	Page 1		Page 3
1	Wednesday, 14 November 2018	1	placed; can you see that?
2	(10.03 am)	2	A. Yes.
3	MR ANTHONY PETER ZERVAAS (on former oath)	3	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just so that I understand that so these
4	Cross-examination by MR KHAW (continued)	4	point to what I might call the pattern, the lower
5	MR KHAW: Good morning, sir. Good morning, Chairman.	5	pattern of all the rebars together, and you are saying
6	Mr Zervaas, you remember yesterday we talked about	6	this was not done before the upper level was done the
7	the briefing that Mr Stephen Lumb gave you in relation	7	upper level being after the void I think there's
8	to his investigation; do you remember that?	8	a concrete void in the middle, isn't there?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. I took it just from looking at the photo that logic
10	Q. Just to recap a bit, you told us that he briefed you	10	would tell me that you would install like this
11	about the NCR incident?	11	(demonstrating)
12	A. Yes, that was one of the briefing items, yes.	12	CHAIRMAN: That's right.
13	Q. But at that time you did not have a chance to read the	13	A install the horizontal bars vertically.
14	relevant documents regarding the NCR incident?	14	CHAIRMAN: So that's showing the lower layer of concrete
15	A. No, I didn't specifically look at documents, no.	15	bars?
16	Q. You also recall that he apart from the NCR incident,	16	MR KHAW: Yes.
17	he did not refer you to any other similar bar cutting	17	CHAIRMAN: Good.
18	incidents found by Leighton?	18	A. That's the way I see it.
19	A. That's correct.	19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I'd like to understand. I'v
20	Q. Before we adjourned yesterday, you also told us that	20	seen this photograph many times but I'm just trying to
21	recently, ie before you came to attend this hearing, you	21	get my mind around exactly where this detail is. So are
22	had a chance to have a look at the QSP regarding the	22	we saying that those horizontal bars that we see behind
23	requirements for supervision and inspection of coupling	23	the vertical bar, that are not properly connected into
24	works; do you remember that?	24	the couplers on the right-hand side of the photograph,
25	A. Yes. I made myself familiar with the quality	25	are we saying they are lower levels of reinforcement in
	Page 2		Page 4
1	supervision plan, not in detail but understanding what	1	the slab; is that correct? I'm not sure who I'm posing
2	it was for, specifically for couplers.	2	this question to, but I'm looking at Mr Pennicott at the
3	Q. Thank you. But it would be correct for me to say that	3	moment.
4	at the time when Mr Stephen Lumb gave you the briefing	4	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, what I tried to do with at least one
5	about his investigation, at that time you did not have	5	witness is to try to pin it down to which area we're in,
6	knowledge in relation to the details about the	6	and I think we have succeeded to some extent.
7	requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling	7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.
8	works; is it fair to say that?	8	MR PENNICOTT: I myself remain slightly puzzled as to
9	A. Yes, that's right.	9	precisely what it's showing and exactly where it is, and
10	Q. Thank you. You also told us yesterday that you believe	10	which layer is which.
11	not every installation of coupler would be looked at.	11	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.
12	I suppose that is what you assume to be the case; is	12	MR PENNICOTT: In many ways and I don't know whether
13	that correct?	13	Mr Khaw is intending to go to it with Mr Zervaas you
14	A. What I said was that there wouldn't be one of our	14	sort of get a better perspective when you look at the
15	supervisors watching one installer. That was what	15	photographs that deal with how it was remedied. We've
16	I meant.	16	seen a group of men stood around remedying it; you get
17	Q. Fair enough. Thank you.	17	a better idea then as to what the problem was, where it
18	If I may trouble you to look at one of the	18	was and how they remedied it.
19	photographs that we saw yesterday: C12/8139.	19	But this is very difficult to understand. All that
20	You can take it from me that this is one of the	20	one knows, from the writing with the NCR, is that it is
21	photographs attached to the NCR report. From this	21	the bottom layer.
22	photograph I believe I mentioned this yesterday as	22	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: All right. That's helpful. And
23	well one can see that coupling works on the lower	23	when we get to Mr Edward Mok, he may be able to throw
24	layer of the reinforcement work was not done properly	24	a little bit more light on it.
25	before the upper layers of reinforcement bars were	25	MR PENNICOTT: He and possibly one or two others, yes. I'm

	Page 5		Page 7
1	hoping so.	1	bottom layer.
2	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Khaw, just so that I don't have any	2	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
3	misapprehension Mr Zervaas, you can educate me	3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: "Mat" would be a good term.
4	briefly here. I know you are not a structural engineer	4	A. And there would be timber laid out to make it easy to
5	necessarily; you may be. If so, I accept that. But my	5	walk across, easy to walk across for the work, so it
6	understanding is when you talk about an upper layer and	6	would be for worker safety
7	lower layer in this slab of reinforcing, they are	7	CHAIRMAN: So you would have the top mat and the bottom mat?
8	actually separated by some middle section,	8	A. That's how I would describe it.
9	essentially I called it a void earlier, but there's	9	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Together forming a cage?
10	ballast in there, concrete.	10	A. Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Mass concrete.	11	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
12	A. Yes, but depending on the detail. If this was a big,	12	MR KHAW: Since Mr Pennicott has just referred us to the
13	thick slab, you'd have a lower layer, a void, and then	13	situation after rectification, perhaps I will just, for
14	an upper layer.	14	the time being, bookmark one page for everyone's
15	CHAIRMAN: Yes. Therefore it does become quite important	15	reference. That is C27/20368.
16	because the lower layer may be subject to different	16	That's just to show what Mr Pennicott has just
17	dynamics than the upper layer. That's a very broad	17	referred us to, but I will probably reserve questions in
18	term, "dynamics" forces?	18	relation to the rectification works for other witnesses.
19	A. Logically, that would be correct. You'd need to check	19	But we can put a tag for the time being.
20	with the engineers.	20	So, Mr Zervaas, if we go back to the picture that we
21	CHAIRMAN: Of course. Thank you.	21	just saw at page 8139 in C12, looking at this picture
22	MR PENNICOTT: I think there's no dispute that this is the	22	now, would you agree, as a project director, that this
23	bottom layer.	23	may give rise to some concern as to whether supervision
24	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's helpful.	24	and inspection work had been done properly?
25	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. That's what counts. Where exactly it	25	A. I think I said this yesterday, that if the defect or the
	Page 6		Page 8
1	is	1	non-conformance has been observed, they'd rectify it
2	MR PENNICOTT: Which layers within the bottom layer we're	2	immediately. So that would suggest to me that the
3	looking at, I'm not entirely sure, but this is a bottom	3	system works, you know, with the guys were there,
4	layer.	4	they saw it and they fixed it.
5	CHAIRMAN: The reason why I'm delaying matters is that	5	Q. Back to the briefing given by Mr Lumb to you, did he
6	I want to understand, in simplistic terms, that the	6	actually mention to you how exactly inspection and
7	reason why there is a difference between a bottom layer	7	supervision work was done for coupling work?
8	and an upper layer is not simply physical location.	8	A. No.
9	It's because, in the middle, it is separated by mass	9	Q. Thank you. Did he mention to you what was the actual
10	concrete, and there are different forces applying to the	10	cause or reason for the bar cutting incident as found in
11 12	upper level from the lower level. Good. Thank you.	11 12	the NCR?
	-		A. No, no reason.
13 14	MR KHAW: It's probably my use of the word "layer" which has caused some confusion.	13 14	Q. Mr Zervaas, to put it this way, without knowing all similar bar cutting incidents which happened before the
14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No, it's been helpful.	14 15	briefing, without knowing the actual cause or reason for
15	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I ask another thing, because it's	15	the bar cutting incident as reported in the NCR, without
10	purely and simply a question of terminology. I keep	10	knowing how supervision and inspection work was actually
17	having this sudden stop. What do you call, in	17	done, would you agree that you did not have a sufficient
19	engineering terms like if we look at a photograph and	19	basis to come to a conclusion that there was no evidence
20	you see everybody is standing around on this mass of	20	in support of Mr Jason Poon's allegation at that time,
20	reinforcing bars and sometimes they seem to put a bit of	20	simply after you had a briefing session with Mr Lumb;
21	plywood on top so that they can stand easily on it	21	would you agree?
		23	A His briefing session was that this was a one-off
23	what do you call that great honeycomb of reinforcing?	23 24	A. His briefing session was that this was a one-off incident, that he described to me at the time, our guys
		23 24 25	A. His briefing session was that this was a one-off incident, that he described to me at the time, our guys fixed it observed it and we fixed it. He also spoke

	Page 9		Page 11
1	about how site supervision plans he looked at our	1	of an important issue which is in the interests of the
2	records and his feedback to me was that our records	2	public, even though details were not given here.
3	would not indicate that would not indicate or support	3	So, on 15 September, you got hold of these two
4	Mr Poon's false allegation.	4	emails; you knew about his allegations, right?
5	Q. Thank you.	5	A. Yes.
6	If we go back to paragraph 19 of your first witness	6	Q. Then you told us, in paragraph 23, that in 22 you
7	statement, at bundle $C12/7676$ in the last sentence of	7	said initially you were in Macau and then you agreed to
8	this paragraph, you say:	8	meet him the following morning
9	"I recall being briefed by Stephen Lumb that	9	A. I offered to meet him the next morning.
10	Leighton could not find any evidence to suggest there	10	Q. After
11	was any malpractice as Poon had alleged."	11	A. I offered to meet him the next morning.
12	So I take it that Mr Lumb briefed you in around	12	Q. Yes, offered to meet him
13	January 2017; is that correct?	13	A. He was wanting to talk about payment, and I offered to
14	A. Correct.	14	meet him the next morning.
15	Q. Because we saw that his draft report actually came out		Q. Yes. But is it right that you then changed your mind
16	at around that time.	16	and decided to come back to immediately to see him?
17	If I can then ask you to take a look at paragraph 11	17	A. No. I went into a meeting. I stated that earlier.
18	of your first witness statement. There, you were	18	I actually went into a meeting with the client. What
19	referring to the email sent by Mr Poon on 6 January	19	I did say was I came back earlier than what I would
20	2017.	20	normally do. I would usually spend the whole day in
20	A. Yes.	21	Macau.
21	Q. If you can jump to paragraph 22:	22	Q. But in 24 you said:
22	"On 15 September"	23	"I was concerned about Poon's telephone call and
23	That particular date has been referred to many times	24	emails. I therefore returned to Hong Kong and arranged
25	already.	25	to meet Poon at Leighton's head office in the afternoon
	•		
	Page 10		Page 12
1	Page 10 A. Okay.	1	Page 12 of the same day."
1 2	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's	1 2	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct.
1 2 3	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon	1 2 3	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that
1 2 3 4	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me	1 2 3 4	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct?
1 2 3 4 5	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that	1 2 3 4 5	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site."	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email"	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?"
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public safety and durability of the structurally critical 3m	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?" I said, "Look, I don't have the details, I'm in Macau",
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public safety and durability of the structurally critical 3m thick EWL slab", and then here it also talks about	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?" I said, "Look, I don't have the details, I'm in Macau", and then he made the threats. Then I went into
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public safety and durability of the structurally critical 3m thick EWL slab", and then here it also talks about structural safety and it also mentioned the threads,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?" I said, "Look, I don't have the details, I'm in Macau", and then he made the threats. Then I went into a meeting. Then, after the meeting, because of the
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public safety and durability of the structurally critical 3m thick EWL slab", and then here it also talks about structural safety and it also mentioned the threads, estimated over 30,000 pieces involved, so there was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?" I said, "Look, I don't have the details, I'm in Macau", and then he made the threats. Then I went into a meeting. Then, after the meeting, because of the threats and the payment issue, I decided to return to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public safety and durability of the structurally critical 3m thick EWL slab", and then here it also talks about structural safety and it also mentioned the threads, estimated over 30,000 pieces involved, so there was a number given here.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?" I said, "Look, I don't have the details, I'm in Macau", and then he made the threats. Then I went into a meeting. Then, after the meeting, because of the threats and the payment issue, I decided to return to Hong Kong.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public safety and durability of the structurally critical 3m thick EWL slab", and then here it also talks about structural safety and it also mentioned the threads, estimated over 30,000 pieces involved, so there was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?" I said, "Look, I don't have the details, I'm in Macau", and then he made the threats. Then I went into a meeting. Then, after the meeting, because of the threats and the payment issue, I decided to return to Hong Kong. Q. Right. I'll try to understand what you just told us.
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public safety and durability of the structurally critical 3m thick EWL slab", and then here it also talks about structural safety and it also mentioned the threads, estimated over 30,000 pieces involved, so there was a number given here. So this email refers to his complaint about the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?" I said, "Look, I don't have the details, I'm in Macau", and then he made the threats. Then I went into a meeting. Then, after the meeting, because of the threats and the payment issue, I decided to return to Hong Kong.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Page 10 A. Okay. Q. " when I was in Macau handling another of Leighton's project, I received a call from Poon. I believe Poon called me because Jon Kitching was away, and he asked me what was happening to his payments. I told Poon that I was going into a client meeting and I offered to meet him the following morning on site." Then at paragraph 23 you refer to two emails: "The first email was sent to me as a reply to his email" That is Leighton's reply to Jason Poon's email, and also the second email was the email Jason Poon sent to Frank Chan. If we have a quick look at the first email at C12, page 7986. This is the email dated 15 September from China Tech to Leighton, and it talked about "public safety and durability of the structurally critical 3m thick EWL slab", and then here it also talks about structural safety and it also mentioned the threads, estimated over 30,000 pieces involved, so there was a number given here. 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Page 12 of the same day." A. Correct. Q. I suppose that that day was 15 September; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. So it was because of his two emails which caused you concern, which caused you to change your mind, to come back to Hong Kong to see him immediately; is that right? A. Well, we yes. We had previously issued breach letters to Mr Poon, I think it was on the 11th and the 13th, so there was a commercial dispute that was emerging, and we were, for want of a better word, getting ready to terminate his contract due to poor performance. He called me about payment. Because I didn't give him a response he said, "Can I have a cheque today? Are you going to pay me today?" I said, "Look, I don't have the details, I'm in Macau", and then he made the threats. Then I went into a meeting. Then, after the meeting, because of the threats and the payment issue, I decided to return to Hong Kong. Q. Right. I'll try to understand what you just told us. Putting aside the payment issue for the time being we

1	Page 13		Page 15
1	Q initially you offered to meet him the following day,	1	that, right?
2	ie 16 September; right?	2	A. You are isolating the incident. We, on the 11th and
3	A. Yes.	3	13th, had sent him letters. There was poor performance
4	Q. But then you decided that you had to see him	4	on site. He was pressuring the site team to be paid;
5	immediately, on 15 September?	5	okay? It came to the Friday and he was continuing to
6	A. I thought it was I thought we should talk to him that	6	pursue his false allegations. This needed to come to
7	day because of the allegations he was making, yes.	7	a head.
8	Q. But, at that time, do you agree that you already	8	Q. Fine. The last issue that I wish to just very quickly
9	according to your evidence at least Mr Lumb already	9	discuss with you is this. If you can take a look at
10	briefed you regarding the investigation, so, in your	10	your second witness statement, C32/24660. Here, you
11	mind, his allegation could not be substantiated; that	11	told us:
12	must be the case, right?	12	"I did not have any meeting with Jason Poon and Karl
13	A. Yes.	13	Speed on 18 September 2017. This is confirmed by my
14	Q. So how come his allegations made in the two emails made		Outlook calendar on 18 September As shown in my
15	you decide to come back immediately to see him? Why?	15	Outlook calendar, I was at site office of Liantang
16	What was the concern?	16	project in Liantang until around 2.30 pm. After the
17	A. As I said, because he was continuing to make the false	17	meeting in Liantang, I drove to Leighton's offices
18	allegations, linked with a payment issue.	18	Therefore, I would not have been able to meet with Jason
19	Q. First of all, just as a matter of common sense, on the	19	Poon at around 3 pm on [the 18th]".
20	one hand there were payment issues; right? We all agree	20	Here, you deny having a meeting with Jason Poon on
21	there were payment issues that you had to resolve. But	21	18 September 2017, after you had a chance to check your
22	the payment issue, the existence of a payment issue,	22	records.
23	does not necessarily mean that his allegation could be	23	A. No, I deny having a I said we didn't have a meeting.
24	completely dismissed; do you agree?	24	This was in response to Poon's witness statement, where
25	A. He was trying to apply pressure, okay, to be paid; okay?	25	he stated that Karl and I had met with Jason on the
	Page 14		Page 16
1	That's what he was doing. In my mind, he was applying	1	18th, and that was at 3 pm. He was precise about a time
2	pressure to get paid; okay?	2	and he was precise about who was there; okay? This was
3	Q. But what I don't understand is that at that time,	3	
			simply responding to his witness statement.
4	Leighton have done an investigation. Mr Lumb told you.		simply responding to his witness statement. O. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were
4	Leighton have done an investigation, Mr Lumb told you, "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all."	4	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were
5	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all."	4 5	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had
5 6	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment	4 5 6	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records,
5 6 7	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false	4 5 6 7	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us
5 6	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not?	4 5 6	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records,
5 6 7 8	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all."You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not?A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep	4 5 6 7 8	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or
5 6 7 8 9	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not?A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to	4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not?
5 6 7 8 9 10	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all."You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not?A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not?A. At this time?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not?A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not?A. At this time?Q. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not?A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not?A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay?Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not?A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay?Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations?	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not? A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay? Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations? A. I personally wasn't. I never believed his allegations. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in Wan Chai, there was a JV board meeting that I came back
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not? A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay? Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations? A. I personally wasn't. I never believed his allegations. They were false. They were full of lies; okay? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in Wan Chai, there was a JV board meeting that I came back from, and I think I arrived back at head office about
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not? A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay? Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations? A. I personally wasn't. I never believed his allegations. They were false. They were full of lies; okay? Q. But at least from your point of view, his allegations 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in Wan Chai, there was a JV board meeting that I came back from, and I think I arrived back at head office about 5 o'clock, where our commercial manager and legal
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not? A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay? Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations? A. I personally wasn't. I never believed his allegations. They were false. They were full of lies; okay? Q. But at least from your point of view, his allegations necessitated immediate attention, at least 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in Wan Chai, there was a JV board meeting that I came back from, and I think I arrived back at head office about 5 o'clock, where our commercial manager and legal counsel had prepared the final account and confidentiality agreement. Jason was waiting there to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not? A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay? Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations? A. I personally wasn't. I never believed his allegations. They were false. They were full of lies; okay? Q. But at least from your point of view, his allegations necessitated immediate attention, at least A. He had written to the Secretary for Transport; okay? He 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in Wan Chai, there was a JV board meeting that I came back from, and I think I arrived back at head office about 5 o'clock, where our commercial manager and legal counsel had prepared the final account and confidentiality agreement. Jason was waiting there to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not? A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay? Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations? A. I personally wasn't. I never believed his allegations. They were false. They were full of lies; okay? Q. But at least from your point of view, his allegations necessitated immediate attention, at least A. He had written to the Secretary for Transport; okay? He was escalating the issue, unnecessarily; okay? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in Wan Chai, there was a JV board meeting that I came back from, and I think I arrived back at head office about 5 o'clock, where our commercial manager and legal counsel had prepared the final account and confidentiality agreement. Jason was waiting there to sign, and we went into the room where the documents were
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not? A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay? Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations? A. I personally wasn't. I never believed his allegations. They were false. They were full of lies; okay? Q. But at least from your point of view, his allegations necessitated immediate attention, at least A. He had written to the Secretary for Transport; okay? He was escalating the issue, unnecessarily; okay? Q. But given Leighton's investigation and you were 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in Wan Chai, there was a JV board meeting that I came back from, and I think I arrived back at head office about 5 o'clock, where our commercial manager and legal counsel had prepared the final account and confidentiality agreement. Jason was waiting there to sign, and we went into the room where the documents were presented for Jason to sign; okay? Q. Yes. A. So that wasn't in my calendar because it was
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 "No problem, this could not be substantiated at all." You could just simply tell Mr Jason Poon, "Hey, payment issues we can sort out, but how come you make false allegations? Go away. Go away"; why not? A. Because he continued to make false allegations. I keep saying that. I don't understand what you're trying to tell me. He was using this issue to try to apply pressure; okay? Q. So is it fair to say that Leighton was worried about his allegations? A. I personally wasn't. I never believed his allegations. They were false. They were full of lies; okay? Q. But at least from your point of view, his allegations necessitated immediate attention, at least A. He had written to the Secretary for Transport; okay? He was escalating the issue, unnecessarily; okay? Q. But given Leighton's investigation and you were satisfied that nothing was wrong whoever Jason Poon 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yes, I know, but it seems to me that when you were making this responsive statement, obviously you had a chance to check your own calendar, your own records, and obviously at that time you were able to tell us whether you actually had a meeting with Jason Poon or not? A. At this time? Q. Yes. A. What I overlooked in the first statement was that he signed the final accounts on the 18th; okay? And what I do recall is that I came back from a meeting in Wan Chai, there was a JV board meeting that I came back from, and I think I arrived back at head office about 5 o'clock, where our commercial manager and legal counsel had prepared the final account and confidentiality agreement. Jason was waiting there to sign, and we went into the room where the documents were presented for Jason to sign; okay? Q. Yes.

1	Page 17		Page 19
1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. In fact, my question earlier on was a straightforward one. I was just curious as to whether, at a time when you were preparing your responsive statement here, did you have a chance to check your diary records to confirm whether you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September?	1 2 3 4 5 6	 A. I didn't say that wasn't the only thing. It was his manner, the way he hung up on me. He was demanding payment. I was aware that we had sent letters to him because we felt he was breaching contract. So there was it was more than just one email. Q. A combination?
	A. No, I didn't go that far. Apologies. I was just	7	A. A combination, yeah.
8	responding to the witness statement; okay?	8	Q. And at least the allegation he made in the two emails
9	Q. Fine.	9	was one of the reasons
10	Then in your third witness statement, 26503 this	10	A. Correct.
11	is another responsive statement (i) at the top, once	11	Q which caused you to come back immediately.
12	again you said:	12	If that is the case, can you confirm that at that
13	"I did not have any meeting with Jason Poon and Karl	13	meeting on 15 September, no mention whatsoever was made
14	Speed on 18 September"	14	regarding his allegation? Are you absolutely clear?
15	Then you continue to say:	15	A. Yeah, I'm clear on that. He wasn't interested. He was
16	"I could not have attended any meeting with Jason	16	only interested in pursuing money.
17	Poon at around 3 pm on 18 September 2017 given my	17	Q. If we can go back to your fourth witness statement,
18	meeting schedule on that day"	18	regarding what happened at the meeting on 18 September,
19	Again, this is your second responsive statement. At	19 20	26575, paragraph 6(c)(ii). You said:
20 21	the time when you made your statement, did you have	20 21	"Jason Poon did not agree 'not to disclose the matter [the alleged cutting of threaded ends of rebars]
21	a chance to check your records and diary to see whether you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on that	21	to anyone, including the government'"
22	particular day?	22	Pausing here, you said Jason Poon did not agree not
	A. No, because I was looking at the specific time, 3 pm,	23 24	to do this, that's a double negative, but regardless of
25	and it was in response to whether we had met with Jason	25	the semantics here, are you saying that this issue
23	Page 18	20	Page 20
1	and Karl.	1	regarding whether Jason Poon should disclose or should
	Q. Right. So actually, you did not apply your mind as to	2	not disclose the matter regarding cutting of threaded
3	whether it was necessary to check whether you had	3	rebars to anybody, including the government, this issue
4	a meeting with Jason Poon later?	4	was in fact mentioned or discussed at the meeting on
	A. I was simply responding to the allegations. I wasn't	5	18 September?
6	adding anything extra.	6	A. It wasn't it wasn't discussed. This was again in
7	Q. Right. Then finally, in your fourth witness statement,	7	response to previous statements by Poon. At this time,
	26575, paragraph 6 so you finally said, 6(a):	8	
8		0	he had already sent an email to government.
8 9	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark	9	Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your
	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on		
9 10 11	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site	9	Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you.
9 10 11 12	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai".	9 10 11 12	Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you.A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed.
9 10 11 12 13	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai". A. Correct.	9 10 11 12 13	Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you.A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed.Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue
9 10 11 12 13 14	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai".A. Correct.Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you	9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai".A. Correct.Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai".A. Correct.Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September?A. I was preparing for today and I was going through the	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all? A. Was not mentioned at all, yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai".A. Correct.Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September?A. I was preparing for today and I was going through the witness statements and I was checking the appendices,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all? A. Was not mentioned at all, yes. MR KHAW: I have no further questions.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 "I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai". A. Correct. Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September? A. I was preparing for today and I was going through the witness statements and I was checking the appendices, and I saw that Jason had actually signed the agreement, 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all? A. Was not mentioned at all, yes. MR KHAW: I have no further questions. Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 "I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai". A. Correct. Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September? A. I was preparing for today and I was going through the witness statements and I was checking the appendices, and I saw that Jason had actually signed the agreement, final account agreement, on the 18th; okay? And that's 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all? A. Was not mentioned at all, yes. MR KHAW: I have no further questions. Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS CHAIRMAN: All right. Sorry, could I just ask, just so
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 "I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai". A. Correct. Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September? A. I was preparing for today and I was going through the witness statements and I was checking the appendices, and I saw that Jason had actually signed the agreement, final account agreement, on the 18th; okay? And that's where I discovered the anomaly; okay? 	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all? A. Was not mentioned at all, yes. MR KHAW: I have no further questions. Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS CHAIRMAN: All right. Sorry, could I just ask, just so I get to understand it I appreciate fully that you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 "I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai". A. Correct. Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September? A. I was preparing for today and I was going through the witness statements and I was checking the appendices, and I saw that Jason had actually signed the agreement, final account agreement, on the 18th; okay? And that's where I discovered the anomaly; okay? Q. If we can cast your mind back to your meeting with Jason 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all? A. Was not mentioned at all, yes. MR KHAW: I have no further questions. Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS CHAIRMAN: All right. Sorry, could I just ask, just so I get to understand it I appreciate fully that you were across in Macau. You've got to look at these
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 "I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai". A. Correct. Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September? A. I was preparing for today and I was going through the witness statements and I was checking the appendices, and I saw that Jason had actually signed the agreement, final account agreement, on the 18th; okay? And that's where I discovered the anomaly; okay? Q. If we can cast your mind back to your meeting with Jason Poon on 15 September. You just told us that it was his 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all? A. Was not mentioned at all, yes. MR KHAW: I have no further questions. Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS CHAIRMAN: All right. Sorry, could I just ask, just so I get to understand it I appreciate fully that you were across in Macau. You've got to look at these matters in the round. There was a history of poor
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 "I attended a meeting with Jason Poon and Mark Manning in the late afternoon around 5.15 pm on 18 September after attending the Liantang project site meeting and another meeting in Wan Chai". A. Correct. Q. So what made you at that time able to discover that you in fact had a meeting with Jason Poon on 18 September? A. I was preparing for today and I was going through the witness statements and I was checking the appendices, and I saw that Jason had actually signed the agreement, final account agreement, on the 18th; okay? And that's where I discovered the anomaly; okay? Q. If we can cast your mind back to your meeting with Jason 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. It's just the way you put this particular issue in your witness statement which has caused me to just clarify this with you. A. Okay. Yeah. It wasn't discussed. Q. Right. So what you meant was that in fact this issue A. Was not discussed. Q was not mentioned at all? A. Was not mentioned at all, yes. MR KHAW: I have no further questions. Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS CHAIRMAN: All right. Sorry, could I just ask, just so I get to understand it I appreciate fully that you were across in Macau. You've got to look at these

	Page 21		Page 23
1	contacting government, he had hung up in the telephone	1	working like this, so yes, there was
2	conversation, suggesting impulsive conduct to you.	2	CHAIRMAN: So he made no protest?
3	A. Mm-hmm.	3	A. No, not at all.
4	CHAIRMAN: You wanted to get back, you wanted to bring this	4	CHAIRMAN: By which I mean not even an equivocal protest,
5	to a head and solve it; that would be a fair way of	5	such as, "I feel strongly about this, you know how
6	putting it	6	I feel, I'm going to leave it up to you guys to look
7	A. Yes, correct.	7	into this, but I'm still happy to sign the
8	CHAIRMAN: using a lot of your words?	8	confidentiality agreement", et cetera?
9	A. Yes, correct.	9	A. No.
10	CHAIRMAN: And as matters turned out, he did sign a final	10	CHAIRMAN: Nothing along those lines?
11	account and it was agreed he would end his contractual	11	A. Nothing at all, no.
12	relationship with you in respect of this particular	12	CHAIRMAN: You didn't find that strange or anything like
13	contract, and he signed a confidentiality agreement, and	13	that?
14	he signed that because principally, among other things	14	A. It confirms to me what his motives were.
15	but principally, both you and Mr Speed were of the view	15	CHAIRMAN: Okay. And nothing said to Mr Speed that you can
16	that his false allegations had to be dealt with as well,	16	remember along those lines or
17	and the best way to deal with it was for him to agree	17	A. No, absolutely not.
18	not to spread them further?	18	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
19	A. Or make further allegations.	19	MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN: Or make further allegations of any kind.	20	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
21	A. You know, making up allegations, yeah. That's correct.	21	MR WILKEN: Sir, some limited re-examination.
22	CHAIRMAN: But during those meetings that you had after you		CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course.
23	came back from Macau until eventually it was finished,	23	Re-examination by MR WILKEN
24	an outsider might ask: But surely you must have had some	24	MR WILKEN: You were taken by Mr Khaw to your fourth witnes
25	sort of discussion about these allegations, like "We are	25	statement and subparagraph (c)(ii), which should still
	Page 22		Page 24
1	going to want you to sign a confidentiality agreement	1	be on your screen.
2	because we don't want you making further false	2	A. Yes.
3	allegations", or he might have said something along the	3	Q. Your fourth witness statement is a responsive statement,
4	lines of, "You know, I feel quite strongly about my	4	isn't it?
5	allegations and I think somebody needs to look into it",	5	A. Yes.
6	or something.	6	Q. Can I just take you to that which you were responding
7	A. He was fixated on payment. When we were talking	7	to, which is at $D2/1062$. If you can look at the box
8	having commercial discussions, I saw it it was his	8	against 18 September, and the last four lines.
9	way to get people to the table, to talk about money. He	9	A. Four or three? The first box, you're talking about?
10	was never interested in the actual allegation.	10	Q. Yes:
11	CHAIRMAN: All right. That's an assessment on your part.	11	"As such, Poon agreed not to disclose the matter to
12	A. Yes.	12	anyone, including the government"
13	CHAIRMAN: And I'm not rejecting it.	13	A. Yes. I was denying, yes, that didn't happen.
14	A. Okay.	14	Q. So that's what you were responding to?
1 ·		1.0	A. Correct.
15	CHAIRMAN: I'm just saying that when you've got	15	
	CHAIRMAN: I'm just saying that when you've got a confidentiality agreement in the mix, it seems strange	15 16	Q. You were also taken by Mr Khaw this morning to why you
15			
15 16	a confidentiality agreement in the mix, it seems strange	16	Q. You were also taken by Mr Khaw this morning to why you
15 16 17	a confidentiality agreement in the mix, it seems strange that there would have been no conversation at all about	16 17	Q. You were also taken by Mr Khaw this morning to why you reacted the way you did in September, when Mr Poon
15 16 17 18	a confidentiality agreement in the mix, it seems strange that there would have been no conversation at all about his allegations.A. He was as I said, I put it to him, "How are we going to prevent you from continuing to make false	16 17 18	 Q. You were also taken by Mr Khaw this morning to why you reacted the way you did in September, when Mr Poon started making allegations again. Can I take you to Mr Rooney's statement, which you may not have seen before: B1, page 205. This is in
15 16 17 18 19	a confidentiality agreement in the mix, it seems strange that there would have been no conversation at all about his allegations.A. He was as I said, I put it to him, "How are we going	16 17 18 19	Q. You were also taken by Mr Khaw this morning to why you reacted the way you did in September, when Mr Poon started making allegations again.Can I take you to Mr Rooney's statement, which you
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a confidentiality agreement in the mix, it seems strange that there would have been no conversation at all about his allegations.A. He was as I said, I put it to him, "How are we going to prevent you from continuing to make false allegations?" He just shrugged his shoulders and I said, "Let's sign a confidentiality agreement to stop	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You were also taken by Mr Khaw this morning to why you reacted the way you did in September, when Mr Poon started making allegations again.Can I take you to Mr Rooney's statement, which you may not have seen before: B1, page 205. This is in relation to January, and it's paragraph 73, the passage in italics. Here he says:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a confidentiality agreement in the mix, it seems strange that there would have been no conversation at all about his allegations. A. He was as I said, I put it to him, "How are we going to prevent you from continuing to make false allegations?" He just shrugged his shoulders and I said, "Let's sign a confidentiality agreement to stop this from happening. We don't want" it was all about 	 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q. You were also taken by Mr Khaw this morning to why you reacted the way you did in September, when Mr Poon started making allegations again. Can I take you to Mr Rooney's statement, which you may not have seen before: B1, page 205. This is in relation to January, and it's paragraph 73, the passage in italics. Here he says: "In this regard, I have re-read an email I sent to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a confidentiality agreement in the mix, it seems strange that there would have been no conversation at all about his allegations.A. He was as I said, I put it to him, "How are we going to prevent you from continuing to make false allegations?" He just shrugged his shoulders and I said, "Let's sign a confidentiality agreement to stop	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You were also taken by Mr Khaw this morning to why you reacted the way you did in September, when Mr Poon started making allegations again.Can I take you to Mr Rooney's statement, which you may not have seen before: B1, page 205. This is in relation to January, and it's paragraph 73, the passage in italics. Here he says:

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

	Daga 25		Page 27
.	Page 25		Page 27
1	"This is a part of Jason's strategy to put pressure	1	A. No, there are not.
2	on Leighton to pay him the extra \$3 million this week."	2	Q. So to which email does it appear the photographs were
3	Then if you go to page 206, paragraph 77:	3	attached, from the information
4	"Given that we had concluded there was no need to	4	A. For me, 6 January was my understanding.
5	carry out any further follow-up action after Leighton's	5	Q. One final topic: honeycombing of concrete. Can
6	investigation and MTRCL's review in around	6	honeycombing of concrete occur over a period of time?
7	January/February 2017, one of my main concerns at that		A. No, not that I'm aware of.
8	time was to keep RDO informed and to prepare a line to	8	Q. I thought you suggested yesterday there could be
9	take for a potential media release. There was no reason	9	crusting?
10	to revisit Jason Poon's allegations as Jason Poon had	10	A. Well, no. When you say appear over a period time
11	not provided any more relevant factual information."	11	sorry, appear, yes, can appear, but obviously the
12	So that was Mr Rooney's internal view at the time.	12	situation has already occurred, yes, and then there
13	Would you care to comment?	13	would be what I suggested yesterday, it's feasible that
14	A. Sorry, can you just take me through that again? The	14	there was when you strike the formwork, there would
15	page was flicking around, sorry.	15	be a slurry coat, it could be 2mm or 3mm, where you
16	Q. Page 206, paragraph 77.	16	wouldn't when you initially strike, and for some
17 18	That's a better way of doing it; thank you very	17 18	period of time, you wouldn't see the honeycombing,
18 19	much. A. Correct.	18	correct. Q. Can you go to I hope I've got the reference right
19 20	Q. So that's Mr Rooney's internal view.	20	B5, and it's tab 44.3 and area C1-0, and if you look at
20	A. Yes.	20	the last photo, the one on the far right I believe
21	Q. Would you care to comment?	$ ^{21}$	you were shown this photograph yesterday by Mr To?
22	A. I agree with him.	22	A. Yeah, it looks familiar.
23	Q. I will move on to the next topic. Yesterday,	23	Q. Can you look at the date there?
25	Mr Pennicott asked you about some emails in January	25	A. Anzac Day, 2016.
	Page 26	20	Page 28
1	-	1	-
1	2017; do you remember that? Emails from Jason Poon.		Q. 25 April.
2	A. 2017? Specifically	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Yes, sorry.
3	Q. 6 January.	3	Q. Can you then go to the Atkins report, B17/14253,
4	A 6 January? Yes, I do remember that.	4	number 64, and can you see the date there?
5	Q. He said to you then that you were to take it from him	5	A. 10 September 2018.Q. So one was taken two years ago and one was taken
6 7	that any photographs that there were were attached to the email of 7 January 2017; do you remember that?	6 7	recently?
8	A. Yes. Yes.	8	A. Correct.
	Q. Can you go to C12/7923, please. This is an email dated	9	Q. Mr Shieh reminds me, if we can go back to C12 I just
9 10	6 January 2017	10	want to show you one more passage 7937 you will
10	A. Yes.	10	see there, in the text of the email of 6 January down at
11	Q at 9.45 am from China Tech. Can you go to 7929, so	12	the bottom, just so that everybody is absolutely clear:
12	just scroll through. You see the email below. Scroll	12	"We attach herewith two of the found photos"
13	through. Over to the next page. Over to the next page.	13	A. Yes.
14	And you see there, there are photographs attached to the	14	MR WILKEN: No further questions.
16	email?	16	Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS
17	A. Yes.	17	CHAIRMAN: Just one matter, and obviously counsel can follow
18	Q. Can you then compare, in the same volume, 7940. This is		up if they wish, just for clarification, it's my
19	the email of 7 January, where we have Mr Poon saying,	19	understanding that when Jason Poon contacted you on
20	"Call a spade a spade, it is your unfair commercial	20	15 September you have it in your statement during
21	manner leading to our action on commercial review,	21	that conversation, because he raised the alleged cutting
22	include review on hundred thousands of site record"	22	of threaded rebars again, you told him that, going back
22	Then if you scroll down, over the page scroll	23	to January, you had reported the incident and there had
24	down over the page, scroll down, over the page	24	been an investigation?
25	there are no photographs attached there, are there?	25	A. Correct.
23	and are no photographs attached more, are more.		···· ·································

	Page 29		Page 31
1	CHAIRMAN: And that investigation had not found any evidence	; 1	feet
2	of systematic or widespread cutting of rebars.	2	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir.
3	A. I recall saying that to him, yes.	3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: yesterday we were talking about
4	CHAIRMAN: Did he say anything in reply, make any protest	4	the site boundaries and the site gates, when we were
5	such as "Why didn't you tell me" or "I was not involved"	5	with Mr Ngai, if you recall.
6	or anything like that?	6	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.
7	A. No. He was just fixated on, "Are you going to pay me?"	7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And I asked whether there was
8	The determined conversation was about getting paid.	8	a gate 4.
9	That's what he was agitated about.	9	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.
10	-	10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I have since learnt that that was
11	-	11	a bit of a naive question, because apparently
12		12	construction sites in the Chinese culture don't have
13	had been?	13	a gate 4 because, I understand, gate 4 would be unlucky
14	A. No. No expansion and there was no questions, line of	14	and no one would want to go through them.
15		15	MR PENNICOTT: That's entirely right, sir.
16		16	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Well, no one corrected me yesterday
17	Nothing further? Thank you very much, Mr Zervaas.	17	And consequently I understand you would therefore go
18		18	from gate 3 to gate 5 in sequence. I just wanted to
19		19	point out that I now understand that; error of my ways.
20		20	MR PENNICOTT: It does raise the question as to where gate 2
21	WITNESS: Okay.	21	is, but never mind. There we are.
22	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	22	Sir, I think Mr Rawsthorne.
23	(The witness was released)	23	MR WILKEN: Mr Chairman and Professor, can I call
24	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, the next witness is Mr Rawsthorne.	24	Mr Rawsthorne, please?
25	-	25	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
	Page 30		Page 32
1	I understand, helpfully, from Mr Wilken this morning,	1	MR WILKEN: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne.
2	that a brief further witness statement from Mr Lumb is	2	WITNESS: Good morning.
3	in the course of preparation, and it will, we	$\frac{2}{3}$	MR WILKEN: Can you give your full name to the tribunal,
4	understand, identify the people to whom Mr Lumb and his	4	please?
5	team spoke for the purposes of preparing the report.	5	WITNESS: My full name is Ian Noel Rawsthorne.
6		5	
7		6	
	I am happy with that course of action at this stage.	6	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed)
I X	When I've seen and considered that further witness	7	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN
8	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb	7 8	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the
9	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called.	7 8 9	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it?
9 10	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call	7 8 9 10	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes.
9 10 11	When I've seen and considered that further witnessstatement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumbshould be called.One concern I do have is that if we were to callMr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then	7 8 9 10 11	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature?
9 10 11 12	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called.One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two	7 8 9 10 11 12	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called.One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018?
9 10 11 12 13 14	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east diaphragm wall, and we didn't really want to get into 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this Inquiry?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east diaphragm wall, and we didn't really want to get into that second topic with him at this stage because we've	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this Inquiry? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east diaphragm wall, and we didn't really want to get into that second topic with him at this stage because we've got three other witnesses Mr Brewster, Mr Buckland	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this Inquiry? A. Yes. Q. Are its contents true and correct?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east diaphragm wall, and we didn't really want to get into that second topic with him at this stage because we've got three other witnesses Mr Brewster, Mr Buckland and Mr Taylor who deal with that, and we rather	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this Inquiry? A. Yes. Q. Are its contents true and correct? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east diaphragm wall, and we didn't really want to get into that second topic with him at this stage because we've got three other witnesses Mr Brewster, Mr Buckland and Mr Taylor who deal with that, and we rather wanted to keep that as a separate package at the end.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this Inquiry? A. Yes. Q. Are its contents true and correct? A. Yes. Q. Are there any corrections you would like to make?
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east diaphragm wall, and we didn't really want to get into that second topic with him at this stage because we've got three other witnesses Mr Brewster, Mr Buckland and Mr Taylor who deal with that, and we rather	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this Inquiry? A. Yes. Q. Are its contents true and correct? A. Yes. Q. Are there any corrections you would like to make? A. No.
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east diaphragm wall, and we didn't really want to get into that second topic with him at this stage because we've got three other witnesses Mr Brewster, Mr Buckland and Mr Taylor who deal with that, and we rather wanted to keep that as a separate package at the end. So we didn't really want to trouble Mr Lumb twice, if we	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this Inquiry? A. Yes. Q. Are its contents true and correct? A. Yes. Q. Are there any corrections you would like to make? A. No. Q. Do you adopt that statement as your evidence before this
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	When I've seen and considered that further witness statement, we will then take a view about when Mr Lumb should be called. One concern I do have is that if we were to call Mr Lumb sooner rather than later, he might have to then come back subsequently, because he deals with two separate topics in his witness statement. One is his report and matters connected with it, but he also deals with the change of the detail to the top of the east diaphragm wall, and we didn't really want to get into that second topic with him at this stage because we've got three other witnesses Mr Brewster, Mr Buckland and Mr Taylor who deal with that, and we rather wanted to keep that as a separate package at the end. So we didn't really want to trouble Mr Lumb twice, if we can avoid it.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed) Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Can you be shown C27, page 20691. That is the first page of your witness statement, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Then can you go to 20696. Is that your signature? A. Yes. Q. And it's dated 2 October 2018? A. Yes. Q. That is the only witness statement you've given to this Inquiry? A. Yes. Q. Are its contents true and correct? A. Yes. Q. Are there any corrections you would like to make? A. No.

	Page 33		Page 35
1	who is counsel to the Inquiry, the gentleman to my left,	1	Q. So in those days it would be Paul Freeman sometimes,
2	will have some questions. Then there are other counsel	2	Mr Plummer, yourself, and others?
3	dotted around who may have some questions, and in due	3	A. Yes. Typically the area managers, the construction
4	course the Commissioner and the professor may also have	4	managers, would join their section of the walk.
5	some questions for you.	5	Q. And there were, as I understand it, MTRC representatives
6	WITNESS: Thank you.	6	there as well?
7	Examination by MR PENNICOTT	7	A. Always.
8	MR PENNICOTT: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. As Mr Wilker	8	Q. And who were the senior people from MTRC who would be
9	said, I am one of the counsel for the Commission and	9	there?
10	I have a few questions for you. Thank you very much for	10	A. The senior would have been Aidan Rooney. In the period
11	coming along to give evidence to the Inquiry this	11	up to the end of 2015, it would have been also with
12	morning.	12	Brendan Reilly and Kit Chan, typically those three.
13	I understand you are no longer working for Leighton;	13	Q. I understand that at times representatives of
14	is that right?	14	sub-contractors would also attend those walks; is that
15	A. That's correct, yes.	15	correct?
16	Q. You were, however, the project manager for Leighton, as	16	A. Not typically but there were sideline discussions from
17	I understand it, between September 2014 and November	17	time to time, yes.
18	2017?	18	Q. Right. And if the sub-contractors did turn up and
19	A. That's the period I was on the project, yes.	19	I think we heard some evidence that Mr Poon from China
20	Q. So your involvement with the project spanned when you	20	Technology, and Mr Cheung from Fang Sheung would
21	arrived, the D-walls, the diaphragm walls, were still in	21	occasionally go on these Monday morning site walks
22	the course of being constructed?	22	would that be an opportunity for people to raise any
23	A. Yes, they were.	23	particular issues that they might have? What was the
24	Q. I think they had something like nine or ten months to go	24	general purpose of these walks?
25	because they finished in around May/June 2015?	25	A. I think the general purpose was to identify what issues
	Page 34		Page 36
1	A. July is the date I have in my head, but similar.	1	were impeding the progress of the project.
2	Q. Okay. So you had seen the tail end or the last nine or	2	Q. Right. If the sub-contractors were there, whether it
3	ten months of the diaphragm wall construction, and then	3	was Mr Poon or somebody else, would Leighton have any
4	obviously right through the construction of the EWL	4	problem with Mr Poon speaking directly, say, to
5	slab, the NSL slab, and no doubt much other work	5	Mr Rooney from MTRC, when the walk was taking place?
6	besides?	6	A. Not necessarily. I don't think so.
7	A. True.	7	Q. Because that would be a situation where a sub-contractor
8	Q. So one of the longer-term people with involvement in	8	was, as it were, speaking not to the party that it was
9	this project, it would appear, from my perspective,	9	contracted to, namely Leighton, but would be talking to
10	Mr Rawsthorne; you would agree with that?	10	MTR, but you didn't have a problem with that, if it
11	A. Others have been there longer, but yes, I was there for	11	occurred?
12	three years.	12	A. In the context of 1112, it was a target cost project
13	Q. And you saw a number of project directors come and go?	13	which to some extent is a partnering project, so the MTR
14	A. Two.	14	were very hands-on with the project.
15	Q. Your duties and responsibilities included, as	15	Q. Right. But people could speak freely during the course
16	I understand it, attending the weekly site walk which	16	of those site walks on a Monday morning?
17	normally happened on a Monday morning, as I understand	17	A. Yeah, mostly, yes.
18	it?	18	Q. How long would they last, the site walks?
19	A. Correct.	19	A. Most of the morning, maybe 8 to 11, something like that,
20	Q. Who was the most senior person from Leighton attending	20	8 to 10.30.
21	that Monday morning site walk?	21	Q. And the walk would obviously focus on the work the
1 . 1 . 1	A. Always the project director, but from time to time the	22	areas where the work was proceeding at any given time?
22	/* 111 /1 11 / 11 / 11		
23	operations manager would be there as well, certainly in	23	A. Yes. It was a big project.
	operations manager would be there as well, certainly in the 2015 period Paul Freeman was there as the operations manager, more often than not.	23 24 25	A. Yes. It was a big project.Q. Yes, huge.A. So it wasn't just the HUH which we're talking about. It

	Page 37		Page 39
1	was all the other elements of the job as well that were	1	Could I ask you, please, to look at paragraph 12 of
2	part of that walk.	2	your witness statement. That's in C27/20962, where you
3	Q. All right. Can I move on. So far as Leighton is	3	give some detail about the construction engineering
4	concerned, who ultimately was responsible for allocating	4	team. You say:
5	your supervisory and inspection resources?	5	"The construction engineering team conducted the
6	A. In terms of the overall headcount on the project, that	6	quality inspections of the works (including the formal
7	would be through the project director, in negotiation	7	inspections for rebar fixing and pre-pour checks). The
8	with the operations manager. Thereafter, the allocation	8	teams comprised experienced, qualified and competent
9	to the various teams because we had five to six	9	engineers who I relied on to conduct the
10	separate sections of the job was on a needs basis,	10	supervision/inspection process. I was not personally
11	generally through negotiation with myself and the	11	involved in the supervision/inspection process. I do
12	project director.	12	not recall being informed of any issues arising with the
12	Q. Right. So the construction managers responsible for	13	supervision/inspection process. As far as I was aware,
14	each of the areas you've identified, in discussion with	14	the process ran smoothly and effectively."
14	yourself and the project director, would form a view as	15	Now, you have used the word "process" four times in
16	to what let's focus on supervisory first	16	that paragraph, and I think you're talking about, as you
10	supervisory requirements each area had?	17	say, the process of inspection and supervision, rather
17 18	A. The supervisory was slightly different, because that	17	than problems or issues that may have been picked up as
18 19	would be through the site manager.	10	a consequence of the inspections taking place. Are you
19 20	Q. Right.	20	drawing a distinction?
20 21	A. A similar process, but the site manager, the supervision	20	A. Can you repeat that, please?
21 22	team basically reported up through the site manager.	21	Q. Yes, sure. You emphasised the process. You, as the
22 23	Q. And the site manager reported to you?	22	project manager, were presumably responsible or needed
23 24	A. In the early days reported directly to the project	23 24	to be assured that the process of inspection was taking
24 25	director, subsequently to me.	24 25	place properly?
25		25	
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Q. Understood. So inspection was a different process	1	A. Yes.
2	similar process?	2	Q. So would you be advised if something had gone wrong with
3	A. Inspection would have been through the engineering	3	the process; shortage of inspectors, not enough
4	stream, if you like, yeah.	4	
5		4	inspectors in one particular area, that sort of thing,
	Q. Right.	5	inspectors in one particular area, that sort of thing, ie part of the process?
6	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand	5	
6 7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right,	5	ie part of the process?
	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand	5 1 6	ie part of the process?A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself.Q. Right.
7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right,	5 6 7	ie part of the process?A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself.
7 8	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection?	5 1 6 7 8	ie part of the process?A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself.Q. Right.
7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection?A. One is supervision and one is engineering.	5 1 6 7 8 9	ie part of the process?A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself.Q. Right.A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent
7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection?A. One is supervision and one is engineering.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering?	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11	ie part of the process?A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself.Q. Right.A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of
7 8 9 10 11	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11	ie part of the process?A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself.Q. Right.A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head.
7 8 9 10 11 12	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ie part of the process?A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself.Q. Right.A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head.Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ie part of the process?A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself.Q. Right.A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head.Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? A. Typically, yes, almost in every case. 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? A. Typically, yes, almost in every case. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than another area, would that have been the sort of problem
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? A. Typically, yes, almost in every case. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Right. We know that, for example, 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than another area, would that have been the sort of problem that would have been referred to you?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? A. Typically, yes, almost in every case. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Right. We know that, for example, Gabriel So, who we're going to be hearing from a little 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than another area, would that have been the sort of problem that would have been referred to you? A. The construction manager would identify the shortage of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? A. Typically, yes, almost in every case. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Right. We know that, for example, Gabriel So, who we're going to be hearing from a little later 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than another area, would that have been the sort of problem that would have been referred to you? A. The construction manager would identify the shortage of people or a need for an extra site agent, an extra grad
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? A. Typically, yes, almost in every case. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Right. We know that, for example, Gabriel So, who we're going to be hearing from a little later A. Yes. 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than another area, would that have been the sort of problem that would have been referred to you? A. The construction manager would identify the shortage of people or a need for an extra site agent, an extra grad engineer, an extra whatever, he would raise that and we
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Right. We know that, for example, Gabriel So, who we're going to be hearing from a little later A. Yes. Q was the general superintendent. So he would be on 	5 1 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than another area, would that have been the sort of problem that would have been referred to you? A. The construction manager would identify the shortage of people or a need for an extra site agent, an extra grad engineer, an extra whatever, he would raise that and we would try to negotiate that through the project director
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? A. Typically, yes, almost in every case. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Right. We know that, for example, Gabriel So, who we're going to be hearing from a little later A. Yes. Q was the general superintendent. So he would be on the supervisory side, would he? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than another area, would that have been the sort of problem that would have been referred to you? A. The construction manager would identify the shortage of people or a need for an extra site agent, an extra grad engineer, an extra whatever, he would raise that and we would try to negotiate that through the project director and the operations manager and try to source additional
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I can understand this we've got two parallel functions, is that right, one supervision and one inspection? A. One is supervision and one is engineering. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One is engineering? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the inspection happens under engineering? A. Typically, yes, almost in every case. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Right. We know that, for example, Gabriel So, who we're going to be hearing from a little later A. Yes. Q was the general superintendent. So he would be on the supervisory side, would he? A. Sure. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 ie part of the process? A. On a day-to-day basis, no. No. The construction manager would organise that himself. Q. Right. A. If there was a more deeper problem, a more recurrent problem, that would be raised, but I can't think of a case of that off the top of my head. Q. Okay. But if the construction manager had formed the view that there had been a misallocation of resources, ie they needed more inspectors in one area rather than another area, would that have been the sort of problem that would have been referred to you? A. The construction manager would identify the shortage of people or a need for an extra site agent, an extra grad engineer, an extra whatever, he would raise that and we would try to negotiate that through the project director and the operations manager and try to source additional people, as we did very, very often, from various other

	Page 41		Page 43
1	Now, in terms of inspections taking place and	1	I believe it still is. It was principally focused on
2	problems being identified, issues being identified, in	2	safety and it was a monetary method of trying to change
3	what circumstances would you, as the project manager, be	3	the culture of the people working for us. This will
4	informed of those sorts of issues and problems?	4	have been administered by the commercial manager, with
5	A. Probably if where was a time issue. Probably if there	5	input typically from the safety manager.
6	was a time issue. If a planned pour hadn't happened,	6	Q. Right. So presumably you might get a situation where
7	what was the problem, maybe there was an issue with X.	7	a supervisor or an inspector reports something to the
8	Q. If I can just try to get at this in a slightly different	8	safety manager, who would then report it to the
9	way, Mr Rawsthorne. We know, for example, in the	9	commercial manager, and a decision would be made whether
10	sub-contracts with China Technology and Fang Sheung, to	10	or not to impose this administrative fee?
	take two examples, you have in those sub-contracts		*
11		11	A. To some extent. Typically, there was a weekly safety
12	a process or a system of what is known as agreed fees.	12	walk, and then there was a full report done on that
13	That is, if the sub-contractor fails to do certain	13	walk, and there was an allocation as to who was
14	things, you impose, if you like, an agreed fee,	14	responsible, and that would feed into this.
15	an amount of money upon them for that failure.	15	Q. Right. So that's a separate walk to the one we were
16	A. Okay.	16	talking about earlier?
17	Q. Do you understand in terms what I'm talking about?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. This was a specific safety walk
19	Q. If we can perhaps just look at one example of this:	19	A. Yes, a specific safety walk, every week.
20	D1/130. This is broken down into different parts. This	20	Q which took place every week?
21	is the safety and security part.	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Mm-hmm.	22	Q. So it would be really out of that walk that this system
23	Q. I think there's also an environmental part as well,	23	might kick in?
24	Mr Rawsthorne.	24	A. Okay, I'll correct myself. Safety and environmental.
25	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, which sub-contract is this	25	Q. All right. It's nothing that you got involved in
	Page 42		Page 44
1	MR PENNICOTT: This is the China Technology contract.	1	specifically?
2	Sorry, I should have said that. It's the China	2	A. I joined that walk routinely.
3	Technology contract. But I think you will find it's the	3	Q. But you didn't get involved in this system?
4	same with Fang Sheung as well.	4	A. This system was almost automatic. Almost automatic.
5	We see the very first example:	5	The penalties would be levied based on those reports,
6	"Failure to comply with contractual requirements,	6	and other things as well, and that would go through into
7	particular specification for site safety, statutory	7	the commercial system.
8	requirements, occupational safety and health ordinances,	8	Q. All right. Can I ask you, please, to look at
9	safety legislation and/or regulations, codes of	9	paragraphs 18 and 19 of your witness statement. You say
10	practice, industrial guidelines, CIC guidelines,	10	there:
11	technical circulars, project safety plans and safety	11	"I understand that all formal inspections on the
12	standards."	12	diaphragm walls and platform (track) slabs were
12	That's the first one. And if there's a breach, if	12	completed and approved. If an inspection did not take
14	there's a failure in respect of any of those items,	14	place and the works proceeded without approval,
14	essentially, although it's called an administrative fee,	14	I believe that MTRCL would have raised the issue with us
	the contractor either has to pay or gets docked \$10,000;	15	very quickly, either during daily discussions or at the
16			
17	do you see that?	17	weekly progress meetings with MTR. I have no
18	A. Yes.	18	recollection of such issues being raised by MTR or
19	Q. The various failures that we see here and they go on	19	anyone else.
20	for a number of pages, I wasn't going to look at all of	20	As above, I understand that Leighton obtained MTR's
21	them who was responsible for administering this	21	acceptance of the reinforcement works on diaphragm walls
22	system? Is that you, or is it the construction manager,	22	and track slabs, and approval to cast concrete. This
23	the site agent? Who deals with these sorts of things?	23	approval would have been given verbally by MTR's staff
	the site agent? Who deals with these sorts of things?A. This is principally focused on safety because that was a huge emphasis within the Leighton organisation and	23 24 25	approval would have been given verbally by MTR's staff on site and confirmed in writing at a later date when the RISC (inspection request) form was returned to

1	Page 45		Page 47
	Leighton."	1	B5, and then you would inspect the next layer, B4, going
2	Now, so far as the diaphragm walls are concerned,	2	in the other direction, and then the other one going in
3	Mr Rawsthorne, the Commission has seen evidence that as	3	the next direction, until you got to the top, so
4	each rebar cage was fabricated by Hung Choi on behalf of	4	a layer-by-layer inspection process?
5	Intrafor, and each rebar cage completed, an inspection	5	A. I think that would be covered under a surveillance
6	would take place at the point at which one rebar cage	6	rather than a formal inspection, because the formal
7	was connected to the next rebar cage; do you understand	7	inspection is typically about the covering-up of the
8	what I'm talking about?	8	works.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. Yes.
10	Q. Indeed we have seen documents, and I would like to show	10	A. When you talk about the rebar cages for the D-wall, the
11	you one example: F19/13249.	11	splice, once it's lowered, it's effectively covered.
12	This is just an example, taken at random,	12	Q. This is what I was trying to get to, and you've brought
13	Mr Rawsthorne. It happens to relate to EM52. If we	13	me to it quite neatly. I was going to ask you whether
14	could please go to page 13258 that's it; thank you	14	you think there's a parallel to be drawn between the
15	very much. Could you go up, please. Stop there.	15	inspections that take place between the connections for
16	Thanks very much.	16	each rebar cage and the inspections that should take
17	Mr Rawsthorne, I don't know whether this is the sort	17	place on a layer-by-layer basis, whether there's
18	of document you've seen before?	18	a parallel, as it were, between those two situations?
19	A. Not recently.	19	A. In my personal opinion, I don't think it's a fair
20	Q. What it is is a demonstration of a point I was making	20	parallel, because, as I said, for the splice connection,
21	a moment ago, that as the various rebar cages are	21	you have no access back to it.
22	fabricated and connected to the one above, and so on,	22	Q. Once it's down, it's down?
23	and so on	23	A. Yes. And for me the fundamental principle is the
24	A. Mm-hmm.	24	covering-up of works must be inspected, the work to be
25	Q. There's an inspection that takes place between MTR,	25	covered up must be inspected.
	Page 46		Page 48
1	Leighton and Intrafor, and it's signed off at each	1	Q. But isn't one of the problems with that approach,
2	particular connection; do you see?	2	Mr Rawsthorne let's just again focus on the bottom
3	A. Yes.	3	rebar of the EWL slab, by way of example let's
4	Q. And by and large, whilst there are slight differences in	4	suppose you've got five or six layers of rebar, three
5	the number of signatures that we have on certain		
5	•	5	going one way, three going the other. If you come along
6	pages by and large, that process was operated	5 6	
	•		going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly
6 7 8	pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes.	6	going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top
6 7 8 9	pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works?A. Yes.Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just	6 7	going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers?
6 7 8 9 10	pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works?A. Yes.Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that.	6 7 8 9 10	going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers?A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected
6 7 8 9 10 11	pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works?A. Yes.Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that.Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the	6 7 8 9 10 11	going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers?A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works?A. Yes.Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that.Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers?A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes.Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works?A. Yes.Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that.Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers?A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes.Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the platform or the track slabs, at their connections, the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it. Q. You'd have to take an awful lot of rebar out if it was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the platform or the track slabs, at their connections, the rebar connections with the diaphragm wall, inspections 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it. Q. You'd have to take an awful lot of rebar out if it was three or four layers down, wouldn't you, to get at it?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the platform or the track slabs, at their connections, the rebar connections with the diaphragm wall, inspections would take place by Leighton and MTR on a layer-by-layer 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it. Q. You'd have to take an awful lot of rebar out if it was three or four layers down, wouldn't you, to get at it? A. But that's the process, isn't it? If it's not built
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the platform or the track slabs, at their connections, the rebar connections with the diaphragm wall, inspections would take place by Leighton and MTR on a layer-by-layer basis. Is that your understanding of what should have 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it. Q. You'd have to take an awful lot of rebar out if it was three or four layers down, wouldn't you, to get at it? A. But that's the process, isn't it? If it's not built correctly, it should be remedied.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the platform or the track slabs, at their connections, the rebar connections with the diaphragm wall, inspections would take place by Leighton and MTR on a layer-by-layer basis. Is that your understanding of what should have happened? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it. Q. You'd have to take an awful lot of rebar out if it was three or four layers down, wouldn't you, to get at it? A. But that's the process, isn't it? If it's not built correctly, it should be remedied. Q. What I'm driving at really, Mr Rawsthorne, is this. Do
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the platform or the track slabs, at their connections, the rebar connections with the diaphragm wall, inspections would take place by Leighton and MTR on a layer-by-layer basis. Is that your understanding of what should have happened? A. Yes. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it. Q. You'd have to take an awful lot of rebar out if it was three or four layers down, wouldn't you, to get at it? A. But that's the process, isn't it? If it's not built correctly, it should be remedied. Q. What I'm driving at really, Mr Rawsthorne, is this. Do you think sorry, the distinction that you make, and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the platform or the track slabs, at their connections, the rebar connections with the diaphragm wall, inspections would take place by Leighton and MTR on a layer-by-layer basis. Is that your understanding of what should have happened? A. Yes. Q. And so, starting at the bottom, the bottom layer of 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it. Q. You'd have to take an awful lot of rebar out if it was three or four layers down, wouldn't you, to get at it? A. But that's the process, isn't it? If it's not built correctly, it should be remedied. Q. What I'm driving at really, Mr Rawsthorne, is this. Do you think sorry, the distinction that you make, and indeed other witnesses make and we will looking at
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 pages by and large, that process was operated throughout the course of the diaphragm wall works? A. Yes. Q. And we've got the documents such as the one I've just shown you to demonstrate that. Can I ask you this. We've heard evidence, the Commission has heard evidence already, and of course there's still more evidence to come, and one doesn't quite know how it's all going to pan out at the end of the day, but for the moment the evidence appears to suggest that so far as the rebar is concerned for the platform or the track slabs, at their connections, the rebar connections with the diaphragm wall, inspections would take place by Leighton and MTR on a layer-by-layer basis. Is that your understanding of what should have happened? A. Yes. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 going one way, three going the other. If you come along and you just inspect once all of those five or six layers are in place, how do you actually properly inspect the lower layers? You can certainly see the top layer, but how do you properly inspect the lower layers? A. If it's not accessible, it should be inspected beforehand, yes. Q. Also, isn't this a problem, that if on the final inspection you do spot a problem three or four layers down, using a torch or whatever other method you may be using, how on earth do you put it right? A. You take the cage apart. You go back and you fix it. Q. You'd have to take an awful lot of rebar out if it was three or four layers down, wouldn't you, to get at it? A. But that's the process, isn't it? If it's not built correctly, it should be remedied. Q. What I'm driving at really, Mr Rawsthorne, is this. Do you think sorry, the distinction that you make, and

	Page 49		Page 51
1	make. As I understand it, you and indeed others take	1	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: At that formal inspection, is it
2	the view that the layer-by-layer inspection of the rebar	2	possible to get access inside? Because you've got a top
3	is routine rather than formal?	3	mat and a bottom mat. Did the inspection actually go
4	A. It would appear to be, yes. I don't have access to the	4	inside the cage or did it just look from the top; do you
5	actual inspection and test plan for that, so I'm not	5	know?
6	sure what the formal agreed process was.	6	A. I couldn't say categorically that I know, but actually
7	Q. Right.	7	it should have been; it should certainly have been to go
8	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, so that I can understand this in a much	8	inside the cage.
9	more layman's way, taking laying down of the rebars, the	9	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So it would be physically possible
10	bottom layer, shall we say so there's nothing beneath	10	to get inside because it's a 3 metre slab with a top
11	it, other than earth or concrete or whatever else is	11	mat and a bottom mat, so there's a lot of room in
12	there, you are just laying this down now. There would	12	between.
13	be a routine inspection of that, which would mean that	13	A. Yes.
14	from time to time the inspector or supervisor would walk	14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So the physical inspection for the
15	along there and check individual couplings, or he might	15	formal inspection could also and we will find out
16	watch one or two rebar fixing into the couplers, but	16	from others whether it did include going inside the
17	there would not be a specific stand-over while each one	17	cage?
18	was threaded in?	18	A. In my opinion, it should do, because you've got to do
19	A. No, I don't think so, no.	19	a general cleanliness inspection anyway, don't you?
20	CHAIRMAN: So it would be a question of some sort of routine	20	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. That's quite
21	of going up and down and looking and periodically	21	an important point that I'm not sure everybody in this
22	checking?	22	room had quite appreciated. Thank you.
23	A. That's what I imagine.	23	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, Mr Rawsthorne, for that. I think
24	CHAIRMAN: Then, once all that was done, before moving to	24	I've taken that as far as I can.
25	the next layer, would anything happen, or would you wait	25	NCR157, perhaps we can take a look at that.
	Page 50		Page 52
1	until all the layers were finished and then do a formal	1	C12/8134, please. This is a document that we've looked
2	inspection?	2	at a number of times and no doubt this won't be the
3	A. I don't know exactly the sequence of those inspections.	3	last, NCR157. You mention this in your witness
4	There must be a final inspection, and inside that final	4	statement.
5	inspection whether there's been an informal check at	5	A. Yes.
6	each level, without an actual document follow-up,	6	Q. I think broadly you say you have no specific
7	I don't know.	7	recollection of it; would that be right?
8	CHAIRMAN: All right.	8	A. This is the truth, yes.
9	MR PENNICOTT: That was really again, you've anticipated	9	Q. But that, nonetheless, it obviously bears your
10	the point, that with the rebar cages, as we've seen, in	10	signature?
11	the diaphragm wall, we have the documents that show	11	A. It does.
12	"Inspected by Intrafor, Leighton, MTR".	12	Q. Is that because every NCR that goes to a sub-contractor
13	A. Yes.	13	must be signed by the project manager?
14	Q. But insofar as inspections took place of the connections	14	A. No.
15	of the rebar to the diaphragm wall, insofar as it took	15	Q. Why is this particular one signed by you?
16	place on a layer-by-layer basis, we have no documents?	16	A. Absolutely I don't know. I can suggest that perhaps
17	A. Yes.	17	Mr Plummer was not available at the time.
18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just ask a question here		Q. Right. So it could be signed by the project director or
19	So you have bottom mat of reinforcement which is	19	the project manager. Are those the only two people
20	several layers, you've got a top mat of reinforcement	20	allowed to, as it were, under some sort of protocol,
		21	sign NCRs?
21	that's several layers. We know that the formal	21	-
21 22	inspection for the RISC form was done after both were in	22	A. I don't believe there would have been a problem with the
21 22 23	inspection for the RISC form was done after both were in place before concrete there was a hold point before	22 23	A. I don't believe there would have been a problem with the construction manager issuing that.
21 22 23 24	inspection for the RISC form was done after both were in	22	A. I don't believe there would have been a problem with the

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

	Page 53		Page 55
1	This document, the NCR, with its attachments and so	1	this, to your understanding?
2	forth, was sent to Fang Sheung?	2	A. To initiate it. I don't think there's a limitation on
3	A. Yes.	3	who could initiate the NCR. If it got to myself or
4	Q. To Joe Cheung at Fang Sheung?	4	Malcolm and we didn't think it was the right document,
5	A. Yes.	5	it might get stopped, but in terms of initiation,
6	Q. It says on its face that it was sent by mail. Does that	6	I don't see any problem in the world, certainly with the
7	mean by post as opposed to email?	7	quality manager raising it, certainly not.
8	A. Honestly, I don't know.	8	Q. We've seen something, a document called guideline 121.
9	Q. You don't know. The only reason I ask that is that	9	I don't know if that's something you're familiar with?
10	we've seen various this document is in various places	10	A. No.
11	in our bundles. Sometimes there's the sheet that	11	Q. It's referred to at the bottom of the NCR, on one of the
12	Mr Plummer has signed, because it's going to be MTR, and	12	sheets here, and what it is let me show you
13	sometimes that sheet is not there, and so forth, but	13	the document.
14	don't worry about that. Nowhere, however, do we find	14	It's at C35. Thank you. It's a document called
15	an acknowledgement, a signed acknowledgement, that	15	"Non-conformance report classification", Mr Rawsthorne;
16	Fang Sheung have actually received this.	16	do you see that?
17	Is there a process by which they ought to have	17	A. Yes.
18	acknowledged this and so that you were satisfied that	18	Q. Is that a document you've seen before?
19	they knew about it, and so forth?	19	A. Possibly.
20	A. There is the process, because the form has it. Was it	20	Q. What it does, it has its purpose, its classification
21	followed in this case? I'm sorry, I don't know.	21	methods, causes of defective work, and if you scroll
22	Q. Okay. All right.	22	keep going, please, it gives some pause there main
23	Now, we know we can look at it if we need to, but	23	causes, subsidiary causes; it gives some examples. It's
24	let me just tell you that on 15 September 2015, when	24	basically, broadly speaking, telling you how to fill in
25	this problem with the rebar was discovered sorry, did	25	the non-conformance report, how to classify what's
	Page 54		Page 56
1	Page 54 I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe	1	Page 56 happened; do you see?
1 2	-	1 2	
	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe		happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you
2	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of	2	happened; do you see? A. Yes.
2 3	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes.	2 3	happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you
2 3 4	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRCA. Yes.Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in	2 3 4	happened; do you see?A. Yes.Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria
2 3 4 5	 I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or 	2 3 4 5	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue 	2 3 4 5 6	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Perhaps you could then suggest how it might have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be a quality issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Perhaps you could then suggest how it might have happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be a quality issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Perhaps you could then suggest how it might have happened. A. I believe the email that you're mentioning was sent to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be a quality issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: All right. A. A warning letter, in my knowledge of the Leighton
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Perhaps you could then suggest how it might have happened. A. I believe the email that you're mentioning was sent to our quality manager, amongst others, and I believe he's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be a quality issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: All right. A. A warning letter, in my knowledge of the Leighton culture, I would expect more to be a safety issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Perhaps you could then suggest how it might have happened. A. I believe the email that you're mentioning was sent to our quality manager, amongst others, and I believe he's reviewed it that this has to be sent to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be a quality issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: All right. A. A warning letter, in my knowledge of the Leighton culture, I would expect more to be a safety issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Perhaps you could then suggest how it might have happened. A. I believe the email that you're mentioning was sent to our quality manager, amongst others, and I believe he's reviewed it that this has to be sent to the sub-contractor. That's what I guess has happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be a quality issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: All right. A. A warning letter, in my knowledge of the Leighton culture, I would expect more to be a safety issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.? A. That would be my expectation, but I don't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Perhaps you could then suggest how it might have happened. A. I believe the email that you're mentioning was sent to our quality manager, amongst others, and I believe he's reviewed it that this has to be sent to the sub-contractor. That's what I guess has happened. Q. Is that Mr Harman?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be a quality issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: All right. A. A warning letter, in my knowledge of the Leighton culture, I would expect more to be a safety issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.? A. That would be my expectation, but I don't have a document that says that's the case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I say September? 15 December what happened was Kobe Wong from MTRC A. Yes. Q sent an email with some photographs to a number of people at Leighton. There was no express first of all, there was no NCR issued by MTR to Leighton in relation to this, and there was no express request or instruction by MTR that you, Leighton, should issue an NCR to the sub-contractor concerned. So can you help us with the decision-making process that took place within Leighton that gave rise to this NCR being issued to Fang Sheung? A. I can suggest but Q. Let me ask you a more direct question: were you involved in that decision-making process? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Perhaps you could then suggest how it might have happened. A. I believe the email that you're mentioning was sent to our quality manager, amongst others, and I believe he's reviewed it that this has to be sent to the sub-contractor. That's what I guess has happened.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 happened; do you see? A. Yes. Q. What it doesn't appear to do, Mr Rawsthorne, is give you guidance as to when, in what circumstances, an NCR should be issued to a sub-contractor. Are you aware of any criteria A. Any documented criteria? No. Q that help us? No? A. There may well be. Within the Leighton quality system, there may well be, but I'm not aware. Q. All right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask, did you regard NCRs as different to warning letters? So if an NCR was issued to a sub-contractor, is that a different issue than a warning letter being issued to a sub-contractor? A. To me, an NCR is typically I would expect it to be a quality issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: All right. A. A warning letter, in my knowledge of the Leighton culture, I would expect more to be a safety issue. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.? A. That would be my expectation, but I don't have

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

	Page 57		Page 59
1	there some sort of close-out procedure in relation to	1	any witness to answer it. So I repeat that objection,
2	these non-conformance reports?	2	which I believe everyone agreed with last time.
3	A. Yes, there is.	3	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
4	Q. Do you know how that's supposed to work?	4	MR SO: All right. Fair enough.
5	A. In detail, no.	5	Mr Rawsthorne, when you were answering the good
6	Q. Okay. We can ask somebody else.	6	professor's questions as to whether there would be
7	Mr Rawsthorne, I don't know whether you are aware of	7	inspections for the layer-by-layer bars, do you recall
8	this, but in January 2017, Mr Zervaas instigated	8	that you said the inspectorate officers would go down to
9	an internal review and investigation of allegations that	9	the area between the upper layer and the bottom layer to
10	had been made by Mr Jason Poon of China Technology.	10	inspect those?
11	A. Yes, I'm aware.	11	CHAIRMAN: No, I don't think he did. I think Prof Hansford
12	Q. Instigated a review, investigation by Mr Stephen Lumb.	12	put it to him that there was this void area, and my
13	Mr Lumb, we know, carried out that review and	13	understanding was that Mr Rawsthorne said he would
14	investigation, interviewed a number of staff/personnel	14	imagine it would be the case.
15	from Leighton and produced a report.	15	MR PENNICOTT: That it would be feasible or possible.
16	Were you interviewed as part of that process,	16	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Perhaps I can say what I thought
17	Mr Rawsthorne?	17	I asked. I asked whether it would be possible to
18	A. No.	18	inspect inside the cage.
19	Q. You were not? Okay. Did you have an opportunity of	19	MR SO: All right. I wish to clarify that part, that bit.
20	seeing his report, when he produced it?	20	Mr Rawsthorne, can I take you to some photographs.
21	A. I'm actually not sure. I can't remember. I may well	21	It is in bundle E5, page E1290.
22	have. I may well have.	22	Mr Rawsthorne, were you at any time present in the
23	Q. Okay. In any event, you weren't involved in weren't	23	inspections, joint inspections, with the MTRC and the
24	interviewed and weren't involved in its preparation?	24	foreman of Fang Sheung in inspecting those
25	A. No, I was not. Or, excuse me, I do believe almost	25	layer-by-layer fixing of the rebars?
	Page 58		Page 60
1	certainly I will have seen it, to be honest.	1	A. No.
-			
2	MR PENNICOTT: All right. Mr Rawsthorne, that's all I have	2	Q. Do you know that the bars between the upper layer and
2 3	for you.	2 3	the bottom layer were actually supported by something
3 4	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to	3 4	the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer
3	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes.	3	the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar?
3 4	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes.	3 4 5 6	the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar?A. The chairs, yes.
3 4 5 6 7	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am)	3 4 5 6 7	the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar?A. The chairs, yes.Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically
3 4 5 6 7 8	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment)	3 4 5 6 7 8	the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar?A. The chairs, yes.Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am)	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar?A. The chairs, yes.Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar?A. The chairs, yes.Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct?A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there;
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some cage-by-cage inspections for the diaphragm wall; do you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes? Q. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some cage-by-cage inspections for the diaphragm wall; do you recall that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes? Q. Yes. A. Okay, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some cage-by-cage inspections for the diaphragm wall; do you recall that? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes? Q. Yes. A. Okay, yes. Q. And the area between the two layers were actually
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some cage-by-cage inspections for the diaphragm wall; do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of the fact that on quite a lot of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes? Q. Yes. A. Okay, yes. Q. And the area between the two layers were actually slightly on the left-hand side of the photograph; is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some cage-by-cage inspections for the diaphragm wall; do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of the fact that on quite a lot of occasions, those cage-by-cage inspection forms were not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes? Q. Yes. Q. And the area between the two layers were actually slightly on the left-hand side of the photograph; is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some cage-by-cage inspections for the diaphragm wall; do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of the fact that on quite a lot of occasions, those cage-by-cage inspection forms were not signed by all three of the parties?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes? Q. Yes. A. Okay, yes. Q. And the area between the two layers were actually slightly on the left-hand side of the photograph; is that correct? A. I can see what you're talking about, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some cage-by-cage inspections for the diaphragm wall; do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of the fact that on quite a lot of occasions, those cage-by-cage inspection forms were not signed by all three of the parties? MR WILKEN: Sir, this was objected to when the question was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes? Q. Yes. A. Okay, yes. Q. And the area between the two layers were actually slightly on the left-hand side of the photograph; is that correct? A. I can see what you're talking about, yes. Q. Can I suggest to you that the fact is, actually, it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for you. Perhaps, sir, that would be a convenient moment to have 15 minutes. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. 15 minutes. (11.36 am) (A short adjournment) (11.55 am) Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Good morning, Mr Rawsthorne. I am Simon So. I am counsel for China Technology. A. Good morning. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, I have some questions for you. You recall that my learned friend Mr Pennicott, counsel for the Commission, mentioned to you that there were some cage-by-cage inspections for the diaphragm wall; do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of the fact that on quite a lot of occasions, those cage-by-cage inspection forms were not signed by all three of the parties?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 the bottom layer were actually supported by something called a "sifu" bar, do you know that, or the spacer bar? A. The chairs, yes. Q. We can also see some spacer bars now placed vertically and horizontally on top of the bottom layer of the layer bars; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to another photograph. That would be E1316. Here we see there are two layers of the bars and there were some formworks being already annexed to the two layers; correct? A. We are talking about the two rows of couplers there; yes? Q. Yes. A. Okay, yes. Q. And the area between the two layers were actually slightly on the left-hand side of the photograph; is that correct? A. I can see what you're talking about, yes.

Day	18
Day	10

1	Page 61		Page 63
1	collapse and the person inspecting would be in danger?	1	I would suggest that the inspection would take place
2	A. This is not a 3 metre slab that we're looking at in this	2	exactly like what you see now, just inspection of the
3	photograph. This is a different arrangement. I believe	3	upper layer of those bars. I understand that you would
4	the question was about the 3 metre slab where you have	4	not accept that; correct?
5	the top mat and the bottom mat and the void in between.	5	CHAIRMAN: Again, my apologies, I really don't wish to sound
6	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: My question was about the 3 metre		obstructive, but I don't think that Mr Rawsthorne has
7	slab, that's correct.	7	said that he actually took part in any of the
8	MR SO: I understand that. But I am asking Mr Rawsthorne	8	inspections.
9	would it be in a similar arrangement like the 3 metre	9	MR SO: I see.
10	bar as shown in this photograph	10	CHAIRMAN: So it would be a case of him, I suppose well,
11	MR PENNICOTT: Mr Rawsthorne has said this is not the	11	in that instance, all he can say is "I don't know."
12	3 metre slab. Perhaps the person asking the question	12	MR SO: Thank you. I will move on.
13	should identify what it is. If it's not a 3 metre slab,	13	CHAIRMAN: Sorry. I hope I haven't put words in your mouth.
14	if it's something different; the situation is obviously	14	WITNESS: That's fine.
15	different.	15	MR SO: Mr Rawsthorne, may I bring you to I don't quite
16	MR SO: Perhaps I will just focus on the first photograph	16	know the bundle number, I do apologise it is C7057,
17	that I have shown, the E1290 photograph, please.	17	or perhaps C7056, please.
18	This is the 3 metre slab; correct?	18	MR PENNICOTT: C10.
19	A. It would appear to be, yes.	19	MR SO: Mr Rawsthorne, this is the NCR that you said you
20	Q. And it would be supported by the "sifu" bars placed	20	have signed, the NCR157 to Fang Sheung; right?
21	horizontal and placed vertical to support the two	21	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Not this page.
22	layers?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Mm-hmm.	23	MR SO: So we see from this was dated on 18 December.
24	Q. And the persons going in, I suggest to you, would be	24	You said in your witness statement that it was signed on
25	unable to check the bottom layer because it would touch	25	the 17th. Is it? Can you just clarify whether it was
	Page 62	-	Page 64
1	and it would move the "sifu" bars when they are	1	signed on the 17th or 18th?
2	inspecting it?	2	A. I believe I retract. I don't have a specific
3	CHAIRMAN: But by then sorry, it's my question, not	3	recollection of signing this. I believe in the witness
4	a statement the "sifu" bars which are there to ensure	4	statement I've got a typo in there. I think it should
5	spacing, their structural need would have been made	5	have said 18. Sorry.
6	lesser, would it not, by the fact that all these rebars	6	Q. So it was signed on the 18th?
7	are connected to each other and are inserted into the	7	
8			A. That's the only evidence I have, yes.
0	side walls and stuff like that?	8	A. That's the only evidence I have, yes.Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you
9	side walls and stuff like that? A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you	8 9	
			Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you
9	A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you	9	Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it?
9 10	A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go	9 10	Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it?A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't.Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign?
9 10 11	A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you	9 10 11	Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it?A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't.
9 10 11 12	A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go	9 10 11 12	Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it?A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't.Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign?
9 10 11 12 13	A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete.	9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that
9 10 11 12 13 14	A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed	9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete. CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. So typically you would leave an opening in the top, put 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall? A. I truly can't recall, sorry.
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	 A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete. CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. So typically you would leave an opening in the top, put an access down it's very, very uncomfortable work but 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall? A. I truly can't recall, sorry. Q. So you can't recall that he told you anything that was
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	 A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete. CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. So typically you would leave an opening in the top, put an access down it's very, very uncomfortable work but that's what I would expect to happen. 	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall? A. I truly can't recall that he told you anything that was so shocking or that you can remember?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete. CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. So typically you would leave an opening in the top, put an access down it's very, very uncomfortable work but that's what I would expect to happen. CHAIRMAN: That's a different story, yes. 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall? A. I truly can't recall, sorry. Q. So you can't recall that he told you anything that was so shocking or that you can remember? A. Not that I recall, no.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete. CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. So typically you would leave an opening in the top, put an access down it's very, very uncomfortable work but that's what I would expect to happen. CHAIRMAN: That's a different story, yes. MR SO: I think I have put my position clear. My position 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall? A. I truly can't recall, sorry. Q. So you can't recall that he told you anything that was so shocking or that you can remember? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Can I take you to the next page, 7058. We see that it
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete. CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. So typically you would leave an opening in the top, put an access down it's very, very uncomfortable work but that's what I would expect to happen. CHAIRMAN: That's a different story, yes. MR SO: I think I have put my position clear. My position is that it did not occur. Would you accept that? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall? A. I truly can't recall, sorry. Q. So you can't recall that he told you anything that was so shocking or that you can remember? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Can I take you to the next page, 7058. We see that it is blank. Did you read through this document before you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete. CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. So typically you would leave an opening in the top, put an access down it's very, very uncomfortable work but that's what I would expect to happen. CHAIRMAN: That's a different story, yes. MR SO: I think I have put my position clear. My position is that it did not occur. Would you accept that? A. I don't actually know, but I don't accept that it didn't 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall? A. I truly can't recall that he told you anything that was so shocking or that you can remember? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Can I take you to the next page, 7058. We see that it is blank. Did you read through this document before you signed it? You didn't?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. What I think I tried to explain before is typically you would go into a void like that because you have reinforcement, you have general cleaning, before you cast the concrete. Typically, your inspection would go inside to make sure there was no debris, no timber offcuts; all the unsuitable materials should be removed before you cast the concrete. CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. So typically you would leave an opening in the top, put an access down it's very, very uncomfortable work but that's what I would expect to happen. CHAIRMAN: That's a different story, yes. MR SO: I think I have put my position clear. My position is that it did not occur. Would you accept that? 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Thank you. Did you give a read to this NCR when you were signing it? A. As I said, I don't actually have a recollection of doing it. I truly don't. Q. Who actually gave this NCR form for you to sign? A. Again, I don't truly know, but I expect it was Kevin Harman. Q. Did Mr Harman tell you what was the issue arising, that gave rise to this NCR, or you can't recall? A. I truly can't recall, sorry. Q. So you can't recall that he told you anything that was so shocking or that you can remember? A. Not that I recall, no. Q. Can I take you to the next page, 7058. We see that it is blank. Did you read through this document before you

	Page 65		Page 67
1	to MTR, and we see a signature that you signed PP for	1	Q. Did you sign on 15 December 2015?
2	Malcolm Plummer. Is that your signature?	2	A. That's what the document says.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Do you recall you were given to sign this after the
4	Q. We can take it to 7065 and 7066. That is the same	4	rectification?
5	document that you saw that it was copied to Fang Sheung;	5	A. I can't see the document.
6	correct?	6	Q. How can we assist you?
7	A. I think there's two documents. One is, if you like, the	7	A. I can't see I don't know what the document is.
8	domestic NCR to Fang Sheung, and there's the MTR NCR	8	Q. That's the NCR.
9	that's been sent to MTR.	9	A. It's the first time I've seen this document. I have
10	Q. But the content of the NCR was the same?	10	signed it previously but it's the first time in three
10	A. Yes.	11	years that I've seen this document, so I don't have
		12	a recollection of it.
12	Q. Did you make any follow-up as to how this NCR was	12	Q. When you were signing it, do you recall anything was
13	eventually tackled or whether closed out?		
14	A. At that point in time, I don't truly have a recollection	14	scribbled on the top or it was just a blank form? A. No idea.
15	of this. Subsequently, I've come to understand that the	15	
16	non-conformance here was rectified immediately.	16	CHAIRMAN: I think Mr Rawsthorne is saying, "Sorry, three
17	Subsequently I've come to understand that.	17	years, I just have no recollection of this document."
18	Q. Subsequently?	18	If there's something really important that might jog his
19	A. Yes.	19	memory, that's a different matter, obviously.
20	Q. Can you recall how long was that after you signed the	20	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I think he's about to be shown
21	NCR? A month? Two months?	21	a hard copy.
22	A. Ah, no. If I go back again, I don't have a recollection	22	CHAIRMAN: Okay.
23	at the time of this document, so the question is	23	MR SO: Mr Rawsthorne, I think the question that you can
24	difficult for me to answer. I became aware of this	24	assist us is why this document was signed on
25	document in recent months. In becoming aware of it,	25	15 December, but when it was sent on 18 December to
	Page 66		Page 68
1	I became aware of the circumstance, and the fact that it	1	Fang Sheung and MTR, this written manuscript was not
2	had been addressed at the time.	2	there?
3	Q. When the NCR was actually rectified, is it the procedure	3	A. I don't know.
4	that you would be also requested to sign something to	4	Q. Can I suggest to you that this document was actually
5	approve that it was rectified?	5	made retrospectively?
6	A. No, I don't think so. I don't think that would be	6	MR WILKEN: Sir, there is no positive case from my learned
7	# 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		
~	necessary.	7	friend's client as to this effect.
8	Q. I see.	8	friend's client as to this effect. MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be
8 9	-		MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but
	Q. I see.	8	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be
9	Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is	8 9	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is
9 10	Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct?	8 9 10 11 12	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the
9 10 11	Q. I see.Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle.You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed,	8 9 10 11	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification",
9 10 11 12	Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we
9 10 11 12 13	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's
9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? A. It appears to be, yes. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to Fang Sheung and when the document was forwarded to MTR
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? A. It appears to be, yes. CHAIRMAN: I think you can just put it to him that it is. MR SO: Right. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? A. It appears to be, yes. CHAIRMAN: I think you can just put it to him that it is. MR SO: Right. Can I bring you to B4127. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to Fang Sheung and when the document was forwarded to MTR, those words were not there. That's clear, from what we've seen.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? A. It appears to be, yes. CHAIRMAN: I think you can just put it to him that it is. MR SO: Right. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to Fang Sheung and when the document was forwarded to MTR, those words were not there. That's clear, from what
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? A. It appears to be, yes. CHAIRMAN: I think you can just put it to him that it is. MR SO: Right. Can I bring you to B4127. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to Fang Sheung and when the document was forwarded to MTR, those words were not there. That's clear, from what we've seen. I also suspect that those words, and if you look at the bottom of the page you get some more manuscript, you
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? A. It appears to be, yes. CHAIRMAN: I think you can just put it to him that it is. MR SO: Right. Can I bring you to B4127. Can this be blown up? There was a signature next to the project manager's approval; correct? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to Fang Sheung and when the document was forwarded to MTR, those words were not there. That's clear, from what we've seen. I also suspect that those words, and if you look at the bottom of the page you get some more manuscript, you get Mr Harman's signature, you get the date of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? A. It appears to be, yes. CHAIRMAN: I think you can just put it to him that it is. MR SO: Right. Can I bring you to B4127. Can this be blown up? There was a signature next to the project manager's 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to Fang Sheung and when the document was forwarded to MTR, those words were not there. That's clear, from what we've seen. I also suspect that those words, and if you look at the bottom of the page you get some more manuscript, you get Mr Harman's signature, you get the date of 16 January 2017 so that's when, clearly, Mr Harman
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I see. Can I bring you to another document, B4121. This is again the NCR but this time it is in the MTR's bundle. You confirm that that is the same NCR that you signed, right, B4121 and B4122; correct? A. Excuse me? Q. B4121 and page B4122 is the NCR that you have signed, the same document, basically? A. It appears to be, yes. CHAIRMAN: I think you can just put it to him that it is. MR SO: Right. Can I bring you to B4127. Can this be blown up? There was a signature next to the project manager's approval; correct? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR PENNICOTT: I think, with respect, Mr Wilken needs to be a bit careful, because I'm aware of this point but I didn't think that it was appropriate to put the point to Mr Rawsthorne. It's quite clear and Mr So is right that from the documents we've seen so far, the box where it says "Details of required rectification", and then the three or four lines of manuscript which we know were signed by Andy Ip, a Leightons witness that's coming along shortly when the document was issued to Fang Sheung and when the document was forwarded to MTR, those words were not there. That's clear, from what we've seen. I also suspect that those words, and if you look at the bottom of the page you get some more manuscript, you get Mr Harman's signature, you get the date of

	Page 69		Page 71
1	what happened but I'm going to try to find out, but	1	CHAIRMAN: Yes. We haven't simply abandoned the point, or,
2	I have to say I'm not suggesting that Mr So is not	2	rather, counsel for the Commission hasn't abandoned the
3	entitled to ask the question, but there are some issues	3	point.
4	that arise over this document, as to precisely when some	4	MR SO: Thank you.
5	of this was filled in, because clearly, if the evidence	5	Mr Rawsthorne, during your site inspection, the
6	is correct about what happened on 18 December, then	6	weekly site walk that you had on site, have you heard of
7	there's something slightly curious about this document	7	any of your colleagues from Leighton or MTR talked about
8	that needs explaining.	8	this NCR?
9	I know what my theory is but I'm not going to	9	A. Not that I recall, no.
10	suggest what it is at the moment.	10	MR SO: Thank you. No further questions.
11	MR WILKEN: Sir, to be clear, we have no objection to	11	CHAIRMAN: Okay.
12	counsel to the Commission exploring this line, but it is	12	Cross-examination by MR KHAW
13	somewhat difficult for Mr So, under the terms of his	13	MR KHAW: Mr Rawsthorne, good afternoon. Just one matter of
14	Salmon letter, to pursue this line. That's the point	14	terminology that I wish to clarify with you first.
15	we're taking.	15	You, as project manager, were in charge of the
16	So we have no objection to Mr Pennicott, but it	16	construction engineering team. Because I can see from
17	is from an interested party it becomes a little	17	your witness statement that this term, "construction
18	convoluted, if we may put it that way.	18	engineering team", appears, and also "engineering
19	CHAIRMAN: Yes, I see the point.	19	construction team" appears as well. So can I just
20	MR SO: Sir, we are just trying to make the point, and	20	clarify with you that they are actually referring to one
21	obviously, as this Commission would appreciate, my	21	team?
22	client has, with respect, a lot to say on what a lot	22	A. Yes.
23	that he wishes to say in this matter. I am under such	23	Q. Thank you. There was another team called site
24	instruction that I'm bound to do something that	24	supervision team?
25	CHAIRMAN: No, no, that's I think what Mr Rawsthorne is	25	A. Yes.
	Page 70		Page 72
1	saying now, and this is why I wonder if we can progress	1	Q. You also explained to us how the two teams actually
2	it with this witness in any meaningful way, is, "I don't	2	complement each other?
3	actually have any memory of this document. I would have	3	A. Yes.
4	dealt with it several years ago, in my normal routine of	4	Q. If I can just take you to have a look very briefly at
5	a busy day, and even looking at it now does not help me	5	one paragraph of your witness statement. That is
6	to recall exactly when I signed on that document in the	6	paragraph 14, C27/20693.
7	two different places."	7	I just try to understand a bit more about the
8	A. I have no recollection of this document.	8	division of labour insofar as the two teams are
9	CHAIRMAN: But you recognise your signature on the document?		concerned. By the way, I'm acting for the government,
10	A. Definitely.	10	just for reference.
11	CHAIRMAN: And you can take it no further than that?	11	Paragraph 14:
12	A. Yes.	12	"The construction engineering team performed
13	MR SO: Just one last point on this before I move on to the	13	a different but complimentary"
14	next topic, sir. CHAIRMAN: Yes.	14	I believe that should be not really complimentary in
15		15	the sense that there was no payment.
16	MR SO: So, Mr Rawsthorne, is the date scribbled by you or	16	CHAIRMAN: I think "complementary". MR KHAW: "Complementary", C-O-M-P-L-E-M-E-N-T-A-R-Y.
17 18	is the date not scribbled by you, next to your signature?	17 18	" role to Leighton's site supervision team. In
18 19	A. The handwritten date, I don't believe that's my	18	summary, the engineering construction team was
19 20	handwriting.	20	responsible for the technical, planning and quality
20 21	Q. That's not your handwriting?	20	assurance aspects of the works while the site
21	A. I don't believe so.	21	supervision team was responsible for the safe on-site
	1. I don't beneve 50.		supervision wain was responsible for the safe on-site
24	MR SO: I will leave the point there	23	construction of the works "
23 24	MR SO: I will leave the point there. MR PENNICOTT: Mr So can rest assured that this will pursued	23 24	construction of the works." I don't quite understand the division of labour

	Page 73		Page 75
1	different responsibilities taken by the two teams?	1	the work, catching up of the work in accordance with the
2	A. In terms of the site supervision team, their role was	2	plans, whereas the engineering team would be more
3	the actual physical delivery of the work, to ensure that	3	concerned with the actual quality issues?
4	the work was done in a safe and reasonable manner. The	4	A. I wouldn't differentiate between the two teams for that,
5	construction engineering team, their role was to ensure	5	no.
6	that all of the technical issues were resolved, to	6	Q. Thank you. Just to understand a bit more regarding your
7	produce a planning that enabled the works to be built in	7	duties as the project manager. If I can just take you
8	the time frame required. That's the simple	8	to have a look at $B6/3982$. I don't propose to read out
9	Q. But I take it that both teams were responsible for	9	paragraph 3.2.3.2, under the heading of "Project
10	quality issues; is that right?	10	manager", but this is the quality assurance plan of both
11	A. Finally, yes. Finally, yes.	11	MTR and Leighton.
12	Q. So in terms of supervision and inspection of the works	12	I can just take you to 3.2.3.2. If you can take
13	done in a particular aspect, how would they cooperate	13	a look at the description of your duties and
14	with each other, the two teams?	14	responsibilities and see whether you agree with the
15	A. The supervision team would manage the sub-contract or	15	contents.
16	the labour resource, the plant resource, to get the work	16	A. It's the document. I cannot not agree.
17	to the next stage, following the plan that was set by	17	Q. You can see that at page 3983, the third-last bullet
18	the construction engineering team, the concept. Once it	18	point, "approving the required actions associated with
19	was at that stage, the inspection to ensure that it had	19	non-conformance reports and corrective action
20	been done correctly and as was required by the drawings	20	requests" so you agree that insofar as
21	and the specification, that inspection would be done by	21	non-conformance is concerned, whenever there is
22	the construction engineering people.	22	a non-conformance report, your duty is to approve the
23	Q. I see.	23	required actions, required remedial actions?
24	A. Okay?	24	A. That's what the document says there, yes.
25	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I was struggling with this point	: 25	Q. In fact that is the reason why you need to put your
	Page 74		Page 76
			I age 70
1	earlier as well, but I think I'm there. So the	1	signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve
1 2	earlier as well, but I think I'm there. So the supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the	1 2	
			signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve
2	supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the	2	signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct?
2 3	supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely?A. Yes.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering	2 3 4	signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know.
2 3 4	supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely?A. Yes.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done	2 3 4	signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct?A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know.Q. Thank you.But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers
2 3 4 5 6 7	supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely?A. Yes.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth,	2 3 4 5	signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct?A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know.Q. Thank you.But then if we go to the part regarding construction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of 	2 3 4 5 6	signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct?A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know.Q. Thank you.But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. The supervision team are, okay, it's all arranged, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who would prepare the NCR and then ask you to approve; is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. The supervision team are, okay, it's all arranged, it's all sorted out, their job is now to deliver it, to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who would prepare the NCR and then ask you to approve; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. The supervision team are, okay, it's all arranged, it's all sorted out, their job is now to deliver it, to get it built, and then finally the construction 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who would prepare the NCR and then ask you to approve; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. The supervision team are, okay, it's all arranged, it's all sorted out, their job is now to deliver it, to get it built, and then finally the construction ensure that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who would prepare the NCR and then ask you to approve; is that correct? A. Yes, that's Q. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. The supervision team are, okay, it's all arranged, it's all sorted out, their job is now to deliver it, to get it built, and then finally the construction ensure that it's been done properly. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who would prepare the NCR and then ask you to approve; is that correct? A. Yes, that's Q. Thank you. Just one last page I wish to take you to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. The supervision team are, okay, it's all arranged, it's all sorted out, their job is now to deliver it, to get it built, and then finally the construction engineering team will come back again and ensure that it's been done properly. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who would prepare the NCR and then ask you to approve; is that correct? A. Yes, that's Q. Thank you. Just one last page I wish to take you to have a look: 4006. Under 7.4, under the heading of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. The supervision team are, okay, it's all arranged, it's all sorted out, their job is now to deliver it, to get it built, and then finally the construction engineering team will come back again and ensure that it's been done properly. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right. Thank you. MR KHAW: Is it a fair way to put it, Mr Rawsthorne, just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who would prepare the NCR and then ask you to approve; is that correct? A. Yes, that's Q. Thank you. Just one last page I wish to take you to have a look: 4006. Under 7.4, under the heading of "Reporting", under 7.4.2.1, can you see "Internal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 supervision team is responsible for ensuring that the works are done and that they're done safely? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the construction engineering team is responsible for ensuring the works are done correctly? I don't want to put words into your mouth, but is that perhaps that's not quite the right way of describing it. A. In simple terms, I would agree with that. For the construction engineering team, their responsibility goes more into preconstruction, into the planning and making sure that the materials, et cetera, are procured, so that's part of their role. Also the liaison with the client, with the MTR, to make sure that we're working in the same direction. That's their role in the thing. The supervision team are, okay, it's all arranged, it's all sorted out, their job is now to deliver it, to get it built, and then finally the construction engineering team will come back again and ensure that it's been done properly. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 signature down on an NCR when you are asked to approve the contents of the NCR; would that be correct? A. Possibly correct. Possibly correct. I don't know. Q. Thank you. But then if we go to the part regarding construction manager, at 3985, these are the construction managers working in the construction engineering team and who are supposed to report to you; right? A. Yes. Q. According to the description here, there is no particular provision requiring the construction manager to do anything in relation to NCR; would you agree? A. It appears to be the case. I am not familiar with this document. I may have been once. Q. But the practice is that whenever an NCR is prepared, it is the staff of the construction engineering team who would prepare the NCR and then ask you to approve; is that correct? A. Yes, that's Q. Thank you. Just one last page I wish to take you to have a look: 4006. Under 7.4, under the heading of

	Page 77		Page 79
1	Q. Here it says:	1	Leighton with at least an indication as to whether the
2	"A monthly quality report will be compiled by the	2	problem identified is an isolated incident or it shows
3	quality and environmental manager and approved by the	3	a widespread or prevalent malpractice; would you agree?
4	project director. That report will be prepared on	4	A. I can't follow the link. I don't actually agree with
5	standard form and include the following information:	5	what you said, no.
6	status of quality-related [issues]"	6	Q. For example, the cutting of rebars found and stated in
7	Then the next page:	7	the NCR.
8	" status of quality implementation and document	8	A. Mm-hmm.
9	preparation;	9	Q. Obviously, one would be interested to know whether the
10	status of all non-conformances and corrective	10	cutting of rebars was in fact an isolated incident or in
11	actions"	11	fact there was quite a widespread malpractice of this
12	So am I correct to say that if there are NCRs or	12	cutting happening on the site; would you agree?
13	when NCR incidents are discovered, there would be this	13	A. Yes.
14	monthly quality report which will record those	14	Q. So identification of the actual cause of a problem, ie
15	incidents?	15	why did the workers do this cutting act, would enable
16	A. To be honest, this document is far removed from my	16	you to understand a bit more as to whether this was
17	recent recollection. So to answer you properly, I would	17	an isolated incident or it was a widespread problem?
18	need to go through it and I would actually need to	18	Just a matter of common sense; would you agree?
19	discuss it with the quality manager to find out if	19	A. The NCR, as I understand it, identifies causes, and
20	there's been any amendments or what have you; yes?	20	I think it identifies workmanship and personnel issues;
21	Q. Yes. I see. So, according to your recollection, you	21	yes?
22	have never seen this monthly quality report?	22	Q. Yes.
23	A. My recollection definitively, I couldn't say, "Yes,	23	A. To follow your logic, for me, it would be a case of
24	I have", but there was a monthly head office quality	24	repeated recordings of NCRs. That to me would take me
25	review which I didn't attend, the project director	25	where I think you're trying to go.
	Page 78		Page 80
			5
1	attended, and I assume that there was a report that went	1	Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question,
1 2	into that.	1 2	Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance
	into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally		Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question,
2	into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the	2 3 4	Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday.
2 3	into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally	2 3	Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663.
2 3 4	into that.Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned?A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see
2 3 4 5	into that.Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned?A. Yes.Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	into that.Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned?A. Yes.Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	into that.Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned?A. Yes.Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found?A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	into that.Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned?A. Yes.Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found?A. Yes.Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	into that.Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned?A. Yes.Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found?A. Yes.Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? A. Should be, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring." It's all very philosophical.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? A. Should be, yes. Q. Identification of the actual cause of the problem will 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring." It's all very philosophical. If you can go to the next page, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? A. Should be, yes. Q. Identification of the actual cause of the problem will provide you, or Leighton, with an indication as to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring." It's all very philosophical. If you can go to the next page, there's a classification which helps you to actually identify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? A. Should be, yes. Q. Identification of the actual cause of the problem will provide you, or Leighton, with an indication as to whether the problem identified is an isolated incident 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring." It's all very philosophical. If you can go to the next page, there's a classification which helps you to actually identify what is a main cause, what is a subsidiary cause. Now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? A. Should be, yes. Q. Identification of the actual cause of the problem will provide you, or Leighton, with an indication as to whether the problem identified is an isolated incident or it is a prevalent or there is a prevalent, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring." It's all very philosophical. If you can go to the next page, there's a classification which helps you to actually identify what is a main cause, what is a subsidiary cause. Now, subsidiary cause a main cause first: survey,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? A. Should be, yes. Q. Identification of the actual cause of the problem will provide you, or Leighton, with an indication as to whether the problem identified is an isolated incident or it is a prevalent or there is a prevalent, widespread malpractice? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring." It's all very philosophical. If you can go to the next page, there's a classification which helps you to actually identify what is a main cause, what is a subsidiary cause. Now, subsidiary cause a main cause first: survey, documentation, workmanship, material handling,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? A. Should be, yes. Q. Identification of the actual cause of the problem will provide you, or Leighton, with an indication as to whether the problem identified is an isolated incident or it is a prevalent or there is a prevalent, widespread malpractice? A. Sorry, can you say that again, please? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring." It's all very philosophical. If you can go to the next page, there's a classification which helps you to actually identify what is a main cause, what is a subsidiary cause. Now, subsidiary cause a main cause first: survey, documentation, workmanship, material handling, manufacture, identification, design, other. Subsidiary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 into that. Q. Mr Rawsthorne, would you agree that, just generally speaking, an NCR is an important document insofar as the project is concerned? A. Yes. Q. Obviously, one of the reasons for issuing an NCR is to inform the relevant sub-contractor of the defects found? A. Yes. Q. At the same time, you agree with me that the NCR would also be forwarded to MTR, in order to also inform them what happened? A. That was our process, yes. Q. Would you also agree that as a matter of common sense, one main purpose of the NCR was to try to identify the actual cause of the problem? A. Should be, yes. Q. Identification of the actual cause of the problem will provide you, or Leighton, with an indication as to whether the problem identified is an isolated incident or it is a prevalent or there is a prevalent, widespread malpractice? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Yes. In fact you anticipated my next, final question, and that is if we can take a look at the non-conformance report classification, not the report itself but the classification that was provided by Leighton yesterday. C35/26663. Here, if we can go to paragraph 2, we can see "Causes of defective work", an interesting diagram there, and we can see there are two causes classified. One is called "main cause", you can see from paragraph 2, "main cause", and "subsidiary cause" of non-conformance. So main and subsidiary; okay? Then: "This classification provides the opportunity for further analysis both at project and company level. The main cause indicates the broad area of the quality problem identified. The subsidiary cause focuses on the likely reasons for the defect occurring." It's all very philosophical. If you can go to the next page, there's a classification which helps you to actually identify what is a main cause, what is a subsidiary cause. Now, subsidiary cause a main cause first: survey, documentation, workmanship, material handling,

	Page 81		Page 83
1	coordination, workforce.	1	work", "Threaded rebars", blah, blah, blah, we have
2	But if we can then take a look at the illustration,	2	seen that many times, "Y40 at bottom layer which were
3	ie the examples given, you can see take a look at the	3	wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face"
4	first example, "Defective work description":	4	From this mere description of defective work, I'm
5	"During a concrete post-pour inspection, a deformed	5	sure that by applying the classification one can
6	window opening was found in the concrete wall. Vertical	6	immediately classify that as a workmanship issue as the
7	props were found to be loose and had not been properly	7	main cause, and obviously you also classify the
8	fixed on the formwork."	8	subsidiary issue, subsidiary cause, as personnel. So
8 9	Then one can infer from this incident that the main	8 9	this is standard; okay?
10	cause should be workmanship, subsidiary cause should be		But when you were issuing this document to
11	personnel.	11	Fang Sheung, at the page in front of this page, 20358
12	So, basically, this classification tells your staff	12	you see the box here says "No. of copies" and then
13	actually how to broadly identify the main cause and	13	"Document No.", the NCR. This is to Fang Sheung.
14	subsidiary cause; right?	14	Then you see the box "Instruction"; do you see that?
15	A. Okay.	15	We can blow it up a little bit:
16	Q. But the main cause and subsidiary cause could be	16	"Please review the evidence and investigate the root
17	actually inferred, according to this classification,	17	cause of the problem then propose your corrective
18	could simply be inferred from the problems identified.	18	actions with a timetable implementation."
19	For example, here it says if this is a deformed window	19	So there you are trying to coordinate with the
20	opening, then obviously you can categorise it as	20	sub-contractor and asking them to try to investigate and
21	workmanship, and obviously it involves some personnel	21	find out the root cause of the problem?
22	issues, so the main cause and subsidiary cause could be	22	A. Yes.
23	identified; as simple as that, right?	23	Q. So that would be the usual practice; right? So raw
24	A. Yes.	24	classifications in the NCR form first, and then, when
25	Q. But here the main cause or classification of main cause	25	you send the NCR form to the relevant sub-contractor,
	Page 82		Page 84
1	and subsidiary cause could not actually tell people what	1	you will be asking them to find out the root cause of
2	was the actual reason for a particular problem; would	2	the problem; is that the usual practice?
3	you agree? Because you still have to do further	3	A. That is what's there, yes.
4	investigation in order to find out why this happened; do	4	Q. 20359, ie the next page that we have just seen, we can
5	you agree?	5	see your name appears in the box starting with the words
6	A. In this particular case, you've got a workmanship issue.	6	"Project manager's approval", et cetera.
7	Q. Yes.	7	What was to be approved by you?
8	A. And I think the subsidiary identification is personnel.	8	A. I would need to take advice from the quality manager.
9	I think that sits within the	9	I believe that would be an approval that the issue was
10	Q. Yes. In fact, in any case where defects were found, one	10	closed. I believe, but I would need to take advice.
11	could immediately categorise it as a workmanship issue;	11	Q. No. I believe, when this NCR was issued, the issue
12	would you agree?	12	obviously had not been closed, or to use your
13	A. Not in every case, but more often than not, yes.	13	terminology closed out, because you were later
14	Q. If we can now take a look at the NCR itself. I'll	14	identified by a particular close-out date; do you
15	probably use the NCR as referred to in your witness	15	remember that?
16	statement, C27/20395.	16	A. Okay. Yes. I don't know.
17	I understand you were not privy to the preparation	17	Q. Let's just try to take it step by step. You received
18	of this document, but I will just ask a few questions	18	this piece of paper from your team, your team prepared
19	arising from the contents to see what you think would be	19	the contents, and then you need to put your signature on
20	the case.	20	it; right? And then the box particularly says,
21	A. This document is what, sorry?	21	"Approved by project manager".
22	MR PENNICOTT: We haven't got it yet.	22	What I'm interested to know is what was supposed to
23	MR KHAW: The NCR. C27/20359. Sorry, I got the number		be approved; can you tell us?
24	wrong.	23 24	A. I would actually need to look at it in a little more
25	Here we can see, from the "Details of defective	24 25	detail. I'm guessing honestly, I'm guessing it
1 / 1			actual. The guessing noncorry, The guessing n

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

	Page 85		Page 87
1	may be approval to issue.	1	a problem with Leighton's inspection and supervision
2	Q. So am I correct to say that, first of all, you would	2	process?
3	need to approve the contents of this NCR; right? This	3	A. If it hadn't been picked up, I would agree, but in this
4	is fairly basic. So you would be relying on the	4	case my view is that the inspection was done, it was
5	information provided by your team in order to come to	5	picked up, it was rectified. That is, in my
6	a conclusion whether what they stated was correct or	6	understanding, the intent of the process, of the
7	not?	7	inspection process, to ensure that we don't cover up
8	A. Yes.	8	defective work.
9	Q. Would you do any independent verification to check	9	Q. Yes. But would you agree that obviously it was picked
10	whether the description was correct, whether it is	10	up subsequently by the team?
11	really five number of threaded rebars instead of six,	11	A. Yes.
12	any verification that you would make before you put your	12	Q. But would you agree that this picture actually shows
13	signature on this piece of paper?	13	that during the work process, obviously the threaded
14	A. In this particular case, I don't have a recollection, as	14	rebars were not screwed in properly before additional
15	I've said before. Typically, what I would expect is	15	layers of reinforcement were installed, so there could
16	this would be raised by the construction team, it would	16	be a problem regarding inspection and supervision at the
17	be vetted by the quality assurance manager. By the time	17	time when the work was being carried out; would you
18	it got to me, I would typically accept what he had	18	agree?
19	determined.	19	A. It's potentially the case, yes.
20	Q. In a normal situation we are not just talking about	20	Q. So if we can then take a look at your witness statement,
21	this particular NCR when your team gives you the NCR	21	paragraph 24, page 20695. You say:
22	prepared by them, how would you verify and confirm	22	"The fact that 5 defective rebars were identified
23	whether the contents are correct before you put your	23	and documented in NCR157 indicates to me that project
24	signature on it?	24	quality system was working effectively. This is the
25	A. I would expect to have a conversation with whoever	25	type of issue that the system is intended to pick up and
	Page 86		Page 88
1	brought it to me, just to get a base understanding at	1	rectify to ensure that defective works were not included
2	least.	2	:
		2	in the permanent works."
3	Q. But as stated in your witness statement, you could not	2	This is what you say about the quality system.
3 4	Q. But as stated in your witness statement, you could not recall whether you actually looked at this particular		This is what you say about the quality system.
		3	*
4	recall whether you actually looked at this particular	3 4	This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes.
4 5	recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that?	3 4 5	This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would
4 5 6	recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that?A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have	3 4 5 6	This is what you say about the quality system.A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes.Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might
4 5 6 7	recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that?A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular	3 4 5 6 7	This is what you say about the quality system.A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes.Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and
4 5 6 7 8	recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that?A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry.	3 4 5 6 7 8	This is what you say about the quality system.A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes.Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling
4 5 6 7 8 9	recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that?A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry.Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	This is what you say about the quality system.A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes.Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that?A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry.Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	This is what you say about the quality system.A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes.Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out?A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that?A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry.Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	This is what you say about the quality system.A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes.Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out?A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that?A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry.Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right?A. I have seen them, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	This is what you say about the quality system.A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes.Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out?A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this problem or find the problem shown on this photograph 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make them good.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this problem or find the problem shown on this photograph alarming? A. Just this photograph suggests that there's no threaded bar into the couplers there. Yes, it's alarming. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make them good. Q. Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this problem or find the problem shown on this photograph alarming? A. Just this photograph suggests that there's no threaded bar into the couplers there. Yes, it's alarming. Q. Yes. Would you agree that this picture shows that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make them good. Q. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: I suppose sorry to interrupt really the question may be: a lot of the time, when you see something, you can just see it's poor workmanship. You
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this problem or find the problem shown on this photograph alarming? A. Just this photograph suggests that there's no threaded bar into the couplers there. Yes, it's alarming. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make them good. Q. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: I suppose sorry to interrupt really the question may be: a lot of the time, when you see
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this problem or find the problem shown on this photograph alarming? A. Just this photograph suggests that there's no threaded bar into the couplers there. Yes, it's alarming. Q. Yes. Would you agree that this picture shows that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make them good. Q. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: I suppose sorry to interrupt really the question may be: a lot of the time, when you see something, you can just see it's poor workmanship. You know, to take a rather silly example, splashing paint, shall we say, on a skirting board when it shouldn't be;
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this problem or find the problem shown on this photograph alarming? A. Just this photograph suggests that there's no threaded bar into the couplers there. Yes, it's alarming. Q. Yes. Would you agree that this picture shows that certain threaded rebars, at the lower level, were not 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make them good. Q. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: I suppose sorry to interrupt really the question may be: a lot of the time, when you see something, you can just see it's poor workmanship. You know, to take a rather silly example, splashing paint,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this problem or find the problem shown on this photograph alarming? A. Just this photograph suggests that there's no threaded bar into the couplers there. Yes, it's alarming. Q. Yes. Would you agree that this picture shows that certain threaded rebars, at the lower level, were not properly screwed in before the upper level of reinforcement bars were installed? A. It's difficult to determine that from the photo, for me. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make them good. Q. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: I suppose sorry to interrupt really the question may be: a lot of the time, when you see something, you can just see it's poor workmanship. You know, to take a rather silly example, splashing paint, shall we say, on a skirting board when it shouldn't be; do you know what I mean? That's not an engineering matter but it's similar, whereas here, if what you're
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 recall whether you actually looked at this particular NCR; do you remember that? A. I have actually stated that I've signed it, so I have viewed it. I can't recall if there was a particular discussion. I can't recall, sorry. Q. Thank you. By now, I suppose, you would have had an opportunity to look at the contents of this NCR and the photos attached to this particular document; right? A. I have seen them, yes. Q. If I can take you to have a look at 20363. Upon looking at this particular photograph, would you find this problem or find the problem shown on this photograph alarming? A. Just this photograph suggests that there's no threaded bar into the couplers there. Yes, it's alarming. Q. Yes. Would you agree that this picture shows that certain threaded rebars, at the lower level, were not properly screwed in before the upper level of reinforcement bars were installed? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 This is what you say about the quality system. A. That's actually what I said just a moment ago, yes. Q. But in view of your earlier answer to my question, would you agree that, at the same time, the NCR also might show or identify a problem as to supervision and inspection work, as at the time when the actual coupling work was being carried out? A. I accept that if the coupler had been threaded in in the first instance, it wouldn't have been raised. I also would suggest, though, that this is so commonplace in the industry that you go through an inspection process and things are not constructed correctly so you make them good. Q. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: I suppose sorry to interrupt really the question may be: a lot of the time, when you see something, you can just see it's poor workmanship. You know, to take a rather silly example, splashing paint, shall we say, on a skirting board when it shouldn't be; do you know what I mean? That's not an engineering

	Page 89		Page 91
1	there's a lot of couplers to be done and maybe the work	1	26. In the months leading up to this email, payment
2	is not that easy to do, if you're looking to root cause,	2	disputes between Leighton and China Technology had been
3	would you not perhaps want to investigate why the	3	increasing. When this email was received, I believe the
4	couplers were not put in and whether that is indicative	4	consensus opinion at both Leighton and MTRC was that
5	of perhaps for the future if not the past, because	5	Jason Poon had raised the allegations in order to
6	you've done your inspections but for the future might	6	negotiate a better deal for his company. I also
7	the workmen face similar problems?	7	believed this to be the case."
8	A. I think the answer is yes, it may.	8	I'm quite interested to know how this consensus
9	CHAIRMAN: But there doesn't appear to have been any	9	opinion was actually reached. You refer to consensus
10	comeback on that.	10	opinion. Now, obviously, at this time, ie
11	A. In documentary, not that I'm aware of, no. Apart from	11	January 2017
12	the NCR being issued to the sub-contractor, to make the	12	A. Mm-hmm.
13	principals of that company aware of the issue.	13	Q you were aware of this NCR which showed you that
14	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	14	there were threaded rebars having been cut; right?
15	MR KHAW: Mr Rawsthorne, would you also agree that by	15	A. Actually, I don't believe I was aware of it. At that
16	looking at the photograph that we have just seen, apart	16	point in time, I don't believe I had a recollection
17	from a mere workmanship problem, it might give rise to	17	certainly I didn't have a recollection until it was more
18	a possibility as to whether people would try to cut	18	recently put in front of me at that time, I don't
19	corners?	19	believe I had a recollection of it.
20	A. It's I don't know. Actually, I don't know.	20	Q. I see. So when you said you were referring to the time
21	Q. Just as a matter of background, at the time when you	21	when you received Jason Poon's email in early January
22	received this NCR from your team, were you aware of any	22	2017, you did not actually apply your mind to this NCR
23	previous threaded rebar cutting incidents from your	23	incident; is that correct?
24	team?	24	A. I did not recall this NCR.
25	A. No.	25	Q. But in that case, when you were reaching this consensus
	B ₁ , , , , 00		
	Page 90		Page 92
1	Q. So this was the first time?	1	Page 92 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before
1 2		1 2	
	Q. So this was the first time?		opinion, who else participated in the discussion before
2	Q. So this was the first time?A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of.Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try	2	opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained?
2 3	Q. So this was the first time?A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of.Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung,	2 3	opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained?A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time,
2 3 4	Q. So this was the first time?A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of.Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try	2 3 4 5	opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained?A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with
2 3 4 5	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether 	2 3 4 5	opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained?A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? 	2 3 4 5 6	opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained?A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained?A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. Q. Thank you. If we can then just move on to take a look 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal? A. That was my belief at the time. That was absolutely my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. Q. Thank you. If we can then just move on to take a look at a particular point you mentioned. It starts from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal? A. That was my belief at the time. That was absolutely my belief at the time, and I understood that to be the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. Q. Thank you. If we can then just move on to take a look at a particular point you mentioned. It starts from paragraph 25 of your witness statement: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal? A. That was my belief at the time. That was absolutely my belief at the time, and I understood that to be the general understanding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. Q. Thank you. If we can then just move on to take a look at a particular point you mentioned. It starts from paragraph 25 of your witness statement: "In early January 2017, I saw a copy of an email 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal? A. That was my belief at the time. That was absolutely my belief at the time, and I understood that to be the general understanding. Q. You also came to the conclusion at that time that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. Q. Thank you. If we can then just move on to take a look at a particular point you mentioned. It starts from paragraph 25 of your witness statement: "In early January 2017, I saw a copy of an email from Jason Poon to Anthony Zervaas and our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal? A. That was my belief at the time. That was absolutely my belief at the time, and I understood that to be the general understanding. Q. You also came to the conclusion at that time that Mr Jason Poon's allegation could not be substantiated;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. Q. Thank you. If we can then just move on to take a look at a particular point you mentioned. It starts from paragraph 25 of your witness statement: "In early January 2017, I saw a copy of an email from Jason Poon to Anthony Zervaas and our construction manager alleging that threaded rebars had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal? A. That was my belief at the time. That was absolutely my belief at the time, and I understood that to be the general understanding. Q. You also came to the conclusion at that time that Mr Jason Poon's allegation could not be substantiated; it had no substance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. Q. Thank you. If we can then just move on to take a look at a particular point you mentioned. It starts from paragraph 25 of your witness statement: "In early January 2017, I saw a copy of an email from Jason Poon to Anthony Zervaas and our construction manager alleging that threaded rebars had been cut short, and not properly fixed to the diaphragm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal? A. That was my belief at the time. That was absolutely my belief at the time, and I understood that to be the general understanding. Q. You also came to the conclusion at that time that Mr Jason Poon's allegation could not be substantiated; it had no substance? A. I had no knowledge that would substantiate it. I had no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. So this was the first time? A. This is the only thing that I'm aware of. Q. We just saw that in the NCRs issued to Fang Sheung, Fang Sheung was required to carry out investigation, try to find out the root cause of the problem, et cetera. Do you know whether any follow-up actions were taken in order to coordinate with Fang Sheung, to see whether the root cause could be found or not? A. No, I don't. Q. At the time when you have probably answered this question already, but just to clarify at the time when you received this NCR or at the time when you were required to sign on this NCR, were you aware of the actual requirements for inspection and supervision of coupling works? A. The detailed requirements, no, I don't believe I was. Q. Thank you. If we can then just move on to take a look at a particular point you mentioned. It starts from paragraph 25 of your witness statement: "In early January 2017, I saw a copy of an email from Jason Poon to Anthony Zervaas and our construction manager alleging that threaded rebars had 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 opinion, who else participated in the discussion before this consensus opinion was obtained? A. I think I say that this is my belief; yes? At the time, there was of course discussion about this allegation. In the lead-up to this allegation, there had been more and more frequent disagreements/dispute on payment with China Technology. I think it was a general understanding. Could I say somebody agreed with it? No, I couldn't, apart from what their witness statements say. But is there a document that says that we all agree it? No. Q. I understand. But what you are trying to say here was that at least in general, you reached a conclusion that Mr Jason Poon had raised the allegation in order to strike a better deal? A. That was my belief at the time. That was absolutely my belief at the time, and I understood that to be the general understanding. Q. You also came to the conclusion at that time that Mr Jason Poon's allegation could not be substantiated; it had no substance?

	Page 93		Page 95
1	Q. Now, when you use this word "consensus", I would be	1	quality control coordinators, ie TCP T3 under the site
2	interested to know with whom you discussed this opinion,	2	supervision plan? Were you aware of this person, Ho
3	before you could reach this consensus.	3	Cheuk Yiu, Nigel?
4	A. Again, it is an opinion that I held at the time; yes.	4	A. Nigel Ho? Yes, I am aware of Nigel Ho.
5	Q. Yes.	5	Q. Would you agree that he was responsible for supervision
6	A. That is an opinion that I held at the time.	6	and inspection work?
7	Q. Yes.	7	A. Nigel, yes, but Nigel had an area allocation to the
8	A. I think in discussions with Michael Fu from MTR,	8	works. The station build in HUH was split up into
9	perhaps, in forums where Aidan Rooney was present,	9	areas, under construction managers, with site agents
10	certainly with Anthony Zervaas, certainly with Joe Tam,	10	under him looking after various parts of it.
11	the understood underlying cause was commercial.	11 12	Q. You remember a person called Wong Chi Ching was also TCP T3?
12 13	Q. So it was not just one occasion where you discussed this; there was a series of discussions that you had on	12	A. Wong Chi Ching? I would need to see an English name or
13	this?	14	a photograph, I'm sorry.
14	A. There may have been informal, ad hoc. Certainly my	15	Q. Edward Lee; any idea?
16	office was right next door to Anthony, so there was	16	A. I do recall an Edward Lee, yes.
17	a fairly easy communication between ourselves. I spoke	17	Q. Was he also responsible for supervision and inspection
18	with Joe Tam daily. I spoke with Michael Fu pretty much		works?
19	daily, with Michael's team also. We met with Aidan at	19	A. I believe he would have been responsible for some
20	least twice a week in those days.	20	inspection work, yes.
21	Q. So am I correct in saying that, at the time when you met	21	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, Mr Khaw, we spent a little
22	the other gentleman from Leighton, the other gentleman	22	while differentiating between supervision and
23	from MTR, at that time, the general view was that, "Oh,	23	inspection, and now you are lumping them together again.
24	come on, this must be fake allegation, we don't have to	24	MR KHAW: I'm sorry. Yes.
25	worry about it"? Is it the general	25	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It would be helpful unless
	D 04		
	Page 94		Page 96
1	Page 94 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that	1	there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful
1 2		1 2	there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection.
	A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong.		there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will.
2 3 4	A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong.As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection	2 3 4	there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you.
2 3 4 5	A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong.As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part	2 3 4 5	there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time.
2 3 4 5 6	A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong.As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have	2 3 4 5 6	there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong.As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation being conducted in relation to Mr Jason Poon's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably not, especially as Mr Khaw wants to do a little checking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation being conducted in relation to Mr Jason Poon's allegations? A. By Stephen Lumb? Q. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably not, especially as Mr Khaw wants to do a little checking over the luncheon. MR KHAW: Yes. CHAIRMAN: Mr Rawsthorne, I'm very sorry, I'm going to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation being conducted in relation to Mr Jason Poon's allegations? A. By Stephen Lumb? Q. Yes. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably not, especially as Mr Khaw wants to do a little checking over the luncheon. MR KHAW: Yes. CHAIRMAN: Mr Rawsthorne, I'm very sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to come back after lunch. You are giving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation being conducted in relation to Mr Jason Poon's allegations? A. By Stephen Lumb? Q. Yes. Q. Were you interviewed by him? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably not, especially as Mr Khaw wants to do a little checking over the luncheon. MR KHAW: Yes. CHAIRMAN: Mr Rawsthorne, I'm very sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to come back after lunch. You are giving your evidence at the moment and it's a rule of our law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation being conducted in relation to Mr Jason Poon's allegations? A. By Stephen Lumb? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Were you interviewed by him? A. No. I've already spoken to Mr Pennicott about that, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably not, especially as Mr Khaw wants to do a little checking over the luncheon. MR KHAW: Yes. CHAIRMAN: Mr Rawsthorne, I'm very sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to come back after lunch. You are giving your evidence at the moment and it's a rule of our law that while you are giving evidence, you are not entitled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation being conducted in relation to Mr Jason Poon's allegations? A. By Stephen Lumb? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Were you interviewed by him? A. No. I've already spoken to Mr Pennicott about that, I think. No, I wasn't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably not, especially as Mr Khaw wants to do a little checking over the luncheon. MR KHAW: Yes. CHAIRMAN: Mr Rawsthorne, I'm very sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to come back after lunch. You are giving your evidence at the moment and it's a rule of our law that while you are giving evidence, you are not entitled to discuss it with anybody else. The fact is lots of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation being conducted in relation to Mr Jason Poon's allegations? A. By Stephen Lumb? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Were you interviewed by him? A. No. I've already spoken to Mr Pennicott about that, I think. No, I wasn't. Q. Finally, I just want to name a few individuals to see 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably not, especially as Mr Khaw wants to do a little checking over the luncheon. MR KHAW: Yes. CHAIRMAN: Mr Rawsthorne, I'm very sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to come back after lunch. You are giving your evidence at the moment and it's a rule of our law that while you are giving evidence, you are not entitled to discuss it with anybody else. The fact is lots of people in these situations find they get all sorts of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I think the general view was that there was nothing that was tangible proof that showed there was something wrong. As I said, at the time, I didn't have a recollection and I'm going to assume the other people who were part of this situation, I guess they didn't have a recollection of the earlier NCR, so at the time, it's 12 months after the bulk of the concrete is cast, if there was to be an allegation, you would expect it to have come out much earlier, rather than all the work's done, it's all covered, it can't be proved well, it can't easily be proved and then the allegation comes out. Q. And, at that time, were you aware of any investigation being conducted in relation to Mr Jason Poon's allegations? A. By Stephen Lumb? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Were you interviewed by him? A. No. I've already spoken to Mr Pennicott about that, I think. No, I wasn't. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there's a very good reason for it, it would be helpful if we could stick to either supervision or inspection. MR KHAW: Yes, I will. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR KHAW: Perhaps it would be an appropriate time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I didn't mean to stop you for that reason. MR KHAW: I could save time, make use of the time to just review this point. CHAIRMAN: Mr Boulding, will you have questions to ask? MR BOULDING: I think at the moment just two or three questions by way of clarification only. CHAIRMAN: Then we will return after lunch. I thought if we could dispose of it within five minutes, but probably not, especially as Mr Khaw wants to do a little checking over the luncheon. MR KHAW: Yes. CHAIRMAN: Mr Rawsthorne, I'm very sorry, I'm going to have to ask you to come back after lunch. You are giving your evidence at the moment and it's a rule of our law that while you are giving evidence, you are not entitled to discuss it with anybody else. The fact is lots of

	Page 97		Page 99
1	WITNESS: All right.	1	correct?
2	CHAIRMAN: Once you have completed your evidence, then	2	A. In the period that I was talking about, which was 2015
3	of course that's a matter for you, but not now.	3	to 2016, yes.
4	WITNESS: Thank you.	4	Q. Right. I think you also said, did you not, that the
5	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 2.15.	5	construction managers would attend their section of the
6	(1.03 pm)	6	walk?
7	(The luncheon adjournment)	7	A. Yes.
8	(2.18 pm)	8	Q. What was the purpose of that?
9	MR KHAW: Mr Chairman and Mr Commissioner, upon review of	: 9	A. The walk typically covered the vast majority of the
10	the transcript this morning, I understand that in fact,	10	site, and we had a construction manager who looked
11	the matters regarding the distinction between inspection	11	after or a construction manager, for want of
12	and supervision have been fully covered in	12	a different name, who looked after the HHS work, we had
13	Mr Pennicott's questions. I probably missed it or	13	a guy who looked after the NAT and SAT work, we had two
14	I probably just day-dreaming when he was doing it.	14	sections in the HUH, the station works. So those
15	In that case, I will probably cut short the line of	15	gentlemen joined us for the part of the walk that was in
16	questioning that I initially intended to pursue.	16	their area.
17	There is just one more question that I would like to	17	Q. I see. I think you also said that representatives from
18	ask Mr Rawsthorne.	18	MTR would attend?
19	Mr Rawsthorne, do you have any personal knowledge as	19	A. Yes.
20	to how the defective works under the NCR that we have	20	Q. I recall you mentioning a Mr Aidan Rooney; is that
21	looked at were eventually rectified?	21	correct?
22	A. Personal direct knowledge, no. I understand from the	22	A. Yes.
23	initiating email that they had been rectified	23	Q. And also a Brendan Reilly?
24	immediately at the time, but personal direct, no.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do you have any knowledge as to when this NCR was	25	Q. And a Kit Chan?
	Page 98		Page 100
1	eventually closed out, by using Leighton's terminology?	1	A. Yes, in that same time slot, that same window of time.
2	A. No.	2	Q. Anyone else you remember from MTR attending
3	MR KHAW: Thank you. I have no further questions.	3	A. Subsequently, Michael Fu, and I think towards the end,
4	Cross-examination by MR BOULDING	4	at times, James Ho and Joe Cheung would also join for
5	MR BOULDING: Good afternoon, Mr Rawsthorne. I appear for	5	their parts.
6	MTR, and I would like to ask you one or two questions	6	Q. Right. My note records that you told the learned
7	about what you told my learned friend Mr Ian Pennicott	7	Commissioners that sometimes sub-contractors would tag
8	this morning, if I may.	8	along, I think was your phrase.
9	Do you remember being asked by Mr Pennicott about	9	A. I can't remember exactly my phrase. If there was
10	the weekly site walks?	10	a particular issue at a particular area, sometimes there
11	A. Yes.	11	would be a request that, "Can we have the sub-contractor
1			-
12	Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday	12	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so
13	Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning?	13	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.
13 14	Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning?A. Almost always, yes.	13 14	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend
13 14 15	Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning?A. Almost always, yes.Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two	13 14 15	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China
13 14 15 16	Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning?A. Almost always, yes.Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct?	13 14 15 16	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks?
13 14 15 16 17	Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning?A. Almost always, yes.Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct?A. I think it was two and a half, perhaps three hours, as	13 14 15 16 17	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks?A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning?A. Almost always, yes.Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct?A. I think it was two and a half, perhaps three hours, as the norm.	13 14 15 16 17 18	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks?A. Yes.Q. And presumably you are aware, are you not, that the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning? A. Almost always, yes. Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct? A. I think it was two and a half, perhaps three hours, as the norm. Q. My recollection is that you said that Leighton 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks?A. Yes.Q. And presumably you are aware, are you not, that the Commission of Inquiry has heard evidence from Mr Poon of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning? A. Almost always, yes. Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct? A. I think it was two and a half, perhaps three hours, as the norm. Q. My recollection is that you said that Leighton representatives would be there? 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks?A. Yes.Q. And presumably you are aware, are you not, that the Commission of Inquiry has heard evidence from Mr Poon of the wholesale cutting of threaded rebar; are you aware
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning? A. Almost always, yes. Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct? A. I think it was two and a half, perhaps three hours, as the norm. Q. My recollection is that you said that Leighton representatives would be there? A. Yes. 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak.Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks?A. Yes.Q. And presumably you are aware, are you not, that the Commission of Inquiry has heard evidence from Mr Poon of the wholesale cutting of threaded rebar; are you aware of that?
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning? A. Almost always, yes. Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct? A. I think it was two and a half, perhaps three hours, as the norm. Q. My recollection is that you said that Leighton representatives would be there? A. Yes. Q. And I think you said you'd attend? 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak. Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks? A. Yes. Q. And presumably you are aware, are you not, that the Commission of Inquiry has heard evidence from Mr Poon of the wholesale cutting of threaded rebar; are you aware of that? A. I am aware of that, yes.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning? A. Almost always, yes. Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct? A. I think it was two and a half, perhaps three hours, as the norm. Q. My recollection is that you said that Leighton representatives would be there? A. Yes. Q. And I think you said you'd attend? A. Yes. 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak. Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks? A. Yes. Q. And presumably you are aware, are you not, that the Commission of Inquiry has heard evidence from Mr Poon of the wholesale cutting of threaded rebar; are you aware of that? A. I am aware of that, yes. Q. And presumably you would agree that if that occurred, it
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 Q. It's right, is it not, that they took place on a Monday morning? A. Almost always, yes. Q. And I think you said that they lasted something like two or two and a half hours; correct? A. I think it was two and a half, perhaps three hours, as the norm. Q. My recollection is that you said that Leighton representatives would be there? A. Yes. Q. And I think you said you'd attend? 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 there, please, so we can all get on the same page", so to speak. Q. It's right, is it not, that you told my learned friend Mr Pennicott that from time to time Mr Poon of China Technology would attend the weekly walks? A. Yes. Q. And presumably you are aware, are you not, that the Commission of Inquiry has heard evidence from Mr Poon of the wholesale cutting of threaded rebar; are you aware of that? A. I am aware of that, yes.

	Page 101		Page 103
1	Q. I think you used the phrase "alarming" just before	1	Then over the page, at 13:
2	lunch, when you were discussing the matter with counsel	2	"Failure to implement or comply with permit-to-work
3	for government.	3	system applied on site"
4	A. Perhaps.	4	Do you see that
5	Q. It's right, is it not, that if it indeed occurred on	5	A. Yes.
6	a wholesale basis, it would have serious safety	6	Q another \$1,000?
7	implications for the works?	7	The next topic. You were asked by Mr Pennicott and
8	A. I would expect so, yes.	8	Prof Hansford about how the rebar was inspected; do you
9	Q. During the course of these weekly walks, when Mr Poon	9	remember that line of questioning?
10	attended, was there anything to prevent Mr Poon from	10	A. (Nodded head).
11	telling Leightons that this wholesale cutting of rebar	11	Q. Can I just take you to some evidence that you may not
12	was going on?	12	have seen, or may not have seen recently. Can I take
13	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.	13	you to the statement of Mr Mok, C12, page 8112,
14	Q. Was there anything to prevent him from telling MTR	14	paragraph 25(a). He says:
15	representatives who were on the site walk that this	15	"There were in fact two formal inspections. The
16		16	first was undertaken after Fang Sheung had completed the
17	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.	17	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top
18	Q. To your knowledge, did Poon ever raise the matter of	18	layers were completed."
19	wholesale cutting of threaded rebar during the course of	19	Do you see that?
20	these walks?	20	A. I do.
21	A. To my knowledge, no.	21	Q. Can I take you to another witness statement at
22	Q. Did he in fact raise the issue that threaded rebar was	22	C27/20664, 17(a).
23	being cut at all during the course of the walks?	23	MR PENNICOTT: Whose is this?
24	A. To my knowledge, no.	24	MR WILKEN: This should be Mr Man Sze Ho:
25	MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Rawsthorne.	25	"There were in fact two formal inspections. The
	Page 102		Page 104
1			
1	No further questions, sir.	1	first was undertaken after Fang Sheung had completed the
1 2	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	2	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top
	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may.	2 3	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed."
2 3 4	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3 4	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425,
2 3 4 5	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN	2 3 4 5	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong.
2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2:
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by	2 3 4 5 6 7	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete."
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 11 2 13	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 122 133 144 15	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 100 111 122 133 144 155 16	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at item 4: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 122 133 14 15 166 17	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at item 4: "Failure to attend site safety induction given by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to the next topic, which is remedials.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 133 14 15 16 177 18	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at item 4: "Failure to attend site safety induction given by the contractor prior to commencing work, attend 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to the next topic, which is remedials. You were asked just now about them. Can I take you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at item 4: "Failure to attend site safety induction given by the contractor prior to commencing work, attend refresher comply with safety and health 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to the next topic, which is remedials. You were asked just now about them. Can I take you to B6/4131.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 177 18 19 20	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at item 4: "Failure to attend site safety induction given by the contractor prior to commencing work, attend refresher comply with safety and health induction/refresher training procedures." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to the next topic, which is remedials. You were asked just now about them. Can I take you to B6/4131. I'm going to need to zoom blow up the top
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 122 13 14 15 16 177 18 19 200 21	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at item 4: "Failure to attend site safety induction given by the contractor prior to commencing work, attend refresher comply with safety and health induction/refresher training procedures." Do you see that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to the next topic, which is remedials. You were asked just now about them. Can I take you to B6/4131.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 133 14 15 16 177 18 19 20 21 22	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at item 4: "Failure to attend site safety induction given by the contractor prior to commencing work, attend refresher comply with safety and health induction/refresher training procedures." Do you see that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to the next topic, which is remedials. You were asked just now about them. Can I take you to B6/4131. I'm going to need to zoom blow up the top photograph, so we can look at the bottom right-hand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 122 13 14 15 16 177 18 19 200 21	 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR WILKEN: Sir, a brief re-examination, if I may. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Re-examination by MR WILKEN MR WILKEN: Good afternoon. At the beginning of your questioning by Mr Pennicott, you were asked about the China Tech sub-contract; do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And you were asked about the provisions by which China Tech would be charged if it did something wrong? A. Yes. Q. I just want to take you to some further examples of that. Can we go to D1, page 130, and there you see, at item 4: "Failure to attend site safety induction given by the contractor prior to commencing work, attend refresher comply with safety and health induction/refresher training procedures." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And that's \$1,000. Then: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 bottom layers of rebars and the second after the top layers were completed." Finally on this line, can you go to B1, page 425, and this is a statement from Mr Kobe Wong. At 25.2: "As far as I understand the ConEs [who I imagine are construction engineers], typically, LCAL would request MTRCL's ConE to inspect the bottom layer at early stage, and then the ConE would subsequently return (upon LCAL's further notification) to inspect the top layer once it was complete." So we see there that what in fact happened was that the bottom mat was inspected, people went away and then they came back and inspected the top layer? A. Yes. Q. Can I take you to the next topic, which is remedials. You were asked just now about them. Can I take you to B6/4131. I'm going to need to zoom blow up the top photograph, so we can look at the bottom right-hand corner.

	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. I think so.	1	MR SO YIU WAH, GABRIEL (affirmed in Punti)
2	Q. Can you see the bottom right-hand corner and can you	2	(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter
3	read out the date stamp?	3	except where otherwise specified)
4	A. That's 15th of the 12th, is it not, 2015, 8.15?	4	Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH
5	Q. So the remedials were done on 15 December?	5	MR SHIEH: Now, Mr So, can you look at bundle C27,
6	A. Of that I was aware.	6	page 20654, and the English is at 20657.
7	Q. Finally, you were asked some questions about your belief	7	Can you find it, Mr So?
8	as to whether there was a consensus between you and	8	A. Yes, I see that.
	MTRCL as to what you thought about Mr Poon. Again, can	8 9	Q. This is your first witness statement; you see that?
9 10	I take you to some evidence that you may not have seen.	10	A. Yes, I see that.
10			·
	B1/20573. This is Mr Rooney's statement.	11	Q. Can you then turn to page 20656, and in the English
12	This is Mr Rooney quoting from an email dated	12	version it is 20659. Above your Chinese name, you can
13	6 January 2017 which he sent to Mr TM Lee, and you see	13	see what appears to be a signature; do you see that?
14	he said there, in the italics, second paragraph:	14	A. Yes, I see that.
15	"This is a part of Jason's strategy to put pressure	15	Q. That is your signature; correct?
16	on Leighton to pay him the extra \$3 million this week."	16	A. Correct.
17	A. Okay. I've never seen this before, but it's	17	Q. Can I then ask you to look at bundle C32, 24103. The
18	effectively, I think, what I said.	18	English is 24108. That is your second witness
19	MR WILKEN: Sir, I have no further questions for this	19	statement; do you see that?
20	witness.	20	A. Yes, I see that.
21	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.	21	Q. Then turn to page 24107. In the English version, it's
22	Peter?	22	24112. Above your Chinese name, you see what appears to
23	Thank you very much indeed. It's very good of you.	23	be your signature; do you confirm that?
24	You've finished your evidence now	24	A. Correct.
25	WITNESS: Thank you.	25	Q. Do you wish to put forward the contents of these two
	Page 106		Page 108
1	CHAIRMAN: and you can go. Thank you for attending.	1	witness statements as your evidence in this Commission
2	(The witness was released)	2	of Inquiry?
3	MR WILKEN: Sir, I am remaining on my feet because I have to	3	A. No problem.
4	make a request to the Commissioners. We are due to have	4	Q. Just two things. First of all, please remain seated
5	a changing of the guard on Leighton's team for the next	5	because lawyers for the other parties and also lawyers
6	few witnesses. Obviously no disrespect to the	6	for the Commission of Inquiry will be asking you some
7	Commissioners, but I will be next door, as opposed to in	7	questions.
8	the room.	8	A. I understand.
9	CHAIRMAN: All right.	9	Q. The Commission, Mr Chairman and the Commissioner, will
10	MR WILKEN: Due to site constraints of where we are, I need	10	also be asking you some questions if they want to.
11	about five minutes to ship all my electronics out of	11	A. No problem.
12	here, into the room next door, so that Mr Chang can then	12	Q. Then I may have a final roundup, to ask you questions if
13	move forward and take my place.	13	I want to. Do you understand?
14	CHAIRMAN: That's fine. Five minutes. Or rather five	14	A. I understand.
15	minutes, or until you tell us you are ready.	15	Q. Secondly, when you give an answer, can you speak up into
16	MR WILKEN: Thank you, sir.	16	the microphone, because any nodding or gestures may not
17	(2.31 pm)	17	be captured or will not be captured by the recording
18	(A short adjournment)	18	devices and won't go into the transcript.
19	(2.39 pm)	19	A. I understand.
20	MR SHIEH: Mr Chairman and Mr Commissioner, can I call Mr S	o20	MR SHIEH: Thank you. Please remain seated.
21	Yiu Wah, Gabriel.	21	Examination by MR PENNICOTT
22	Mr So, please put on your headphones. Good	22	MR PENNICOTT: Mr So, good afternoon.
23	afternoon and welcome.	23	A. (In English) Good afternoon.
24	WITNESS: (In English) Good afternoon.	24	Q. My name is Pennicott, I'm one of the counsel to the
25		25	Commission, and as Mr Shieh has just indicated, I get to

	Page 109		Page 111
1	ask you some questions first, and others may do	1	the whole site.
2	afterwards.	2	Q. So would there be a superintendent for each area, for
3	Mr So, you tell us that you were the general	3	area C, B, A, HKC, SAT, NAT?
4	superintendent of the project between May 2015 and	4	A. We have divided the site into four areas with
5	January 2016; is that correct?	5	a superintendent: one is SAT, one NAT, HUH and HHS. For
6	A. Correct.	6	concourse, there's no superintendent.
7	Q. And, in January 2016, you then became the site manager?	7	Q. Right. So there would be four superintendents?
8	A. Correct.	8	A. Correct.
9	Q. For how long did you remain the site manager?	9	Q. For each area, how many supervisors would there be?
10	A. From January 2016 until now.	10	A. I can't recall the exact number.
11	Q. You are still the site manager?	11	Q. Would it vary from time to time?
12	A. Correct.	12	A. There is this possibility, but the changes wouldn't be
13	Q. As I understand it, both in your role as the general	13	substantial.
14	superintendent and the site manager, your	14	Q. All right. But are we talking two/three/four
15	responsibilities covered the whole of the site; is that	15	supervisors for each area, approximately?
16	correct?	16	A. I would say at least four.
17	A. Correct.	17	Q. Right. Then, so far as foremen were concerned, again
18	Q. Good.	18	presumably there would be more foremen than there were
19	Can I refer you, please, to paragraph 6 of your	19	supervisors?
20	witness statement. It's up on the screen or you can	20	A. I mentioned four, and that includes both supervisors and
21	look at the hard copy in Chinese, Mr So, whatever suits	21	foremen, so in each area around four to five of them.
22	you.	22	Q. All right, combined, supervisors and foremen?
23	You say in paragraph 6:	23	A. Correct.
24	"I was supported by the site supervision team. The	24	Q. Thank you very much.
25	team comprises superintendents, supervisors and then	25	Now, so far as the fixing of the rebar is
	Page 110		Page 112
1	Page 110 foremen from each of the areas of the project site.	1	Page 112 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of
1 2		1 2	-
	foremen from each of the areas of the project site.		concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of
2	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks,	2	concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the
2 3	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report	2 3	concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar?
2 3 4	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters."	2 3 4	concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar?A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent
2 3 4 5	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues,	2 3 4 5	concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar?A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on
2 3 4 5 6	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the	2 3 4 5 6	concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar?A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. Q. That was a reference to Mr Rodgers, Khyle Rodgers; yes? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are concerned and I'm still focusing on the fixing of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. Q. That was a reference to Mr Rodgers, Khyle Rodgers; yes? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are concerned and I'm still focusing on the fixing of the rebar, nothing else at the moment what would their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. Q. That was a reference to Mr Rodgers, Khyle Rodgers; yes? A. Correct. Q. Presumably, therefore, the same would apply to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are concerned and I'm still focusing on the fixing of the rebar, nothing else at the moment what would their role be as the fixing was taking place?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. Q. That was a reference to Mr Rodgers, Khyle Rodgers; yes? A. Correct. Q. Presumably, therefore, the same would apply to the supervisors; they had direct access to you as well? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are concerned and I'm still focusing on the fixing of the rebar, nothing else at the moment what would their role be as the fixing was taking place? A. For their role now, every day we have a progress
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. Q. That was a reference to Mr Rodgers, Khyle Rodgers; yes? A. Correct. Q. Presumably, therefore, the same would apply to the supervisors; they had direct access to you as well? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are concerned and I'm still focusing on the fixing of the rebar, nothing else at the moment what would their role be as the fixing was taking place? A. For their role now, every day we have a progress meeting, together with the sub-contractors. So the day
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. Q. That was a reference to Mr Rodgers, Khyle Rodgers; yes? A. Correct. Q. Presumably, therefore, the same would apply to the supervisors; they had direct access to you as well? A. Correct. Q. So far as the superintendents were concerned, how did 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are concerned and I'm still focusing on the fixing of the rebar, nothing else at the moment what would their role be as the fixing was taking place? A. For their role now, every day we have a progress meeting, together with the sub-contractors. So the day before, we may have decided, let's say, we need to work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. Q. That was a reference to Mr Rodgers, Khyle Rodgers; yes? A. Correct. Q. Presumably, therefore, the same would apply to the supervisors; they had direct access to you as well? A. Correct. Q. So far as the superintendents were concerned, how did their role differ to yours? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are concerned and I'm still focusing on the fixing of the rebar, nothing else at the moment what would their role be as the fixing was taking place? A. For their role now, every day we have a progress meeting, together with the sub-contractors. So the day before, we may have decided, let's say, we need to work on the bay, a particular bay, and then they would have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 foremen from each of the areas of the project site. While I would conduct general site supervision checks, my team would carry out more detailed checks and report back to me on material matters." Now, let's start with the foremen. Did they have, as it were, direct access to you, Mr So, as the general superintendent, or, if they wished to raise any issues, did they have to, as it were, go up through the supervisors and the superintendent? Did they have direct access to you? A. Definitely, no problem, they could have accessed me directly, because for foremen and supervisors, they are Chinese and they might have some problems communicating with Khyle, so they might speak to me directly, and then I would go back to talk to Khyle if colleagues have raised any issues. Q. That was a reference to Mr Rodgers, Khyle Rodgers; yes? A. Correct. Q. Presumably, therefore, the same would apply to the supervisors; they had direct access to you as well? A. Correct. Q. So far as the superintendents were concerned, how did 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 concerned and let's focus on the EWL slab, fixing of the rebar firstly, what would be the role of the superintendents in relation to the fixing of the rebar? A. For the role of the superintendents, the superintendent would arrange for supervisors and foremen, because for HUH it's further subdivided into C1, C2 and C3, so in each area there would be supervisors working daily on those sites, and then for the superintendent, would his role be so detailed that he would actually go to the site to observe the connection of these rebar? I don't think so, because he's backed up by his own team. Q. Right. So he would be organising the supervisors and the foremen but not necessarily doing any direct supervision himself; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Now, as far as the supervisors and foremen are concerned and I'm still focusing on the fixing of the rebar, nothing else at the moment what would their role be as the fixing was taking place? A. For their role now, every day we have a progress meeting, together with the sub-contractors. So the day before, we may have decided, let's say, we need to work

	Page 113		Page 115
1	are keeping to the schedule to complete work at	1	"The technically competent persons for site
2	a certain stage. And of course they have to make sure	2	supervision under the registered geotechnical engineer's
3	that it's safe and all that.	3	stream required for the specified type of works are"
4	Now, as to whether it would be so detailed, to the	4	Then if we scroll down, please, we see you, as
5	extent that they would actually check each and every	5	I understand it, at the bottom. That is, you are a "T1
6	bar, I suppose no, they won't do that. They would stand	6	(alternative)"; do you see that?
7	there and watch the people do the work, I mean, no.	7	A. Yes, I see it.
8	Q. No. So they wouldn't let's suppose we've got a day	8	Q. Similarly, if we go back in the same bundle sorry, we
9	that runs from 8 o'clock in the morning to 6 o'clock in	9	don't need to.
10	the evening. You wouldn't expect the supervisors and	10	Could I ask you to put that file away, and could
11	foremen to be there throughout those ten hours?	11	I ask you then to be shown another document called the
12	A. What I meant earlier was that they would not stand at	12	quality supervision plan.
13	that spot for ten hours. Say for C1, it's of a certain	13	Have you seen Leighton's quality supervision plan,
14	size, so they might be moving around a bit.	14	as opposed to the site supervision plan, Mr So? Have
15	Q. Right. But, generally speaking, would there be one or	15	you seen before the quality supervision plan?
16	more supervisors or foremen in the area throughout the	16	We'll show it to you, sorry. It's H9/4265.
17	day, always some presence at some level?	17	A. I have not seen it.
18	A. Yes, but for that area, it may not be the case that	18	Q. You will see that from 4265, it's the quality
19	there's just bar fixing going on; there could be other	19	supervision plan on enhanced site supervision and
20	works going on at the same time as well.	20	independent audit checking, by MTRC and RC, that's
20	Q. Yes. So they would be keeping their eye on whatever	21	Leighton, for installation of couplers. Do you see
21	work was going on, bar fixing and other work?	22	that?
22	A. Correct.	23	A. Yes, I see that.
23	Q. All right.	24	Q. If you go over the page to 4267, please, right at the
25	Can I ask you this, Mr So: have you ever read or	25	bottom, please, you will see it says:
23		23	
	Page 114		Page 116
1	seen the site supervision plan of Leightons?	1	"The quality supervision is in addition to:
2	A. No.	2	1. The site supervision plan 2009 submitted by
3	Q. In paragraph 7 of your witness statement, the last	3	[Leighton]".
4	sentence, you say:	4	Do you see that?
5	"I was not responsible for overseeing or inspecting	5	A. I see it.
6	the quality of the works, which was a matter for	6	Q. Then if you go over the page, at (2) at the top it says:
7	Leighton's engineers to deal with the engineers of MTRC	7	"The same technically competent persons proposed in
8	and also the sub-contractors."	8	the site supervision plan of the works, that submitted
9	Do you see that?	9	to Buildings Department as stipulated in the Code of
10	A. Yes, I see it.	10	Practice for Site Supervision, will be responsible for
11	Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown, firstly,	11	the quality control of the work."
12	bundle H10, page 4543. That's the front sheet, if you	12	Now, we've seen that you were a T1 or an alternative
13	look on the screen, Mr So. The hard copy is just about	13	T1. That's the technically competent person
14	to be handed to you. It's the site supervision plan.	14	classification. And, under this quality supervision
15	If you go over the page to 4544, you will see that	15	plan, you were responsible for the quality control of
16	relates to Hung Hom Station, certain gridlines,	16	the work.
17	substructure for EWL track level and excavation and	17	So why is it, Mr So, that you say in your witness
18	lateral support works; do you see that?	18	statement that the quality of the work was a matter for
19	A. Yes, I see that.	19	Leighton's engineers?
20	Q. If you go over the page to 4548, so a couple of pages	20	A. In my statement, I said that I was not the responsible
	and the second	21	specialist, because there would be engineers and MTRC
21	on, please, you will see at the top it says,		
22	"Supervision plan of the registered contractor", that's	22	staff responsible for that.
22 23	"Supervision plan of the registered contractor", that's Leighton; do you see that?	23	Q. So, as you saw it, irrespective of what the documents
22	"Supervision plan of the registered contractor", that's		*

	Page 117		Page 119
1	A. Correct.	1	I encountered or met Mr Jason Poon at that time, but
2	Q. And you saw your role, is this right, primarily in	2	I am sure that Mr Jason Poon did not discuss the issue
3	relation to safety and to progress?	3	of cut threaded rebars with me.
4	A. Safety is my top priority.	4	Q. Did you or did you not say to Mr Poon that you did not
5	Q. All right. Could we move on to a different topic,	5	know which staff cut the threaded heads of rebar?
6	Mr So.	6	A. As I said, we did not discuss this issue. Therefore,
7	Could I ask you, please, to be shown a police	7	I would not provide this kind of reply.
8	statement of Mr Jason Poon, at D1/760 in the Chinese,	8	Q. Did you or did you not say to Mr Poon that you would
9	D1/765.1 in the English.	9	investigate the matter?
10	Do you have that, Mr So?	10	A. No.
11	A. Yes, I see that.	11	Q. Did you or did you not say to Mr Poon that you would
12	Q. Can you go, please, to paragraph 7. Sorry, if you	12	order your staff not to cut the threaded heads of rebar?
13	didn't catch it, this is a police statement given to the	13	A. No.
14	police by Mr Jason Poon on 10 July this year, 2018,	14	Q. Could I then ask you, please, to look at paragraph 9 of
15	Mr So, just for your information.	15	this same statement. What is recorded here, Mr So, is:
16	Is this a document you've seen before?	16	"On a certain day between 15 and 22 September
17	A. No, I haven't seen it before.	17	2015, under the guise of inspection of safety
18	Q. Right. That's what I anticipated and that's why I'm	18	facilities on site, I asked Mr So and Mr Rodgers to
19	going to show it to you.	19	conduct site inspection of Hung Hom Station with me
20	Back to paragraph 7. This is Mr Poon speaking to	20	together."
21	the police and what they recorded. It says this:	21	Do you have any recollection, Mr So, of such
22	"At around 3 pm on a certain day in early September	22	an inspection taking place with you, Mr Rodgers and
23	2015 (exact date could not be provided), I had a meeting	23	Mr Poon, between 15 and 22 September?
24	with Mr So" don't worry about the typo "Leighton's	24	A. I cannot remember whether it took place during this
25	supervisor of on-site works, and Mr Khyle Rodgers,	25	time, but for safety and manpower issues I would
	Page 118		Page 120
1	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior"	1	communicate or approach Mr Poon.
2	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has	1 2	communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few
	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which		communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The
2 3 4	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are	2 3 4	communicate or approach Mr Poon.Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was
2 3	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you	2 3 4 5	communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this:
2 3 4 5 6	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that.	2 3 4 5 6	communicate or approach Mr Poon.Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this:"The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance"
2 3 4 5 6 7	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on:	2 3 4 5 6 7	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of rebars. Mr Rodgers did not provide any reply in respect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue, orange and yellow coloured polo T-shirts as well as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of rebars. Mr Rodgers did not provide any reply in respect of the incident. The meeting lasted for about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue, orange and yellow coloured polo T-shirts as well as reflective vests bearing the logo of Leighton using
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of rebars. Mr Rodgers did not provide any reply in respect of the incident. The meeting lasted for about 20 minutes, and afterwards we left the meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue, orange and yellow coloured polo T-shirts as well as reflective vests bearing the logo of Leighton using hydraulic cutter to cut short the threaded heads of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of rebars. Mr Rodgers did not provide any reply in respect of the incident. The meeting lasted for about 20 minutes, and afterwards we left the meeting separately."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue, orange and yellow coloured polo T-shirts as well as reflective vests bearing the logo of Leighton using hydraulic cutter to cut short the threaded heads of rebars in rebar bundles (with 10 or more rebars wrapped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of rebars. Mr Rodgers did not provide any reply in respect of the incident. The meeting lasted for about 20 minutes, and afterwards we left the meeting separately." Can I ask you this, please, Mr So: do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue, orange and yellow coloured polo T-shirts as well as reflective vests bearing the logo of Leighton using hydraulic cutter to cut short the threaded heads of rebars in rebar bundles (with 10 or more rebars wrapped together in each bundle of rebars)."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of rebars. Mr Rodgers did not provide any reply in respect of the incident. The meeting lasted for about 20 minutes, and afterwards we left the meeting separately." Can I ask you this, please, Mr So: do you have any recollection of meeting Mr Poon and Mr Rodgers in early	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue, orange and yellow coloured polo T-shirts as well as reflective vests bearing the logo of Leighton using hydraulic cutter to cut short the threaded heads of rebars in rebar bundles (with 10 or more rebars wrapped together in each bundle of rebars)."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of rebars. Mr Rodgers did not provide any reply in respect of the incident. The meeting lasted for about 20 minutes, and afterwards we left the meeting separately." Can I ask you this, please, Mr So: do you have any recollection of meeting Mr Poon and Mr Rodgers in early September at the Food Forum in level M of the Hung Hom 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue, orange and yellow coloured polo T-shirts as well as reflective vests bearing the logo of Leighton using hydraulic cutter to cut short the threaded heads of rebars in rebar bundles (with 10 or more rebars wrapped together in each bundle of rebars)." Now, Mr So, do you have any recollection of seeing what Mr Poon describes there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a foreigner who was Mr So's superior" Now, don't worry about the error that Mr Poon has made there, or what I understand to be the error, which you and Mr Rodgers have explained, but you are Mr Rodgers' boss, not the other way around; do you understand? Don't worry about that. It goes on: " [they] had a meeting at the Food Forum in level 'M' of Hung Hom Station to discuss about the incident of Leighton staff cutting short the threaded heads of rebars. When I verbally reflected to both of them about their company staff cutting short threaded heads of rebars, Mr So orally replied stating that he did not know which staff cut threaded heads of rebars, and would investigate into the matter and would order staff of his company not to cut threaded heads of rebars. Mr Rodgers did not provide any reply in respect of the incident. The meeting lasted for about 20 minutes, and afterwards we left the meeting separately." Can I ask you this, please, Mr So: do you have any recollection of meeting Mr Poon and Mr Rodgers in early	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 communicate or approach Mr Poon. Q. All right. Let me just read on. Going down a few lines, picking it up at the sentence that begins, "The three of us", it is recorded that what Mr Poon said was this: "The three of us met at around 5 pm at the entrance of SAT in the site, and we walked towards bay 'C1-4'." Do you remember meeting Mr Poon at about 5 o'clock one late afternoon at the entrance of the SAT? A. I can't remember. Q. He goes on to say: "After walking for about 45 minutes, we reached bay 'C1-4', I saw two Chinese men (about 30 to 40 years old, medium built, I recognised that they were staff responsible for carrying out welding process, other details could not be provided) wearing royal blue, orange and yellow coloured polo T-shirts as well as reflective vests bearing the logo of Leighton using hydraulic cutter to cut short the threaded heads of rebars in rebar bundles (with 10 or more rebars wrapped together in each bundle of rebars)."

	Page 121		Page 123
1	"I used English to tell Mr So and Mr Rodgers to	1	Q. If you go to the next page, please. Could you go right
2	watch those workers that were cutting short threaded	2	to the bottom of the page, please.
3	heads of rebars."	3	Do you see, Mr So, the bottom left-hand corner of
4	I assume, given your last answer, you don't accept	4	the second page, where it says, "Copyright Leighton
5	that either, Mr So; is that right?	5	2008", then it's got "Ip Andy" and then "Chow Gary"; do
6	A. I did not see it, so how could I accept that?	6	you see that?
7	Q. Right. I could read on but I won't. If anybody else	7	A. Yes, I see it.
8	wishes to take you to any more of that, they can, save	8	Q. Can you tell us, if you're able if you can't, please
9	for this last point, Mr So.	9	say so whose those signatures or initials are, bottom
10	Do you recall being with Mr Poon at any time, not	10	left?
11	necessarily at this time but any time, when he took	11	A. I don't recognise it.
12	photographs?	12	Q. Right. They're not yours?
13	A. I can't remember.	13	A. No, not mine.
14	Q. All right.	14	Q. Okay. Have you read the witness statement of
15	Could I then ask you, please a different topic	15	Mr Edward Mok, a Leighton engineer?
16	Mr So, there is a non-conformance report, NCR157. Could	16	A. No.
17	you please be shown C12/8127.	17	Q. In that witness statement, amongst other things, he
18	Before we get to the non-conformance report, Mr So,	18	describes three incidents where he says he discovered
19	this is an email of 15 December 2015, from Mr Kobe Wong		threaded rebar that had been cut. One of those
20	of MTRC to Joe Leung and Andy Ip. Do you see that?	20	incidents is what gave rise to this NCR.
	A. Yes, I see that.	21	To the best of your knowledge and recollection,
	Q. It was copied to a number of people, including yourself;	22	Mr So, did Mr Mok, or anybody else, talk to you back in
23	do you see that?	23	2015 about any of those incidents?
	A. Yes, I see that.	24	A. No one ever informed me.
25	Q. My understanding of your evidence, however, Mr So, is	25	Q. All right.
	Page 122		Page 124
1	that you have no recollection of seeing this email at	1	Could I ask you, please, a question arising out of
2	the time; is that correct?	2	your second witness statement. Please could we be
	A. Correct.	3	shown, first of all, C32/24111. I hope it's the witness
	Q. My understanding of your position therefore is that you	4	statement.
5	never took any action upon receipt of this email?	5	It's paragraph 19 I want to look at, that's very
	A. I don't understand your question.	6	helpful.
	Q. Well, you received this email. You say you don't have	7	In paragraph 19, Mr So, of your second witness
8	any recollection of it. All I'm trying to ascertain	8	statement, you deal with a number of photographs that
9	from you, Mr So, because it would appear to follow: that		are attached to Mr Poon's witness statement. Do you recall that?
10	you didn't action anything after receiving and looking at this email?	10	A. Yes, I recall that.
11 12		11 12	Q. I just want to look at one of the photographs with you,
12	A. If I saw it at that time, I would have taken action, but from my recollection I never saw this email.	12	please, which is D1/227. It is this photograph, taken
	Q. All right.	13	on 4 September, that you describe as workers cutting the
14	There was a non-conformance report issued after	14	top from vertical rebar; is that right?
16	receipt of this email, issued by Leighton. Do you have	16	A. Yes.
17	any recollection of the issuing of that non-conformance	17	Q. I think you say that you do not know who these workers
18	report?	18	are?
	A. Before I did not even know about this NCR, until about		A. Judging from their appearances, I could not identify
19			
		20	them of which company they belong to.
20	a week ago.	20 21	them or which company they belong to. Q. Right. That remains your position, does it, Mr So?
20 21	a week ago. Q. Right. Could we scroll down a few pages to find the	20 21 22	Q. Right. That remains your position, does it, Mr So?
20	a week ago. Q. Right. Could we scroll down a few pages to find the NCR, please.	21	
20 21 22	a week ago. Q. Right. Could we scroll down a few pages to find the	21 22	Q. Right. That remains your position, does it, Mr So? Even now, you can't recognise which company they worked

	Page 125		Page 127
1	tell which company they belong to or even Leighton; it's	1	as well as sub-contractors."
2	hard to tell.	2	So in Chinese version there is the phrase
3	Q. All right. Did Leighton have a cutter such as the one	3	"(Chinese spoken)", which may be what the witness was
4	we can see in the photograph?	4	referring to when he said "specialist". I just wish to
5	A. That I really cannot remember.	5	bring that to the Commission's attention, in case
6	Q. Mr So, one last question from me. I don't know whether	6	anything shall turn on it by other people's questioning,
7	you know this but in January 2017, as a consequence of	7	because words resembling that meaning were not there in
8	Mr Poon writing a couple of emails to Mr Zervaas,	8	the English version.
9	a review and investigation into the cutting of the	9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Very good. And the next
10	alleged cutting of threaded rebar was carried out by	10	MR PENNICOTT: I don't know if they have agreed
11	a Mr Stephen Lumb, who I understand to be the head of	11	MR SO: Sir, I note the time. Would it be convenient to
12	engineering at Leighton. Were you aware of that	12	have the break first, so I can clarify some matters with
12	investigation and review?	12	my client?
13	A. No, I was not aware of that.	13	CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. 15 minutes.
14	Q. So does it follow, Mr So, that in January 2017, nobody,	14	MR SO: Thank you.
			-
16	Mr Lumb or anybody else, interviewed you about that	16	(3.27 pm)
17	particular topic, that is cutting of threaded rebar?	17	(A short adjournment)
18	A. No.	18	(3.59 pm)
19	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much. I have no further	19	CHAIRMAN: If we have kept you a little late, apologies. As
20	questions for Mr So.	20	you are aware, matters do arise from time to time.
21	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	21	There's been a couple of matters that have arisen today,
22	MR SHIEH: Mr Chairman, before anyone starts, perhaps it may		and it's necessary for Mr Pennicott and those who
23	be helpful for me to correct one point of translation,	23	instruct him just to come through to discuss those
24	in case anything may turn on it by subsequent	24	matters. They have been discussed.
25	questioning.	25	If they are taken further, counsel will be kept
	Page 126		Page 128
1	Can I ask the Commission to turn to the transcript	1	fully informed. All right? Thank you.
2	just now, [draft] page 117, line 20, when Mr Pennicott	2	MR SO: Sir, no questions for China Technology.
3	asked:	3	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
4	"So why is it, Mr So, that you say in your witness	4	Cross-examination by MR KHAW
5	statement that the quality of the work was a matter for	5	MR KHAW: Perhaps good news for those who can't stand my
6	Leighton's engineers?"	6	voice anymore. Mr Anthony Chow of the government
7	And Mr So answered:	7	counsel team will have some questions for Mr So.
8	"In my statement, I said that I was not the	8	CHAIRMAN: I thought for one moment you were going to say no
9	responsible specialist, because there would be engineers	9	questions either.
10	and MTRC staff responsible for that."	10	MR KHAW: An anti-climax.
11	And then Mr Pennicott followed up on a question.	11	Cross-examination by MR CHOW
12	It we look at the English translation, at bundle C,	12	MR CHOW: Good afternoon, Mr So. I act on behalf of the
13	page 20658, which is C27, incidentally, paragraph 7,	13	government and I have a few questions for you.
14	Mr So was recorded as saying there:	14	A. Good afternoon.
15	"I was not responsible for overseeing or inspecting	15	Q. Mr So, earlier, in answer to Mr Pennicott's question,
16			
	the quality of the works"	16	you have briefly explained to us the organisation or
17	the quality of the works" I just wish to point out that if one looks at the	17	structure of the site supervision team; do you recall
17 18	the quality of the works" I just wish to point out that if one looks at the Chinese version, the one that is actually signed by	17 18	structure of the site supervision team; do you recall that?
17 18 19	the quality of the works" I just wish to point out that if one looks at the Chinese version, the one that is actually signed by Mr So can I just read out the relevant Chinese	17 18 19	structure of the site supervision team; do you recall that?A. Yes, I remember.
17 18 19 20	the quality of the works" I just wish to point out that if one looks at the Chinese version, the one that is actually signed by Mr So can I just read out the relevant Chinese sentence for the record and for simultaneous	17 18 19 20	structure of the site supervision team; do you recall that?A. Yes, I remember.Q. Can I ask you to go to the organisation chart, the
17 18 19 20 21	the quality of the works" I just wish to point out that if one looks at the Chinese version, the one that is actually signed by Mr So can I just read out the relevant Chinese sentence for the record and for simultaneous interpretation. That sentence actually says:	17 18 19 20 21	structure of the site supervision team; do you recall that?A. Yes, I remember.Q. Can I ask you to go to the organisation chart, the version dated May 2015, at bundle C7, page 5535.
17 18 19 20 21 22	the quality of the works" I just wish to point out that if one looks at the Chinese version, the one that is actually signed by Mr So can I just read out the relevant Chinese sentence for the record and for simultaneous interpretation. That sentence actually says: "(Via interpreter) I was not specifically	17 18 19 20 21 22	structure of the site supervision team; do you recall that?A. Yes, I remember.Q. Can I ask you to go to the organisation chart, the version dated May 2015, at bundle C7, page 5535. If you can blow it up a little bit and move a little
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the quality of the works" I just wish to point out that if one looks at the Chinese version, the one that is actually signed by Mr So can I just read out the relevant Chinese sentence for the record and for simultaneous interpretation. That sentence actually says: "(Via interpreter) I was not specifically responsible for supervising and inspecting the quality	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	structure of the site supervision team; do you recall that?A. Yes, I remember.Q. Can I ask you to go to the organisation chart, the version dated May 2015, at bundle C7, page 5535. If you can blow it up a little bit and move a little bit to the left.
17 18 19 20 21 22	the quality of the works" I just wish to point out that if one looks at the Chinese version, the one that is actually signed by Mr So can I just read out the relevant Chinese sentence for the record and for simultaneous interpretation. That sentence actually says: "(Via interpreter) I was not specifically	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	structure of the site supervision team; do you recall that?A. Yes, I remember.Q. Can I ask you to go to the organisation chart, the version dated May 2015, at bundle C7, page 5535. If you can blow it up a little bit and move a little

	Page 129		Page 131
1	general superintendent"?	1	to formal inspections at various stages of the
2	A. Yes.	2	construction work is defined in that inspection and test
3	Q. Earlier, you explained to us there are four different	3	plan. Do you know or do you not know about it?
4	areas and for each area there was a site superintendent	4	A. I'm not sure about it.
5	in charge of that particular area; right?	5	Q. Take it from me that a so-called hold point inspection
6	A. Yes, correct.	6	are defined in that document.
7	Q. We can see from the organisation chart, the part of the	7	A. Are you referring to the so-called final inspection,
8	tree under your responsibility, there are more than four	8	when you talk about the hold point inspection?
9	areas; do you see that?	9	Q. The hold point inspection that I refer to is a formal
10	A. Yes, I see that.	10	inspection jointly carried out by Leighton and MTRC. So
	Q. Can you explain why?	11	
11			is that the final inspection that you are talking about?
12	A. As I explained already to Mr Pennicott, for the Hung Hom		A. As far as I know, for each bay, our engineers and MTRC
13	Station project there are five areas. HUH is a combined	13	engineers would carry out inspections at different
14	area, and there would be excavation and night shift,	14	stages. But the head of concrete pouring, they would
15	et cetera. For NAT, SAT, et cetera, there are no	15	conduct a final inspection. I'm not sure whether this
16	changes, but for HUH, there are three job subtypes.	16	is what you were asking me about.
17	Q. If we can now move a little bit back to the right, what	17	Q. Now, you mentioned about before concreting.
18	we see there is a separate organisation, under the	18	I understand that before concreting, there were other
19	project manager, under the senior project manager; do	19	hold point inspections as well, like inspection of
20	you see that? We have several construction managers:	20	reinforcement. Are you aware of that?
21	Gary Chow and Joe Tam; can you see that?	21	A. Yes, I know.
22	A. Yes, I see that.	22	Q. From the document, we understand there were at least two
23	Q. Under the responsibility of, for example, the	23	hold points in relation to inspection of documents. One
24	construction manager, Gary Chow, we can see another tree	24	is after the multiple layers of reinforcement for the
25	of organisation containing senior site agent, site	25	bottom steel have been completed, and there would be
	Page 130		Page 132
1	agent, and graduate engineer; do you see that?	1	a hold point inspection for the bottom steel. Are you
2	A. Yes, I see that.	2	aware of that?
3	Q. So is this part of the organisation what we hear as the	3	A. I don't know about the details of the hold point, on
4	construction engineering team of Leighton?	4	whether it applies for the bottom layer or top layer,
5	A. Yes, for engineers.	5	but I do know that there was an inspection.
6	Q. The structure under you is responsible for the site	6	Q. Okay. Are you saying that you don't know how many
7	supervision; is that right?	7	formal inspection was required for the reinforcement
8	A. Correct.	8	during the before concreting; is that your evidence?
9	Q. And the engineering team will take care of the formal	9	A. What I said was, for the hold points you mention, I do
10	inspection with MTRC; am I correct?	10	not know whether it applied for the top layer or bottom
11	A. Strictly speaking, it is responsible for the final	11	layer, but every time they would be talking about
12	inspection.	12	conducting inspections, but I do not know whether they
13		13	were conducting the so-called hold point inspections you
13	Q. Right. We have heard evidence from various people and	10	
13	Q. Right. We have heard evidence from various people and from the documents that, first of all, there is	14	mentioned.
			mentioned. Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the
14	from the documents that, first of all, there is	14	
14 15	from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of,	14 15	Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the
14 15 16	from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of, I gather from your earlier evidence; right? A. Correct.	14 15 16	Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the procedure, the inspection procedure?A. Yes, in terms of the sequencing or flow.
14 15 16 17	from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of, I gather from your earlier evidence; right?	14 15 16 17	Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the procedure, the inspection procedure?
14 15 16 17 18	from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of, I gather from your earlier evidence; right?A. Correct.Q. The site supervision plan, again, is a document that you have not seen before?	14 15 16 17 18	Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the procedure, the inspection procedure?A. Yes, in terms of the sequencing or flow.Q. So your answer is: are you familiar or are you not
14 15 16 17 18 19	from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of, I gather from your earlier evidence; right?A. Correct.Q. The site supervision plan, again, is a document that you have not seen before?A. I know about this system, but I'm not familiar with the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the procedure, the inspection procedure?A. Yes, in terms of the sequencing or flow.Q. So your answer is: are you familiar or are you not familiar? Can you clarify, please?A. I'm not familiar.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of, I gather from your earlier evidence; right?A. Correct.Q. The site supervision plan, again, is a document that you have not seen before?A. I know about this system, but I'm not familiar with the specifications.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the procedure, the inspection procedure? A. Yes, in terms of the sequencing or flow. Q. So your answer is: are you familiar or are you not familiar? Can you clarify, please? A. I'm not familiar. Q. Okay.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of, I gather from your earlier evidence; right? A. Correct. Q. The site supervision plan, again, is a document that you have not seen before? A. I know about this system, but I'm not familiar with the specifications. Q. Have you heard of a document called inspection and test 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the procedure, the inspection procedure? A. Yes, in terms of the sequencing or flow. Q. So your answer is: are you familiar or are you not familiar? Can you clarify, please? A. I'm not familiar. Q. Okay. Mr So, can I ask you to go to paragraph 7 of your
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of, I gather from your earlier evidence; right? A. Correct. Q. The site supervision plan, again, is a document that you have not seen before? A. I know about this system, but I'm not familiar with the specifications. Q. Have you heard of a document called inspection and test plan? 	 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the procedure, the inspection procedure? A. Yes, in terms of the sequencing or flow. Q. So your answer is: are you familiar or are you not familiar? Can you clarify, please? A. I'm not familiar. Q. Okay. Mr So, can I ask you to go to paragraph 7 of your first statement, please, at page 20658. The Chinese
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 from the documents that, first of all, there is a quality supervision plan, which you have not heard of, I gather from your earlier evidence; right? A. Correct. Q. The site supervision plan, again, is a document that you have not seen before? A. I know about this system, but I'm not familiar with the specifications. Q. Have you heard of a document called inspection and test 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Am I right to say you are not familiar with the procedure, the inspection procedure? A. Yes, in terms of the sequencing or flow. Q. So your answer is: are you familiar or are you not familiar? Can you clarify, please? A. I'm not familiar. Q. Okay. Mr So, can I ask you to go to paragraph 7 of your

	Page 133		Page 135
1	In paragraph 7 you said:	1	present, but I would just like to clarify one point.
2	"I would start my day in the site office. There	2	Full-time we would be in the area, but whether there's
3	would be a briefing session for about half an hour with	3	100 per cent focus on the screwing of bars well, that
4	my team on general matters such as work progress and	4	I cannot be sure.
5	safety issues on site. I would then go down to the site	5	MR CHOW: But your earlier evidence given before the
6	to do the site walk and checking. Typically I would	6	afternoon break is that your workers or your foremen
7	spend about 70 per cent of my time at work on site.	7	would keep an eye on whatever work was going on at the
8	I would check that the general work progress was on	8	time. Do you recall that?
9	schedule, and if not, I would require the	9	A. Yes, I recall that.
10	sub-contractors to bring in additional workers or work	10	Q. So is that the level of supervision that your team
11	overtime. I would also monitor the safety issues	11	provided at the time; is that right?
12	on site and ensure works were carried out according to	12	A. Yes, they would be in that area or near the bay, but
13	the requirements by Leighton, MTRCL and the Buildings	13	they would not focus on one particular work process.
14	Department."	14	CHAIRMAN: Again, I'm not attempting to be difficult, but
15	Pausing here. By ensuring the works are being	15	I think what is being suggested is that obviously it was
16	carried out in accordance with the requirements of the	16	not a case of one supervisor for one worker. That
17	Buildings Department, am I right to say that to do so	17	obviously would be counter-productive. The supervisor
18	you have, at the very least, to ensure that the works	18	might as well do it himself; all right? So that's not
19	are carried out in accordance with the working drawings	19	the issue.
20	issued by MTRC, for example?	20	I think the issue is rather that in terms of these
21	A. Yes, you can say that.	21	directions, the actual act of coupling, that is screwing
22	Q. You have to ensure the quality of the work or the	22	in the reinforced steel into the couplers, was
23	workmanship are up to standard as well; do you agree?	23	considered sufficiently important that when that actual
24	A. I agree.	24	act was taking place, it required to be witnessed by one
25	Q. If you focus on the subject matter of this Inquiry,	25	of the supervisors or one of the inspectors.
	Page 134		Page 136
1	regarding the installation of the threaded bars into	1	A. Yes.
2	couplers, do you agree that you would have to, by	2	CHAIRMAN: And, to your knowledge, was that actually done?
3	carrying out day-to-day supervision, ensure that the	3	Because it appears as if what you're saying is, "We had
4	threaded bars or at least the threaded part are not cut	4	people there, they were taking an interest, but I cannot
5	without proper justification, and the intact threaded	5	say that when each act of threading in the reinforced
6	part of the bar are properly screwed into the couplers;	6	steel bar to a coupler took place, that they were
7	that would be something that your site inspection team	7	standing by and watching."
8	has to ensure, is that right?	8	A. Correct.
9	A. Correct.	9	CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say this and I'm not
10	Q. Are you aware of the requirement by the Building	10	suggesting there's anything wrong in it, so just to
11	Authority, as well as under the quality supervision	11	clarify that it may well be that in the course of
12	plan, that full-time and continuous supervision has to	12	a day, if the steel fixers were in part or in whole
13	be provided by Leighton for the coupling works?	13	spending the day fixing the rebars into couplers, that
14	A. I don't know that full-time supervision is required for	14	while there would be general supervision, there would
15	the couplers. In other words, the workers must stay at	15	not necessarily be somebody standing by and watching
16	the location of the works.	16	each process of the coupling, if I can call it that?
17	CHAIRMAN: No, I think what is suggested is that there are	17	A. The correct way to put it: for the supervision team,
10			
18	directions that require Leightons to ensure they have	18	I believe they would look at some, not all of the
19	somebody at the spot when the actual threading of rebars	19	screwing coupling act.
19 20	somebody at the spot when the actual threading of rebars into couplers takes place.	19 20	screwing coupling act. As for the engineering team, I'm not sure whether
19 20 21	somebody at the spot when the actual threading of rebars into couplers takes place. A. Yes.	19 20 21	screwing coupling act. As for the engineering team, I'm not sure whether there was someone nearby to help with the supervision.
19 20 21 22	somebody at the spot when the actual threading of rebars into couplers takes place. A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: You are aware of that?	19 20 21 22	screwing coupling act. As for the engineering team, I'm not sure whether there was someone nearby to help with the supervision. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So, on that basis then, if every single
19 20 21 22 23	somebody at the spot when the actual threading of rebars into couplers takes place. A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: You are aware of that? A. I am aware of that.	19 20 21 22 23	screwing coupling act. As for the engineering team, I'm not sure whether there was someone nearby to help with the supervision. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So, on that basis then, if every single act of coupling, as I have described it, was not
19 20 21 22	somebody at the spot when the actual threading of rebars into couplers takes place. A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: You are aware of that?	19 20 21 22 23	screwing coupling act. As for the engineering team, I'm not sure whether there was someone nearby to help with the supervision. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So, on that basis then, if every single

Commission of Inquiry into the Diaphragm Wall and Platform Slab Construction Works at the Hung Hom Station Extension under the Shatin to Central Link Project

1	Page 137		Page 139
1	full extent, for example?	1	not was a matter that you had to consider, because you
2	A. There is this possibility.	2	would have known that there were in fact good reasons
3	CHAIRMAN: Yes, and when the general checking took place,		for doing it?
4	would the coupling of each and every reinforced bar be	4	A. There is a chance that someone would cut the threaded
5	tested, or would it be done on a sample basis?	5	ends, but personally I've not seen it and I've not heard
6	A. Chairman, do you mean whether it's been completely	6	about it.
7	screwed in?	7	CHAIRMAN: All right. But when you say, "I do not
8	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	8	understand why a person would have the need to do it",
9	A. Well, they would look at a small number of them.	9	that's perhaps not 100 per cent accurate. You
10	CHAIRMAN: Okay. I notice you've said, if I may just for	10	understand the need just like people may steal from
11	a moment or two, just to finish off I notice you said	11	a building site, you know it's going to happen every now
12	in your statement, and I'm not criticising it you	12	and then unless you take steps to stop it?
12	said you do not understand why anybody would have the	12	A. Yes, but
13	need to cut the threaded ends of rebars.	14	CHAIRMAN: So equally somebody may try to take a short-cut
14	A. Yes.	15	with the rebars, especially if there's over 2,000 of
16	CHAIRMAN: But isn't your job really to be the sensible,	16	them to be fitted, unless you keep a good eye on it;
17	immediate face of authority, checking that everything is	17	would you agree?
17	done correctly?	18	A. Yes.
18	A. Yes, that's my authority.	10	CHAIRMAN: And that may perhaps explain, would you agree,
20	CHAIRMAN: Would you agree that in pretty much most	20	why it is and we go back to the beginning there's
20		20	
21	endeavours, and construction is just one of them, people	21	a direction that each actual act of fitting the rebar
	will sometimes try to make short-cuts?		into a coupler had to be witnessed? A. Yes.
23	A. Yes, there is this chance of that happening.	23	
24 25	CHAIRMAN: And in fact, I don't know if you're aware of it, but there is evidence that certain rebars that had been	24 25	CHAIRMAN: Sorry about that. MR CHOW: Mr So, given the role and responsibility of the
23		23	
1	Page 138	1	Page 140 site supervision team, do you agree that if your
1 2	cut were in fact discovered, and action was taken to remedy that problem; are you aware of that?	1	site supervision team, do you agree that if you
2	remedy that problem, are you aware of that?	2	subordinates were extend of the sutting of threaded has
		2	subordinates were aware of the cutting of threaded bar
3	A. Do you mean the NCR report?	3	practices on site, at least they would report it to you?
3 4	A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes.	3 4	practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to
3 4 5	A. Do you mean the NCR report?CHAIRMAN: Yes.A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not	3 4 5	practices on site, at least they would report it to you?A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me.
3 4 5 6	A. Do you mean the NCR report?CHAIRMAN: Yes.A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it.	3 4 5 6	practices on site, at least they would report it to you?A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me.Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to
3 4 5 6 7	A. Do you mean the NCR report?CHAIRMAN: Yes.A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it.CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject	3 4 5 6 7	practices on site, at least they would report it to you?A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me.Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Do you mean the NCR report?CHAIRMAN: Yes.A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it.CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars?	3 4 5 6 7 8	practices on site, at least they would report it to you?A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me.Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Do you mean the NCR report?CHAIRMAN: Yes.A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it.CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars?A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	practices on site, at least they would report it to you?A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me.Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	practices on site, at least they would report it to you?A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me.Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that?A. Yes, you could infer that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting near the end of the day and they've got another ten 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that. Q. He discovered several incidents where threaded rebar was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting near the end of the day and they've got another ten rebars to fix, and this one is just causing a problem. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that. Q. He discovered several incidents where threaded rebar was cut in the presence of MTRC's inspectors. I suppose you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting near the end of the day and they've got another ten rebars to fix, and this one is just causing a problem. And it may be that they are under pressure and if they 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that. Q. He discovered several incidents where threaded rebar was cut in the presence of MTRC's inspectors. I suppose you are not aware of the details; right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting near the end of the day and they've got another ten rebars to fix, and this one is just causing a problem. And it may be that they are under pressure and if they ask Leightons to do the work, that they know is going to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that. Q. He discovered several incidents where threaded rebar was cut in the presence of MTRC's inspectors. I suppose you are not aware of the details; right? A. Right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting near the end of the day and they've got another ten rebars to fix, and this one is just causing a problem. And it may be that they are under pressure and if they ask Leightons to do the work, that they know is going to take a couple of hours to get sorted, and that's going 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that. Q. He discovered several incidents where threaded rebar was cut in the presence of MTRC's inspectors. I suppose you are not aware of the details; right? A. Right. Q. According to your answers earlier, if your foreman or
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting near the end of the day and they've got another ten rebars to fix, and this one is just causing a problem. And it may be that they are under pressure and if they ask Leightons to do the work, that they know is going to take a couple of hours to get sorted, and that's going to bring the matter over to the next day and cause more 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that. Q. He discovered several incidents where threaded rebar was cut in the presence of MTRC's inspectors. I suppose you are not aware of the details; right? A. Right. Q. According to your answers earlier, if your foreman or supervisor were not aware of those incidents or the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting near the end of the day and they've got another ten rebars to fix, and this one is just causing a problem. And it may be that they are under pressure and if they ask Leightons to do the work, that they know is going to take a couple of hours to get sorted, and that's going to bring the matter over to the next day and cause more delay. Okay? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that. Q. He discovered several incidents where threaded rebar was cut in the presence of MTRC's inspectors. I suppose you are not aware of the details; right? A. Right. Q. According to your answers earlier, if your foreman or supervisor were not aware of those incidents or the practice of bar cutting, it sounds like there is not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Do you mean the NCR report? CHAIRMAN: Yes. A. For that NCR, honestly, I don't know about it. I've not seen it. CHAIRMAN: No, but you're aware of the fact that the subject matter was the cutting of rebars? A. No one informed me that there was anybody cutting rebars on site. CHAIRMAN: All right. You see, it's just and you can educate me here that it seems to me there could be a lot of reasons to cut rebars. You could have a difficult coupler that, for some reason or another, is maybe a little bit damaged but not heavily damaged. It may be at a wrong angle. It may be that they're getting near the end of the day and they've got another ten rebars to fix, and this one is just causing a problem. And it may be that they are under pressure and if they ask Leightons to do the work, that they know is going to take a couple of hours to get sorted, and that's going to bring the matter over to the next day and cause more 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 practices on site, at least they would report it to you? A. If it did happen, I believe my team would report back to me. Q. Okay. So, on the basis of your answer, am I right to infer that in relation to the incidents where threaded part of the rebar has been cut, even your subordinates were not aware of that? A. Yes, you could infer that. Q. From the witness statement of Mr Andrew [sic] Mok, we know that he's a graduate engineer under the engineering team. MR PENNICOTT: Edward. MR CHOW: You are aware of that; right? A. Yes, I know that. Q. He discovered several incidents where threaded rebar was cut in the presence of MTRC's inspectors. I suppose you are not aware of the details; right? A. Right. Q. According to your answers earlier, if your foreman or supervisor were not aware of those incidents or the

Γ

	Page 141		Page 143
1	A. I should say this. Edward Mok did not inform me, but	1	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I'm sorry, if the question is put on the
2	I'm not sure whether he informed the frontline team of	2	basis that a "significant number" of couplers will have
3	my area.	3	been damaged, I think we ought to be given an evidential
4	Q. Am I right to say that if Edward Mok had informed your	4	reference to that, because I'm not convinced that that's
5	frontline staff and by "frontline staff", according	5	my own recollection, but I stand to be corrected.
6	to the organisation chart, that is described as the	6	CHAIRMAN: No, I think you're right.
7	foreman or the supervisor; right?	7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And is there evidence they were
8	A. Right, and also superintendents.	8	damaged by the hydro-demolition process, or wasn't it
9	Q. Superintendents. They would have reported to you;	9	the jackhammer process?
10	right?	10	MR PENNICOTT: That's the point, yes, from my recollection
11	A. You mean reported	11	of the evidence.
12	Q. The cutting	12	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: There are two points. One is about
13	A. Reporting what, about what?	13	how significant, and one is what caused the damage
14	Q. The cutting of the threaded part of a rebar.	14	isn't it? Aren't there? Am I right?
15	A. The point is no one reported the case to me.	15	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir. My recollection and as I say
16	Q. Mr So, on the basis of your answers earlier, am I right	16	I stand to be corrected because I've got a lot to
17	to say that there was actually or you are not aware	17	remember is that yes, he accepted that the damage
18	that there were actual inspection of the reinforcement	18	would certainly be caused by the breaker.
19	bar layer by layer on site?	19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Correct.
20	A. I know about the layer-by-layer inspection, but as to	20	MR PENNICOTT: But using the hydro-demolition process,
21	whether there was an actual document, I'm not sure.	21	whilst it might damage one or two couplers, would not
22	Q. So, to your knowledge, by layer-by-layer inspection,	22	ordinarily damage the couplers. That was my
23	what do you know about the details of such inspection?	23	recollection. But as I say, I stand to be corrected.
24	What do they see, what do they check; do you know?	24	CHAIRMAN: No, that's my firm recollection, that the hydro
25	A. I know that, for example, for B6 or B5, they would first	25	system was much more merciful on couplers than the hand
	Page 142		Page 144
1	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are	1	system of hacking and chipping.
2	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.	2	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes.
2 3	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out?	2 3	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood.
2 3 4	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out?A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's	2 3 4	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha
2 3 4 5	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out?A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint	2 3 4 5	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is
2 3 4 5 6	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out?A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC.	2 3 4 5 6	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every
2 3 4 5 6 7	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out?A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC.Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection	2 3 4 5 6 7	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out?A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC.Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out?A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC.Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier?A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is that actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer.Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out?A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC.Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier?A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is that actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is that actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of couplers will have been damaged. Are you aware of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact? A. Yes, I'm aware of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of couplers will have been damaged. Are you aware of that phenomenon? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact? A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Q. Do you accept that in those situations, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of couplers will have been damaged. Are you aware of that phenomenon? A. Apart from exposing couplers by hydro-demolition, we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact? A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Q. Do you accept that in those situations, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix or make good those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of couplers will have been damaged. Are you aware of that phenomenon? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact? A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Q. Do you accept that in those situations, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of couplers will have been damaged. Are you aware of that phenomenon? A. Apart from exposing couplers by hydro-demolition, we would also do it by hand-jacking. So both methods would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is that actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact? A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Q. Do you accept that in those situations, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix or make good those couplers, so as to enable Fang Sheung to continue with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of couplers will have been damaged. Are you aware of that phenomenon? A. Apart from exposing couplers by hydro-demolition, we would also do it by hand-jacking. So both methods would be deployed. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact? A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Q. Do you accept that in those situations, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix or make good those couplers, so as to enable Fang Sheung to continue with the steel fixing work?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of couplers will have been damaged. Are you aware of that phenomenon? A. Apart from exposing couplers by hydro-demolition, we would also do it by hand-jacking. So both methods would be deployed. Q. Mr So, my earlier question is whether you are aware of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact? A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Q. Do you accept that in those situations, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix or make good those couplers, so as to enable Fang Sheung to continue with the steel fixing work? A. I agree. The contract is not the responsibility of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 inspect the bars at B6. They would see whether they are screwed properly before they move on to the next layer. Q. And by whom such inspection was carried out? A. Usually, Leighton's engineers would work with the MTRC's engineers or inspectors. We would conduct joint inspections with the MTRC. Q. So what you have just said, is that the final inspection that you mentioned earlier? A. What I mentioned would be conducted before the final inspection. After all the rebars are fixed and ahead of concreting, a final inspection would be conducted. Q. Mr So, I would like to move on to another topic. Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung, I believe, last week told the Commission that when the couplers embedded in the diaphragm wall were exposed by a process called hydro-demolition process, a significant number of couplers will have been damaged. Are you aware of that phenomenon? A. Apart from exposing couplers by hydro-demolition, we would also do it by hand-jacking. So both methods would be deployed. Q. Mr So, my earlier question is whether you are aware of the fact that after the couplers were exposed by way of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 system of hacking and chipping. MR PENNICOTT: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: That's what I understood. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman and Professor, my recollection is tha actually the evidence from Mr Cheung of Fang Sheung is that after the couplers were exposed, almost every single time there would be quite a number of couplers damaged, but given some time I would be able to locate the evidence where this is. I'm not too concerned but I'm fine with somehow modifying my question a little bit, in order not to waste time. CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course. MR CHOW: Mr So, are you aware of the fact that the exposing of the couplers process would result in some damages to the couplers; are you aware of that fact? A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Q. Do you accept that in those situations, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix or make good those couplers, so as to enable Fang Sheung to continue with the steel fixing work? A. I agree. The contract is not the responsibility of Fang Sheung.

	Page 145		Page 147
1	right?	1	Q. You may not remember who did it, but you would accept
2	A. Yes.	2	that it would not have been Fang Sheung's workers who
3	Q. Am I right to say that at that time, Leighton has in	3	replaced the couplers; right?
4	fact a team of workers at its disposal, and whenever	4	A. I'm not sure whether we would issue a separate order for
5	there is work to be performed by Leighton, then Leighton	5	Fang Sheung to replace the couplers outside of their
6	would deploy those workers to take care of that work?	6	contract. I'm not sure about that. But for exposing
7	A. Yes, you may say that.	7	the couplers, it was certainly done by our daywork
8	Q. Do you know whether those workers were directly employed	8	labourers.
9	by Leighton or provided by a sub-contractor on a daywork	9	Q. So you say you are not sure, perhaps a separate order
10	basis?	10	was given to Fang Sheung to carry out the replacement
11	A. At HUH, most of them were daywork labour.	11	work, but outside its original scope of work; right?
12	CHAIRMAN: Supplied by a sub-contractor?	12	A. It was a possibility, but I cannot remember clearly.
13	A. Correct.	13	Q. To your knowledge, has this kind of arrangement been
14	MR CHOW: Rankine; is that right?	14	ever done?
15	A. Probably more than one company.	15	A. What kind of arrangement are you referring to?
16	Q. So there was more than one sub-contractor supplying	16	Q. Giving extra order to Fang Sheung to carry out the
17	daywork labour to Leighton?	17	replacement of the damaged couplers.
18	A. Correct. Another company used jackhammering to expose	18	A. There could be modifications to the drawings and it was
19	the couplers.	19	outside of the plan in the contract, so for such extra
20	Q. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors were	20	work the engineering team had to issue an instruction to
21	there in total which supplied daywork labour to	21	them.
22	Leighton?	22	Q. But that would be variation work; is that right?
23	A. Were you referring to the entire contract SCL1112 or	23	A. Yes.
24	only the HUH contract?	24	Q. But just now I was asking about the replacement of the
25	Q. Perhaps only for HUH contract and during the period from	25	damaged couplers during the exposure process, so that
	Page 146		Page 148
1	Page 146 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many	1	Page 148 would not be a variation, would it?
1 2	-	1 2	-
	August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many		would not be a variation, would it?
2	August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied	2	would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung
2 3	August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton?	2 3	would not be a variation, would it?A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves?
2 3 4	August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton?A. If I may remember correctly, there were three.	2 3 4	would not be a variation, would it?A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves?Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged
2 3 4 5	August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton?A. If I may remember correctly, there were three.Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these	2 3 4 5	would not be a variation, would it?A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves?Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge
2 3 4 5 6	August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton?A. If I may remember correctly, there were three.Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of	2 3 4 5 6	would not be a variation, would it?A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves?Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been
2 3 4 5 6 7	August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton?A. If I may remember correctly, there were three.Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear?A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to	2 3 4 5 6 7	would not be a variation, would it?A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves?Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. Q. You also accepted that for those damaged couplers, it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time? A. I know that apart from the fact that couplers were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. Q. You also accepted that for those damaged couplers, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix it; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time? A. I know that apart from the fact that couplers were damaged, there were some issues about the angles of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. Q. You also accepted that for those damaged couplers, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix it; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time? A. I know that apart from the fact that couplers were damaged, there were some issues about the angles of the couplers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. Q. You also accepted that for those damaged couplers, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix it; correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how perhaps, first of all, were they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time? A. I know that apart from the fact that couplers were damaged, there were some issues about the angles of the couplers. Q. What do you mean by "issues about the angles of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. Q. You also accepted that for those damaged couplers, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix it; correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how perhaps, first of all, were they fixed by these daywork labours supplied by the various 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time? A. I know that apart from the fact that couplers were damaged, there were some issues about the angles of the couplers. Q. What do you mean by "issues about the angles of the couplers"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. Q. You also accepted that for those damaged couplers, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix it; correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how perhaps, first of all, were they fixed by these daywork labours supplied by the various suppliers or sub-contractors? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time? A. I know that apart from the fact that couplers were damaged, there were some issues about the angles of the couplers. Q. What do you mean by "issues about the angles of the couplers"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. Q. You also accepted that for those damaged couplers, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix it; correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how perhaps, first of all, were they fixed by these daywork labours supplied by the various suppliers or sub-contractors? A. For damaged couplers, our daywork labourers would exposed 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time? A. I know that apart from the fact that couplers were damaged, there were some issues about the angles of the couplers. Q. What do you mean by "issues about the angles of the couplers"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 August 2015 to April 2016. Can you recall how many different sub-contractors in total that have supplied daywork labour to Leighton? A. If I may remember correctly, there were three. Q. And these daywork labour supplied by these sub-contractors, when they work on site, what kind of uniform, or if they wear uniform, what kind of uniform did they wear? A. I'm not sure. Leighton did distribute uniforms to different sub-contractors, but as to whether the workers chose to wear the uniform or they chose to wear something else, this is something we don't know. Q. Earlier, we have been talking about couplers being damaged after the hacking off or hydro-demolition process. Do you recall that? A. Yes, I remember. Q. You also accepted that for those damaged couplers, it was Leighton's responsibility to fix it; correct? A. Correct. Q. Do you know how perhaps, first of all, were they fixed by these daywork labours supplied by the various suppliers or sub-contractors? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 would not be a variation, would it? A. Are you referring to variation of work for Fang Sheung or ourselves? Q. Perhaps I will ask again. In relation to those damaged couplers, they have to be replaced. To your knowledge or from your recollection, has Fang Sheung ever been given extra order, paid extra, to carry out the replacement work? A. For the couplers, I cannot remember whether an extra order was issued. I cannot remember. Q. Are you aware that in relation to the problem that Fang Sheung encountered, one of those problems is that after exposing the couplers, some of them were closely placed to such an extent that it is impossible to screw in the reinforcing bar. Are you aware of that problem at the time? A. I know that apart from the fact that couplers were damaged, there were some issues about the angles of the couplers. Q. What do you mean by "issues about the angles of the couplers"?

	Page 149		Page 151
1	engaged to carry out the rectification work?	1	Leighton?
2	A. Well, usually we cannot resolve the matter. We have to	2	Q. Yes.
3	ask the engineer to discuss with the design team.	3	A. It's not possible to tell whether he's from
4	Q. But surely, by now, a problem like that would have been	4	a sub-contractor or from Leighton.
5	dealt with, because the slab has been cast. So, from	5	Q. How about those that appear in photo 232?
6	your recollection, what sort of remedial work had been	6	A. In this photo, if you look at the appearance again, it's
7	carried out to deal with this kind of problem?	7	not possible to tell, but they are screwing bars, that's
8	A. As far as I could recall, they core holes to plant bars	8	what they're doing, so I would guess that they are
9	inside.	9	Fang Sheung workers.
10	Q. To your knowledge, would Leighton's workers occasionally	10	Q. Mr So, do you agree that irrespective of who they work
11	screw in the threaded bars into the couplers before	11	for, these workers were there under the permission of
12	handing it back to Fang Sheung?	12	Leighton?
13	A. You mean they screw the threaded ends into the couplers?	13	A. Permission? By that you mean?
14	Q. Yes.	14	Q. We have heard evidence about the control of entrance and
15	A. Definitely no, they won't do that.	15	exit of the site, and we have heard evidence about
16	Q. Mr So, can I ask you to look at a few photographs	16	attending induction course before someone is allowed to
17	disclosed by Mr Jason Poon. Bundle D1, page 227.	17	work on site. And you were aware of this system, right,
18	Mr Joe Cheung of Fang Sheung said the workers that	18	being implemented, or having been implemented on site?
19	we see in the photos are unlikely to be Fang Sheung's	19	A. Yes, I know there is this system.
20	workers. Do you have any idea who they work for?	20	Q. So am I right to say that these workers being able to
21	A. As I said earlier, if you just look at the appearance,	21	perform what they appear to be performing in this photo,
22	it's really hard for us to tell which sub-contractor	22	they must have been under the permission of the main
23	they are from or it's from our sub-contractor; we	23	contractor, Leighton?
24	couldn't tell.	24	A. You could put it that way. If they have completed
25	Q. Do you recognise the uniform that they were wearing?	25	they have to first complete the induction training of
	Page 150		Page 152
1	Who provides those uniforms?	1	Leighton before they could come into the site, so you
2	A. The blue top, that, I'm not sure. The red top, I think	2	could put it that way.
3	that's provided by Leighton.	3	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just interrupt again I do
4	Q. So, if the red uniform were provided which Leighton, on		
	(,,	4	apologise. If you have a look at this photograph, you
5	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the	45	apologise. If you have a look at this photograph, you are saying it appears to show people, or two men,
5 6			
	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the	5	are saying it appears to show people, or two men,
6	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker?A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton,	5 6	are saying it appears to show people, or two men,screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers?A. Right.CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little
6 7	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker?	5 6 7	are saying it appears to show people, or two men,screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers?A. Right.
6 7 8 9 10	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker?A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or	5 6 7 8	are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers?A. Right.CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it?A. Yes, I see it.
6 7 8 9 10 11	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker?A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers?A. Right.CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it?A. Yes, I see it.CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker?A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker?A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker?A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker?A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors.Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers that appear in these photos were Leighton's workers. Do 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers that appear in these photos were Leighton's workers. Do you have any response to that? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: Then if you go back to the photograph of the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers that appear in these photos were Leighton's workers. Do you have any response to that? A. It's possible that they were his staff, that's why he 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: Then if you go back to the photograph of the person cutting the thread there we are.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers that appear in these photos were Leighton's workers. Do you have any response to that? A. It's possible that they were his staff, that's why he could recognise them, but I honestly could not recognise 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: Then if you go back to the photograph of the person cutting the thread there we are. MR CHOW: 228.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers that appear in these photos were Leighton's workers. Do you have any response to that? A. It's possible that they were his staff, that's why he could recognise them, but I honestly could not recognise them. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: Then if you go back to the photograph of the person cutting the thread there we are. MR CHOW: 228. CHAIRMAN: If you make that photograph smaller there we
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers that appear in these photos were Leighton's workers. Do you have any response to that? A. It's possible that they were his staff, that's why he could recognise them, but I honestly could not recognise them. Q. Thank you. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: Then if you go back to the photograph of the person cutting the thread there we are. MR CHOW: 228. CHAIRMAN: If you make that photograph smaller there we are do you see a yellow hose just at the top?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers that appear in these photos were Leighton's workers. Do you have any response to that? A. It's possible that they were his staff, that's why he could recognise them, but I honestly could not recognise them. Q. Thank you. Can I now ask you to go to the next photo, 228. Can 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: Then if you go back to the photograph of the person cutting the thread there we are. MR CHOW: 228. CHAIRMAN: If you make that photograph smaller there we are do you see a yellow hose just at the top? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what basis do you say you are not sure that at least the worker wearing the red uniform were not Leighton's worker? A. Because for the red uniform or red helmet, in Leighton, that represents the banksmen. That symbolises the banksmen. So for any sub-contractors, their workers or their staff, after they attended the course, we would provide that to them. So that's why it's not possible for me to tell whether they are from Leighton or from the sub-contractors. Q. While we are still on this photo, Mr Rodgers gave evidence that he was 100 per cent sure that the workers that appear in these photos were Leighton's workers. Do you have any response to that? A. It's possible that they were his staff, that's why he could recognise them, but I honestly could not recognise them. Q. Thank you. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 are saying it appears to show people, or two men, screwing the reinforced steel bars into couplers? A. Right. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And in fact you can see a wrench, a little red wrench down there, in front. Can you see it? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: And that would sometimes be used to help them screw or turn the rebars; correct? A. Correct. CHAIRMAN: If you have a look, actually, you can see on the left-hand side a yellow hose, or what appears to be a yellow hose; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. CHAIRMAN: Then if you go back to the photograph of the person cutting the thread there we are. MR CHOW: 228. CHAIRMAN: If you make that photograph smaller there we are do you see a yellow hose just at the top?

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

	Page 153		Page 155
1	wondering, why would there be somebody seemingly and	1	A. Yes, agreed.
2	I put it no higher than that seemingly very close to	2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: My only supplementary question to
3	the other two men who are putting rebars into	3	Mr So is: what time did your supervision team finish?
4	couplers why would there be somebody very close by	4	CHAIRMAN: A good point.
5	cutting the threaded end or about to cut the threaded	5	A. We normally work from 8 am to 6 pm.
6	end off a reinforced steel bar?	6	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And these photos were taken after
7	A. That I'm not sure about.	7	6 pm. Both of these photos, if you look at the bottom
8	CHAIRMAN: No. But from what you've said, there would be no	8	of the photos, I think I'm right in saying that both of
9	reason for anybody to do it, and if you saw this	9	them were after 6 pm?
10	happening what would you have done?	10	A. Yes, I see the time of these two photos. They were
11	A. If on the spot I see someone doing that, I would ask his	11	after 6 pm.
12	foreman or even his boss to come, and I would send this	12	CHAIRMAN: And are you aware of the fact, from what you've
13	worker off site, because this is a very serious matter.	13	learnt, that Mr Jason Poon alleged that the workers were
14	CHAIRMAN: Yes. But would you agree that on the face of	14	cutting rebars after normal working hours, that is after
15	it and I don't put it any higher than that what	15	6 o'clock; they were taking that opportunity to do so?
16	these two photographs appear to show is somebody on site	16	Had you ever heard anything to that effect?
17	about to cut into the threads of a reinforced steel bar,	17	A. No.
18	and doing so in close proximity to a couple of other	18	MR CHOW: Mr So, earlier you mentioned you spent about
19	workers who are actually putting steel bars into	19	70 per cent of your time on site. Am I right to say
20	couplers?	20	that what you mean by that is that you spent about
21	A. Yes, you could make that inference from these two	21	70 per cent of your time working around the site,
22	photos, or deduction.	22	instead of staying in the site office?
23	CHAIRMAN: Then you might say to yourself: If this steel bar	23	A. Correct.
24	that's being cut is going to be put into the wall as	24	Q. So presumably your subordinates, the foremen and the
25	well, could it be perhaps one of the couplers there was	25	site supervisor, would have spent even more time
	Page 154		Page 156
1	not in good order, and they decided to just cut the	1	on site, running around the site; is that correct?
2	thread a little, before putting that in, to make it	2	A. Yes, supposedly they are on the site full-time.
3	work? I appreciate it's not really a question. My	3	Q. So full-time staying outside the workplace and
4	apologies for putting it to you. That's more a comment,		
5		4	overseeing the work being carried out; is that what you
6	I think, than anything else.	5	mean?
6	I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to	5 6	mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please.
7	I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about	5 6 7	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office
7 8	I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do?	5 6 7 8	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the
7 8 9	I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way.	5 6 7 8 9	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors;
7 8 9 10	I think, than anything else.But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do?A. Yes, you could put it that way.CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open?	5 6 7 8 9 10	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean?
7 8 9 10 11	I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean?A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the
7 8 9 10 11 12	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean?A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean?A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean?A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mean?A. Can you repeat your question, please.Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean?A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office.CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. CHAIRMAN: And yet the inspectors would appear to be 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please. Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean? A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office. CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment? MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I believe that I may only have a few
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. CHAIRMAN: And yet the inspectors would appear to be allowing it to happen, if they're seeing it? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please. Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean? A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office. CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment? MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I believe that I may only have a few minutes, then I will be finished.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. CHAIRMAN: And yet the inspectors would appear to be allowing it to happen, if they're seeing it? A. If, on the day, during that time, my supervision team 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please. Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean? A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office. CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment? MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I believe that I may only have a few minutes, then I will be finished. CHAIRMAN: All right.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. CHAIRMAN: And yet the inspectors would appear to be allowing it to happen, if they're seeing it? A. If, on the day, during that time, my supervision team was there, then yes, it's a problem. But I am not sure 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please. Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean? A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office. CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment? MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I believe that I may only have a few minutes, then I will be finished. CHAIRMAN: All right. MR CHOW: So I wonder whether I'm entirely in your hands.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. CHAIRMAN: And yet the inspectors would appear to be allowing it to happen, if they're seeing it? A. If, on the day, during that time, my supervision team 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please. Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean? A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office. CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment? MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I believe that I may only have a few minutes, then I will be finished. CHAIRMAN: All right.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. CHAIRMAN: And yet the inspectors would appear to be allowing it to happen, if they're seeing it? A. If, on the day, during that time, my supervision team was there, then yes, it's a problem. But I am not sure that for that particular period, whether there was still 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please. Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean? A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office. CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment? MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I believe that I may only have a few minutes, then I will be finished. CHAIRMAN: All right. MR CHOW: So I wonder whether I'm entirely in your hands. CHAIRMAN: I'm happy for you to continue. MR CHOW: Thank you.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. CHAIRMAN: And yet the inspectors would appear to be allowing it to happen, if they're seeing it? A. If, on the day, during that time, my supervision team was there, then yes, it's a problem. But I am not sure that for that particular period, whether there was still someone from my supervision team there, and I don't even 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please. Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean? A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office. CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment? MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I believe that I may only have a few minutes, then I will be finished. CHAIRMAN: All right. MR CHOW: So I wonder whether I'm entirely in your hands. CHAIRMAN: I'm happy for you to continue.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 I think, than anything else. But on its face, would you agree it's difficult to find any permissible reason for what this man is about to do? A. Yes, you could put it that way. CHAIRMAN: And yet he appears to be doing it in the open? A. Yes, from the photo. CHAIRMAN: And from your evidence, as I understand it, there would be inspectors there who would be keeping an eye on all of this; correct? A. Yes. At every spot I have my supervision team there. CHAIRMAN: And yet the inspectors would appear to be allowing it to happen, if they're seeing it? A. If, on the day, during that time, my supervision team was there, then yes, it's a problem. But I am not sure that for that particular period, whether there was still someone from my supervision team there, and I don't even know which area this is now. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 mean? A. Can you repeat your question, please. Q. Full-time on site means not staying in the site office but going around various places on site, looking at the works being carried out by workers or sub-contractors; is that what you mean? A. When I said full-time, I meant they would stay in the vicinity of the areas they are responsible for, and after work or during lunchtime they would return to the office. CHAIRMAN: Is that an opportune moment? MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I believe that I may only have a few minutes, then I will be finished. CHAIRMAN: All right. MR CHOW: So I wonder whether I'm entirely in your hands. CHAIRMAN: I'm happy for you to continue. MR CHOW: Thank you. In your statement, you also mentioned about working

Γ

	Page 157		Page 159
1	remain works to be carried out after normal working	1	sub-contractor made his own decision to stay behind
2	hours, you would ensure that one of your team or more	2	without informing your team?
3	than one of your team members would stay behind to look	3	A. Normally, no. Many people know about this system.
4	after the sub-contractor or the workers who were	4	Q. So, to your knowledge, has it ever happened that
5	carrying out the work?	5	a sub-contractor sort of continues to work without
6	A. If overtime work is necessary, someone would certainly	6	informing the main contractor, or sneaks back onto site
7	be sent.	7	after 6 pm, without the supervision of the main
8	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I just understand that	, 8	contractor? Has it ever happened, to your knowledge?
9	because I asked you earlier what time your supervisors	9	A. I can't remember whether such incident happened.
10	left, and I thought you told me they would leave at	10	Q. One last question. Given that now you are aware of the
11	6 pm. But are you now saying that if sub-contractors	11	previous bar cutting incidents, do you have any idea as
12	were working beyond 6 pm, they would stay? What is the	12	to why such incidents took place?
13	answer? Would they stay if sub-contractors were working	13	A. Were you referring to the cutting of threaded ends of
14	after 6 pm, or would they go at 6 pm?	14	rebars?
15	A. As I explained, the normal working hours are from 8 am	15	Q. Correct.
16	to 6 pm. We have a progress meeting every single day,	16	A. I don't know why they cut the threaded ends of rebars.
17	and if overtime work is agreed, we would arrange	17	MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I have no more questions. Thank you
18	supervision to monitor the work.	18	CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you very much.
19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So could workers, sub-contract	19	Yes?
20	workers, be working on the site with no supervisors	20	MS CHONG: I have two questions.
21	being on the site? Is that a possible situation? Did	21	CHAIRMAN: All right. Let's ask them.
22	that ever happen?	22	Cross-examination by MS CHONG
23	A. Normally, for work planned by us, there would be	23	MS CHONG: You said you were responsible for the work
24	supervision, but for workers who decide to work behind	24	progress of this project and you would discuss with the
25	the scenes, they can certainly enter the site and we	25	sub-contractors and also your workers as to the work
	Page 158		Page 160
1	Page 158 cannot stop them from entering the site because they	1	Page 160 progress.
1 2		1 2	
	cannot stop them from entering the site because they		progress.
2	cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass.	2	progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no
2 3	cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass.CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs.	2 3 4 5	progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of
2 3 4	cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per	2 3 4 5	progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project?
2 3 4 5	cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass.CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and	2 3 4 5	progress.Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project?A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another.
2 3 4 5 6	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing 	2 3 4 5 6	progress.Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project?A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another.Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	progress.Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project?A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another.Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	progress.Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project?A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another.Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	progress.Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project?A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another.Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree?A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no application for overtime work is needed, I would not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work? A. We asked them to supply more workers to complete the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no application for overtime work is needed, I would not arrange for supervision. In that case, we would go 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work? A. We asked them to supply more workers to complete the work within a time frame. Do you call that pressure?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no application for overtime work is needed, I would not arrange for supervision. In that case, we would go home. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work? A. We asked them to supply more workers to complete the work within a time frame. Do you call that pressure? Q. But what I meant was Fang Sheung was able to comply with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no application for overtime work is needed, I would not arrange for supervision. In that case, we would go home. CHAIRMAN: All right. So you would go. So a chance is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work? A. We asked them to supply more workers to complete the work within a time frame. Do you call that pressure? Q. But what I meant was Fang Sheung was able to comply with those work schedules as designed or laid down by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no application for overtime work is needed, I would not arrange for supervision. In that case, we would go home. CHAIRMAN: All right. So you would go. So a chance is there, if a sub-contractor is a bit under pressure, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work? A. We asked them to supply more workers to complete the work within a time frame. Do you call that pressure? Q. But what I meant was Fang Sheung was able to comply with those work schedules as designed or laid down by Leighton, after all the arrangements of Fang Sheung with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no application for overtime work is needed, I would not arrange for supervision. In that case, we would go home. CHAIRMAN: All right. So you would go. So a chance is there, if a sub-contractor is a bit under pressure, telling his people to keep on, but it hasn't been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work? A. We asked them to supply more workers to complete the work within a time frame. Do you call that pressure? Q. But what I meant was Fang Sheung was able to comply with those work schedules as designed or laid down by Leighton, after all the arrangements of Fang Sheung with your supervisor; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no application for overtime work is needed, I would not arrange for supervision. In that case, we would go home. CHAIRMAN: All right. So you would go. So a chance is there, if a sub-contractor is a bit under pressure, telling his people to keep on, but it hasn't been pre-arranged, that supervision would head off home? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work? A. We asked them to supply more workers to complete the work within a time frame. Do you call that pressure? Q. But what I meant was Fang Sheung was able to comply with those work schedules as designed or laid down by Leighton, after all the arrangements of Fang Sheung with your supervisor; is that correct? A. At the beginning, there was a time when that was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 cannot stop them from entering the site because they have the relevant pass. CHAIRMAN: No, but we're talking here about bar fixers working openly on site after 6 o'clock at night, as per the photographs. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, exactly. So if you had a situation of people had been bar fixing all day, and 6 o'clock comes along, and the bar fixers are continuing working on site, would the supervisors leave or would they stay? A. As I explained already, for planned overtime work, our foremen and supervisors would stay. If we do not plan for the overtime work, we have no idea whether or when the workers would stay. CHAIRMAN: So you go? A. For overtime work, we have to apply for a permit. If no application for overtime work is needed, I would not arrange for supervision. In that case, we would go home. CHAIRMAN: All right. So you would go. So a chance is there, if a sub-contractor is a bit under pressure, telling his people to keep on, but it hasn't been 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 progress. Is it the case that Fang Sheung had there is no issue of Fang Sheung falling behind the work schedule of Leighton during this project? A. This is not strictly the case. You have to look at it bay by bay. It might be that there is budget for one bay but not for another. Q. But with the arrangement of Leighton, Fang Sheung was always able to comply with the work schedule of Leighton as assigned by Leighton; do you agree? A. I agree. We agreed with the increase of resources and they would be able to meet our progress. Q. And after the arrangement of Leighton, there was not much is it fair to say that there was not much pressure from Leighton, pressing Fang Sheung to hurry up with their work? A. We asked them to supply more workers to complete the work within a time frame. Do you call that pressure? Q. But what I meant was Fang Sheung was able to comply with those work schedules as designed or laid down by Leighton, after all the arrangements of Fang Sheung with your supervisor; is that correct?

Ĩ

1	Page 161		Page 163
1	A. We received complaints from the MTRC but after that	1	invited by Leighton to return a quotation on this
2	there was an improvement.	2	additional job, but that was never returned the
3	Q. "Initially", you are talking about what time?	3	quotation was never returned by Fang Sheung to Leighton.
4	A. I think the first two or three months of the EWL works.	4	Do you know that?
5	I cannot remember the exact time.	5	A. I'm not sure about that. I was just saying that I don't
6	Q. Is it early 2015 or 2014, or any time; can you recall?	6	know whether there is a chance that the engineers might
7	A. It was probably mid 2015.	7	give site instructions to Fang Sheung to replace that.
8	Q. That is around June 2015?	8	Q. And as a result of this piece of work falling outside of
9	A. Yes, around that time. I remember that at the beginning	9	Fang Sheung's work duties, China Technology was
10	we worked on areas C2 and C3. There was a walk every	10	approached then by Leighton to give a quotation; do you
11	week between the seniors of our company and MTRC. We		know this?
12	received several complaints from Fang Sheung and	12	A. For that, if I recall correctly, it was to expose the
12	China Tech complaining about a lack of resources.	12	couplers.
13	Q. But this problem, as you said, improved after a few	13	Q. And throughout the contract, throughout this contract,
	months, is it, after	14 15	it was never the contractual duty of Fang Sheung to
15	A. Correct. Correct.		
16		16	replace those damaged couplers; do you know this?
17	Q. So by what time would this problem have been improved?		A. In the original contract, yes.
18	A. Actually, every day, at the progress meeting, we kept	18	Q. And there was no additional contract signed on this
19	monitoring the situation. For every sub-contractor, we	19	replacement of couplers?
20	asked them to provide more resources, manpower or	20	A. I said outside of the original I don't know whether
21	machinery. Of course they can't do it right away.	21	there would be site instructions. But it's not
22	Maybe it took three-odd days or so, they might have to	22	something I could confirm. I was just wondering if this
23	make arrangement to do that, but as to when exactly	23	might happen.
24	there was improvement, honestly I could not remember.	24	Q. So outside the original contract you cannot give any
25	Q. But it's fair to say that after, say, a few months,	25	evidence on
	Page 162		Page 164
1	Fang Sheung was able to comply with all the work	1	CHAIRMAN: I think whether this gentleman does or doesn't
2	schedule as laid down by Leighton?	2	know is not really the point. I think the point is what
3			
	A. You could put it that way.	3	was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on
4	Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever	3 4	was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure.
	Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be	3	was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure.MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions.
4 5 6	Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton,	3 4 5 6	was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure.MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions.CHAIRMAN: Any
4 5 6 7	Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be	3 4 5 6 7	was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure.MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions.CHAIRMAN: AnyMR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as
4 5 6 7 8	Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves,	3 4 5 6 7 8	was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure.MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions.CHAIRMAN: AnyMR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, that Fang Sheung workers can stay of their own volition, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning. WITNESS: (In English) Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, that Fang Sheung workers can stay of their own volition, without telling Leighton, or sneak back to the site to 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning. WITNESS: (In English) Okay. CHAIRMAN: And because you are in the middle of giving your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, that Fang Sheung workers can stay of their own volition, without telling Leighton, or sneak back to the site to work without informing the Leighton workers? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning. WITNESS: (In English) Okay. CHAIRMAN: And because you are in the middle of giving your evidence, you are not allowed in law to discuss your
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, that Fang Sheung workers can stay of their own volition, without telling Leighton, or sneak back to the site to work without informing the Leighton workers? A. Normally, it would not happen. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning. WITNESS: (In English) Okay. CHAIRMAN: And because you are in the middle of giving your evidence, you are not allowed in law to discuss your evidence overnight, with anybody.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, that Fang Sheung workers can stay of their own volition, without telling Leighton, or sneak back to the site to work without informing the Leighton workers? A. Normally, it would not happen. Q. Yes, under normal circumstances. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning. WITNESS: (In English) Okay. CHAIRMAN: And because you are in the middle of giving your evidence, you are not allowed in law to discuss your evidence overnight, with anybody. WITNESS: (In English) Understand.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, that Fang Sheung workers can stay of their own volition, without telling Leighton, or sneak back to the site to work without informing the Leighton workers? A. Normally, it would not happen. Q. Yes, under normal circumstances. One more question. Talking about this replacement 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning. WITNESS: (In English) Okay. CHAIRMAN: And because you are in the middle of giving your evidence, you are not allowed in law to discuss your evidence overnight, with anybody. WITNESS: (In English) Understand. CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. Thank you very much.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, that Fang Sheung workers can stay of their own volition, without telling Leighton, or sneak back to the site to work without informing the Leighton workers? A. Normally, it would not happen. Q. Yes, under normal circumstances. One more question. Talking about this replacement of coupler issue, it's our case that this replacement of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning. WITNESS: (In English) Okay. CHAIRMAN: And because you are in the middle of giving your evidence, you are not allowed in law to discuss your evidence overnight, with anybody. WITNESS: (In English) Understand. CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. Thank you very much. (5.21 pm)
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. As to the overtime work, it's our case that whenever Fang Sheung has to work overtime, there would be definitely there would be a supervisor from Leighton, supervising the workers. Do you agree? It can't be that Fang Sheung was on the site working themselves, without the supervision of anyone from Leighton. A. Well, you can't just say it's Fang Sheung. For any sub-contractor, if they want to do overtime work, there must be supervisory staff of Leighton present. Q. And it can't be that the sub-contractors, such as Fang Sheung, would make their own decision to stay overtime on the site, without informing the main contractor, such as Leighton. It can't be that case, that Fang Sheung workers can stay of their own volition, without telling Leighton, or sneak back to the site to work without informing the Leighton workers? A. Normally, it would not happen. Q. Yes, under normal circumstances. One more question. Talking about this replacement 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was in fact objectively the case, which can be shown on the documentation, I'm sure. MS CHONG: In that case, I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Any MR BOULDING: Sir, I might have a couple of questions as a result of something the witness has said today. I see it's 5.20 and I would appreciate the opportunity to take instructions. CHAIRMAN: No, that's absolutely fine. Of course, Mr Boulding. MR BOULDING: Thank you very much. CHAIRMAN: So we will adjourn now. I do regret that we have to ask you to come back tomorrow, okay, 10 o'clock in the morning. WITNESS: (In English) Okay. CHAIRMAN: And because you are in the middle of giving your evidence, you are not allowed in law to discuss your evidence overnight, with anybody. WITNESS: (In English) Understand. CHAIRMAN: Okay, good. Thank you very much.

	Page 165	
1	INDEX	
2	PAGE	
3	MR ANTHONY PETER ZERVAAS (on former oath)1	
4	Cross-examination by MR KHAW (continued)1	
5	Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS	
6	Re-examination by MR WILKEN	
7	Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS	
8	(The witness was released)	
9	MR IAN NOEL RAWSTHORNE (affirmed)	
10	Examination-in-chief by MR WILKEN	
11	Examination by MR PENNICOTT	
12	Cross-examination by MR SO	
13	Cross-examination by MR KHAW71	
14	Cross-examination by MR BOULDING	
15	Re-examination by MR WILKEN	
16	(The witness was released)	
17	MR SO YIU WAH, GABRIEL (affirmed in Punti)107	
18	Examination-in-chief by MR SHIEH107	
19	Examination by MR PENNICOTT108	
20	Cross-examination by MR KHAW128	
21	Cross-examination by MR CHOW128	
22	Cross-examination by MS CHONG159	
23		
24		
25		