oath? 13 Mr Law, when you provide an answer, I would ask you 14 to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have 15 a recording system and it has to pick up words that you 16 speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 16 Speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 17 WITINESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 18 Correct. 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 20 except where otherwise specified) 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 21 Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 2582. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 24 A. Correct. 25 A. Correct. 26 A. Cyrect. 27 Correct. 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 Co. Q. Oxan you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 29 Co. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 29 Co. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 29 Co. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 29 Co. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 29 Co. Can you toffirm that that is your signature? 20 Co. Can you toffirm that that is your signature? 20 Co. Can you toffirm that that is your signature? 20 Co. Can you toffirm that that is your signature? 20 Co. Can you toffirm that that is your signature? 21 Co. Can you toffirm that that is your signature? 22 Co. Can you forward this witness statement as your 23 Co. Can you offirm that that is your signature? 24 Co. Can you offirm that that is your signature? 25 Co. Can you forward this witness statement as your 26 Co. Can you forward this witness statement as your 27 Co. Can you forward this witness statement as your 28 Co. Can you forward this witness statement as your 29 Co. Can you forward this w		-		
11 a sheet containing words for taking an affirmation or an oath? 12 an oath? 13 Mr Law, when you provide an answer, I would ask you to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have a recording system and it has to pick up words that you speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 17 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 20 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 21 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 21 September 2015. The reason for that is that you have been allegedly identified as appearing in some photographs in a moment. 22 A. Yes, I see it. 23 Page 2 4 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 24 A. Correct. 25 Day ou then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what 3 papears to be a signature on top of your name? 5 A. Yes, I see it. 4 A. Yes, I see it. 5 Q. Do you to confirm that that is your signature? 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 10 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 12 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will also ask you questions. 13 Great a precise date I'm unsure of, in September 2015, you move do a company called Rankine, 14 R-A-N-K-I-N-E, or Wai Kei, what cis, is that correct? 15 A. Correct. 26 O. Do you to forward this witness statement as your week and the forward provided for the formation of this commission of Inquiry? 10 A.				• •
12				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13 Mr Law, when you provide an answer, I would ask you 14 to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have 15 a recording system and it has to pick up works that you 16 speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 17 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KELNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination in-chief by MR SHIEH 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. Page 2 A. Yes, I see it. Page 2 A. Correct. Page 2 A. Correct. Page 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Page 4 A. Yes, I see it. Page 5 A. Yes, I see it. Page 6 A. Yes, I see it. Page 7 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are questions. The Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you guestions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. A. Yes, I see it. 20 (D. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing ablue helmet; do you see that? 21 (S. Correct. 22 (D. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs. They mark that it is your signature? A. Yes, is see it. Correct. Correct. A. My duties were — I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up th				
14 to speak out rather than just nodding, because we have 15 a recording system and it has to pick up words that you 16 speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 17 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The finglish version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. Page 2 10 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. Okay. Now, the period that I'm interested in is 12 September 2015. The reason for that is that you have 13 been allegedly identified as appearing in some 14 photographs, and we'll look at those photographs in 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. Then in February 2007, you worked, as I understand it, 16 for a short period for China Technology; is that 18 correct? 19 A. Correct. 20 Q. Okay. Now, the period that I'm interested in is 21 September 2015. The reason for that is that you have 22 been allegedly identified as appearing in some 23 photographs, and we'll look at those photographs in a moment. 24 amoment. 25 Essentially, Mr Law, that is the sole reason why you 26 A. Correct. 27 Page 2 28 A. Ves, I see it. 29 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 30 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 40 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what apapears to be a signature on top of your name? 41 A. Yes, I see it. 41 A. Yes, I see it. 42 Correct. 43 Page 2 44 The English is 25783. Do you see your name? 45 A. Yes, I see it. 45 A. Yes, I see it. 46 A. Yes, I see it. 47 Correct. 48 A. Yes, I see it. 48 A. Yes, I see it. 49 C. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 40 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 40 A. Yes, Correct. 41 A. Yes, Correct. 42 A. Yes, I see it. 43 A. Yes I see it. 44 A. Yes, I see it. 45 A. Yes, I see it. 46 A.				
15 a recording system and it has to pick up words that you for speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 16 Speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 17 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. Page 2 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 2 Q. O. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what 3 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 5 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 6 A. Yes, Itsis is my signature. 9 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 10 A. Yes, correct. 11 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask yo some questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some upations. The Commissioners will also ask you questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. 16 You some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. 17 Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 18 A. Correct. 29 Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. 19 Mr SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent whe				
16 speak. So you have that card in front of you; correct? 17 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHIR KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 26 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 27 A. Correct. 28 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 29 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 20 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 21 Q. That is a first witness statement avour 22 A. Yes, I see it. 23 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 24 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 26 A. Yes, I see it. 27 Page 4 28 A. Yes, this is my signature. 39 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 40 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 41 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 41 A. Yes, correct. 42 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 4 A. Yes, correct. 4 A. Bille. 4 A. Pille. Was Nille. Was you questions by way of rounding-up and questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions by way of rounding-up and questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions by way of rounding-up and questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 4 A spelmber 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 4 A. Spelmber 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph 5 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph 5 A. Correct. 5 Q. We can see, I think, one worker				
17 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Yes. 18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 2 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 25 A. Yes, I see it. 21 Page 2 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 2 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 25 A. Yes, I see it. 23 A. Correct. 24 To Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 25 A. Ocrect. 26 A. Ocrect. 27 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 29 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 29 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 29 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 20 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 20 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 21 duties? 20 De you put forward this witness statement as your 22 duties. 21 Q. Do you way of rounding-up and 23 because other lawyers in this Inquiry will also ask you 30 some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 4 questions if they want to, and after all that I murity. 20 Mr SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are 4 because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some 4 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 4 questions if they want to, and after all that I murity. 21 Mr SHIEH: Mr Law, that is the sole reason why you are 4 working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your 4 working for Wai Kei, what was your job? W			-	
18 MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti) 19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter) 20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. Page 2 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 2 A. Correct. 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what 5 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 4 A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 4 A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you unforward this witness statement as your ovidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 10 A. Yes, correct. 11 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions. The Commissioners will also ask you greesion by may of rounding-up and represent when they ask you questions. 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 A. Correct. 25 September 2015. The reason for that is that you have been allegedly identified as appearing in some photographs in a moment. 26 Essentially, Mr Law, that is the sole reason why you work of duties? 27 Come to those photographs a moment. 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 A. Correct. 30 First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your are identified as appearing in some photographs in a moment. 3 First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what colour flow and the working for Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? 4 A. My duties were —I was a general labourer. That means of cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman and signalman. 4 Q. Englist. So, in September 2015, when you switched from Kriek Co				
19 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 20 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 21 (20 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 22 (20 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except when they sak you questions it persent when they ask you gome questions in the sure of the sure in Infort of you? (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except specified) (21 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except specified) (22 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except specified) (23 (All answers given via simultaneous interprete except specified) (24 (All answers given via simultaneous interprete except specified) (25 (All answers given via simultaneous interprete except specified) (26 (All answers given via simultaneous interprete except specified) (26 (All answers given via simultaneous interprete except specified) (27 (All answers given via site should you have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will except the sole reason why you (All answers given via site should be a moment. (26 (All answers given via site should be a moment. (27 (All answers) for late is that you have been brought along to this that you have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will except the sole reason why you (All answers) for Wai Kei, what us your job? What were your owners working or Wai Kei, what us your job? What were your duties? (All answers) for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? (All answers) for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? (All answers) for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? (All answers) for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. (All answers) for Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? (All answers) for Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? (Al				
20 except where otherwise specified) 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH: 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 23 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 26 Page 2 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 2 Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 3 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? 4 A. Yes, I see it. 2 Do you confirm that that is your signature? 5 A. Yes, this is my signature. 6 A. Yes, Is se it. 2 O. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. 2 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 2 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 3 A. (In English) Hello. 4 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask	_			
21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 26 Page 2 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what 5 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 6 A. Yes, I see it. 7 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 16 you some questions by way of rounding-up and 17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they ask you questions. 20 MR PENNICOTT 21 MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 September 2015. The reason for that is that you have been allegedly identified as appearing in some 24 a moment. 25 Essentially, Mr Law, that is the sole reason why you 26 Page 4 27 have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will acome to those photographs in a moment. 26 Essentially, Mr Law, that is the sole reason why you 27 evoid the sole reason why you 28 Page 4 29 A. Way duties were I was a general labourer. That means on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 29 So I was also the banksman and signalman. 20 Page 4 21 Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are death of the photographs. They are in DI/601, 602 and 603. 29 Page 4 20 Page 4 20 Page 5 21 Page 6 22 Page 6 23 Page 7 24 A. Way duties were I was a general labourer. That means on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 29 So I was also the banksman and signalman. 20 Page 16 Page 17 Page 18 Page 19 Page 19 Page 19 Page 19 P		•		
22 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, could you turn to bundle C34 and look at 23 page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you 24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 25 Essentially, Mr Law, that is the sole reason why you 26 Page 2 Page 4 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what 5 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 4 A. Yes, I see it. 2 Come to those photographs in a moment. 3 First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your 4 duties? 4 A. Yes, this is my signature. 4 A. Yes, this is my signature. 5 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 5 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 4 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 5 you guestions. The Commissioners will also ask you 4 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 6 you some questions by way of rounding-up and 7 re-examination. 4 REMITTEE. And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they 6 represent when they ask you questions. 1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 4 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 5 MR PENNICOTT 5 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR Law, good morning. 2 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR Law, good morning. 2 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR Law, good morning. 2 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR Law, good morning. 2 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR Law, good morning. 2 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR Law, good morning. 2 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR Law, good morning. 2 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR PENNICOTT 6 MR PENNICOTT 7 MR PE				
page 25780. The English version is at 25782. Do you see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? A Yes, I see it. Page 2 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are appears on the lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you may some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. A Yes, Insee it. Page 2 Page 4 have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. We, this is my signature. A. Wes, this is my signature. A Yes, this is my signature. A Yes, this is my signature. B A Yes, this is my signature. C Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are appears to the lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you go med questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. MR YENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. A. Yes, I see it. Dead The				
24 see that, Mr Law? Is it on the screen in front of you? 25 A. Yes, I see it. Page 2 Page 4 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? 6 A. Yes, I see it. 7 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH; Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions. The Commissioners will also ask you free-examination. 15 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. 16 WITNESS: Understood. 17 Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? 16 WITNESS: Understood. 17 Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH; And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 20 MR SHIEH; And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask				
Page 2 Page 2 Page 4 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what 5 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 6 A. Yes, I see it. 6 A. Yes, this is my signature. 7 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 10 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, 14 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 16 fuestions. The Commissioners will also ask you 17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 20 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 25 Essentially, Mr Law, that is the sole reason why you come to those photographs in a moment. 26 have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will also ask you work the will along to this Inquiry, but we will acome to those photographs in a moment. 26 come to those photographs in a moment. 27 have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will along to this Inquiry, but we will acome to those photographs in a moment. 28 have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will along to this Inquiry, but we will along to the sole the sole the sole those photographs in a moment. 3 First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what calver. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 29 Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to				
Page 2 1 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what 5 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 6 A. Yes, I see it. 7 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 10 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 duestions. The Commissioners will also ask you 13 duestions. The Commissioners will also ask you 14 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 16 wiTNESS: Understood. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they 19 The Commissioners will also ask you questions by MR PENNICOTT 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 21 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 25 Carpet to those photographs in a moment. 26 come to those photographs in a moment. 27 come to those photographs in a moment. 28 A. First of all, in September 2015, when you were 29 working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 3 O. I was also the banksman and signalman. 4 Ves, correct. 4 A. Blue. 5 Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? 4 A. Blue. 5 Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in DI/601, 602 and 603. 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 4 A. Correct. 5 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 5 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission	25			
1				
2 Come to those photographs in a moment. 3 Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. 4 The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what 5 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 6 A. Yes, I see it. 7 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are 13 because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some 14 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 16 you some questions by way of rounding-up and 17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they 19 represent when they ask you questions. 20 Lim one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 25 come to those photographs in a moment. 3 First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? 4 A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. 11 Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you weithed from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? 14 A. Blue. 15 Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. 17 Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei, what was your job? A. Yes, tise it. 26 A. Correct. 27 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 28 A. Yes, tise it. 29 Q. I'm one of the counsel		Page 2		Page 4
3	1	-	1	_
The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. O. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. O. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. A. Wes, fi see it. Mo you take e I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from KæF Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? A. Correct. Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph Warring for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties? A. My duties? A. My duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the raiging operations. P. RæF Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated A September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei, what colour helmet or h		Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct?		have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will
5 appears to be a signature on top of your name? 6 A. Yes, I see it. 7 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 10 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are 13 because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some 14 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 16 you some questions by way of rounding-up and 17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they 19 represent when they ask you questions. 20 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 5 duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means 26 A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means 27 cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was 28 also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so 29 on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 29 No, I was also the banksman and signalman. 20 Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from 21 K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat 22 did you wear? 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask	2	Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct.	2	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment.
6 A. Yes, I see it. 7 Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 10 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 13 did you wear? 14 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and 16 WITNESS: Understood. 17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 20 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they RPENNICOTT 21 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 22 A. (In English) Hello. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 26 A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 26 A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 28 A. Hyes, I see it. 29 A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 29 On, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 20 A. Blue. 21 A. Blue. 22 Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from the ware in D1/601, 602 and 603. 23 A. Correct. 24 A. Blue. 25 Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. 26 A. Correct. 27 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 A. Yes, I see it. 20 A. Is i	2 3	Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct?A. Correct.Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese.	2 3	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were
7 Cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was 8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 10 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, 13 because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some 14 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 16 you some questions by way of rounding-up and 17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they 19 represent when they ask you questions. 20 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 21 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 26 cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was 28 also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so 3 on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 3 labo the banksman and signalman. 40 R. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 41 A. Blue. 42 A. Blue. 43 A. Blue. 44 September 2015, when you switched from 45 A. Blue. 45 Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from 46 A. Blue. 47 A. Blue. 48 A. September 2015, when you switched from 48 A. Blue. 49 A. Blue. 40 A. Bue. 40 A. Bue. 40 A. Was responsible for doing the debris and refuse on, I was responsible for doing the debris and refuse on, I was responsible for doing the debris and refuse on, I was responsi	2 3 4	Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct?A. Correct.Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese.The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what	2 3 4	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your
8 A. Yes, this is my signature. 9 Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 10 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, 13 because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some 14 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 16 you some questions by way of rounding-up and 17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they 19 represent when they ask you questions. 20 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 21 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 22 A. (In English) Hello. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 26 also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so 29 on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 20 So I was also the banksman and signalman. 21 Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from 24 K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? 24 A. Blue. 25 Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. 26 A. Correct. 27 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask	2 3 4 5	Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct?A. Correct.Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese.The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name?	2 3 4 5	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties?
9 On, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 10 evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some 14 questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 15 questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and 16 WITNESS: Understood. 17 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 20 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 29 on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. 20 I was also the banksman and signalman. 21 Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from 21 L&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? 22 A. Blue. 23 A. Wes, I see it. 24 Q. Is it you?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. 	2 3 4 5 6	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means
evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. MITNESS: Understood. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. A. Yes, correct. 10 So I was also the banksman and signalman. 11 Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from the did you wear? 12 K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? 13 did you wear? 14 A. Blue. 15 Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. 17 Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 18 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 20 represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT 21 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 22 wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I see it. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was
11 A. Yes, correct. 12 MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. 13 WITNESS: Understood. 14 WITNESS: Understood. 15 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 16 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 17 MR Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated and they are working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 21 A. (In English) Hello. 22 A. (In English) Hello. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so
because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT Examination by MR PENNICOTT MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. A. (In English) Hello. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? A. Correct. Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations.
questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. A. (In English) Hello. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman.
questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. 17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 20 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 25 Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. 16 Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 18 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 26 A. Correct. 27 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 Q. Is it you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from
you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated Mr SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT MR Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? A. Correct. Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask Q. Is it you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat
17 re-examination. 18 WITNESS: Understood. 19 MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 20 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 26 Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 27 A September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 28 A. Correct. 29 A. Correct. 20 We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph 20 wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 21 A. Yes, I see it. 22 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear?
WITNESS: Understood. 18	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue.
MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. A. (In English) Hello. Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 19 have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I see it. 24 Q. Is it you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They
20 represent when they ask you questions. 21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph 22 wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I see it. 24 Q. Is it you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603.
21 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 22 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 26 Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph 27 wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? 28 A. Yes, I see it. 29 Q. Is it you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated
 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. A. (In English) Hello. Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask Wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you
 23 A. (In English) Hello. 24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 23 A. Yes, I see it. 24 Q. Is it you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? A. Correct.
24 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission. I get to ask 24 Q. Is it you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? A. Correct. Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? A. Correct. Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that?
you some questions first. As Mr Shieh has explained, if 25 A. I cannot confirm whether it's me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese. The English is 25783. Do you see your name and what appears to be a signature on top of your name? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Do you confirm that that is your signature? A. Yes, this is my signature. Q. Do you put forward this witness statement as your evidence in front of this Commission of Inquiry? A. Yes, correct. MR SHIEH: Mr Law, please continue, be seated where you are, because other lawyers in this Inquiry will ask you some questions. The Commissioners will also ask you questions if they want to, and after all that I may ask you some questions by way of rounding-up and re-examination. WITNESS: Understood. MR SHIEH: And the lawyers will introduce to you whom they represent when they ask you questions. Examination by MR PENNICOTT MR PENNICOTT: Mr Law, good morning. A. (In English) Hello. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? A. Correct. Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. That is a first witness statement by you; correct? A. Correct. Q. Can you then turn to page 25781. That's the Chinese.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have been brought along to this Inquiry, but we will come to those photographs in a moment. First of all, in September 2015, when you were working for Wai Kei, what was your job? What were your duties? A. My duties were I was a general labourer. That means cleaning up the debris and refuse on site, and I was also the banksman. And then for the materials, and so on, I was responsible for doing the rigging operations. So I was also the banksman and signalman. Q. Right. So, in September 2015, when you switched from K&F Construction to Wai Kei, what colour helmet or hat did you wear? A. Blue. Q. Right. So could we then look at the photographs. They are in D1/601, 602 and 603. Mr Law, we are looking now at D601. It's dated 4 September 2015. Now, by 4 September 2015, would you have been working for Wai Kei or Rankine? A. Correct. Q. We can see, I think, one worker in this photograph wearing a blue helmet; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is it you?

	Page 5		Page 7
1	Q. Right. So it might be you and it might not be you?	1	A. Correct.
2	A. Correct.	2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can we have this one a little bit
3	Q. All right. Then, just looking at the photograph, and	3	bigger? Thank you.
4	given the fact that you do accept that you were working	4	Thank you.
5	on the site, can you tell what the worker with the blue	5	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I have no other questions about that
6	helmet and the worker with the red helmet on his right	6	photograph, so I'm going to move on to the last one,
7	are actually doing?	7	which is 604, please.
8	A. I cannot confirm what they were doing from this photo.	8	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can I ask one question about it? I notice
9	Q. Okay.	9	that there is a cutting machine just very close to where
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can we have it bigger again, please	10	the man in the blue helmet is crouched. Did you ever
11	Thank you.	11	involve yourself in using that cutting machine, or one
12	MR PENNICOTT: Does that help, Mr Law?	12	like it?
13	A. I still cannot confirm what they are doing.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. All right. We can see that they are obviously the	14	CHAIRMAN: What did you do with it?
15	worker with the blue helmet is crouching down on a piece	15	A. The foreman told us that we needed to create openings at
16	of plywood, it looks like, and the worker with the red	16	certain locations and we had to use this machine.
17	helmet is probably standing on the rebar.	17	CHAIRMAN: Would that involve cutting rebars?
18	Mr Law, do you have any recollection of carrying out	18	A. Yes.
19	work on the rebar as part of your responsibilities in	19	CHAIRMAN: Would it ever involve cutting the end of a rebar
20	September 2015?	20	that was silver in colour and had little threads going
21	A. We would only have carried out the cleaning of the	21	round and round?
22	debris underneath the rebars.	22	A. I know what you are talking about, but the threaded ends
23	Q. Right. So that was, so far as you're concerned, so far	23	are not involved.
24	as the rebar is concerned, your only function was	24	CHAIRMAN: Okay.
25	cleaning debris and suchlike?	25	MR PENNICOTT: Sorry, could we just go back to 601 for
	Page 6		Page 8
1	Page 6 A. Correct.	1	Page 8 a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604,
1 2		1 2	
	A. Correct.		a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604,
2	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit	2	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please.
2 3	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like	2 3	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the
2 3 4	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right?	2 3 4	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being
2 3 4 5	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure.	2 3 4 5	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs.
2 3 4 5 6	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to	2 3 4 5 6	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there,
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next photograph, 602, please. I'm sorry, 603. There we are. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16 17	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, can we have that a little bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next photograph, 602, please. I'm sorry, 603. There we are. Quite similar to the previous photograph, Mr Law, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16 17 18	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, can we have that a little bit bigger?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next photograph, 602, please. I'm sorry, 603. There we are. Quite similar to the previous photograph, Mr Law, but this time somebody else in a red helmet has joined;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16 17 18	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, can we have that a little bit bigger? MR PENNICOTT: just to the right of the right edge of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next photograph, 602, please. I'm sorry, 603. There we are. Quite similar to the previous photograph, Mr Law, but this time somebody else in a red helmet has joined; do you see that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, can we have that a little bit bigger? MR PENNICOTT: just to the right of the right edge of the cutter, what look to be a couple of vertical rebar; do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next photograph, 602, please. I'm sorry, 603. There we are. Quite similar to the previous photograph, Mr Law, but this time somebody else in a red helmet has joined; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, can we have that a little bit bigger? MR PENNICOTT: just to the right of the right edge of the cutter, what look to be a couple of vertical rebar; do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next photograph, 602, please. I'm sorry, 603. There we are. Quite similar to the previous photograph, Mr Law, but this time somebody else in a red helmet has joined; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. I assume again, it is suggested that you are the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, can we have that a little bit bigger? MR PENNICOTT: just to the right of the right edge of the cutter, what look to be a couple of vertical rebar; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next photograph, 602, please. I'm sorry, 603. There we are. Quite similar to the previous photograph, Mr Law, but this time somebody else in a red helmet has joined; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. I assume again, it is suggested that you are the person in the blue helmet, and I assume your answer is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, can we have that a little bit bigger? MR PENNICOTT: just to the right of the right edge of the cutter, what look to be a couple of vertical rebar; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that. Q. And it's that type of rebar that you say might be cut,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me just a little bit cleaning debris. Presumably heavy items of debris like steel chunks would fall right through; is that right? A. There's this chance. CHAIRMAN: So what were you looking for? Bits of paper? I'm just not sure. MR PENNICOTT: What type of debris were you seeking to identify and clear away, Mr Law? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. A. You know, chips of wood, planks, or, you know, the tie wires for bar bending, the leftover wires. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Did you ever come across any piece o cut threaded rebar? A. When I cleaned the site, I never saw them. Q. Okay. Perhaps we could have a look at the next photograph, 602, please. I'm sorry, 603. There we are. Quite similar to the previous photograph, Mr Law, but this time somebody else in a red helmet has joined; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. I assume again, it is suggested that you are the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 f 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a moment. Could you blow up 601. Okay. And then 604, please. This is the one where we get a better view of the cutter, it would appear. The Chairman has asked you a series of questions about the cutter that was spotted, not so easily but certainly spotted and capable of being spotted, in the previous photographs. Now we've identified clearly the cutter there, Mr Law, can you think of any reason why the cutter would be used in this location? A. From this photograph, it could be possible that the vertical rebars were higher than the concrete level, so the rebars had to be cut so that they would not exceed the concrete level. So this was one possible reason. Q. Right. We can see on the right-hand side of the photograph COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, can we have that a little bit bigger? MR PENNICOTT: just to the right of the right edge of the cutter, what look to be a couple of vertical rebar; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that.

	Page 9		Page 11
1	Q. Yes, I am.	1	MR SO: Sir, some very short questions from China
2	A. Yes, they were possibly cut.	2	Technology.
3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Mr Law, it looks as though they have		Mr Law, good morning. I represent China Technology.
4	just been cut, because they are silver in colour as	4	Mr Law, can you tell us your employment status now?
5	opposed to rusty in colour sorry, that's not silver	5	A. I am now working in Sung Wong Toi. I work under
6	to be mistaken with the threaded bar silver, but that's	6	a sub-contractor of Leighton.
7	silver it looks as though those bars on the right	7	Q. Right. Regarding Rankine or Wai Kei that you just
8	have recently been cut. It's difficult to be sure from	8	mentioned to Mr Ian Pennicott just now, do you know the
9	this photograph.	9	role of Rankine or Wai Kei under this SCL1112 contract?
10	Does it look to you as though those vertical bars	10	A. I'm not sure.
11	have recently been cut?	11	Q. Can I bring you to your witness statement, paragraph 3.
12	A. From the photograph, they have probably not been cut.	12	There you said you were a general labourer at the time
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.	13	and worked under the supervision and instruction of
14	MR PENNICOTT: Right. If we just go, finally, back to 601	14	Leighton's foreman. As I understand about general
15	again. If you could blow it up again, please.	15	labourer, so you are basically responsible for any jobs
16	Can you identify on 601, Mr Law, any vertical rebar	16	which are assigned to you by Leighton's foreman;
17	that looks as though it may have recently been cut?	17	correct?
18	A. For the silvery or compared with the silvery parts or	18	A. Correct.
19	compared with the silvery parts, they probably have been	19	Q. Would this include screwing rebars into the couplers?
20	cut.	20	A. No. This is not included.
21	Q. One can see it may of course be just the light, one's	21	Q. So is it your evidence that you have never screwed any
22	speculating a bit, I accept, but is it possible is	22	threaded rebars into the couplers?
23	that what you're saying, it's possible that the ones,	23	A. Correct.
24	three that look as though they have a different colour	24	Q. You told us you were under the supervision and
25	at the top than the others, it's possible, no higher	25	instruction of Leighton's foreman. Can you still recall
23	<u> </u>	23	
	Page 10		Page 12
1	than that, that they may have been cut?	1	which Leighton foreman instructed or supervised you?
2	A. Yes, probably.	2	A. It was Mr Ip.
3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can we blow it up again? Yes,	3	Q. Do you mean Mr Andy Ip or do you mean Mr Chan Chi Ip?
4	there. That's it. Thank you.	4	A. Chan Chi Ip.
5	MR PENNICOTT: Do you recall yourself you mentioned that	5	MR SO: Thank you. I have no further questions.
6	you did some cutting of rebar for the purposes, I think,	6	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
7	of creating openings is what you said do you recall	7	MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR, sir. Thank you.
8	yourself personally using the cutter, Mr Law, to cut	8	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
9	vertical rebar such as we see here?	9	Cross-examination by MR KHAW
10	A. Yes, I did.	10	MR KHAW: Just a few questions, Mr Chairman.
11	Q. Can I go back to your answer that I've just mentioned,	11	I am acting for the government.
12	creating openings by cutting rebar. What do you mean by	12	If you may take a look at the photograph shown at D2
13	that? What sort of openings are you referring to?	13	[sic], page 603. You could not be sure whether the one
14	A. We created some sort of a shaft.	14	wearing a blue hat is you or not; right?
15	Q. Is that the totality of the answer, "We created some	15	A. Correct.
16	sort of shaft"? Okay.	16	Q. Can you recognise the other people shown in this picture
17	For what purpose?	17	wearing red hats; do you recognise them?
18	A. It was a shaft for electrical wires or for draining	18	A. I cannot recognise them. There were a lot of people
19	purposes.	19	wearing red helmets at that time. Some workers would
20	Q. All right. And you would only do that under the	20	work at this spot, some at others, so I could not
21	instructions of Leighton; is that right?	21	recognise these people with red helmets.
22	A. Correct. Absolutely.	22	Q. Do you have any idea as to whom they were working for,
23	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, Mr Law. Others may o		those wearing red hats, whom they were working for; any
24	may not have questions.	24	idea?
25	Cross-examination by MR SO	25	A. I don't know.

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

Yes.

hollow-shaped materials. Are they couplers?

19 Q. Just the two bars under the red hat, vertical bars.

20 A. Just looking at that, it should be the cap of screws.

Q. If we can move down a little bit, if we can look at some

If you look at the steel bar that the person on the

of the vertical steel bars underneath the horizontal

steel bars. If we can blow up a little bit further.

A. In which location?

Page 13 Page 15 Q. If we can just let this picture stay on the screen and 1 right was holding -- can you see that? 2 then we can try to find another picture, D1/227, and try 2 A. Yes, I see that. 3 to put them side by side. Yes. 3 Q. Is that also a coupler? 4 Can you see the words or markings in red which state 4 A. Yes, this should be the coupler rebar. "plus 1.02"; can you see that? First of all, on 603. 5 5 Q. Yes. So it's a threaded rebar on a coupler; right? 6 Can you see that? 6 A. Yes, correct. 7 A. Yes, I see that. 7 Q. If we can take a look at the vertical bar on top of this 8 Q. Then if we go to the next picture, D227 --8 horizontal bar held by this person on the right -- do COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, before we do, can I just see 9 you see that? -- you see a shiny silver surface on top; 10 the dates and times on both pictures? 10 can you see that? 11 MR KHAW: Yes. 603, that should be 4 September, 9:29 --11 A. Yes, I see it. 12 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And 9:05. Q. Yes. Am I correct in saying that that is also 12 13 MR KHAW: -- and then the other one is 9:05, yes. 13 a threaded rebar from a coupler? 14 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. 14 A. It shouldn't be. 15 MR KHAW: First of all, can I just confirm with you -- first 15 Q. So it's a different kind of rebar? 16 of all, we see the words "plus 1.02" -- can you tell us 16 A. Correct. 17 what are the words stated next to "02"? 17 Q. Even though we can see some threading surrounding this 18 A. I can't see. 18 particular bar? How can you tell that this does not 19 Q. Then if we can take a look at the other picture, 227, 19 belong to a threaded rebar from a coupler? 20 would you agree that it apparently shows the same area? 20 A. Because those spots I often see rebars without threaded 21 A. It should be the same area. 21 ends, vertical ones. 22 Q. Do you have any recollection as to whether you ever 22 Q. Right. Then if I can take you to have a look at D1/228. 23 worked in that area on the site? 23 Just from this picture, do you recognise who this 24 A. I probably have worked in this area. 24 worker is? 25 Q. What did you do in that particular area? 25 A. I can't recognise him. Looking at his clothing, he Page 14 Page 16 A. I performed rigging of materials, timber, and I also 1 1 shouldn't be a Rankine employee. 2 cleaned up the debris. 2 Q. So, looking at his clothing, do you have any idea as to 3 Q. How many workers -- we are talking about while you were 3 where this worker came from, whom he was working for? 4 working in that particular area -- how many workers were 4 A. I'm not sure. There were too many people. 5 wearing blue hats; can you remember? 5 Q. Maybe one more try. 232. Any rough idea as to whom 6 A. There were probably at least three to four. 6 they were working for, by looking at their clothing, 7 Q. And they were all working for Rankine or they were 7 their helmets, et cetera? 8 working for different companies? 8 A. Looking at clothing and helmet, I couldn't tell, but for 9 9 A. Those workers were probably working for Rankine. the action they were performing, screwing in bars, it's 10 Q. If we can take a look at 601 again. If we can blow up 10 most likely that they were the bar benders of 11 601 for the time being. Just blow up a little bit more. 11 Fang Sheung. 12 A bit more. Yes. 12 Q. Thank you. 13 Now, you can see the person on the right wearing the 13 Finally, if I can take you to have a look at your red hat, can you not? 14 14 paragraph 5 of the witness statement. You said: 15 A. Yes, I see that. 15 "While working on the project, I did not cut off or 16 Q. Now, just below his red hat, you can see two sort of shorten any threaded ends of rebars. I do not know of 16

any person who would have done so. I was also never

cut the threaded ends off rebars. I do not know of any

person who gave or received an instruction to cut the

Can I just ascertain from you whether you ever saw

any cut threaded rebars on the site? You saw any rebars

having been cut and placed on the site, the threaded --

A. Do you mean rebars or the threaded ends of rebars?

threaded ends off rebars."

instructed by Leighton's staff or any other person to

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 17 Page 19 Re-examination by MR SHIEH 1 Q. I'm sorry, I should have made myself clear. Have you 1 2 ever seen the threaded rebars of a coupler having been 2 MR SHIEH: Can the witness be shown D1/227. 3 cut and placed on the site? 3 Mr Law, there are two persons in this photograph. 4 A. (Chinese spoken). 4 Do you see them? 5 MR SHIEH: That's slightly confusing because "threaded 5 A. Yes, I see them. 6 rebars of a coupler" seems to mix up numerous concepts. 6 Q. You have been asked about this photo, and can I draw 7 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 7 your attention to the person who seems to be kneeling 8 MR SHIEH: There's a rebar, the end is threaded, and 8 down; do you see him? 9 a coupler is what we understand to be the cap, so 9 A. Yes, I see him. 10 it's all concepts rolled into one question. 10 Q. He is holding what appears to be a machine; do you see 11 MR KHAW: The threaded part of a rebar having been cut and that? 11 12 placed on the site? 12 A. Yes, I see that. 13 A. I haven't seen it. 13 Q. I thought we had established that it looks like 14 MR KHAW: Thank you. I have no further questions. 14 a cutter. Do you accept that? 15 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 15 A. Yes, I accept that. 16 Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS 16 Q. Can you tell us, to the best of your ability, looking at 17 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. Just a couple of questions, if 17 this photograph, what that person might be doing? 18 I may. 18 A. From that photo, he should be cutting a vertical bar 19 These photographs just shown, they indicate perhaps 19 that was higher than the concrete level. 20 that when you were working, other workmen from different 20 MR SHIEH: I have no further questions. Thank you very 21 companies were working in the area at the same time; is 21 much. 22 that right? 22 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 23 23 A. Correct. Thank you very much indeed, Mr Law. You have been 24 24 CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say that in September of great help to us. You can go now. Hopefully you 25 2015, for example, and thereafter, while you were still 25 won't need to be called back again. All right? Thank Page 20 Page 18 employed by Rankine, you might be working in this area 1 1 you for your assistance. 2 where they were laying steel bars, and very close to you 2 WITNESS: (In English) Thank you. 3 3 would be workers from China Technology? (The witness was released) 4 A. Correct. 4 MR SHIEH: Mr Chairman and Mr Commissioner, the next witnes 5 CHAIRMAN: You would be doing work given to you by Leighton 5 is Mr Ho Hiu Tung. staff; is that right? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 6 7 A. Yes, correct. 7 MR SHIEH: Good morning, Mr Ho. 8 CHAIRMAN: While the China Technology people would be doing 8 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning. 9 9 their own work? MR HO HIU TUNG (affirmed in Punti) 10 A. Yes, correct. 10 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter CHAIRMAN: Were you ever instructed to actually assist China 11 11 except where otherwise specified) 12 12 Technology people? Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 13 13 A. Yes. MR SHIEH: Mr Ho, in this hearing, every word that is said 14 CHAIRMAN: So sometimes, depending on the instructions from 14 will be picked up by microphones, so could I ask you, 15 Leighton, you might be assisting China Technology for 15 when you give an answer, instead of nodding or giving part of the day? 16 16 a gesture, you speak up so that the microphone can pick 17 A. Yes, correct. 17 up what you are saying. Do you understand? 18 CHAIRMAN: But, as I understand it from what you've already 18 A. I understand. 19 said, you would never actually undertake the work of 19 Q. Can I ask you to look at bundle C34, page 25784. That's 20 20 screwing in rebars or anything like that; it would be the Chinese version. The English version is at 25786. 21 21 more general work, carrying and things like that? That is the first witness statement made by you; 22 correct? 22 A. Yes, correct. 23 CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much. 23 A. Correct. 24 MR SHIEH: Very short re-examination, Chairman. 24 Q. Can I then ask you to turn to page 25785. The English 25 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 25 version is 25787.

Page 24

Page 21

- 1 On that page, above your Chinese name, there is what
- 2 appears to be a signature; do you see that?
- 3 A. Yes, I see that.
- 4 Q. That is your signature; correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Can I ask you to look at paragraph 6 of this witness
- 7 statement. Do you have anything to add to or to modify
- 8 or say in relation to this paragraph?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Please tell us.
- 11 A. The date should be 22 October, and on that day I called
- 12 Mr But
- 13 Q. Stop for a while. Allow the translation to complete.
- 14 I think the witness said on 22 October he wrote this
- or he signed this.
- Did you say you signed it or wrote it on 22 October,
- this statement?
- 18 A. I signed on 22 October.
- 19 Q. Thank you. You said on that day you called Mr But.
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Did you call Mr But before or after signing it?
- 22 A. After.
- 23 Q. Can you tell us about your conversation with Mr But that
- 24 day?
- 25 A. Yes. I asked him why he could recognise me when
 - Б 22

23

25

1

6

- 1 I couldn't even identify myself. I asked where he was
- working and he said he was with China Technology.
- 3 MR SHIEH: Thank you very much, Mr Ho. Could you then
- 4 remain in the witness box because other lawyers may have
- 5 questions for you.
- 6 WITNESS: I understand.
- 7 MR SHIEH: And Mr Chairman and Mr Commissioner may also have
- 8 questions for you.
- 9 WITNESS: I understand.
- 10 MR SHIEH: After they have all asked you questions, I may
- 11 have some follow-up questions for you.
- 12 WITNESS: I understand.
- 13 MR SHIEH: Do remain seated.
- 14 Sorry, subject to your comments on paragraph 6 of
- 15 your witness statement, do you put forward that
- statement as your evidence in these proceedings?
- 17 Can I put it again. We have now heard your
- additional evidence in relation to paragraph 6 of the
- 19 witness statement. Do you now put forward the content
- 20 of your witness statement as your evidence before this
- 21 Commission of Inquiry?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MR SHIEH: Thank you very much.
- 24 Examination by MR PENNICOTT
- 25 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Ho, good morning.

- 1 A. (In English) Good morning.
- 2 Q. My name is Ian Pennicott and I am one of the counsel for
 - the Commission, and I get to ask you some questions
- 4 first.

3

- 5 Could I ask you, please, to explain why you felt it
- 6 appropriate to call Mr But?
- 7 A. I didn't know I had to attend a hearing, and I was not
- 8 in a good mood at that time.
- 9 Q. You were not in a good mood because of what? What had
- put you in a bad mood?
- 11 A. There were renovation works at my home, and my father
- 12 was ill
- 13 Q. Right. I will put it rather more bluntly: why did you
- 14 call Mr But?
- 15 A. I wondered why he identified me in the photograph.
- 16 Q. How long did this conversation last with Mr But?
- 17 A. Around two to three minutes.
- 18 Q. Did you remonstrate with him and ask him why he sought
- 19 to identify you?
- 20 A. No. He just said he wondered whether it would be me.
- 21 Q. All right. Let's put aside the conversation with Mr But
- and go to your witness statement.
 - You tell us that in 2015 you were a construction
- worker, employed by Rankine or Wai Kei, and you were
 - deployed to work for Leighton on project SCL1112.

Page 22

- Mr Ho, do you recall precisely or approximately, in
- 2 2015, when you were first deployed to work on the
- 3 project?
- 4 A. Probably early September, when I joined the company.
- 5 Q. Right. So you joined Wai Kei in early September, and
 - your first job for them was at this site; is that
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. Yes, correct.
- 9 Q. Right. Now, when you joined the project in early
- 10 September, you say, I believe, that you were a general
- labourer at that time. Is that correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And that you worked under the supervision and
- instruction of Leighton's foreman at that time?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. At that time, what colour helmet or hat were you given
- when you first started work on the project?
- 18 A. Yellow.
- 19 Q. You go on to say in your statement:
 - "I also assisted the foreman with work allocation."
- 21 And then you say this:
- "After I was appointed a banksman on 30 September
 - 2015, I was provided by Leighton the designated uniform
- for a banksman, including a red helmet (before that [as
- you have already told us], my helmet was yellow in

20

Page 25

1

- 1 colour)."
- 2 Mr Ho, how do you recall that it was 30 September
- 3 2015 that you were appointed a banksman?
- 4 A. It was mentioned by the safety division and a safety
- 5 permit was issued.
- 6 Q. Right. Understood. So you have gone back and have
- 7 looked at the permit and you've got the date from that
- 8
- 9 A. Yes. I asked the safety division for the relevant
- 10 information.
- Q. Okay. So does it follow from that, Mr Ho, that up to at 11
- least 29 September, you would have been wearing a yellow 12
- 13 hat and not a red hat?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Right. Now can we please look at the photographs, at 15
- 16 601 to start with, please. Sorry, D1/601, and please
- 17 can we make sure we can see the date.
- 18 Now, this photograph was taken, Mr Ho, we can see,
- 19 in the bottom right-hand corner, on 4 September 2015; do
- 20 you see that?
- 21 A. Yes, I see that.
- 22 Q. The only person we can see wearing a yellow hat --
- 23 sorry, and you would confirm your evidence that at this
- 24 point in time you would have been wearing a yellow hat?
- 25 A. Correct.

you would have been wearing a yellow hat?

- 2
- 3 A. Yes, I am sure, because at that time I was only
- 4 responsible for measurement work.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: What was the photograph that was apparently

as at 4 September you did not have a red hat and that

- 6 recognised as showing Mr Ho?
- 7 MR PENNICOTT: Both of these two, sir, that we've looked at,
- 8 but it's alleged he was wearing a red hat, and it seems
- 9 to me, with the greatest of respect, and I have no idea
- 10 what China Technology are going to say about it, if he's
- 11 right and he didn't get his red hat until 30 September,
- 12 none of the people in these photographs can be him.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Yes.
- 14 MR PENNICOTT: On that basis, I wasn't proposing to ask him
- 15 any more about the photographs.
- 16 CHAIRMAN: No, certainly.
- 17 Mr Ho, could I ask you, what sort of work were you
- 18 doing in September for Rankine?
- 19 A. I was performing measurement work at that time.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: All right. Can you enlarge on that a little,
- 21 give more detail?
- 22 A. We had to take measurements of the water level so that
- 23 the level could not exceed the alert level. So I was
- 24 responsible for taking measurements of the water level
 - every half an hour.

Page 26

25

Page 28

- Q. The only person we can see in the photograph wearing 1
- 2 a yellow hat is the worker at the back of the
- 3 photograph, standing on the slightly higher level, and
- 4 apparently looking down; do you see that?
- COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: There's two of them.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Two.
- 7 MR PENNICOTT: Sorry, two. One even further back. Sorry.
- 8 It was obscured by my file. Even further back. Two of
- 9
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes, I see that.
- 12 Q. And are either of those two workers, Mr Ho, you?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. You're definite about that? Not they might be you, they
- 15 might not be you?
- 16 A. Only China Tech workers would have such outfits.
- 17 Q. All right. That's entirely consistent, Mr Ho, with
- 18 other evidence we've had.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: By that you mean the blue tops, do you?
- 20 A. Yes, correct.
- 21 MR PENNICOTT: Could we go to 603, I will make sure this
- 22 time -- this time, I can't see anybody in the
- 23 photograph, which is also dated 4 September 2015,
- 24 wearing a yellow hat; all right?
- 25 Just one final question, Mr Ho. Are you sure that

- 1 CHAIRMAN: All right. During your time there, working in
- 2 this sort of area, did you ever have to cut rebars?
- 3 A. I did not work at these areas. I only took water
- 4 measurements.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Whereabouts did you take water measurements?
- 6 A. Pit J and HKC.
- CHAIRMAN: Okay. Yes. Thank you very much. 7
- MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I've got nothing else.
- 9 Cross-examination by MR SO
- 10 MR SO: Sir, there are questions from China Technology.
- 11 Good morning, Mr Ho. I'm Simon So; I represent
- 12 China Technology.
- 13 Mr Ho, can you tell us your employment status now?
- 14 A. I work at Hung Hom 1112. I'm a general labourer.
- 15 Q. So are you still employed by Rankine/Wai Kei?
- 16 A. No. Hung Lee.
- 17 Q. Insofar as you know, what role does this Hung Lee play
- 18 in this SCL1112; do you know?
- 19 A. They provide general labourers.
- 20 Q. We know you gave this witness statement in response to
- 21 Mr Poon's third witness statement and Mr But's third
- 22 witness statement.
 - Now, I'm not interested in what you talked with your
- 24 lawyers, but I want to know how you came to know about
- 25 your being identified by Mr Poon and Mr But. Who told

Page 29

- 1 you?
- 2 A. Who? I can't remember.
- 3 Q. Mr Ho, that was something just about a month ago, and
- 4 you forgot, you are telling us?
- 5 A. It should be the lawyers. I can't remember.
- 6 Q. So your evidence is some day -- one day, somebody called
- you and told you he is a lawyer and asked you to make
- 8 a witness statement, like that?
- 9 A. It should be like that.
- 10 Q. What do you mean, "It should be like that", Mr Ho? This
- is something very close to today.
- 12 A. I was busy with the home renovations, so I just couldn't
- 13 remember some things.
- 14 Q. So you then made a witness statement; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. So, no doubt, you were also shown the annotated
- photographs produced by Mr But; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. We all know that you later phoned Mr But.
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And your reason, you just told this Commission, was
- 22 twofold. First, you did not know that he is going to
- attend the hearing, and second, it's that you have a bad
- 24 mood. Are you serious in saying that you don't know
- 25 Mr But is giving evidence?

- 1 had forgotten to bring their helmet, they would just
- 2 simply grab any helmet on site and to work.
- 3 A. Some people would do that.
- 4 Q. You actually did the same; correct?
- 5 A. No. You know, I'm a clean freak. I care much about 6 cleanliness.
- 7 Q. The person identified by Mr But is actually you?
- 8 A. No.

12

14

- 9 MR SO: I have no further questions. Thank you.
- 10 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR, sir.
- 11 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
 - Cross-examination by MR KHAW
- 13 MR KHAW: Just two questions.
 - Mr Ho, if you can take a look at the photograph
- 15 D1/228 -- obviously, we cannot see this person's face
- 16 clearly. From his clothing, his helmet, et cetera, do
- 17 you have any rough idea as to where this worker came
- 18 from?
- 19 A. I am not sure.
- 20 Q. 232. The same question. Can you tell us where they
- 21 came from; any rough estimate?
- 22 A. Well, if they are bar benders -- because I seldom went
- to such places. [Possible missing part of translation
- 24 here].
- 25 Q. Earlier on, when you were --

Page 30

Page 32

- 1 A. Yes. I honestly did not know.
- 2 Q. Lawyers didn't tell you that Mr But would give evidence?
- 3 A. He didn't say so, and I didn't know I was going to give
- 4 evidence too.
- 5 Q. So when did you first know that you have to come to give
- 6 evidence?
- 7 A. It should be last week.
- 8 Q. So you told us you were in a bad mood, therefore you
- 9 called Mr But?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. You would agree with me, would you not, that you were
- 12 never a close friend with Mr But?
- 13 A. On site, we kept in touch often.
- 14 Q. Mr Ho, I suggest to you that the whole reason why you
- said you were in a bad mood: because you were positively
- identified by Mr But.
- 17 A. I don't think so. My father was ill.
- 18 Q. Your reason why you phoned Mr But was to try to persuade
- 19 him not to identify you, is it not?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. There were many different helmets, different types -- of
- different colours, different types of helmets, on the
- 23 site; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. I would have to suggest to you, on occasions, if someone

- 1 MR SHIEH: Excuse me, I think the witness also said
- 2 "(Chinese spoken)", meaning, "I have just joined the
- workforce" or something similar. Perhaps that can be
- 4 translated also. I think the witness actually said in
- 5 Chinese something to the effect of "(Chinese spoken)".
- 6 INTERPRETER: There's something -- that is, "I just started
- 7 out", something like that.
- 8 MR KHAW: Just one more question. When you were earlier on
- 9 answering Mr Shieh's question in relation to your
- witness statement, you told us that this witness
- statement was in fact dated 22 October 2018; do you
- remember that?
- 13 A. Yes, I remember that.
- 14 Q. You can take a look at C25785. This document, your
 - witness statement, was actually dated 23 October. Can
- I just ask you whether the "23", which apparently was in
- 17 handwriting, was your handwriting?
- 18 A. I can't remember. I'm not sure.
- 19 Q. Any idea why the date was not correctly stated?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. But how can you remember so clearly now that you made
- the statement on the 22nd, not 23 October?
- 23 A. Because I remember, after I finished the statement, then
- I called Mr But at night, after I had beer.
 - 25 MR KHAW: Thank you. I have no further questions.

Page 33 Page 35 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 1 MR PENNICOTT: I think the next witness is going to be 2 2 CHAIRMAN: No questions from us. Thank you. slightly longer than the previous one. 3 Re-examination by MR SHIEH 3 CHAIRMAN: All right. 15 minutes. 4 MR SHIEH: Very brief re-examination. 4 (11.19 am) 5 5 Just to follow up on a small point, Mr Ho. (A short adjournment) 6 Earlier on, when you gave the answer, that was in 6 (11.41 am) 7 answer to the question of whether you could tell where 7 MR SHIEH: Chairman, before the next witness is called, 8 8 the workers at D232 came from, and you were asked to Leighton has just located in its internal record as to 9 give a rough estimate, you said you seldom went to such 9 when Mr Ho Hiu Tung became a banksman, because the 10 places, and you actually also said you had just come 10 Commissioner will remember questions being asked as to 11 out, or words to that effect. Do you remember saying 11 when he became a banksman and he gave a date of 12 12 that? 30 September. He said something to the effect of having 13 13 gone back to check his white card. Leighton actually A. Yes, I remember that. 14 14 Q. What did you mean by you had just come out? has a record of when he became a banksman. 15 A. No. I'm saying that during those times, I led the cars 15 We have provided the documents to Lo & Lo already 16 out there. I rarely went to those areas. I worked in 16 and I trust they will be scanned in the usual way. 17 HKC to lead the trucks. That's what I did at that time. 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 18 MR SHIEH: Thank you very much. 18 MR SHIEH: I was told that if other parties want hard 19 19 Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS copies, we can make them available, but in the short 20 20 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just a final couple of questions. When time available we have only been able to make enough 21 you were working on site, was there any discussion ever 21 copies for the Commission and then for Lo & Lo for 22 with your fellow workers about the fact that you had to 22 scanning, but I'm sure they can be turned into digital 23 23 work extra hard because the construction work was form very quickly so that if anything were to turn on 24 24 falling behind? them, other parties can raise the matter with the 25 A. No. 25 Commission. Page 36 Page 34 CHAIRMAN: Was there ever any discussion about how difficult 1 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 2 MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I haven't seen them myself but obviously certain aspects of the contract were, for example fixing 3 3 I will look at them over lunchtime. the steel works and that sort of thing? 4 A. No. I was only responsible for the trucks, so for the 4 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 5 first few months I was responsible for conveying the 5 MR SHIEH: It was just procured over the very brief morning 6 materials on the trucks. 6 adjournment, so apologies for not having enough hard 7 copies. As I said, it's been provided to Lo & Lo and 7 CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that. I'm just wondering if, you 8 know, when you were having a chat over a break or 8 I'm sure digital copies will be made available very 9 9 something like that, if people said, "Wow, we're under 10 pressure at the moment, we're falling behind"? 10 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Mr Shieh, rather than keeping people A. No, because we didn't even know about the progress. We 11 in suspense, can you tell us what the date is on that 11 wouldn't know. 12 document? 12 13 13 MR SHIEH: 30 September. CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much. MR PENNICOTT: That's a relief. 14 Any questions arising from that? No. 15 MR SHIEH: May I call the next witness, Ms Emily Cho. 15 Thank you very much indeed, Mr Ho. Your evidence is completed now; okay? 16 Ms Cho, good morning. 16 17 17 WITNESS: Thank you very much. WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning. (The witness was released) 18 MS EMILY CHO (affirmed in Punti) 18 19 19 MR PENNICOTT: Sir, let's see if I can get it right this (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 20 time. Would you like to have the break now or shall we 20 except where otherwise specified) get the next witness? It's coming up to 20 past. 21 21 Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH 22 MR SHIEH: Thank you. Please be seated. 22 CHAIRMAN: You are visiting an embarrassment upon me. 23 Ms Cho, can you look at bundle C34, page 26476. The 23 MR PENNICOTT: Not at all, upon myself. Perhaps we could 24 English is at 26479. Do you see that, Ms Cho? 24 have 15 minutes now. 25 A. I see it. CHAIRMAN: If you think that's a good time.

Page 37

3

9

11

14

- Q. This is your first witness statement. Can you turn to
- 2 page 26478 for the Chinese, and for the English it is at
- 3 26481.
- 4 On this page, do you see, above your Chinese name,
- 5 what appears to be a signature?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. That is your signature; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Can I then ask you to turn to bundle C35. The Chinese
- 10 is 26645. The English is 26647.
- 11 Ms Cho, you can see this is your second witness
- 12 statement; is that so?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. At 26646 -- and the English is at 26648 -- again, above
- 15 your Chinese name, you can see what appears to be
- 16 a signature?
- 17 A. Yes.

25

12

- 18 Q. Do you confirm that you wish to put forward the content
- 19 of these two statements as your evidence in this
- 20 Commission of Inquiry?
- 21 A. Yes, I confirm.
- 22 MR SHIEH: Thank you. Please remain seated. Lawyers for
- 23 other parties may want to ask you some questions, and
- 24 also Mr Chairman and Mr Commissioner may also ask you
 - questions. After that, I may have some rounding-up

Page 38

- questions for you; all right? 1
- 2 A. Yes, I understand.
- 3 MR SHIEH: Thank you.
- 4 Examination by MR PENNICOTT
- 5 MR PENNICOTT: Good morning, Ms Cho.
- 6 A. Good morning.
- 7 Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission, and I get to
- 8 ask you some questions first, before anybody else.
- 9 Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence

at paragraph 6 of your witness statement, first witness

- 10 this morning.
- 11 Ms Cho, first of all, can I ask you, please, to look
- 13 statement. You say that you are a site clerk in the
- 14 safety team for the project, and one of your duties is
- 15 to maintain the project site entry/exit access system.
- 16 Pausing there, when you say "maintain the project
- 17 site entry/exit access system", do you mean maintain the
- 18 records that that system produces?
- A. I don't quite understand the expression "maintaining the 19 19
- 20 relevant records".
- 21 Q. Well, I'm just trying to understand what you mean by
- 22 your words, "maintain the entry/exit access system",
- 23 because, as I understand it from your second witness
- 24 statement, you say that the system itself is maintained
- 25 by a third-party service provider. So I'm trying to

- 1 work out what it is that you do to maintain the system.
- 2 A. It means that every day, via -- I would see if the
 - computer system is operating properly and whether there
- 4 are entry and exit records. There would be a lot of
- 5 people entering and leaving the site every day, so if
- 6 there is an issue with the system such that the
- 7 recording -- or such that there are no records, then
- 8 I would ask the relevant staff to follow up.
 - Alternatively, we would identify the problems, and
- 10 this is to ensure the proper entry and exit records.
 - Q. Yes, I understand. So your role is to try to ensure, as
- 12 best you can, that the system is operating properly, and
- 13 your role is to identify any problems that may arise,
 - and if those problems do arise you will either contact
- 15 one of your senior managers or presumably you would
- 16 contact the service provider?
- 17 A. Yes, correct.
- 18 Q. All right. You go on to say in paragraph 6 of your
- 19 first witness statement:
- 20 "That system records the attendance of Leighton and
- 21 sub-contractors personnel."
- 22 Now, so far as Leighton personnel is concerned,
- 23 which personnel of Leighton does the system record?
- 24
- A. It would record Leighton's workers, Leighton's direct 25
 - workers, or our staff would who use the palm-recognition

Page 40

- or palm-scanning device.
- 2 Q. Ms Cho, I ask you that question because we have heard
- 3 some evidence that, for example, the superintendent of
- 4 Leighton would not be recorded on this in/out system.
- 5 Is that correct?
- 6 A. There is a possibility that they would not use the
- 7 system.
- Q. All right. If one sort of, as it were, starts from the
- 9 top and works down, you wouldn't expect the project
- 10 director to sign in and out on a daily or other basis?
- 11
- Q. And you wouldn't expect the project manager to sign in 12
- 13 and sign out, using this system?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, why is that?
- 16 Sorry, that was your next question?
- 17 MR PENNICOTT: It wasn't, but it will be in a moment.
- 18 CHAIRMAN: In which case, I'm being premature again.
- MR PENNICOTT: You wouldn't expect the construction managers
- 20 to sign in and sign out?
- 21 A. Yes, there is such possibility.
- Q. As I say, you wouldn't expect the general superintendent 22
- 23 or the superintendent to sign in and sign out using this
- 24 system?
- 25 A. I would like to put it another way. We registered them

	Page 41		Page 43
1	in our palm-scanning system, but whether they used this	1	the two gates are?
2	system or not is out of my ambit.	2	A. It's not about the size or coverage of this plan. For
3	Q. Okay. As the Chairman asked just a moment ago, why is	3	the Hong Kong Coliseum, for example, I cannot quite
4	it that these more senior people are not required if	4	identify its location on this plan, so I do not know how
5	you know the answer to this why are they not required	5	to point out the locations of the gates.
6	to use this system on a daily basis?	6	Q. I see. Okay.
7	A. This system aims to safeguard workers working in this	7	Let me just try to tackle it in a slightly different
8	construction site, and with the attendance records, if	8	way. We have and I don't know whether you've seen
9	their wages are defaulted, we can resort to the	9	it a recent witness statement from a Mr Ngai Chun Kit
10	attendance records to prove that they did work at the	10	from China Technology. Is that a witness statement that
11	site or if accidents do happen.	11	you've looked at, Ms Cho?
12	Q. Yes. But the broad position is this, isn't it, Ms Cho,	12	A. Yes, I did.
13	that the senior management staff of Leighton quite	13	Q. Right. Now, he tells us that so far as he's aware,
14	where one draws the line I confess I'm not entirely	14	there were in fact three gates for entry and exit of the
15	sure, but the more senior management of Leighton are	15	project site. Do you agree with that?
16	not required, on a day-to-day basis, to sign in and sign	16	A. I do not agree, if you are referring to gates managed by
17	out; there are no records of that nature?	17	Leighton.
18	A. Some of our frontline staff work at the office, and when	18	Q. All right. Let me just put to you, explain to you, what
19	they come to work they would go to the office directly	19	my understanding of Mr Ngai's evidence is.
20	and they would not pass by those two gates.	20	First of all, he says there was a gate no. 1 which
21	Q. All right.	21	was also called exit D. Does that mean anything to you?
22	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, Ms Cho, when they go to the		A. Gate 1, I know approximately where the location is. The
23	office they wouldn't pass through the gates, but what	23	exit D mentioned, I guess he's referring to the exit D
24	about when they go on site? Do they then pass through	24	of the MTR station. So that's one of the locations.
25	those gates?	25	Q. Okay. So gate no. 1 does mean something to you. Can
	Page 42		Page 44
			•
1	A. From the office to the construction site, one would not	1	you describe approximately where it is? Not by
2	pass through those two gates.	2	reference to the plan but just give us a general
3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Ah. So is it possible to enter the		description of where it is.
4	site via the office, without going through the gate; is	4	A. Gate 1 is approximately located at exit D5 of the MTR
5	that what you're telling us?	5	Hung Hom Station. It would go past the bakery, Orchid
6	A. Correct.	6	Padaria, and then to the end, on the left, that would be
7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you.	7	gate no. 1.
8	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, the next couple of questions may assist	8	Q. Okay. Then he says that there was a gate no. 2, near
9	with that enquiry.	9	what he describes as the Leighton bridge. Does that
10	Ms Cho, could I ask you, please, to be shown or to	10	mean anything to you?
11	be given a hard copy of a plan, a location plan that we	11	A. I don't agree with him on Leighton bridge, because as
12	have at bundle F34/19757.	12	far as I know the Leighton bridge referred to by him,
13	Sir, you'll recall, when you see it, that this is	13	I guess he's referring to a bridge, but that bridge is
14	the plan that Intrafor provided for us, with the various	14	not under the management of Leighton.
15	fabrication yards and so forth marked on it.	15	Q. Who manages it?
16	Ms Cho, what I would like you to do, if you would,	16	A. I can't recall whether it's MTR or the Penta-Ocean
17	please, first of all you just mentioned two access	17	Construction Company now.
18	gates which you refer to in paragraph 7 of your witness	18	Q. But not Leighton?
19	statement would it be possible, please, to mark on	19	A. Correct.
20 21	this plan where those two access gates are? A. Sorry, I cannot quite identify the locations on this	20 21	Q. Then he says there's a gate no. 3 which is on or near
21 22	plan, so I cannot point out where those gates are.	21	the Cheong, C-H-E-O-N-G, Wan, W-A-N, Road. Does that mean anything to you?
23	Q. Are you saying the plan is not big enough in the sense	23	A. I know the location is close to the funeral parlour.
	that it doesn't cover a wide enough area, or you	24	Q. And is that a Leighton entry and exit point?
24	mai ii doesii i covei a wide chough area, or you	24	Q. And is that a leighton chury and exit point?

25

simply -- it is big enough but you can't tell us where

25 A. Yes.

works at the Hung Hom Station Extension under the Shatin to Central Link Project Page 45 Page 47 Q. Therefore, am I right in thinking that it's gate no. 1 1 that something you're familiar with? 2 A. I'm not familiar with that. 2 and gate no. 3, as I've just described it to you, that 3 3 have the entry and exit electronic system? Q. All right. 4 A. Yes, correct. 4 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, have you heard of it? Are you aware where 5 5 it may be situated? Q. Right. And they both have that system? A. Yes. 6 A. I heard someone mentioning it that at the security post 6 7 Q. All right. there would be this book, but how people signed it or 8 for what reasons people signed it, I don't know the 8 Ms Cho, you say in paragraph 8 of your witness 9 9 statement, first witness statement, last sentence, that reasons 10 10 MR PENNICOTT: And it's not a book that you ever looked at you confirm that they, that is the site attendance 11 or considered its contents? 11 records, are accurate records of the monthly employee 12 12 A. Yes, because the location is not under the ambit of the reports for China Tech personnel as generated by the system. I assume that you would apply that description 13 safety division, so I wouldn't have access to that 13 14 to other sub-contractors: Intrafor, Fang Sheung, and any 14 15 other sub-contractors? 15 Q. Right. Who would be interested in that particular book? 16 A. Yes, probably. 16 I mean, it's there, people were signing in/signing out. 17 Who was responsible for that book? 17 Q. As you say, they are accurate records as generated by 18 the system, but of course the records are only as 18 A. As far as I know, it should be the previous logistics 19 19 accurate and as good as they should be if people department. 20 20 Q. We are going to have another go at trying to identify actually use the system? 21 21 where the gates are, because those that are cleverer A. Correct. 22 Q. And so if workers or other personnel decide, for 22 than me have found another plan. 23 23 Could we please be shown on the screen whatever reason, that they're not going to use the 24 24 bundle H2/436. We haven't got many hard copies; only system on any particular day, clearly the records are 25 25 not going to show those persons as being present? two. Page 48 Page 46 A. Correct. Ms Cho, if I show you this one, which we've actually 1 2 Q. We have heard evidence from Mr Pun from Fang Sheung, he 2 highlighted up -- okay, you've been given another one. 3 3 was the owner of Fang Sheung, and he was there just Sir, I'll do my best to try --4 about every day, he told us, throughout the course of 4 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It's fine. 5 Fang Sheung's works, and he never used the system at 5 MR PENNICOTT: Ms Cho, if you look at this plan that we have 6 all. Were you aware of that? 6 here, if you go towards the top of the page you will see 7 A. Can I ask, you mean Mr Pun of Fang Sheung or Mr Poon of 7 a line of circles with numbers in: 1/3/5/7/9; 0/2/4/6, 8 China Technology? 8 et cetera. Then you will see the words 9 9 "Salisbury Road"; do you see that? Q. Mr Pun of Fang Sheung. 10 A. I'm not sure -- the Mr Pun of Fang Sheung you referred 10 A. Yes, I see it. O. Just underneath that, there's a grey area, and then just 11 to, who is he? 11 12 underneath that, there's a box with "Gate 1" marked in 12 Q. He's the owner of Fang Sheung, Ms Cho, the boss. 13 A. Can you repeat your question, please? 13 it; do you see that? 14 Q. Yes. Mr Pun of Fang Sheung, he's the owner, the boss of 14 A. Yes, I see it.

15 Fang Sheung, was there throughout the course of 16 Fang Sheung's works. He spent time in the office, he 17 visited the site on a very regular basis to inspect what 18 his workers were doing, and there's no sign of him 19 whatsoever in the sign-in/sign-out records. Were you 20 aware of that? 21 A. I'm not sure about that. I don't know about it. 22 Q. All right.

We heard evidence from him that at one of the entry

points and exit points, there was something that he

described as a visitor's book which he would sign. Is

23

24

25

15 Q. Is that, gate 1, the one we were discussing just 16 a moment ago, with the electronic system? 17 A. Well, looking at the plan and the annotation there, yes, 18 19 Q. Then, if one goes to the left of that box -- thank you 20 very much -- you will see a line of circles, A/C/E, and 21 to the right of those circles and letters, you will see 22 a box, "Gate 5"; do you see that? 23 A. Yes, I see it. 24 Q. Now, does that -- are you familiar with that entry and 25 exit point, and does it have the electronic system?

	Page 49		Page 51
1	A. As far as I know, at this location there is no	1	or if unfortunately they encountered any accidents
2	electronic system, and I am not familiar with the	2	on site, then there would be a record to prove that they
3	location of this gate.	3	worked on the site.
4	Q. Then if we could go to the other side of the plan,	4	CHAIRMAN: All right. Yes. So certain people whose salary
5	please, and towards the bottom Ms Cho, you might be	5	or whose wages or whose income was not governed by the
6	able to see in the bottom right-hand corner	6	number of hours that they worked on site didn't need to
7	an annotation, "Royal Peninsula"; do you see that?	7	register?
8	A. Yes, I see it.	8	A. No. All staff who attended the induction class at the
9	Q. If you go diagonally, at around 10 o'clock, as it were,	9	construction site at the first day of work have to
10	you'll see "Gate 3" at the end of what appears to be	10	register themselves.
11	some form of temporary road; do you see that?	11	CHAIRMAN: Ah. So if you didn't attend that first day, then
12	A. Yes, I see it.	12	you didn't need to?
13	Q. Now, is that the other, the second, "Gate 3", entry and	13	A. I don't know whether staff from certain companies
14	exit point with the electronic system?	14	skipped the induction class on the first day and still
15	A. It should be, yes.	15	worked at the site.
16	Q. Thank you very much.	16	CHAIRMAN: Okay. Thank you.
17	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry. And are there any other		MR PENNICOTT: Ms Cho, I understand that one thing that
18	gates? We've heard of gate 1, gate 3, gate 5. What	18	would happen, on an essentially monthly basis, is that
19	happened to 2 and 4?	19	you would send out the site attendance records from the
20	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, it's a bit like rebar.	20	electronic system to each of the sub-contractors that
21	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Very good.	21	Leighton had engaged. Is that correct?
22	MR PENNICOTT: I don't know, sir. We've failed to find	22	A. Incorrect. This operation was conducted by my
23	2 and 4. We've only found the odd numbers.	23	predecessor and I did not send any emails to the
24	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.	24	sub-contractors.
25	MR PENNICOTT: Ms Cho, you are not aware of any other entry		Q. I'm not sure whether something got lost in translation
23	where Ervivice 11. Wis eno, you are not aware of any other entry	23	Q. This not sure whether something got lost in translation
	Page 50		Page 52
1	Page 50 and exit points other than 1 and 3?	1	Page 52 there. The monthly records that the electronic system
1 2	and exit points other than 1 and 3?	1 2	there. The monthly records that the electronic system
2	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are	2	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to
2 3	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3.	2 3	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis?
2 3 4	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much.	2 3 4	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors
2 3 4 5	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records	2 3 4 5	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me.
2 3 4 5 6	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing?	2 3 4 5 6	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you
2 3 4 5 6 7	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course.	2 3 4 5 6 7	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the
2 3 4 5 6 7	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have indicated, perhaps, is that the purpose was to ensure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors? A. As far as I know, the administration division did send
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have indicated, perhaps, is that the purpose was to ensure that employees would be registered going in and going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors? A. As far as I know, the administration division did send out the records. Someone in that division did it but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have indicated, perhaps, is that the purpose was to ensure that employees would be registered going in and going out for purposes of salary or pay, and/or so that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors? A. As far as I know, the administration division did send out the records. Someone in that division did it but I'm not sure whether someone is still doing it now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have indicated, perhaps, is that the purpose was to ensure that employees would be registered going in and going out for purposes of salary or pay, and/or so that you knew who was in there working at any one time, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors? A. As far as I know, the administration division did send out the records. Someone in that division did it but I'm not sure whether someone is still doing it now. (Discussion off the record)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have indicated, perhaps, is that the purpose was to ensure that employees would be registered going in and going out for purposes of salary or pay, and/or so that you knew who was in there working at any one time, for safety reasons. Would that be right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors? A. As far as I know, the administration division did send out the records. Someone in that division did it but I'm not sure whether someone is still doing it now. (Discussion off the record) Q. So it was the administration department, so far as you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have indicated, perhaps, is that the purpose was to ensure that employees would be registered going in and going out for purposes of salary or pay, and/or so that you knew who was in there working at any one time, for safety reasons. Would that be right? A. I heard instructors said at safety classes, or they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors? A. As far as I know, the administration division did send out the records. Someone in that division did it but I'm not sure whether someone is still doing it now. (Discussion off the record)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have indicated, perhaps, is that the purpose was to ensure that employees would be registered going in and going out for purposes of salary or pay, and/or so that you knew who was in there working at any one time, for safety reasons. Would that be right? A. I heard instructors said at safety classes, or they explained to those attending classes, to say the reason	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors? A. As far as I know, the administration division did send out the records. Someone in that division did it but I'm not sure whether someone is still doing it now. (Discussion off the record) Q. So it was the administration department, so far as you are aware, that would send out the records to the sub-contractors?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and exit points other than 1 and 3? A. As far as I know, Leighton's main access points are gates 1 and 3. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Now, returning to the records CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing? MR PENNICOTT: Of course. CHAIRMAN: When you took up this job, were you told what the main purpose of the computerised system for governing in and out was? A. I only know that on the first day on the job, I knew that for people going into the site to work, they had to register their palm prints, and at the end of the class we must make sure that they could use our system to log with their card. CHAIRMAN: All right. But do they because what you have indicated, perhaps, is that the purpose was to ensure that employees would be registered going in and going out for purposes of salary or pay, and/or so that you knew who was in there working at any one time, for safety reasons. Would that be right? A. I heard instructors said at safety classes, or they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there. The monthly records that the electronic system recorded for each sub-contractor, were they sent out to the sub-contractors, those records, on a monthly basis? A. The act of sending these records to the sub-contractors were not done by me. Q. Okay. Were you MR SHIEH: Excuse me, there may be a subtle difference in nuance between two senses of a phrase used by the witness, because the witness used the Chinese phrase "(Chinese spoken)", which could mean predecessor or it could mean an ex-colleague who had already left. MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. Ms Cho, you say that the act of sending the records to the sub-contractor was not done by you, and I'm happy to accept that. Were you aware that somebody else sent the records to the sub-contractors? A. As far as I know, the administration division did send out the records. Someone in that division did it but I'm not sure whether someone is still doing it now. (Discussion off the record) Q. So it was the administration department, so far as you are aware, that would send out the records to the

Page 53

- 1 provided to the Commission, attached to Mr Ngai's
- 2 witness statement that I referred to earlier, copies of
- 3 the electronic records that they say were sent to them
- 4 on a monthly basis. Do you understand?
- 5 A. I understand.
- 6 Q. And what Mr Ngai points out in his witness statement,
- 7 which I think you indicated to me earlier you had read,
- 8 are various discrepancies between the records that China
- 9 Technology have in their possession and the records that
- 10 Leighton have provided to the Commission in respect of
- 11 China Technology's attendance at the site. Do you
- 12 understand the point?
- 13 A. I understand.
- 14 Q. I would just like to see, Ms Cho, whether you are able
- 15 to help us with those discrepancies. This is not going
- 16 to be easy on the screen but we will do our best. I'm
- 17 only going to take two examples; there are many,
- 18 however.
- 19 Could I ask you, please, first of all, to be shown
- 20 D2/1153. That is the first page of the month of
- 21 November, from the records attached to Mr Ngai's
- 22 statement.

25

- 23 Could we please compare that with bundle C8/5738.
- 24 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, is the -- ah, yes. My 24
 - question is being answered.

1 Q. Whereas, on the document that Leightons have provided us

- 2 with at C5738, all the entries are blank, so a reverse
- 3
 - situation to the previous one.
- 4 Again, Ms Cho, are you able to explain why there is
- 5 such a difference?
- 6 A. These reports would be generated with a few buttons, and
- 7 there was no human editing, so I am not sure.
- 8 Q. Right. Could I ask you, please, to look at -- hang on,
- 9 before we go there, sorry, can we just stick with 1153,
- 10 another example of a discrepancy.
 - If you look at the very first worker recorded on
- 12 1153, an Au Hin Ting; do you see him?
- 13 A. Yes, I see that.

11

- 14 Q. And that worker is there registered, or signed in/signed
- 15 out, for 5 and 6 November; do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes, I see that.
- 17 Q. And in the "Work day" figure on the right-hand side
- 18 reflecting those two sign-in/sign-out days, is "2"; do
- 19 you see that?
- 20 A. Yes, I see that.
- 21 Q. Whereas if you look at the Leighton record at C8/5738,
- 22 that same worker is there not just for those two days
- 23 but also for a third day; do you see? So a slightly
- different discrepancy this time.
- 25 A. Yes, I see that.

Page 54

Page 56

- MR PENNICOTT: Now, Ms Cho, you've got the hard copies in 1
- 2 front of you, which is going to make life easier for
- 3 you. If we can first of all look at 4 November; do you
- 4 see that? And on D1153, which are the records that
- 5 China Technology provided us with, printed out on
- 6 1 December 2015, top-right corner, the entirety of 7 4 November is blank; do you see that? If you draw a
- 8 highlight down the line, nobody is there on 4 November;
- 9 do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. Whereas on C5738, for the same day, one can see that 11
- 12 a number of workers are present on this particular
- 13 sheet, about eight -- seven workers; do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes, I see that.
- 15 Q. Are you able to explain the difference or discrepancy,
- 16 apparent discrepancy, between the two sheets?
- 17 A. For the report from 2015, it was not sent to China
- 18 Technology by myself, so I do not know the circumstances
- 19 under which this report was produced.
- 20 Q. All right. Just to, as it were, look at another one on
- 21 the same sheet, if you look -- and it's really the
- 22 reverse situation -- if you look at 26 November, on the
- 23 China Technology sheet at 1153, you will see five
- 24 workers in attendance; do you see that, 26 November?
- A. On 26 November, five workers were present.

- Q. Are you able to explain that discrepancy? 1
- A. As I said, these reports were generated by the system,
- 3 and there was no editing done manually, so I do not know

4

7

9

- 5 Q. Okay. Before I trouble you further, Ms Cho, let me just
- 6 see whether I need to.
 - I will, just to raise one point with you. Could you
- 8 please be shown D1129. That's D2/1129. Compare that,
 - please, with C8/5713. These are the two sheets for --
- 10 the first sheet for September 2015.
- 11 The first point to note, Ms Cho, is if you look at
 - the China Technology print-out, the third general worker
- 13 down is somebody called Chan Kit; do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes, I see it.
- 15 Q. If you look at the Leighton record, at 5713, that worker
- does not appear at all, so far as I can tell. Can you 16
- 17 explain that one, Ms Cho?
- A. It's possible that the worker changed to a different 18
- 19 company. That's why the information would be put in the
- 20 new company, under his name.
- 21 Q. I see. That's what happened, I remember, to Mr Law, is
- 22 that right, that we were hearing from earlier, that when
- 23 he transferred ultimately to China Technology, all his
- 24 previous records, even if he had worked for other
- 25 sub-contractors, would come under China Technology; is

	Page 57		Page 59
1	that the way the system works?	1	CHAIRMAN: I took it, Mr To, that she's really talking about
2	A. Yes, there could be such cases.	2	monitoring, as opposed to maintaining in a technical
3	Q. Right. So that could be an explanation for why Mr Chan	3	sense. So she's not the person who fixes the things
4	Kit does not appear. All right.	4	that go bang in the night; she is the person who
5	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, how is that an explanation		monitors the system and if it falls down, she then goes
6	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, if this worker, Chan Kit, was there	6	to the technology backup people.
7	let me get this around the right way at the time, in	7	MR PENNICOTT: I thought her answer was pretty clear.
8	September 2015, and this monthly record was sent out to	8	MR TO: Thank you, Chairman, on that.
9	China Technology, and they printed it out, that's why he	9	I just want to ask you, Ms Cho so you maintain
10	appears on the document they have.	10	do you actually submit forms GF257? Are you familiar
11	Are you with it so far?	11	with that form?
12	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I am, yes.	12	A. I'm not familiar with it.
13	MR PENNICOTT: What then happens is that if Mr Chan Kit	13	Q. Are you familiar with a form called DAR?
14	subsequently moved to another sub-contractor, let's say,	14	A. DAR forms?
15	he moved from China Technology to Fang Sheung, all his	15	Q. It's called daily attendance record.
16	previous records would then fall under Fang Sheung,	16	A. Can I ask the forms to be submitted to who?
17	irrespective of time, on the Leighton system. So, if	17	Q. You have to submit it to a certain authority. Do you do
18	this is the Leighton print-out which we know was printed	18	that?
19	this year, that might explain that discrepancy.	19	A. Can I ask you, are you referring to the weekly
20	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I follow the logic. Thank you.	20	submission of the records?
21	MR PENNICOTT: Have I got that right, Ms Cho?	21	Q. Yes, that's what I'm asking.
22	A. Yes, correct.	22	A. Well, for these records, yes, I am the one to submit
23	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I spent perhaps a disproportionate	23	them.
24	amount of time going through that. I've also spent	24	Q. Who do you give that document to?
25	a disproportionate amount of time looking at all of	25	A. I submitted it to the Construction Industry Council.
	Page 58		Page 60
1	these records, and I'm afraid I'm not making a big	1	Q. (Overspeaking the interpreter) For what purpose?
2	point of this there are similar discrepancy	2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm sorry, you are going to have to
3	discrepancies, as Mr Ngai has pointed out, all over the	3	stop so we get the reply before asking the next
4	place, irrespective of where you look. Whichever month	4	question. Thank you.
5	you look at, you are going to get the same sort of	5	MR TO: Sorry.
6	problems time and time again, and that's as far as I can	6	For what purpose?
7	take it. I'm not proposing to ask Ms Cho any further	7	A. As far as I know, for all construction companies, they
8	questions.	8	have to submit the records.
9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.	9	Q. Are the records supposed to be accurate?
10	MR TO: Chairman, China Technology has a few questions to	10	A. The records were generated from the system. That's all.
11	ask Ms Cho.	11	Q. Can I take you to the transcript, Ms Cho, of Mr Cheung
12	CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly.	12	Chiu Fung, Joe, at Day 15, page 64, line 17. I will
13	Cross-examination by MR TO	13	read it out slowly to you, so it can get translated:
14	MR TO: Good morning, Ms Cho. I represent China Technology	14	"Question: Now I wish to bring you to C6379. This
15	My name is Christopher To. I have a few questions to	15	is the December sign-in/sign-out record. We cannot find
16	ask you.	16	your name on this sign-in/sign-out record. Can you tell
17	My learned friend Mr Ian Pennicott this morning	17	us, if you know, what is the reason of that?
18	asked you about the word "maintain". Can you remember	18	Answer: I'm not sure about this record.
19	that word?	19	Question: I see. This situation similarly occurred
20	A. Yes, I remember that.	20	on page C6372. This is the November sign-in/sign-out
21	Q. Can you explain to us what did you do in terms of	21	record, and again your name was not there. Do you know
22	"maintain"?	22	the reason of it, if you know?"
~~	A. That is every day that I need to make sure the system is	23	And your answer on the next page is
23			
232425	working properly. So I need to make sure the system is working properly every day.	24 25	MR PENNICOTT: It's not her answer. MR TO: "I don't remember. Perhaps by that time

works at the Hung Hom Station Extension under the Shatin to Central Link Project Page 61 Page 63 1 "Leighton safety officer trainee Ben Hui reminded 1 I already had a vehicle and I just drove in and out of 2 the site." 2 all sub-contractors that: 3 3 Ms Cho, you were asked certain questions about, for 4 example, entries. When Mr Cheung mentioned driving in, 4 c. Remind all workers entered site area should be 5 did he mention gate 5? 5 use palm recorder." 6 What does he mean by that? 6 A. As far as I know, it should be gate 3. 7 Q. Can I take you to another transcript. This is Mr Jason 7 A. It was what it meant. He wanted to remind all workers 8 8 that those entering the site area should use the palm Poon on Day 11, page 115, line 9. I will just read it 9 9 out slowly: 10 10 Q. My last question is: only construction workers who are "Question: But can you tell us, by looking back at 11 that diagram, C8/6172, is there any sign-in/sign-out of 11 registered with the Construction Industry Council are 12 12 required to use palm print for entry into the site; your name? 13 13 am I correct in saying that? Answer: No, no. 14 A. Incorrect. If they did not hold a construction workers 14 Question: Can you tell us something about that? 15 15 Answer: Because I did not use the card. I did not registration card, they would be given a so-called white 16 punch -- I didn't use that palm print device to go 16 card issued by us so they can enter and leave the site. 17 inside. I went through the D5 gate which is the 17 Q. (Overspeaking the interpreter) But if they forget to 18 vehicular access. 18 bring the white card? 19 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm sorry, you are continually doing 19 Question: So, in a way, you went in there through 20 2.0 that and I'm not able to get the answer. Thank you. another sort of entry point? 21 Answer: It's next to the sign-in/sign-out device 21 A. If they forget to bring the card, this is something out 22 and I could go through the vehicular access. I parked 22 of my control. 23 MR TO: Can they still go into the site if they don't have 23 my car inside the site. That's why I didn't have to go 24 24 the card? through this process." 25 25 A. As I said, I'm not sure about how they enter the site at Ms Cho, so there was another entry point into the Page 62 Page 64 site without going through the palm-printing device; is 1 the gate. 1 2 this correct? 2 Q. (Overspeaking the interpreter) So they can go through 3 3 the guard entry? Sorry. A. I guess the D5 gate he referred to should be the COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Could you repeat the question? 4 location of gate 1. 4 5 Q. Can you go into the site without putting your palm into 5 MR TO: I will repeat the question. 6 If they don't have a white card, can they still gain 6 the device, to record it? 7 7 entry to the site? A. I'm not sure about how they entered the gate, because 8 A. Without the white card, they should be holding I was not there to watch them go in and out.

- 9 Q. Can I ask you another question, Ms Cho. The question 10 is -- can you look at document D1565, in particular 11 D1576, in particular 6.1. 12 I will just read it out to you, 6.1: 13 "Leighton safety officer Max Chan reminded all 14 sub-contractors that: 15 Please ensure your workers with daily computerised
- 19 Do you understand why Mr Max Chan or someone said 20 that? A. My guess is he said that because he wanted to remind the 21 22 representatives of the sub-contractors, so they would 23 remind their workers to tap their cards. 24 Q. Also, if you go to the same document, item 6.2, just

below -- I will just read it out to you:

attendance record as some of the computerised attendance

records were totally different from what sub-contractors

16

17

18

25

reports."

9 a construction workers registration card. 10 Q. But if they don't have a construction workers card? 11 A. As I said, if they don't hold a construction workers 12 card, they would be given a white card. 13 Q. If they don't bring their white card to go in, can they 14 still go into the construction site? 15 A. As I said, the fact that they might forget to bring 16 their cards is not within my control. 17 Q. So how can they go into the site? 18 A. This is not within my ambit on how they enter the site. 19 Q. You mentioned about a guard entry point.

A. At our two main points of entry, there would be

25 Q. Can they go past the security guard post, if they don't

a security post, and that would be the place where

20 A. Yes.

O. What is that?

security guards work.

21

22

23

	Page 65		Page 67
1	have the card?	1	
1 2	A. As I said, I do not know about entry issues.	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	(2.13 pm) MR TO: Good afternoon, Mr Ngai. Can you tell the Chairman
3	MR TO: Thank you, Ms Cho. No further questions.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	and the Commissioner your name in full, please?
4	MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR, sir.	4	WITNESS: (Via interpreter) My name is Ngai Chun Kit.
5	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.	5	MR NGAI CHUN KIT (affirmed in Punti)
6	MR KHAW: No questions from the government.	6	(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter
7	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	7	except where otherwise specified)
8	MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung.	8	Examination-in-chief by MR TO
9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	9	MR TO: Mr Ngai, I'm going to take you to a document. It's
10	Re-examination?	10	D2/D1112.
11	MR SHIEH: No re-examination.	11	A. Yes.
	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.		
12 13	Peter, anything?	12	Q. Mr Ngai, this is your witness statement; correct, or not correct?
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No, nothing from me.	-	A. Correct.
15	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.	14	
16	Thank you, your evidence is completed now. Thank	'	Q. Can I take you to the last page, D1116. Can you see page D1116?
		16	A. Yes, I see that.
17	you. WITNESS: Thank you.	17	
18 19	(The witness was released)	18	Q. Is that your signature on this page? A. Correct.
20	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I make an observation here.	19 20	
21	Mr Pennicott? Sorry, I'm referring to you because I'm	$\frac{20}{21}$	Q. The date of this witness statement is 7 November 2018; is this correct?
22	not quite sure who else I should refer to.	$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	A. Correct.
23			
24	MR PENNICOTT: That's all right. That's what I'm here for. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: But if Cantonese-speaking counse	23	Q. Mr Ngai, do you wish to adopt this witness statement as
25	were to wear their headphones when they ask their	25	part of your evidence? A. Yes, I will.
23		23	
	Page 66		Page 68
1	questions, I think they might then understand the need	1	MR TO: Mr Ngai there are going to be lawyers here in this
2	for the pause before asking the next question, because	2	room who will ask you some questions, so I will hand it
3	it's quite obvious to me when I'm wearing the headphones	3	over to them now. Speak slowly because it's being
4	that a pause is necessary.	4	translated.
5	MR PENNICOTT: That's right. Alternatively, and/or look at	5	WITNESS: I'm clear about that. Thank you very much.
6	the transcript.	6	Examination by MR PENNICOTT
7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's the other way.	7	MR PENNICOTT: Mr Ngai, good afternoon. My name is Ian
8	MR PENNICOTT: I appreciate it must be very difficult for	8	Pennicott.
9	those who are bilingual and of course they've heard the	9	A. Good afternoon.
10	answer and they just want to get on with the next	10	Q. I'm one of the counsel for the Commission, and I've got
11	question. I understand it must be very difficult. But	11	I think just one question for you or at least one topic
12	you are right, sir. It was a bit unfortunate there; we	12	for you.
13	were missing the end of most answers.	13	Please could you be shown bundle H2/436. You are
14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: But it's happened a few times.		being given a hard copy of this document, Mr Ngai.
15	MR PENNICOTT: It has, sir, yes.	15	A. Yes, I can see that.
16	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.	16	Q. In your witness statement, you refer to three gates,
17	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, the next witness is Mr Ngai. Perhaps it	17	gates 1, 2 and 3, and you give them alternative
18	would be appropriate to break early today and then start	18	descriptions as well; that is, the exit D, the Leighton
	perhaps a little bit earlier. Whilst I think Mr Ngai is	19	bridge, and Cheong Wan Road; all right? Those are the
19	going to be proffer quiel. The not assert a discontinued	20	
20	going to be pretty quick, I'm not convinced nine minutes	20	three alternative descriptions you give.
20 21	is going to be enough. Perhaps we can come back at	21	If you would be good enough to look at this plan,
20 21 22	is going to be enough. Perhaps we can come back at 10 past or	21 22	If you would be good enough to look at this plan, please, you will see, towards the top, some circles with
20 21 22 23	is going to be enough. Perhaps we can come back at 10 past or CHAIRMAN: All right. 2.10.	21 22 23	If you would be good enough to look at this plan, please, you will see, towards the top, some circles with numbers in; do you see 0/2/4/6?
20 21 22	is going to be enough. Perhaps we can come back at 10 past or	21 22	If you would be good enough to look at this plan, please, you will see, towards the top, some circles with

22

23

24

questions.

and Ms Cho and indeed this plan. All right.

WITNESS: Thank you very much.

Thank you very much, Mr Ngai. I have no further

Page 71

Page 72

Page 69 1 underneath that you will see a box with "Gate 1"; do you 1 MR CHANG: Mr Chairman, I am not Paul Shieh SC. I am 2 2 see that? Jonathan Chang. I appear for Leighton. I have some 3 3 A. Yes, I can see that. questions for this particular witness. 4 4 Q. Is that your gate 1, exit D? CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly. 5 5 A. Correct. Cross-examination by MR CHANG MR CHANG: Mr Ngai, can you turn to your witness statement, Q. Okay. If you go to the left of that, "Gate 1", and go 6 6 7 over to the circles with the letters in, A/C/E, to the 7 bundle D2, paragraph 17. The second line, towards the 8 8 right of that you will see a box with "Gate 5" in it; do end, we can see you saying "many sub-contractors 9 9 blatantly ignored the system", being Leighton's you see that? 10 A. Yes, I see that. 10 electronic site access system. Can you see that? 11 Q. Is that what you describe as gate 2 or the Leighton 11 A. Yes, I see that. 12 Q. I assume that would not include China Technology; bridge? 12 13 13 A. No, that's not it. correct? 14 Q. Right. So is that your gate 3 on the Cheong Wan Road? 14 A. Yes, mmm. 15 A. No, not. 15 Q. Sorry, the mic can't pick up a nod, so do you agree or 16 Q. Okay. You tell us where your gate -- first of all, tell 16 disagree? When you say many sub-contractors blatantly 17 us where your gate 2 is, please, on this plan. 17 ignored Leighton's electronic site access system, that 18 A. Wait a moment. Let me take a look. 18 did not include workers from China Technology; correct? 19 19 That's around here in the plan (indicating). Here A. (Chinese spoken). 20 20 Chinat not included. (indicating). 21 Q. Sorry? 21 Q. In other words, China Technology would instruct its 22 A. (In English) Here (indicating). 22 workers to abide by and follow the Leightons electronic 23 23 Q. That's your gate 2, is it? site access system; correct? 24 A. Yes. 24 A. Correct. 25 Q. So the witness has pointed to the corner, approximately, Q. May the witness be shown the transcript of Day 7, Page 70 of the dotted line, about 2 inches to the right of the page 45, lines 8 to 11. I will read it out so that the 1 1 2 words "Victoria Harbour", and has marked it on the plan. 2 interpretation can be made to you. It's Mr Jason Poon's 3 3 All right, that's your gate 2. Where is your evidence on Leighton's site attendance or 4 gate 3, Mr Ngai? 4 sign-in/sign-out records. Mr Poon's answer was: A. It's the same as "Gate 3" as marked on the plan. 5 "Our personnel department at the end of each month 6 Q. All right. So your gate 3 is the "Gate 3"? All right. 6 would ask Leighton for the record. Usually the record 7 A. Correct. 7 would be provided at the beginning of the month for the 8 8 purpose of paying wages." Q. Thank you very much. 9 9 Now, this gate 2 that you have identified for us, A. Yes. 10 was that something, to your knowledge, an entry and 10 Q. So you accept China Technology received monthly records 11 an exit point, that was there throughout the course of 11 or site attendance records from Leighton, to enable 12 your involvement with this project? 12 China Technology to prepare its payroll? 13 A. Yes, correct. Yes, this can be an access to the site 13 A. Yes. 14 itself. 14 Q. Is it your evidence that the monthly records which China 15 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Mr Ngai, was there a gate 4? 15 Technology received from Leighton, they are all A. I'm not aware of any gate 4. All I know is there are inaccurate? 16 16 17 three gates, 1, 2 and 3. 17 A. (Chinese spoken). COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. 18 18 Agree. 19 MR PENNICOTT: So we appear to be in the position that we 19 Q. If they are all inaccurate, how can China Technology 20 20 are agreed there are three gates, two we agree their rely on these site attendance records which they 21 21 position, and one there is a difference between yourself received from Leighton for the purpose of preparing

22

23

24

25

payroll, to actually prepare the payroll?

A. These records are inaccurate, but -- well, workers might

have left or company, and we are paying them based on

such records. So apart from such records, we have

Page 76

Page 73

- an internal WhatsApp group and the foremen will be
- 2 reporting and recording the dates of attendance of the
- workers. So we will look at both of these records,
- 4 because the Leighton records are inaccurate.
- 5 Q. So, if I understand your evidence correctly, China
- 6 Technology's own records will be a combination of the
- 7 monthly records which you receive from Leighton and the
- 8 additional information which you received through
- 9 WhatsApp from the workers; correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So China Technology itself would have the most accurate
- site attendance record of all its employees; correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And it would be based on China Technology's own record
- that you prepared the payroll for your employees;
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes, correct.
- 18 Q. May the witness be shown bundle C8, page 5720. This is
- 19 Leighton's site attendance records for China Technology
- 20 employees, covering the period of the month of September
- 21 2015.
- 22 Mr Ngai, you can see Mr Poon Chuk Hung's name as the
- second-last entry at the bottom. Can you see that?
- 24 A. Yes, I can see that.
- 25 Q. Can you then go to 22 September, which is slightly

- 1 whether it is necessary for Mr Poon to provide the
- 2 evidence, you have no evidence whatsoever to suggest he
- 3 was on site?

6

- 4 A. We don't have that information in the document.
- 5 Q. I'm asking you about China Technology's own records,
 - which you confirmed with the Commission you kept the
- 7 most accurate records?
- 8 A. No, because it's not necessary to keep track of
- 9 Mr Poon's attendance because he's the boss.
- 10 Q. So are you suggesting there is no record whatsoever of11 Mr Poon's presence on site at all, at any time?
- 12 A. There is no record in any of my documents.
- 13 Q. Now, can I ask you to turn to -- may the witness be 14 shown 6172 of the same bundle.
- 15 This is Leighton's site attendance record, covering
- the month of September 2017.
- 17 A. Mmm.
- 18 Q. These records are arranged in alphabetical order, so if
- Mr Poon's name were to be found, it should be found on
- this page, but we can see none; can you see that?
- 21 A. I see the document.
- 22 Q. Again, can I ask you this: does China Technology have
- any record in its own system to show that Mr Poon was
- on site on 16 September 2017?
- 25 A. I would like to ask how come I don't have the full

Page 74

- 1 towards the right. There is no entry recorded in this
- 2 document for that day; correct?
- 3 A. Mmm.
- 4 Q. You will have to say "yes".
- 5 A. Yes, on the paper.
- 6 Q. Can I ask you this: China Technology has been unable to
- 7 produce any document from its own, most accurate record,
- 8 to show that Mr Poon was in fact on site on that day;
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. I'm not clear about that.
- 11 Q. Sorry, when you say you are not certain, are you
- 12 suggesting China Technology has such records or does not
- have such records?
- 14 A. I'm not certain whether we have such records. Mr Poon
- is our boss. We don't have to pay his wage. So maybe
- we don't have a record because of that. Because the
- 17 records were basically on the workers themselves.
- 18 Q. Mr Poon never emailed or WhatsApped any records which he
- 19 took from the sign-in logbook at the security guard post
- 20 to your WhatsApp group, correct, for that particular
- 21 day?
- 22 A. No, no, no. He didn't have to do that.
- 23 Q. Now, if I can summarise your evidence correctly. So far
- 24 China Technology has no evidence to show that Mr Jason
- 25 Poon was in fact on site on that day. Leaving aside

- document of this hand key? Let me take a look.
- 2 Q. If you want to have a complete reading of the records,
- 3 the records covering the month of September start from
- 4 6170, and it ends at 6173.
- 5 A. Yes. Could you put the question again, please?
- 6 Q. For the month of September, there was no record of
- 7 Mr Poon's attendance on site?
- 8 A. Yes, according to the hand key record.
- 9 Q. My question is: from China Technology's own internal
- 10 record, there is also no evidence or record that Mr Poon
- was on site on 16 September 2017; correct?
- 12 A. There is no evidence in the document.
- 13 Q. For these two dates which I have covered with you,
- 14 22 September 2015 and 16 September 2017, are you able to
- suggest any reason why Mr Poon could be on site on that
- day, according to China Tech's own internal records?
 - A. Because Mr Poon has a habit of driving to the site, so
- he won't have a palm or a hand ID. He would just park
- 19 his vehicle and he wouldn't have to go through the
- 20 access point. And sometimes, if they want to access the
- Leighton office, they will have to pass through gate 2
- and they don't need to check in. Typically, he would
- 23 have to go to the Leighton office every morning.
- Q. My question is: could you suggest any reason why Mr Poon
 was on site on those two days, according to China

Page 80

Page 77

- 1 Technology's own internal records? I'm not asking you
- 2 any reason why his attendance would not be captured in
- 3 these documents.
- 4 A. I don't know why he would be at the site on those two
- 5 days. Typically, he goes to the site every day.
- 6 There's no particular day that he has to go.
- 7 Q. So your evidence is that these records from Leighton
- 8 were inaccurate. Did China Technology ever make any
- 9 attempts to correct them with Leighton?
- 10 A. No. Leighton had its own system and we received these
- 11 PDFs from them. There's no way we could amend them.
- 12 Q. China Technology received these records from Leighton on
- 13 a monthly basis?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. China Technology's stance is that these records were
- 16 inaccurate?
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

23 A. Correct.

- 18 Q. So did China Technology make any attempt to correct
- 19 these records with Leighton, at any time?
- 20 A. Well, internally, we created a WhatsApp group, and we
- 21 wanted to track the workers' work hours more accurately,
- 22 because the palm or the hand record is only for our
- 23 salary record keeping.

update?

work on the site.

purposes of paying wages, then?

correct? Is that your evidence?

submit that for reference.

- 24 Q. So it's China Technology's evidence that China
- 25 Technology never, upon receiving these records, never go

back to Leighton and say, "Ah, these entries were not

A. No. These palm records have always been inaccurate, and

a lot of people might not check in with the card and go

CHAIRMAN: Can I ask, how did you ensure accuracy for

a basic reference, and then in our WhatsApp group the

foremen would record which workers had overtime or

special circumstances, and we would combine the two of

over the WhatsApp group as their site attendance record;

A. Yes, because if they have some card problems, they will

take pictures, they will put their signature at the

entry point, at the security point, and they would

O. And China Tech would not review these additional

information with Leighton; is that your evidence?

Q. Is there any particular reason why China Technology did

not do so, so as to verify whether your own workers were

A. First of all, we would use this as a reference, as

them and come up with a salary payment.

inaccurate [sic]", and ask Leighton to explain or

- 1 giving you the correct information?
- 2 A. Could you ask the question again?
- 3 Q. Your evidence is, if I understand correctly, China
- 4 Technology will not verify with Leighton these
- 5 additional attendance records which your workers
- 6 submitted through WhatsApp. My question is, if China
- 7 Technology did not verify these additional information
- 8 with Leighton, how would China Technology be able to
- 9 confirm that these records provided by your workers were
- 10 accurate?
- 11 A. Well, I should put it this way. The WhatsApp group
- 12 doesn't have participants of the workers. It's just the
- 13 foremen and managers. So workers would provide
- 14 information to the foremen and the foremen would submit
- 15 that information in the WhatsApp group. So, if the
- 16 company has faith in the manager, we will trust the
- 17 information they provide.
- 18 Q. Can you tell us how would the foremen verify the
- 19 information?
- 20 A. The foremen would see whether they are working, the
- 21 location where they are working, and that would be the
- 22 confirmation process.
- 23 Q. On a daily basis?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is there any document to record such daily verification

Page 78

- 1 by the foremen?
- 2 A. I'm not sure if the foremen have these documents.
- 3 I don't.
- 4 Q. Does China Technology keep any record of this kind of
- 5 daily verification by its foremen?
- 6

9

- 7 Q. Can I refer you to Ms Emily Cho's second witness
- 8 statement. It's in bundle C34, page 26645. The English
 - version starts from 26647.
- 10 Have you had a chance to go through this witness
- 11 statement before today?
- 12 A. Not the second witness statement.
- 13 Q. Can I refer you to paragraph 4 of this witness
- 14 MR CHANG: So you just accept whatever your workers told you 14 statement. You can read Chinese; correct?
 - 15 A. Yes, I can read Chinese.
 - Q. Can I trouble you to read to yourself the whole of 16
 - 17 paragraph 4, where Ms Cho explains the colouring in
 - 18 Leighton's site attendance records and the work hours.
 - 19 A. Yes, I'm done.
 - 20 Q. I'm asking you to read this paragraph because in
 - 21 paragraph 15 of your witness statement, you questioned
 - 22 the records when you queried the number in the "Work
 - 23 day" column doesn't match the monthly entries, and there
 - 24 is also the query about the markings in red.
 - My question is, having read paragraph 4 of Ms Cho's

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

Page 84

Page 81

- 1 second witness statement, do you accept her explanation
- 2 to be accurate?
- 3 A. It's inaccurate.
- 4 Q. Can you tell the Commission why and which part of her
- 5 explanation do you not accept as accurate?
- 6 A. Could you take November 2015 palm print? Otherwise,
- 7 it's very hard to explain to the Commission.
- 8 Q. I can refer you to Mr Poon's site attendance record
- 9 which was what Ms Cho was addressing. That's at
- 10 bundle C8/5720, the second-last entry at the bottom,
- 11 "Poon Chuk Hung". Can you see that?
- 12 A. Yes, I see it.
- 13 Q. Ms Cho explained first why certain entries were marked
- 14 in red. She says:
- 15 "The system identifies time entries in red for
- 16 persons who spent ten hours or more on site, but arrive
- 17 later (ie after 8 am) or departed earlier (ie before
- 18 6 pm) than the normal working hours."
- 19 That was Ms Cho's explanation; do you agree or
- 20 disagree?

25

1

- 21 A. I don't agree. Let's take a look at Mr Poon Chuk Hang's
- 22 record on the 30th. You see there is 8:12 but that's
- 23 not in red; right?
- 24 Q. Ms Cho's evidence or explanation is that entries which
 - are marked in red would be for those who spent ten hours

- 1 system worked, correct, insofar as these records are
- 2 concerned?

8

14

25

- 3 A. Well, you can say that.
- 4 Q. Now, on the number of work days, again Ms Cho explained,
- 5 for a person spending over ten hours on site, the system
- 6 will classify it as one work day; five to ten hours will
- 7 be classified as half work day; and under five days is
 - classified as absent.
- 9 Do you have any evidence or basis to suggest
- 10 Ms Cho's explanation is incorrect?
- 11 A. I am not certain about her calculation method. I've
- 12 never paid any attention to the work days. I just put
- 13 in the number of hours worked into our record to prepare
 - the payroll, and I never paid any attention to how she
- 15 calculated the work days.
- 16 Q. Your witness statement queried this document recording
- 17 Mr Poon's number of work days as 9.5, as we could see
- 18 from the entry towards the right-most -- the fourth
- 19
- 20 You say it can't be nine and a half days because you
- 21 yourself counted 15 and a half.
- 22 Ms Cho explained why Mr Poon's site attendance
- 23 record for September correctly showed nine and a half
- 24 work days. Do you have any basis or evidence to suggest
 - that she was wrong?

Page 82

- 2 On 30 September, Mr Poon arrived at 8:12 and left at
- 3 6 pm. That falls short of ten hours.
- 4 A. And what about the 16th? He arrived at 8:48 and then he
- 5 left at 18:46, so that's less than ten hours.
- 6 Q. That's two minutes short of ten hours.
- 7 A. (Chinese spoken).

or more on site.

- 8 Q. Mr Ngai, Ms Cho was explaining how the system worked in
- 9 colouring the entries. My only question is do you have
- 10 any basis to suggest Ms Cho's explanation was
- 11 inaccurate; "yes" or "no"?
- 12 A. I have to look at it, because I've just read Ms Cho's
- 13 second witness statement so I need some time to take
- 14 a look.
- 15 Q. But as to how the system works, namely, as explained by
- 16 Ms Cho, ten hours or more and the different arriving
- 17 times would show a red entry, this mechanism, is there
- 18 anything you can suggest to rebut or disagree with
- 19 Ms Cho's explanation?
- 20 A. When I prepared the payroll, I studied into the red
- 21 parts and I asked why some parts were highlighted in red
- 22 and others not. I don't agree with her, but I need time
- 23 to look further into it. Going by my experience,
- 24 I query her marking system.
- Q. In any event, you have no idea how Leighton's computer

- 1 A. Going by Chinat's calculation method, this was a wrong
- 2 figure.
- 3 Q. How would China Technology compute the work days of 4 Mr Poon?
- 5 A. Mr Poon's work day, he was the boss -- we didn't have to
- calculate his work days. For a usual worker, we will go
- 6 7 by the palm recognition system, and then we calculated
- the number of days he worked and came up with the 8
- 9 payroll. We didn't go by the half-day or full-day
- 10
- formula as suggested by Ms Cho. We counted the number 11 of work hours.
- 12 Q. So Ms Cho explains this is how Leighton's system worked.
- 13 Do you have any evidence or basis to say she was wrong?
- 14 A. I don't have any basis. And I don't understand why
- 15 a work shift of less than five hours would be considered
- absent. I think that doesn't sound right to any worker. 16
- 17 If they have worked for three hours, then those three
- 18 hours should be counted in preparing the payroll.
- 19 Q. China Technology has received monthly records like this
- 20 from Leighton; correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Including this one which we are looking at?
- 23 A. Well, it should be the one in 2015 and not 2018.
- 24 Q. The copy which China Technology received for the month
- 25 of September 2015, insofar as Mr Poon is concerned, is

Page 85 Page 87 1 identical to this document; correct? Mr Poon's salary, so I won't need to verify that with 2 2 A. I have to get my own copy to take a look first. Leighton. 3 Q. Leaving aside whether it's necessary for him to do so, 3 Q. D2/1136. Can you see the code 5097? I just want an answer as to whether factually Mr Poon or 4 A. Yes. 4 5 5 Q. That's for Mr Poon, and the number of work days, nine China Technology ever verified or queried with Leighton 6 and a half; can you see that? 6 these entries from 19 to 28 September 2015, as we can 7 A. Yes, I see that. see from this document. 8 A. You are referring to Mr Poon, all the workers, or any --Q. Upon receiving this from Leighton, did China Technology 9 ask Leighton why did it record Mr Poon's attendance as 9 O. For Mr Poon. 10 10 nine and a half work days? A. No. I repeat once again, it's not necessary. 11 A. No. When we made our own calculation, we would not pay 11 So if a person doesn't need his salary to be 12 calculated, there's no reason for me to do such 12 attention to the work days, and neither did we have to 13 13 a calculation. calculate the work days of Mr Poon, so we didn't pay 14 14 Q. Did China Technology ever tell Leighton there is no need attention to that. 15 to provide the site attendance record of Mr Poon at all? 15 Now, in 2016, we have 11 pages, and then I don't 16 know why then for subsequent years we have those pages. 16 A. No. 17 So, I don't know, maybe there are some inaccuracies 17 MR CHANG: Thank you, Mr Chairman. Thank you. 18 18 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I ask a question at this point 19 19 Q. I am focusing on the entry for Mr Poon for September Mr Ngai. This is really just for my interest. Did you 20 2015. Is it correct that despite this record which you 20 pay your workers by the hour or by the day? 21 received from Leighton, China Technology also did not 21 A. Daily wage, and we divided that by ten hours, and if 22 seek to correct any entries for Mr Poon with Leighton 22 they had worked three hours then we would give them 0.3 23 23 for this month? of a day's wage. 24 24 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right. So are you telling me tha A. No, we didn't do that. Mr Poon, the boss, he might 25 drive in and out of the site, so the record on -- the 25 you added up all of their hours during a week and then Page 86 Page 88 1 divided that by ten, and then paid them that number of palm recognition record was not right, and on the 29th 1 2 2 there was no entry. And then on 5740 there was a worker days? Is that the way you did it? 3 entry which was mistaken. So we didn't revise this 3 A. Well, we calculated daily. There's a daily wage. Let's 4 thing and we would look into our own internal records 4 say he starts at 8.00 and he finishes at 12.00, so that 5 and then make amendments for payroll preparation 5 will give him 0.4 of a day's wage. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I see. So on every day he works 6 7 Q. Are you suggesting, between the 20th -- in fact between 7 you determined the hours that he worked and then divided 8 19 September all the way to 28 September, these dates 8 that by ten -- and then for each hour he worked, that 9 9 would be a tenth of a day's wage; is that correct? where we can see no entry for Mr Poon, he drove to work 10 every day, and therefore the system did not capture his 10 A. Yes, roughly. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. 11 attendance? Is that your evidence? 11 12 A. Mr Poon usually drove to the site, but for this 12 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 13 MR KHAW: No questions from the government. 13 particular period I'm not so sure. 14 Q. Well, if Mr Poon usually drives to site, as you say, can 14 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR, sir. 15 you explain why we still see entries for the period of 15 Re-examination by MR TO 16 1 September all the way to 18 September on this MR TO: I just have two questions to re-examine, if that's 16 17 17 document? okav A. I'm not -- Mr Poon, I couldn't offer an explanation. 18 Mr Ngai, just two questions. 18 19 Q. Now, seeing that there is a block of what you call 19 A. Yes. 20 20 missing entries or non-entries for 19 to 28 September, Q. These touch on the questions that were raised before. 21 21 China Technology or Mr Poon took no steps to verify or The first question: how many times has Leighton 22 22 correct this with Leighton; correct? approached you about the sign-in/sign-out records in 23 23 A. First of all, he's the boss. He's not an employee, so terms of whether they were accurate? 24 he doesn't need to verify anything with Leighton. And 24 A. In my recollection, I don't think they contacted me

25

regarding this.

25

when we do a payroll, we don't have to calculate

Page 89 Page 91 1 Q. My second question is: according to Ms Emily Cho in her 1 So I thought this might be an opportune moment, just 2 second witness statement, in her report it says five 2 in case you and Prof Hansford haven't looked at it yet, 3 3 hours is classified as absent? to show you two documents which might help with some of 4 4 A. Yes, I can see that. the background. I do that because -- I do it now and 5 5 Q. What will happen if you don't pay your workers according I haven't done it before because yesterday, I think last 6 to the Leightons in and out report? 6 evening, we were helpfully given a document by Leighton, 7 A. They will deem it as wages in arrears. They will go to 7 and that is the guideline 121 that I had been asking 8 8 the Labour Department and they will take us to court. about previously. 9 So if they work four hours, we have to pay them four 9 Before we go there, however, could I ask you, 10 10 hours of wages. We have to pay in full. please, to be shown a document at B3/1615. Bundle B3, 11 MR TO: I don't have any further questions. 11 page 1615. Sir, I'll have to read this off the screen 12 Chairman, Commissioner, that's me finished. 12 because I don't have a hard copy. 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 13 Sir, this is part of the MTR's project integrated 14 14 WITNESS: (In English) Thank you. management system, also known as PIMS. It is headed, 15 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm still struggling actually on 15 "Guidelines for raising contract-level works NCR", and 16 Mr To's last question and the answer that went with it. 16 clause 1 or paragraph 1 of this document defines 17 The question you were asked, and I've got it on the 17 an NCR -- this is the MTR definition: 18 18 "A Works NCR is to report a non-conforming product 19 "What will happen if you don't pay your workers 19 which does not fulfil the specified requirements of 20 according to the Leightons in and out report?" 20 a contract. The non-conforming product shall be dealt 21 And your answer was: 21 with before proceeding to the next stage of work or 22 "They will deem it as wages in arrears." 22 before covering up. A Works NCR is raised where the 23 23 Who will deem it as wages in arrears? non-conforming product is significant and that 24 A. The workers will go to the Labour Department. They will 24 corrective and preventive actions are required to say that their wages are incorrect. The Labour 25 25 prevent recurrence of similar nature." Page 90 Page 92 1 Department will first come to us, they will also go to 1 So one gets assistance from that definition as to 2 the main contractor, Leightons, and they will look at 2 the circumstances in which the MTR at least think an NCR 3 the palm ID and see whether we have paid accordingly. 3 should be issued. That is something that is 4 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Now I think I understand. 4 significant, and the corrective and preventive actions 5 What you are saying is if you had followed Leighton's 5 are required. 6 system of five hours or less being absent, if you had 6 Paragraph 2 gives examples: pile out of specified 7 followed that, and on that basis not paid your workers, 7 tolerance; major concrete defects, honeycomb defects and 8 then they would go to the Labour Department; is that 8 so forth; missing rebars in structures per design 9 9 your answer? requirements; non-approved material incorporated in the 10 A. Totally correct. 10 work. 11 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Now I understand. Thank you. 11 Then paragraph 3 gives examples of where Works NCRs 12 MR TO: Thank you, Professor. 12 should not be raised, and a list is given -- I don't 13 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence 13 read them out -- then if we could scroll down please, 14 is now completed. Thank you for your assistance. 14 "Points to note when raising Works NCR": 15 WITNESS: (In English) Thank you, Chairman. 15 "The contract management team should encourage 16 (The witness was released) 16 contractors raising their own Works NCR in accordance 17 MR PENNICOTT: Sir. the next witness is Mr Zervaas. 17 with their own QA/QC procedure. This is a more efficient way than the contract manager raising the NCR CHAIRMAN: Yes. 18 18 19 MR PENNICOTT: Before we call him in, can I just mention one 19 to the contractors. CM team shall obtain a copy of the 20 matter? Sir, not necessarily with Mr Zervaas, but 20 contractor's NCR to maintain oversight". 21 I don't rule it out entirely, but certainly with 21 Of course we know that NCR157 was indeed passed by 22 a number of the forthcoming Leighton witnesses, we will 22 the MTR to Leighton. We saw that when we were speaking 23 23 inevitably be going back to the topic of NCRs, and in to Mr Plummer. 24 particular, I suspect, NCR157, with which we are 24 That's the MTR position, as it were. I haven't read 25 of course very familiar. 25 it all out.

Page 93 Page 95 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Mr Pennicott, before we move on, can COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Obviously I can look at it 1 1 2 I understand, this is NCR guidelines to MTR staff, as 2 myself, but in NCR157 you tell us the subsidiary cause 3 opposed to NCR guidelines to MTR's contractors? 3 was noted as personnel. 4 MR PENNICOTT: That's my understanding, sir, yes: the people MR PENNICOTT: I'm just doing it from recollection. 4 at MTR who may be responsible for the issuing of NCRs. 5 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Not methodology? 5 6 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Fine. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: No, sir. MR PENNICOTT: And, sir, could I then take you to the 7 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I suppose it could have been either 8 document that we were given yesterday. I'm afraid --8 MR PENNICOTT: Yes. 9 I know it's in C35, I know that's item 159, but I'm 9 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. 10 afraid I don't have the page number. But it seems to be MR PENNICOTT: If we can scroll down a little bit, please --10 11 on the screen. Thank you very much. 11 yes, if we could pause there -- sir, if you look at the 12 So, sir, I understand this to be guideline 121, and 12 penultimate example there -- it's quite interesting that 13 I'm sure it says that somewhere but I can't immediately 13 you raised the point: 14 see it. This, as I say, was received yesterday. It's 14 It says: 15 called "Non-conformance report classification". 15 "Congested reinforcing bars" -- so this is the 16 16 "Purpose defective work description example -- "in a column 17 To describe the method of classifying defective work 17 prevented proper vibration of concrete during placing. 18 non-conformances. 18 Later, when removing the formwork, honeycombed concrete 19 19 was found at a number of locations." Classification methods 20 Three methods are used to classify the 20 Main cause, workmanship; subsidiary cause, 21 non-conformance report, those methods are described 21 methodology. 22 22 below In that case, one can see perhaps the difference 23 23 1. Party responsible for causing defect" -- I don't between a congested reinforcing bar and its consequence, 24 read it all out. Then: 24 and the 157 situation where you have rebar not screwed 25 "2. Causes of defective work". 25 in and/or cut, and not described as methodology but Page 94 Page 96 If you could scroll down, please, a matrix is given described as personnel. 1 2 there, and again I don't propose to read it all out at 2 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Perhaps it's rather subjective as to 3 3 whether it's the methodology or personnel. Probably this stage. 4 If you could scroll down again, please. 4 a bit of both, actually. 5 Then we have the non-conformance report MR PENNICOTT: One can see that. I thought that might be 6 6 useful at this stage because we are bound to be coming classification, and the main causes are listed there: 7 7 back to this NCR in due course. survey, documentation, workmanship, material handling, COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I think that's very useful, thank 8 manufacture, identification, design and other. Then 9 9 subsidiary causes: personnel, material, plant and 10 10 MR PENNICOTT: With that, Mr Zervaas. equipment, and so forth. MR WILKEN: Good afternoon, Chairman and Professor. I note 11 You will recall that on NCR157, the primary cause, 11 12 it's 3.15. I'm happy to start now with Mr Zervaas. I'm 12 whatever it is defined as -- sorry, go up again 13 now doing Mr Pennicott's mistake for him. 13 please -- the main cause was described as workmanship 14 14 CHAIRMAN: And I'm paying special attention. and the subsidiary cause I think was personnel. 15 15 Yes, that might be an idea. So this is, as it were, the Leightons 16 MR PENNICOTT: Yes, I think so. 16 classification. What it doesn't do, it seems to me --17 17 MR WILKEN: Let's start with Mr Zervaas. and I don't know if there is anything else Leightons CHAIRMAN: Ten minutes? Sorry, 15 minutes. 18 have -- it doesn't, as per the MTR document that we 19 looked at, doesn't define the circumstances in which 19 (3.16 pm)20 20 (A short adjournment) an NCR might be issued, ie something that's significant 21 21 (3.32 pm)or something that's preventive, and so forth. It really 22 is just a description of how one fills in the form and 22 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 23 23 MR WILKEN: Mr Chairman and Professor, we now move to the how one classifies the different causes, and so forth. 24 24 Sir, I am helpfully told that the bottom right of evidence of Mr Zervaas. 25 this bottom is EDL121. 25 Mr Zervaas, can you give your full name to the

	Page 97		Page 99
1	tribunal, please.	1	I get to ask you some questions first.
2	WITNESS: Anthony Peter Zervaas.	2	A. Yes.
3	MR ANTHONY PETER ZERVAAS (sworn)	3	Q. Others will follow. As Mr Wilken rightly says, if the
4	Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN	4	Chairman or Commissioner wish at any stage to ask you
5	MR WILKEN: You have given four statements to this Inquiry.	5	questions, they will, and when we get to the end of that
6	Can I take you to them in turn, please. The first is at	6	process, if Mr Wilken feels it necessary or appropriate
7	C12/7673. Do you see there the first page of your first	7	to ask you any further questions, then he gets
8	witness statement?	8	an opportunity to do so.
9	A. Correct.	9	A. Okay.
10	Q. Then if you go to 7680, is that your signature?	10	Q. Now, Mr Zervaas, you I think became project director on
11	A. Yes.	11	behalf of Leighton in October 2016; is that right?
12	Q. And it's dated 13 September 2018?	12	A. Yes, correct.
13	A. Mm-hmm.		
		13	Q. And you took over from Mr Plummer? A. Correct.
14	Q. Can you go now, please, to C32/24656. Is that the first	14	
15	page of your second witness statement? A. Yes.	15	Q. Was there a short sort of handover period between the
16		16	two of you?
17	Q. If you go to 24660, is that your signature?	17	A. Yes. It was four days, from memory.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. Four days?
19	Q. And it's dated 15 October 2018?	19	A. Yeah.
20	A. Correct.	20	Q. Can I ask you, when that sort of handover takes place,
21	Q. If you can then be taken, please, to C34/26496, is that	21	when you replace somebody at that sort of level of
22	the first page of your third witness statement?	22	project director, what steps did you take to familiarise
23	A. Yes.	23	yourself with the project and understand where it had
24	Q. Then if you can go to 26504, is that your signature?	24	reached and what issues may have arisen, and so forth?
25	A. Correct.	25	How did you go about that?
	Page 98		Page 100
1	Q. Is that dated 29 October 2018?	1	A. I had a Malcolm gave me a download on the world as he
2	A. Yes.	2	saw it. I also reported to an operations manager at the
3	Q. Can you please be taken to C35/26574. Is that the first	3	time and he also gave me a download on how he saw the
4	page of your fourth witness statement?	4	status of the project. Then I spoke to some of the key
5	A. Yes.	5	staff on the project at the time, just to, you know, get
6	Q. Then if you go, please, to 26576, is that your	6	people's views and then form my own view.
7	signature?	7	Q. Right. Where had you come from?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. I had been working the previous three and a half/four
9	Q. Is that dated 5 November 2018?	9	years in Macau. I was working on a casino project in
10	A. Yes.	10	Macau.
11	Q. That's the evidence which you wish to advance to this	11	Q. Right. So, when you joined the project in October 2016,
12	Commission?	12	this was your first ever involvement with the project;
13	A. Yes.	13	you had no prior involvement with it?
14	Q. Is it true and correct, as far as you are concerned?	14	A. Never, ever.
15	A. Correct, yes.	15	Q. All right.
16	Q. Is there anything you want to add or alter to it?	16	Could I ask you, please, to be shown an email which
17	A. No.	17	is at C12/7922.
18	MR WILKEN: Mr Zervaas, if you wait there, please, various	18	Can we go to the next page thank you very much.
19	counsel and members of the Commission will ask you some	19	This is the email of 6 January 2017 that was sent to
20	questions, starting with the man to my left,	20	you by Mr Poon and also sent to Joe Tam?
	Mr Dannia att	21	A. Correct.
21	Mr Pennicott.		
21 22	WITNESS: Okay.	22	Q. Could we then go to 7939. This is the follow-up email
	WITNESS: Okay. Examination by MR PENNICOTT	23	that Mr Poon sent on 7 January 2017. He says:
22	WITNESS: Okay.	23	

Page 104

Page 101

- 1 that your site in-charge Khyle Roger was well aware and
- 2 directing these activities."
- 3 Do you see that?
- 4 A. Yes, I see that.
- 5 Q. Mr Rodgers told us the other day that he had never seen
- 6 that email. Is he right?
- 7 A. Yes. I don't recall talking to him about it or issuing
- 8 that email to him.
- 9 Q. You've anticipated my next question. He didn't see the
- 10 email.
- 11
- 12 Q. And he also told us that you didn't speak to him about
- 13 it, and it sounds as though you agree with that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you feel it, if you did -- let me ask the direct 15
- 16 question: why didn't you speak to him about it?
- 17 A. I had the previous day contacted my superior, which was
- 18 Paul Freeman at the time, and we decided that it was
- 19 best that we get an independent investigation underway
- 20 which involved -- which was led by our head of
- 21 engineering, Mr Stephen Lumb. So I wanted that
- 22 investigation to be independent and I didn't want to
- 23 influence the investigation by talking to anyone about
- 24

25

1

Secondly, at the time that email was sent, it was

- 1 investigation -- you've given his name already -- or one
- 2 of his assistants a copy of this email so that they
- 3 could speak to the person?
- 4 A. I don't recall giving that email to Mr Lumb. I don't
- 5 know who I gave it to, if anybody.
- MR PENNICOTT: That's a point I was going to take up with 6
- 7 you, Mr Zervaas. Could you please look at paragraph 13
- 8 of your witness statement. That's 7675. That's your
- 9 first witness statement.
- 10 A. Yes.

14

17

20

25

- 11 Q. You say:
- 12 "Given the serious allegations made by Poon,
- 13 I immediately forwarded that email to Michael Fu of
 - MTRCL ..."
- 15 Now, let's pause there for the moment. That
- 16 email -- now, I've shown you two; there's 6 January and
 - 7 January -- which email are you referring to?
- 18 A. 6 January.
- 19 Q. Okay. Then you go on:
 - "... copying my superiors at the time, Paul Freeman
- 21 (operations manager ...) and Stephen Lumb (head of
- 22 engineering) ... Leighton mobilised Stephen Lumb and his
- 23 team to come to site with the team to conduct
- 24 an investigation."
 - So you say that you gave Mr Lumb, amongst others,

Page 102

- clear that Mr Poon was trying to apply commercial
- 2 pressure during a commercial dispute. That's how I felt
- 3 at the time. Okay?
- 4 Q. It just seems slightly curious, Mr Zervaas, that whether
- 5 he's right or whether he's wrong, Mr Poon has named
- 6 a particular individual in an email, so he's pinpointed
- 7 somebody, if you like, involved in the sort of
- 8 malpractices he was talking about at the time, and you
- 9 didn't think it appropriate to speak to him. I just
- 10 point it curious, Mr Zervaas.
- 11 A. As I said, I felt it necessary to make sure the
- 12 investigation was independent, and I left that up to
- 13 Stephen Lumb and his team.
- 14 Q. Because of course the problem that arose -- I say
- 15 "problem" -- one of the consequences of you not speaking
- to Mr Rodgers, it also appears that Mr Lumb never spoke 16
- 17 to Mr Rodgers either, so something rather got lost in
- 18 the investigation, that is the one person that was named
- 19 was never spoken to. Again, don't you find that rather
- 20 odd?
- 21 A. No, not at the time, no. That was the decision -- that
- 22 was the decision I made, and the day before I'd asked
- 23 for the investigation to take place, and I stayed
- 24 removed from the investigation.
- CHAIRMAN: Did you give to whoever was doing the

- 1 the first email, if you like --
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. -- the 6 January, but you didn't give him the 7 January;
- 4 is that the position?
- 5 A. I don't recall sending anyone -- sending anyone that
- 6 email on the 7th. I don't recall having done that.
- 7 Q. Okay. Perhaps we could just have a look at what Mr Lumb
- 8 says about that. We will need to find his first witness
- 9 statement, at C20112, C27/20112.
- 10 What he says is this, at paragraph 15 -- this is
- 11 Mr Lumb speaking, Mr Zervaas:
- 12 "I was told that there had been an allegation made
- 13 in an email (I do not recall if I was specifically told
- 14 that it came from Jason Poon), which attached various 15 photographs."
- 16 Now, it was the second email, 7 January, that
- 17 attached the photographs; yes?
- 18 A. I'd need to check that.
- 19 Q. Okay. Assume I'm right.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. "I was shown the photographs [says Mr Lumb] (but not the
- 22 email). I cannot now recall exactly what was shown in
- 23 the photographs, but I do recall that they showed the
- 24 cut end of a threaded reinforcement bar."
- 25 Do you see? Obviously I'll get the opportunity of

23

24

25

account and the 1.6 million. To maintain -- the

discussion around maintaining the relationship, it was

all, "Mr Poon, how can we be assured you're not going to

Page 105 Page 107 1 asking Mr Lumb --1 continue making false allegations every time there's 2 2 A. Yes. a commercial dispute?" Okay? That's when it was put to 3 Q. -- at some point what it was he had in his possession. 3 him to sign a confidentiality agreement. 4 But so far as you're concerned, as I understand it, you 4 Q. Right. So did you put that to him before Mr Speed 5 sent him the email of the 6th, you have no recollection 5 turned up at the meeting? 6 of sending him the email of the 7th, but if I'm right 6 A. Correct, yes. 7 about the photographs, somebody gave him the photographs 7 Q. Had you discussed that move, as it were, with Mr Speed 8 attached to the 7 January email? 8 beforehand? 9 9 A. Yes. I don't -- I'm not clear on the 7th email; okay? A. Yes, that's correct. Yes. 10 Q. All right. We'll come back to Mr Lumb's report, or 10 Q. You probably may have heard or read the evidence that 11 review report, a little later on, but I just wanted to 11 Mr Speed gave yesterday as to the reasons for entering 12 try to clear the decks on those emails to start with, 12 into or asking Mr Poon to enter into that 13 but we will come back to Mr Lumb's report later. 13 confidentiality agreement. Have you read that? 14 Could I then switch to September 2017 --14 A. Yes, I read the transcript this morning. 15 A. Mm-hmm. 15 Q. You read the transcript. Let's just remind ourselves of 16 Q. -- and the meetings that you had with Mr Poon to settle 16 what he said. So that's the transcript for Day 16. 17 the final account. 17 It's at page 110 of yesterday. The question at 18 A. Yes. 18 line 3 -- have you got it there? 19 Q. And also enter into the confidentiality agreement. 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Mm-hmm. 20 Q. -- that I asked was: 21 Q. My understanding is that you had a meeting on 21 "In the last three to five years, Mr Speed, how many 22 16 September 2017 with Mr Poon. 22 confidentiality agreements has Leighton entered into, 23 A. The 15th. 23 approximately, with their sub-contractors?" 24 Q. Sorry, 15 September --24 Pausing there, before we look at the answer --25 25 A. Friday, the 15th. I didn't actually get an answer to that question, so I'm Page 106 Page 108 Q. Friday, 15 September 2015. 1 going to ask you. Can you recall how many 1 2 2 A. Yes. confidentiality agreements Leighton has entered into, 3 3 Q. In the late afternoon? approximately, in the last three to five years? 4 A. Correct. 4 A. I can't speak on behalf of Leighton but as far as agreed 5 Q. And you reached an agreement with him, and the further 5 final accounts, this was my first one. final account sum was 1.6 million? 6 O. Your first one? 6 7 A. Yes. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And Mr Speed told us yesterday that prior to you having 8 Q. Okay. So in the three and a half years in Macau on 9 that meeting with Mr Poon, you and Mr Speed discussed 9 a Leightons project, no confidentiality agreements 10 the parameters of the deal that you hoped to reach with 10 entered into? 11 Mr Poon? 11 A. Not closing. 12 A. That is correct. 12 Q. Okay. 13 13 Q. Could I ask you this: at what point in the discussions A. But I hadn't had someone making false allegations 14 with Mr Poon did the confidentiality agreement arise; at 14 15 what stage? 15 Q. So if this was your first one -- I mean, were you aware A. It was -- to my recollection, we spoke about finishing of the concept, the idea of a confidentiality agreement, 16 16 17 17 before this one? up on the project; okay? In the previous days and A. We'd used -- I know of confidentiality agreements being 18 weeks, we had been sending letters about poor 18 19 performance, and I agreed with Mr Poon that, you know, 19 used when we're tendering, when we are asking people to 20 20 it wasn't working out and we were determined to maintain provide ideas and initiatives and, you know, give us 21 the relationship because of the Liantang project up on 21 ideas for winning edges and that they remain 22 22 the border. We agreed the parameters of the final confidential. I know consultants -- we've had

consultants from time to time signing confidentiality

23

24

agreements.

Q. Yes.

Page 112

Page 109

- A. And there could have been specialist sub-contractors
- 2 also that signed confidentiality agreements.
- 3 So, I mean -- yeah, as far as I know, this was
- 4 a standard confidentiality agreement.
- 5 Q. Right. But the first one that you had direct personal
- 6 experience of?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I go back just a tiny bit. You said
- 10 a little bit earlier that you said to Jason Poon words
- 11 to the effect, "How can we be assured that you won't
- 12 keep making false allegations?" Do you recall putting
- 13 it to him that directly?
- 14 A. Yes. Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: What was his reaction?
- 16 A. He just smirked at me. Look, I -- it's not really clear
- 17 but he smirked at me and then, you know, I said,
- 18 "Perhaps we can sign a confidentiality agreement", and
- 19 he agreed to that. I don't think there was any debate
- 20 about it.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: He didn't sort of say anything along the lines
- 22 of, you know, "They are not false at all", or anything
- 23 like that?

1

2

- 24 A. No. There was no resistance -- sorry, sir, what was
- 25 your question again?

- 1 A. He said, "Yes, I will sign it". You know, there was
- 2 an agreement -- that's my recollection, he said, "Yes,
- 3 okay".
- 4 CHAIRMAN: There wasn't any protestation on his part that
- 5 you remember?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 MR PENNICOTT: Did you have the confidentiality agreement
- 8 there in your hands, ready to give him, on the 15th?
- 9 A. No, I did not. I recall it was drafted the following
- 10 Monday. The final account statement and the
- 11 confidentiality agreement were drafted on the Monday,
- 12
- 13 Q. So you had only talked about the prospect --
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. -- of entering into a confidentiality agreement in
- 16 principle on the 15th; you hadn't actually seen the
- 17 terms on the 15th?
- 18 A. Yes, correct.
- CHAIRMAN: At that time -- one final question on this 19
- 20 subject, thank you -- to your knowledge, had Mr Poon
- 21 been made aware of the report by Mr Stephen Lumb?
- 22 A. There was a phone call on the Friday morning. I was in
- 23 Macau. I regularly went to Macau every Friday. And he
- 24 rang me just before I was going into a meeting and it
 - was again payment, it was a payment question, and he

Page 110

- CHAIRMAN: I wanted to know if you had been quite direct in 1
- saying to him, "Look, we need to be sure that you won't 2
- 3 continue making false allegations", and you've said to
- 4 the best of your memory you did put it pretty bluntly to
- 5 him, almost in words of that kind if not those words.
- 6 A. Yes, I was frustrated because the email of 6 January was
- 7 when there was a commercial dispute, and he had removed
- 8 his labour from the project at the time; okay? So the
- 9 timing of the 6 January email was around a commercial
- 10 dispute. Then that email that he had sent to the
- 11 Secretary of Transport on Friday, 15 September was at
- 12 the time of a request for payment which was leading to
- 13 the commercial dispute because the site team at the time
- 14 had sent him notices about poor progress and was
- 15 referring to, "You need to improve your progress or we
- 16 may need to terminate your contract", words to that
- 17 effect.
- 18 So we had reached a serious point and, you know, he
- 19 had sent that email to the secretary on the Friday, and
- 20 on that evening when I met with him, I was direct,
- 21 because, you know, there was a trend emerging with
- 22 Mr Poon.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: Okay. And as you say, your memory is that,
- 24 depending on how you interpret it, he simply smiled back
- 25 at you or, to use the word --

- said Jon's away -- Jon Kitching was the project director
- at the time and he had gone on leave for a long weekend,
- 3 and he said, "Am I going to get either -- am I going to
- 4 get a cheque today?" I said, "I'm just going into
- 5 a client meeting, I'm not aware of the details, what's
- 6 outstanding to you, I'll be back in Hong Kong tonight,
- 7 let's meet tomorrow." He said, "What about my email in
- 8 January?" And I said, "Okay, what about it?", and he
- 9 said, "You never responded to me", and I said, "I told
- 10 you that I appointed -- sorry, I said I had appointed
- 11 an investigator, as in Stephen Lumb, and we had
- 12 conducted an internal investigation, we had contacted
- 13 MTRC, and there was nothing -- in that review, there was
- 14 nothing untoward identified. Then he just said, "Are
- 15 you going to pay me?" I said, "Look, be reasonable
- 16 about this. I'm in Macau, I don't know the details.
- 17 Let's meet on site tomorrow. Let's step through this,
- 18 Jason", and he hung up on me.
- 19 And then subsequent to that I went into the client
- 20 meeting, I recall when I looked at my phone during
- 21 a client meeting, he had sent an email to the Secretary
- 22 of Transport requesting a meeting.
- 23 MR PENNICOTT: And that precipitated you coming back for the
- 24 afternoon meeting --
- 25 A. Well, I came back earlier that I what I'd normally --

Page 116

Page 113

1

3

4

5

6

- 1 Q. Just to be clear and just focusing on the chairman's
- 2 question, at no time did you give Mr Poon Mr Lumb's
- 3 report?
- 4 A. No.

6

- 5 CHAIRMAN: Looking back on it -- and I appreciate hindsight
 - is perfect wisdom, and none of us have perfect wisdom.
- 7 -- but looking back on it now, you had a man who
- 8 had, in fairly strong terms, made mention of the fact
- 9 that there was perhaps serious corner-cutting in the
- 10 question of the steel fixing works. Didn't you think it
- 11 would be perhaps a good idea to go back to him and say,
- 12 "Look, let's placate the guy, let's show him the report,
- 13 it's all been investigated, we've taken his views
- 14 seriously, we've looked into the matter; okay? And we
- 15 haven't found anything; okay?" So he has been taken
- 16 seriously, and then you can move from there on a firmer
- 17 basis.

1

2

3

4

5

9

- 18 A. I wasn't prepared to give Jason any more air time on the
- 19 allegation that he made.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Yes, but looking back now, you don't think
- 21 sometimes giving somebody who's frustrated, who is
- 22 demanding, who is making allegations that public works
- 23 are in danger -- you don't think you shouldn't give him
- 24 a bit of air time?
- 25 A. Not when he's applying -- he's trying to get commercial

them [that's China Technology] to enter into the

2 confidentiality agreement either?

> Answer: We -- I think, as I said, the false allegations and lies that were getting made against" --I think that should be "by" -- "China Technology, that is a reason why the confidentiality agreement was

7 included."

8 So, Mr Zervaas, do you agree with what Mr Speed 9 said?

- 10 A. In respect to "the false allegations and lies that were 11 getting made against China Tech, that is a reason why
- 12 the confidentiality agreement was being included",
- 13 absolutely.
- 14 Q. So essentially, to put it rather bluntly, you were
- 15 contemplating at that stage entering into this
- 16 confidentiality agreement to shut him up; is that right?
- 17 A. Not to make any more false allegations.
- 18 Q. To shut him up?
- 19 A. Not to make any more false -- I mean, let's remember,
- 20 when he -- at the time of the meeting, he had already
- 21 sent an email to the Secretary for Transport; okay? So
- 22 the issue about cutting rebar, he had already made
- 23 public by way of issuing an email to the Secretary of
- 24 Transport. For me, it was making false allegations
 - about any new issues.

Page 114

- gain from raising the issues. That was my view at the
- time, he was trying to get commercial gain, achieve 2 statement, a paragraph which I'm bound to say you repeat

5

6

7

25

- 3 commercial gain.
- CHAIRMAN: You know, some people, with respect, may say it 4 less similar terms, you say this:
- 6 your comment?
- 7 A. I disagree strongly.
- 8 MR PENNICOTT: All right. Just going back to the
 - confidentiality agreement and Mr Speed's evidence from

tended to show a corporate arrogance. What would be

- 10 yesterday. So we're back at line 6 with Mr Speed's
- answer, where he said, in answer to my question: 11
- 12 "With our supply chain, we normally use
- 13 confidentiality agreements for -- basically, in
- 14 tendering, with designers and consultants."
- 15 That's a point you made earlier, Mr Zervaas.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. "In these circumstances, we are receiving basically from
- 18 Jason Poon and China Technology false allegations and
- 19 lies, and we decided in a meeting prior to meeting with
- 20 Jason that we would attach the standard form of
- 21 confidentiality agreement to the final account."
- 22 Then if we could go to page 111, please, line 6, the
- 23 next point, the next question I raised, was:
- 24 "There is nothing in the conditions, the terms and 25 conditions, of the final account statement that require

- Q. You see, Mr Zervaas, in paragraph 27 of your witness
 - in the second and third witness statements in more or
 - "Poon signed a confidentiality agreement as part of
 - the termination of the sub-contract. Poon was happy to
- sign it. This is because Leighton does not want other
- 8 sub-contractors to know about the terms of the
- 9 termination. Obviously, it is not in the best interest
- 10 of Leighton for its sub-contractors to disclose
- 11 commercial information with respect to a mutual
- 12
 - termination."
- 13 So the justification you're giving there, and
- 14 repeated in your second and third witness statements, is
- 15 that you don't want China Technology or Mr Poon to
- 16 disclose commercial information. The justification was
- 17 not because he was making false allegations. So why
- 18 don't we see anything in here, in your witness
- 19 statement, about the reason that the confidentiality 20 agreement was entered into, suggested to him, was
- 21 because he was making false allegations?
- 22 A. Well, they were the facts. We didn't want him making
- 23 false allegations.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: I don't think that actually answers the question.
- 25 Perhaps, Mr Pennicott --

Page 117 Page 119 1 MR PENNICOTT: I will try again, sir. 1 Q. -- following negotiations, you reached an agreement with 2 In this statement, Mr Zervaas, and as I've repeated 2 China Technology on a revised milestone and final 3 twice already, in your subsequent statements, you make 3 account payment schedule; yes? 4 4 the point that you wanted Poon, China Technology, to A. Correct. 5 enter into this confidentiality agreement so that 5 Q. And the final account payment sum at that point in time 6 commercial information, confidential commercial was \$28 million? 6 7 information, would not be disclosed to other parties. 7 A. Correct. 8 A. Okay. 8 Q. And at that point in time, I believe, no malpractice 9 Q. You are now saying, as I understand it, that the actual 9 allegations had been made to you by Mr Poon? 10 justification for asking him to enter into the 10 A. Correct. 11 confidentiality agreement was nothing to do with 11 Q. So that's first. 12 commercial information, but because he was making false 12 Secondly -- and it didn't take long for things to go 13 allegations. Those are two separate things. 13 a bit sour -- as we've seen, there were the emails of 14 A. Sorry, I see them linked. He had potential to make 14 6 and 7 January? 15 false allegations to obtain commercial gain. That's the 15 A. Yes. 16 way I saw it. 16 Q. Which certainly did allege serious malpractice, as we've 17 CHAIRMAN: But hadn't you entered into an agreement with him 17 18 now? The confidentiality agreement was one leg of that 18 A. Yes. 19 agreement, but there was also a financial side. 19 Q. On 23 January 2017, you reached a further agreement on 20 A. The financial account, which just wrapped up pay to 20 a revised milestone and final account payment schedule, 21 date, payment outstanding, which was 1.6. So it was 21 which increased the final account payment from 22 really a statement of a final account. 22 \$28 million to \$33 million; is that right? 23 CHAIRMAN: Then he was going to walk from that? 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 24 Q. Now, there's only six weeks or so between the first and 25 CHAIRMAN: So you had come to an end to your commercial 25 second agreement that I've just summarised. Can you Page 120 Page 118 1 dealings, essentially? I appreciate these things often 1 tell me this: what had China Technology done in the 2 leave stardust in its wake, but essentially you had 2 space of just over a month or so to persuade you that 3 3 reached an agreement, so there wasn't much commercial the payment of an extra \$5 million, that is from 4 gain to be obtained on his part, was there? 4 28 million to 33 million, was justified? 5 A. Remember we had the project -- we had another project 5 A. Remember around the time of the 6th he had withdrawn his 6 with a JV, up at Liantang. 6 labour from the project; okay? And I was motivated by 7 CHAIRMAN: All right. So this covered that one as well? 7 progress; okay? Some of the work he was doing was on 8 A. Whether it did lawfully or not, you know, you need to 8 what we call critical path, and if we delayed the 9 9 project we could incur penalties, or delaying following check with the lawyers which contracts it covered, but 10 I'm just letting you know what was clear in my mind; 10 trades, what we call designated contractors of MTRC. We 11 11 could incur general damages, possibly, should MTR elect 12 MR PENNICOTT: But the objective -- what you are now telling 12 to do that. 13 13 So progress at the time was very critical; okay? us, Mr Zervaas, the objective in entering into this 14 confidentiality agreement was not to protect 14 During my negotiations with Poon, he felt as though the 15 confidential information. It was to protect you from 15 deal that we had done in 12 December, that he had been 16 false allegations being made again? 16 short-changed; okay? That he couldn't possibly finish A. For commercial gain. 17 the works with call it the remaining 28 million, cost to 17 18 Q. For commercial gain? All right. 18 complete, and he wanted an opportunity renegotiate; 19 Could we just look, in broad terms, Mr Zervaas, at 19 okay? And we gave him that opportunity. 20 the chronology of deals that have been reached between 20 Q. All right. And you ended up agreeing to pay him 21 21 Leighton and China Technology from time to time. an extra \$5 million? 22 A. Yes. 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. Firstly, on 12 December 2016 -- so you have been in the 23 Q. All right. Then, thirdly, as we have just discussed, 24 post of project director a couple of months by then --24 following the meeting on 15 September 2017, you had the 25 A. Yes. 25 meeting, you agreed the final account, there was

Page 121 Page 123 1 a further \$1.6 million paid, or payable --1 A. I'm not sure who contacted Mr Lumb, whether it was -- it 2 2 A. To be paid. may have been Paul Freeman at the time that spoke to 3 Q. -- to be paid. I think it actually was paid pretty 3 Stephen Lumb to get him into the project. 4 swiftly. 4 Q. Mr Lumb produced his first report in late January 2017, 5 A. On the following Monday a cheque was released. 5 then his final report in February 2017. 6 Q. Yes, and obviously you had the confidentiality agreement 6 A. Mm-hmm. 7 which we've looked at? 7 Q. Presumably the report would have been submitted to you? 8 A. Yes. 8 A. It was -- he tabled it to me, and he had actually 9 Q. Okay. I think you now say, you accept, that both the 9 briefed me on the report. 10 final account statement and the confidentiality 10 Q. Right. And you would have read the report? 11 agreement were signed on the Monday, on the 18th? A. No, not from top to bottom, no. 11 12 A. Correct. Q. Can I ask you to have a look at it, the report, that is. 12 13 Q. Okay. And that was at a meeting between you, Mr Manning 13 A. Yes. 14 and Mr Poon? 14 Q. It's in C27. 15 A. Correct. 15 If you go, please, to C27/20242. 16 Q. Of course, it might be said, as I think you do, 16 There's a hard copy. It's up to you, hard --17 Mr Zervaas, that in raising the alleged threaded rebar 17 A. Where am I going? 18 malpractice, Mr Poon was trying to exert commercial 18 O. The front sheet is 20242. That's it there. That's the 19 pressure upon Leighton to pay him, China Technology, 19 front sheet. We can see 10 February 2017 is the final 20 more money? 20 version. 21 A. Mm-hmm. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. That's your position and your take on the situation, as 22 Q. Can I ask you just to look briefly at paragraph 1.2 on 23 I understand it? 23 page 20245. The last sentence reads: 24 A. What he was trying to do, yes. 24 "The investigation was carried out on site between 25 Q. The alternative position, Mr Zervaas, might be this. It 25 9 and 11 January ... and involved an inspection of Page 124 Page 122 might be said that Leighton was willing to pay China 1 1 available site records, and interviews with key members 2 Technology more money on at least two occasions, namely 2 of the construction team." 3 in January and September, and require him to enter into 3 If you go to 20250, paragraph 5, right at the top, 4 a confidentiality agreement to keep him quiet. What 4 Mr Lumb says: 5 would you say if that was suggested to you? 5 "Having interviewed various members of the 6 A. The only money, extra money, he was paid was for earned 6 construction and supervision teams ..." 7 7 value or earned work done; okay? So he had to do the Do you see that? 8 8 work, he had to earn the work, and to produce A. Yes, I do. 9 9 productivity, to be paid; okay? Q. Have you any idea, Mr Zervaas, as to whom he spoke, 10 Q. You're sure, are you, that you didn't enter into the 10 interviewed? 11 confidentiality agreement on the basis that you paid him 11 A. No. 12 more money to do so? 12 Q. Did you just leave it entirely up to him? 13 A. Absolutely sure. 13 A. Yes, I did. 14 Q. All right. 14 Q. Okay. At page 20287 -- it's not easy to see on my 15 Could I then return to Mr Lumb. Now, you, as 15 copy -- he sets a little chart there, where he says: 16 I understand it -- and we can look at the paragraph in "The following Leighton staff will have 16 17 17 your statement again in necessary -- you essentially responsibilities as listed below in respect of the works 18 instigated the review and investigation by Mr Lumb in 18 covered under this method statement." 19 January 2017? 19 Do you see that? 20 A. Correct. I received the email. I called -- I sent the 20 A. Yes, I do. 21 email to my operations manager at the time, we discussed 21 Q. Then he lists out a number of names that are already 22 it and we agreed together that the best thing to do 22 familiar to us and no doubt over the coming days will be 23 would be to get our head of engineering in, to attend 23 more familiar to us. Indeed, in respect of, on the 24 site and lead an investigation. 24 left-hand side, Gabriel So, Gary Chow on the right-hand

side, Joe Leung and Edward Mok, to name four.

25

Q. All right.

Page 125 Page 127 1 Are you able to say whether these are the people 1 you. Line 19, question: 2 that he spoke to? 2 "So your corporate position is that nothing, in any 3 A. No, I'm not. 3 shape or form, by way of load testing, by way of trial 4 Q. You are not in a position to say? 4 investigation, by way of opening up, is necessary? It's 5 A. No, because some of these people weren't on the project 5 simply we can just all walk away from this; is that your 6 at the time. I think he's referring to an older method 6 position?" 7 statement when this area was constructed. Remember it 7 Mr Speed's answer is: 8 was constructed in 2015, was it? 8 "No, that's not what I said. What I said is that 9 O. Oh, 2015. 9 the works have been constructed in accordance with the 10 A. So this would have been probably the people that were 10 contract." 11 involved at the time. 11 Do you agree with that statement? 12 Q. I see. 12 A. I'm not privy to all of the documents submitted by the 13 A. But you would need to ask Stephen that. 13 team, so I believe -- I understand Karl was speaking on 14 Q. Okay. I think I'm right in saying, am I not, 14 behalf of receiving all the witness statements from the 15 Mr Zervaas, that this report was passed to the MTR? 15 various people within Leightons. I haven't seen those. 16 A. Yes. 16 I think he was making a statement -- I can't speak on 17 Q. And did they comment upon it, come back to you on it 17 his behalf. 18 with any observations; do you recall? 18 O. No. 19 A. No. 19 A. But I'm not privy to all of the witness statements and 20 MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much, Mr Zervaas. Others may 20 the information, so I think your question is unfair. 21 have questions for you. 21 Q. I understand. 22 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 22 Can I take you to a document called H5518. 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 23 Mr Zervaas, this is an email from WK Wong to Jonathan 24 Cross-examination by MR TO 24 Leung, copying Terence Lai, and it's dated 18 May 2015. 25 MR TO: Mr Chairman and Commissioner, I have a few questions 25 If you turn over to the next page, H5520. Page 128 Page 126 1 from China Technology. 1 First of all, Mr Zervaas, have you seen this email 2 Mr Zervaas, I have a few questions, if you don't 2 before? 3 3 A. 2015? No. I wasn't on the project. mind. 4 4 Q. So you were not familiar with, for example, the handover Mr Zervaas, you told Mr Ian Pennicott that you 5 worked on the project from October 2016 to April 2017. 5 in terms of these details? 6 6 A. Absolutely not, no. Is that correct? Q. Can I take you to point 1, just for clarity. Point 1 of 7 A. No. I said I worked on it from 11 October 2016. 7 8 8 I didn't give a finish date, I don't recall giving it says: 9 9 "Construction of capping beam/portal frame prior to a completion date. 10 Q. Sorry about that. But you mentioned, for example, you 10 MTRCL certify D-wall completion and BD to conduct proof 11 had four days of handover; do you remember that? 11 test incident came to attention of BD team on 27 January 12 2015." A. On 11 October -- I started on 11 October and I recall 12 13 13 Malcolm finished on 15 October. I think the 11th was There are some comments there, and the first point, 14 a Tuesday and the 15th was a Friday, and that's when 14 I'm just going to read that out: 15 15 "Doubtful in fulfilling BO standard." Malcolm was finishing up. Q. So you familiarised yourself with the details of the 16 "BO" means Buildings Ordinance standard. 16 So my question to you, Mr Zervaas is: this is 17 17 project over four days? 18 a serious matter, isn't it? 18 A. As much as possible. I think four days -- I wouldn't 19 have been across all the issues. 19 A. I'm not aware of the context of this email, so 20 20 I couldn't comment on emails on the project in 2015. Q. I understand. Can I take you to the transcript of 21 21 Day 16, and it's page 105, line 19. Can you see that, Q. Okay. Thank you. 22 Mr Zervaas? Can I read it out to you, if you want. 22 Mr Zervaas, my next question relates to Mr Karl 23 23 A. Yes. Who's the transcript by? Speed's transcript, on page 107 -- maybe start off with 24 Q. The transcript is Mr Khaw asking a question of Mr Karl 24 page 106, line 15. Mr Khaw mentioned this: 25 Speed. I'll just read a few lines, if that's okay with 25 "They certainly haven't submitted any as-built

Page 129 Page 131 1 drawings to the government." 1 "Yes. And ...? 2 2 Mr Karl Speed's answer was: Answer: Well, target cost contracts have a gain and 3 "I think they've been prepared." 3 pain mechanism. If the actual cost is less than the 4 Going over the page to 107. Sorry, it's 4 target cost, you share the gain, and if it's vice versa 5 Mr Pennicott's question. Mr Pennicott's question on 5 you share the pain between you, up to a maximum limit of 6 page 107, line 3: 6 10 per cent of the contract value." 7 "Why has it taken so long to produce these as-built 7 From your understanding, do you believe in this 8 drawings ...? 8 statement? 9 9 A. That's Khyle's statement. Answer: I think they've been produced in accordance 10 with the contract." 10 Q. Yes. 11 And if you look at the very end, line 11 says: A. Yes, that's correct. 12 "I said I would need to speak with the teams." Q. Can I take you to a document, basically it's D430. This 12 13 So did Mr Karl Speed speak to you about this 13 is the sub-contract for China Technology. 14 as-built drawing? 14 A. Mm-hmm. 15 A. No, he hasn't spoken to me about as-built drawings. 15 Q. Can you look down. D430. 16 Q. Okay. Can I take you to another document, H39720. 16 A. Mm-hmm. 17 MR WILKEN: It's in H20. 17 Q. If you look down there, "Normal working hours" -- can 18 MR TO: Thank you. 18 you see that, Mr Zervaas? 19 MR WILKEN: Item 56 5. 19 A. Yes, I can see, 7.30 to 7.30. 20 MR TO: Mr Zervaas, have you seen this document? 20 Q. Can you see, for example, just in the middle, it says: 21 A. No. I don't recall seeing that document. 28 September 21 "... the Sub-Contractor shall be entitled in 22 2018, that's recent. 22 a principal of BQ rates plus 18 per cent which shall be 23 Q. Have you seen another document called H40052? This is 23 applicable on all measurable work done during that 24 24 a document from the government to the MTRC corporation. period of time." 25 CHAIRMAN: This is October, just recently. 25 Can you see that? Page 130 Page 132 A. No. Recently, I haven't been involved in the day-to-day 1 A. Yes, I can see that. 2 of the project, so no, I'm not --Q. Now, Mr Zervaas, there was another letter whereby, for 3 MR TO: So you're not aware of these documents? 3 example, there was amendment to this sub-contract. Are 4 A. I'm not aware of these topics. 4 you aware of that? Q. I understand. Let's move on to another topic, called 5 A. Is this to do with delay recovery? 5 gain and pain. I'll share whatever, if you want to look Q. Maybe I'll take you to it so you understand that. It's 6 6 7 7 D531. The letter is dated 25 April 2015. The underline at it, for example --8 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, before we move on -says, "Deed amendment"; can you see that? 8 9 recently, you haven't been involved in the day-to-day on 9 A. Yes, I can see that. 10 the project. Who is doing that role on the project? 10 Q. Can I take you, for example, to the second page of that, 11 A. Sorry, Jon Kitching is the project director still on the 11 D532. 12 project. 12 A. Mm-hmm. 13 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay, thank you. 13 Q. So you can see that in B, if you look very carefully at 14 A. Sorry, he's the project director. 14 it, basically 18 per cent has been deleted? 15 CHAIRMAN: Right. Okay. Thank you. 15 A. I can't see 18 per cent, yes. MR TO: Mr Zervaas, can I take you to the transcript in 16 16 Q. If you read the top: 17 terms of Day 16, page 127, line 21. I will just read it 17 "The fifth paragraph under item 5 of the Third 18 18 Schedule of the Sub-contract Agreement -- Sub-contractor 19 "Can you tell us what Mr Plummer actually meant by 19 Particulars -- subsection 'Sub-contract Scope of 20 'risk and profit sharing' between Leighton and MTRC? 20 Works' ... commencing 'Normal working hours ..." 21 What are the sort of special features --" 21 If you read it, basically I took you to the page of 22 And Mr Speed's answer was: 22 D430, there was an 18 per cent, and it has been replaced 23 "Target cost contracts have a gain and pain 23 by the one at the bottom, "Normal working hours are mechanism." 24 24 7.30 am to 7.30 pm ..." 25 And if you read down again: A. Yes. Whether it's the same paragraph -- and I don't

Page 136

Page 133

- 1 recall the exact paragraph in the sub-contract -- but
- 2 I don't see 18 per cent and I don't know if it's the
- 3 same context, so --
- 4 Q. I understand, but what I've done is basically taken you
- 5 to the main document, D430, and this D532 is simply
- 6 an extract of what is said in D430; okay?
- 7 Now, can I take you to, for example, D534. This is
- 8 the one I want to ask you a question on. 11.8, can you
- 9 see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. So what does that imply? 11
- A. "In the event that the Engineer does not fully reimburse 12
- 13 the Contractor for any DRM related to overtime, the
- 14 Contractor is entitled to recover all uncovered costs
- 15 from the Sub-Contractor ..."
- 16 Q. So, in a way, basically, there's no pain/gain share from
- 17 that, is there?
- 18 A. For the sub-contractor?

basically is D252.

from Australia.

- 19 O. Yes.
- 20 A. Not in the context that that's written.
- 21 Q. Thank you.

Q. D252.

A. Okav.

A. Okay.

It says:

settlement."

- 22 I'm going to take you to a document called the
- 23 confidentiality agreement. I'm sure certain individuals

Q. Rather than go through the whole confidentiality

A. Sorry, what was the number again, sorry?

agreement, I'm just going to show you a document which

Q. Just to put it in context, Mr Zervaas, this was an email

Q. And it was issued just before or maybe after Mr Jason

Poon went in to see the MTRC on 13 June; okay?

If you look at the contents of the email, rather

than go through it, just look at point 3 on page 253.

"The waiver relates only to the technical issue of

the couplers and not to any commercial discussions or

I haven't seen this email before, the Preston Lee email.

I don't know why it was sent or the context it was sent.

Q. Okay. Move on. Another one relates to Mr Pennicott's

Previously, you told us the confidentiality

A. That was my interpretation of the time. This --

agreement touched on financial matters.

You would have to ask the legal team.

issued by Preston Lee, copied to some of your legal team

- 24 have mentioned that to you already.
- 25 A. Yes.

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Chairman asked you about this as well. Jason Poon made

- question about Stephen Lumb's report, and also the 2
- 3 the complaint, he gave it to you in January, and you
- 4 instigated a process of actually asking Mr Stephen Lumb
- 5 to do some investigations; correct?
- 6 A. Yes.

1

- 7 Q. Now, surely, if Mr Poon is making a complaint,
- 8 Mr Stephen Lumb would have actually interviewed him.
- 9 Why not?
- 10 A. You will have to ask Mr Lumb that.
- 11 Q. Okay. Good.
- 12 One last question I want to ask you is: the as-built
- 13 drawings, have they been submitted to the government?
- 14 A. I'm not aware of the status of the as-built drawings.
- 15 Q. It is -- basically we are talking about 1,030 days,
- 16 two years, ten months so far, and so far we haven't seen 17 any BA14s being submitted.
- 18 A. I'm not aware of the status of the BA14 submissions.
- 19 Q. So, if they haven't been submitted, then chances are
- 20 there's a delay?
- 21 A. I'm not aware of whether there's a delay. I'm sure
- 22 they're being compiled in accordance with the contract.
- 23 Q. According to the contract, the project is supposed to be
- 24 completed by now.
- 25 A. It's still going.

Q. It's still ongoing, so who is responsible for the delay?

- MR WILKEN: Sir, I'm not sure this is either within the
- 3 scope of this Inquiry or indeed my learned friend's
- 4 Salmon letter. This is fishing.
- 5 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Salmon fishing!
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Yes. I think the question of the delay of the
- 7 as-built drawings is a matter for the Commission in the
- 8 sense that we'd like to see them, but internal issues of 9 is somebody late with a statement or late with putting
- 10 the matters forward seems to me to be an inter-lawyer
- 11 matter rather than one for a witness who is here to deal
- 12 with other issues.
- 13 MR TO: We understand, Chairman.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: By inter-lawyer I mean perhaps it's a matter for
- 15 Mr Pennicott's team to contact Leightons, et cetera,
- 16 et cetera.
- 17 MR PENNICOTT: I think the objection was rather broader than
- 18 that. Mr To seemed to be asking Mr Zervaas who was
- 19 responsible for all the delay on the project, which is
- 20 completely --
- 21 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I thought --
- 22 MR PENNICOTT: That was my understanding of the question.
- 23 MR WILKEN: That was my objection, yes, that we're not in
- 24 a delay analysis of the project.
- 25

Page 134

CHAIRMAN: Oh, sorry. I read it as being --

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

	Page 137		Page 139
1	MR PENNICOTT: In the as-built drawings.	1	MR TO: I understand. I'm trying to show the issue about
2	CHAIRMAN: delay in the as-built drawings.	2	honeycombs and who's responsible and who's required to
3	MR PENNICOTT: I thought the question had got rather broader	3	do it, so I've got a few documents leading up to that.
4	than that.	4	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And hopefully, Mr To, you are going
5	CHAIRMAN: I wasn't expecting that sort of question.	5	to explain what "cleaning/housekeeping to central
6	MR TO: Sorry, Chairman, it's just as-built drawings haven't	6	points", how they are related to honeycombing?
7	been submitted, so there was a delay.	7	MR TO: Yes, I will do that.
8	CHAIRMAN: Was your question intended to be limited to	8	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I look forward to hearing
9	as-built drawings?	9	that.
10	MR TO: Yes, it was.	10	MR TO: In terms of D436, if you look at item 12(b) D436,
11	CHAIRMAN: As I thought it was.	11	12(b) it says, "Removal and disposal of all excavated
12	MR WILKEN: Fine.	12	materials", and this is the contractor's responsibility;
13	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, in which case, it's a matter I think	13	yes? If you look at the bottom, (g), it says general
14	really to be sorted out internally by the team who is	14	cleaning and final cleaning is the sub-contractor's
15	assisting the Commission, and yourself, if necessary,	15	responsibility?
16	because they have been promised, they are being	16	A. That's what it says.
17	prepared. It's no different from any other piece of	17	Q. Can I take you to a document called B14253. This is
18	evidence or any other piece of documentary material.	18	a document done by Atkins. Have you seen this document
19	MR TO: I understand. Thank you for the clarification,	19	before?
20	Mr Chairman.	20	A. No.
21	Mr Zervaas, just one more question before we	21	Q. If you look at it, "2nd inspection", it says:
22	conclude, if I may. My learned friend on my side just	22	"All the defects were repaired at the time of
23	reminded me about this. Can I show you a document:	23	inspection. The depth of honeycomb is unknown."
24	D432. Mr Zervaas, can you see the top of it says "C"	24	A. Where are you?
25	means 100 per cent responsibility of contractor, and "S"	25	Q. In "2nd inspection".
	Page 138		Page 140
1	means 100 per cent responsibility of sub-contractor; can	1	A. All right. I'm not aware of this report, so I'm not
2	you see that?	2	familiar with it.
3	A. Yes, I can see that.	3	Q. Can I take you to maybe the last document to show you
4	MR PENNICOTT: This is back in the sub-contract, Mr Zervaas,		just two more documents and that's the finish.
5	just in case there's any doubt.	5	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, it does say here, though, "The depth of
6	MR TO: Can you see for example at D433	6	the honeycomb is unknown."
7	A. Yes.	7	MR TO: I understand.
8	Q in terms of mess rooms, sanitary, accommodation,	8	I'm going to show him two more documents to conclude
9	et cetera, in line 2, you can see in (d),	9	this matter.
10	"cleaning/housekeeping to central points" is the	10	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
11	sub-contractor?	11	MR TO: The document is basically B5/44.3, and can you go to
12	A. Sorry, where are you? I lost you. I'm on D433. Which	12	C1-0, and can you see the very last one, that document?
13	number?	13	Mr Zervaas, can you look at the top of this
14	Q. Item 2(d).	14	document, and can you see, for example, are there any
15	A. Yes.	15	honeycombs?
16	Q. Can you see that "cleaning/housekeeping to central	16	A. It's very difficult to see from this photo.
17	points" is the sub-contractor's responsibility?	17	Q. Maybe I will show you another document: C1-3, the last
18	A. Yes, that's what it says. Q. Can I take you to D436.	18 19	document, please. How about this document, Mr Zervaas? A. Not clear. No.
19	•		
20	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm not quite sure you may have to	20	Q. You can't see it?A. It's not clear to me, no.
21	assist me there just a little. If this was a criminal trial or a civil trial, I would be leaving you to run	21 22	MR TO: Thank you very much. I don't have any further
22 23	your own tactical advantage, but as a Commission, where	23	questions.
24	essentially it's myself and the professor, that seems to	24	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, Mr To, rather than leaving m
25	be coming, as the Americans say, from out of left field.	25	guessing until your concluding report what's it
L_2	oc coming, as the Americans say, from out of icit ficit.		50000000 until Jour concluding report what sit

24

A. Yes.

Q. If I can first of all refer you to the witness

Page 141 Page 143 1 called? -- anyway, could you just explain what we've 1 statement, your first witness statement, paragraph 11, 2 2 learned from that little exchange? where you talk about Mr Jason Poon's complaint about the 3 MR TO: Professor, what I'm trying to put here is two years 3 alleged malpractice of the cutting of threaded rebars by 4 4 before the project -- this was completed two years Leighton's staff. Do you see that? Then obviously we 5 5 before, up to now -- there were no honeycombs know from your evidence that you passed the information 6 whatsoever, two years before, and to this day basically 6 on to your superiors, both Mr Freeman and Mr Lumb, so 7 there are lots of issues about honeycombs being 7 that they could carry out investigation, regardless of 8 8 mentioned. But if you look at the photographs two years the number of emails they would see for the time being; 9 ago, there was not a single issue about honeycombs. 9 right? 10 A. I said it wasn't clear. 10 A. Yes. Q. And you also passed the information on to MTR for 11 CHAIRMAN: On the photographs -- fools step in and I'm about 11 12 to step in -- but isn't there sometimes a fact -- and 12 reference, for their information; right? 13 I'll put the question to you, Mr Zervaas, thank you --13 A. (Nodded head). 14 where honeycombing is not immediately apparent; you have 14 Q. Then at paragraph 19 of your report, you talked about 15 to do some -- you have to cut away some of the initial 15 the investigation by Mr Lumb. 16 concrete? 16 Now, first of all, can I just ask you: upon 17 A. It could be, when you form the slab, and not for me, 17 receiving Mr Jason Poon's complaint, ie his email, you 18 what's happened here, but it could be if you form a slab 18 would agree with me that you at least took the view that 19 19 and you pour concrete, you get a slurry coat. his email or his allegation warranted some 20 CHAIRMAN: That's it. 20 investigation, from your point of view; is that correct? 21 A. And then you strip the formwork, you see a nice straight 21 A. Yes, that's why I passed it on. 22 surface, but it might be a superficial slurry coat of 22 Q. You simply could not dismiss it immediately? 23 23 2mm to 3mm that could come loose over time which then A. It was inconceivable that there could be 30,000 pieces 24 24 may -- you may see something a bit more obvious later. of rebar cut. That was my personal view; okay? But it 25 So it's not obvious on these photos. But these photos 25 was worthy of sending to my superiors to conduct Page 142 Page 144 1 are taken from a distance. 1 an investigation, sure. 2 CHAIRMAN: Yes. The only reason I raise it is that 2 CHAIRMAN: Sorry to interrupt. The 30,000 pieces came up, 3 3 perhaps -- the issue of honeycombing is not as simple as just remind me ...? 4 a photograph of what appears to be a clean concrete 4 A. That was in his email, I think, of 6 January. It was 5 wall. 5 just inconceivable to me. 6 A. You're correct. 6 MR KHAW: So am I correct in saying that when you received 7 MR TO: Mr Chairman, can I just show the witness, 7 his email, on the one hand, you found that it would be 8 8 inconceivable for his allegation to be substantiated, Mr Zervaas, B14267. 9 9 This is a layout plan of NSL level, and if you look but on the other hand, as a matter of prudence, you 10 at it, for example, there are certain areas whereby 10 would like to carry out an investigation, to see whether 11 photos were taken relating to the honeycomb. 11 your view is right or not; is that correct? 12 A. So we are on NSL level, looking up at the soffit of EWL, 12 A. Yes. 13 13 Q. Thank you. Then if we can go back to paragraph 19 of are we? 14 Q. Yes. 14 your witness statement. You said: 15 A. I don't know. 15 "At stated above, Leighton carried out 16 Q. So you don't know about this diagram? 16 an investigation on Poon's allegations in his email. 17 A. I haven't seen this report. 17 I was not involved in the investigation as I wanted it MR TO: Okay. Maybe I should conclude there. 18 to be an independent review ..." 18 19 CHAIRMAN: All right. Good. Thank you very much. 19 Now, I recall that in your evidence today, earlier 20 Cross-examination by MR KHAW 20 on, you also said the same thing to us, ie you wanted 21 21 MR KHAW: Mr Zervaas, I just would like to discuss with you the investigation to be an independent one, and here 22 regarding your understanding of Mr Stephen Lumb's 22 I believe you repeated the same point. 23 23 investigation; okay? Now, did you ever consider asking any consultants or

advisers, outside of Leighton, to carry out this

investigation in order to make it independent?

24

Page 145

- 1 A. No, I did not. I didn't consider that, no.
- 2 Q. So you believed that an internal investigation would be
- 3 independent enough, if it was done properly?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Then at this paragraph, again, you said:
- 6 "I recall being briefed by Stephen Lumb that
- 7 Leighton could not find any evidence to suggest there
- 8 was any malpractice as Poon had alleged."
- 9 Now, pausing here, the main purpose of the
- investigation carried out by Mr Lumb was to ascertain
- whether there was malpractice as alleged by Mr Poon or
- not, right; do you agree?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So here you said --
- 15 A. Sorry, when I say "yes", systematic and widespread, yes.
- 16 Q. Where it's a large-scale malpractice?
- 17 A. Yeah, large-scale practice, yes.
- 18 Q. And here you say you recall being briefed by Mr Lumb.
- 19 Do you recall how long the briefing took place?
- 20 A. It was at best five to ten minutes.
- 21 Q. Five to ten minutes, yes. You told us that you did not
- have a chance to read his report; right?
- 23 A. I relied on his briefing, so I didn't read the report
- 24 from front to back; okay?
- 25 Q. Right. Thank you.

- 1 conclusion as stated in your paragraph 19 that Leighton
- 2 could not find any evidence to suggest that there was
- 3 any malpractice as Poon had alleged?
- 4 A. Yes. That's what he advised me, yes.
- 5 Q. Let's take a look at the NCR that he mentioned during
- 6 his briefing for the time being. He told you about this
- 7 NCR. Did he actually tell you that there was only one
- 8 incident of NCR?
- 9 A. Yes, as I recall. There was one NCR raised and he said
- there was -- involved about five bars.
- 11 Q. One NCR which involved five bars?
- 12 A. That was my recollection, yes.
- 13~ Q. Thank you. Can you recall whether he actually talked
- about cutting of rebars?
- 15 A. No, I don't recall the specifics. I can't say "yes" or
- "no". I'd have to pull the NCR out to confirm. I'm
- sure he explained to me what was the content of the NCR.
- 18 Q. So is it fair for me to say that when he gave you this
- briefing, when he talked about the NCR, you did not have
- a full picture regarding the extent non-conformity at
- 21 that time; would that be right?
- 22 A. What he did say, as I recall, there was an issue
- observed and the issue was rectified immediately, so it
- was an NCR that had been dealt with immediately,
 - observations had been dealt with immediately.

Page 146

25

9

Page 148

- 1 A. Nobody would.
- 2 Q. Thank you.
- Before coming to give evidence today, did you have
- 4 a chance to look at his report, look at the contents of
- 5 the investigation that he carried out? Did you have
- 6 that chance?
- 7 A. I had another quick look through it, but I didn't read
- 8 through it in great deal, no.
- 9 Q. Can you tell us, in this briefing by Mr Lumb, within
- 10 or 15 minutes, what did he tell you in a nutshell;
- what did he tell you?
- 12 A. He told me that he had reviewed -- I think most of his
- 13 focus was on the records, okay, and making sure that the
- records were in place to demonstrate that, you know, we
- had the right supervision at the time, okay, and we were
- surveilling the -- as the works were being completed,
- that we had the right supervision out in the field.
- He also briefed me that an NCR had been raised,
- which I think it was five rebars cut which I know has
- been discussed here. So those are the two key
- 21 give-aways or heads-up on the report; okay?
- 22 Q. So supervision and NCR, those are the two main points?
- 23 A. Yes. Sorry, the main point was there was no evidence to
- 24 suggest there was systematic --
- 25 Q. Of course, yes. And that caused you to come to this

- 1 Q. So you took the words from Mr Lumb that that was not
- 2 a major problem, the NCR; is that right?
- 3 A. Correct, I took the word of Mr Lumb, yes.
- 4 Q. So you did not have an opportunity to look at any of the
- 5 pictures in relation to the NCR either; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes, I didn't. I don't recall looking at the pictures
 - in the NCR.
- 8 Q. If we have a chance now to look at the pictures, we will
 - see what will be your views on this point.
- 10 A. I have seen the photos since. It's not clear to me what
- 11 exactly the photos are showing.
- 12 Q. Of course. Let's just take a look. C12/8135.
- 13 If we can take a look at the picture 8136.
- 14 A. Yes, got it.
- 15 Q. 8139. If we can focus on 8139. Just by merely looking
- 16 at this photograph --
- 17 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can we blow it up on the screen'
- 18 MR KHAW: Yes, of course.
- 19 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you.
- 20 MR KHAW: We can blow it up and see it clearly.
- Now, perhaps we can see, obviously, the threaded
 - rebars not properly installed, and it looks as if the
 - complete threaded rebars were not even there at the
- lower layer of the reinforcement. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

22

Page 149 Page 151 Q. Now, merely looking at this picture now, as a project 1 MR KHAW: Yes. 2 director, would you agree that this was in fact quite 2 CHAIRMAN: Good. 3 a serious non-conformity? 3 MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I just raise one point by way of 4 A. It's an issue that needs immediate rectification. It's 4 perhaps putting down a marker, and it's really a marker 5 obviously -- it depends on the time when the photo was 5 being put down for Leighton. It's a matter that I think 6 taken. It was offered up as a hold point and people saw 6 probably I take responsibility for. But Mr Lumb is 7 it, it was -- a hold point had been observed, and it 7 currently the last of the witnesses for Leighton. That 8 8 clearly wasn't installed properly. The team did the was a conscious decision taken by the Commission's legal 9 9 right thing. team, for a number of reasons. 10 10 Q. Now you've got a chance to see this picture, would you I'm beginning to wonder whether that was a very wise 11 immediately consider, "Hey, how come the workers were 11 decision. I am beginning to wonder whether perhaps allowed to do this?" Would you consider this? 12 12 Mr Lumb ought to come sooner rather than later. I will 13 A. I don't think the workers -- given it was an NCR, 13 give it more thought overnight, but I can see what is 14 I don't think the workers were allowed to do it. It was 14 happening at the moment, or may happen over the next few 15 observed and stopped. You don't have one supervisor for 15 days. I'm endeavouring to find out who it was that 16 every worker. 16 Mr Lumb spoke to for the purposes of producing his 17 Q. Thank you. 17 report, who he interviewed, and my concern is that I'm 18 A. So it was when we rectified when it was observed. 18 going to be asking witness after witness who all say, 19 Q. Thank you. And an NCR like this would also lead you to 19 "No, he didn't speak to me", "He didn't speak to me", 20 consider whether supervision or inspection work had been 20 "He didn't speak to me", and we will get to the end of 21 done properly, otherwise there should not have been such 21 the day and Mr Lumb will come along and tell us who he 22 problem; would you agree? 22 spoke to and we will have missed, potentially, our 23 23 A. No, I think -- as I said, you can't have one supervisor opportunity. 24 24 for every worker, so if the supervisors in the area --I just wonder whether -- I'm going to think about 25 25 they're not watching every worker and they subsequently it, perhaps you, sir, also could give it some thought as Page 152 Page 150 1 to whether the Chairman and the Commissioner have 1 see an issue, and raise it and rectify it, they've done 2 2 their job. a position on this. 3 I hadn't appreciated, I have to say, until the last 3 Q. Now, you just told us that according to your knowledge, 4 the supervisors in that area were not watching every 4 24-48 hours that this is a matter that's perhaps more 5 5 worker. How did you get that information? important than I had originally realised. We haven't 6 A. I just -- it's not practical that you have one looked at it yet but there's a rather important section 6 7 7 in Mr Lumb's report, section 8, that deals with remedial supervisor for one worker. 8 Q. So, at the time when Mr Lumb gave you the briefing, did 8 measures. We don't need to look at it now. But there's 9 9 some information there and I'd quite like to know where you have any idea regarding the extent and frequency of 10 inspection and supervision which was carried out by 10 that came from, who gave that information to him, 11 because it's quite obvious all his report is based upon 11 12 what he was told by the people he interviewed. I'm 12 A. Not specifically out in the field, no. No. 13 going to give that some more thought. 13 Q. Were you aware of the requirements which were stated 14 14 under the QSP? I mention it because Leighton ought to know about 15 it, just in case there's any problem with Mr Lumb's

15 A. At the time, no. I do now know, yes. Well, I know

there's a QSP specifically for couplers, but at the time 16

17 I wasn't aware.

Q. Right. And obviously, at the time when briefing was 18 19

given to you, you were not aware of any of the reasons

20 or causes as to why this NCR occurred; right?

21 A. Correct.

22 MR KHAW: Mr Chairman, I note the time. I still have

probably more than half an hour.

24 CHAIRMAN: All right. If this is an opportune moment for

25 you.

23

19 CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly.

if I may.

20 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: From my point of view, Mr Pennicott,

21 please do, but I also would note that we're going to

immediate availability. Sir, I mention that as

a possibility and I'll come back to it in the morning,

22 have a break of a week after this Friday, and it seems

quite sensible to me that we hear from Mr Lumb before

24 that break.

25 MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir. I understand the point. Thank

16

17

18

	Page 153		Page 155
1	you.	1	Examination by MR PENNICOTT68
2	CHAIRMAN: All right. Good. Thank you very much indeed.	2	Cross-examination by MR CHANG71
3	Tomorrow at 10 am.	3	Re-examination by MR TO88
4	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Do you want to remind the witness		(The witness was released)90
5	that he's still in the box?	5	MR ANTHONY PETER ZERVAAS (sworn)97
6	CHAIRMAN: Yes, I'm sorry. Thank you very much.	6	Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN97
7	It's just a formal reminder. I'm sure you're aware	7	Examination by MR PENNICOTT98
8	of the fact that you're still giving your evidence and	8	Cross-examination by MR TO125
9	while you're giving your evidence you're not entitled to	9	Cross-examination by MR KHAW142
10	discuss the merits or otherwise or tactics concerning	10	
11	your evidence, indeed anything at all about it, with any	11	
12	other third party, including your own lawyers.	12	
13	WITNESS: Okay. Yes.	13	
14	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.	14	
15	(5.07 pm)	15	
16	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am the following day)	16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 154		
1	INDEX		
2	PAGE		
3	MR LAW CHI KEUNG (affirmed in Punti)1		
4	Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH1		
5	Examination by MR PENNICOTT2		
6	Cross-examination by MR SO10		
7	Cross-examination by MR KHAW12		
8	Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS17		
9	Re-examination by MR SHIEH19		
10	(The witness was released)20		
11	MR HO HIU TUNG (affirmed in Punti)20		
12	Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH20		
13	Examination by MR PENNICOTT		
14 15	Cross-examination by MR SO		
16	Cross-examination by MR KHAW31		
17	Re-examination by MR SHIEH33		
18	Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS33 (The witness was released)34		
19	MS EMILY CHO (affirmed in Punti)36		
20	Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH36		
21	Examination-in-chief by MR STIETT38		
22	Cross-examination by MR TO58		
23	(The witness was released)65		
24	MR NGAI CHUN KIT (affirmed in Punti)67		
25	Examination-in-chief by MR TO67		