Commission of Inquiry into the Diaphragm Wall and Platform Slab Construction Works at the Hung Hom Station Extension under the Shatin to Central Link Project

	Page 1		Page 3
1	Friday, 16 November 2018	1	Q. You say, in paragraph 3 of your witness statement, that
2	(10.01 am)	2	you joined Leighton as a site agent in May 2013.
3	MR CHANG: Good morning, Mr Chairman and Professor.	3	When did you actually start working on the
4	The next witness is Mr Joe Leung.	4	particular project, SCL1112, that we're concerned with?
5	Again, to put him on site, if we can have bundle C7,	5	A. After I joined Leighton, the first project was 1112.
6	page 5536. The reference point will be somewhere in the	6	Q. Right. So you've been the site agent from May 2013; is
7	middle, with Gary Chow, who gave evidence yesterday.	7	that right?
8	Joe Leung is underneath "Area C", "Site agent".	8	A. Correct.
9	Incidentally, Andy Ip, who will be the next in line,	9	Q. So you saw the project right through, essentially, from
10	is the sub-agent underneath Mr Joe Leung.	10	commencement, that is with the diaphragm walls and so
11	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	11	forth, right the way through until you left Leighton on
12	MR CHANG: Mr Leung, good morning.	12	19 July 2018, that is earlier this year; is that
13	WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning.	13	correct?
14	MR LEUNG KWOK CHEONG, JOE (sworn in Punti)	14	A. Well, when I first joined Leighton in May 2013, I worked
15	(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter	15	on this project, but I left this project not this year,
16	except where otherwise specified)	16	in July. When I left contract 1112, it should be
17	Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG	17	Chinese New Year, before Chinese New Year this year, in
18	MR CHANG: Can the witness be shown bundle C27, page 20679.	18	2018, that means about February 2018. And then I was
19	Mr Leung, before you is a document titled, "First	19	transferred to another project at the airport, and then
20	witness statement of Joe Leung".	20	in July I left Leighton.
21	If the witness can be shown the last page of this	21	Q. So you were working on the project right up until
22	document, 20684, we can see a signature. Is that your	22	Chinese New Year in 2018, approximately?
23	signature?	23	A. Correct.
24	A. Yes.	24	Q. Mr Leung, are you familiar with or have you heard of
25	Q. Do you confirm this to be your witness statement for the	25	a document called the site supervision plan?
	Page 2		Page 4
1	purpose of this Inquiry?	1	A. I know about SSP, yes.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. Is it a document you have read and considered in the
3	Q. Do you confirm the contents to be true and accurate?	3	past?
4	A. Yes, I confirm it's true.	4	A. Yes, I did.
5	Q. Do you wish the Commission to accept this witness	5	Q. Could we please have a look at it. It's at H10, and if
6	statement as part of your evidence for this Inquiry?	6	you go, please, to page 4543, this is the cover sheet of
7	A. Yes, I do.	7	the site supervision plan, submitted to the government
8	MR CHANG: Please remain seated. Lawyers around the room	8	in August 2015, Mr Leung, take it from me, because there
9	will have questions for you, starting from the gentleman	9	were a number of these.
10	next to me, Mr Pennicott.	10	A. (In English) Okay.
11	Examination by MR PENNICOTT	11	Q. If you would be good enough, please, to go to page 4548.
12	MR PENNICOTT: Good morning, Mr Leung.	12	This is the part of the supervision plan that sets out
13	A. Good morning.	13	the supervision plan of the registered contractor, that
14	Q. My name is Pennicott, I'm one of the counsel to the	14	is Leighton; do you see that at the top of the page?
15	Commission, and I get to ask you some questions first.	15	A. Yes, I see it.
16	As has been indicated to you already, others may have	16	Q. At item 13 it says:
17	some questions for you afterwards as well, and at any	17	"The technically competent persons for site
1	time the chairman and the professor may also have some	18	supervision under the registered geotechnical engineer's
18		10	stream required for the specified type of works are"
19	questions.	19	
19 20	Thank you very much for coming along this morning to	20	And there's a list of positions and names.
19 20 21	Thank you very much for coming along this morning to give evidence to the Commission.	20 21	And there's a list of positions and names. Am I right in thinking that your name appears there as
19 20 21 22	Thank you very much for coming along this morning to give evidence to the Commission. Mr Leung, my understanding is that you were the site	20 21 22	And there's a list of positions and names. Am I right in thinking that your name appears there as the sixth name down from the top?
19 20 21 22 23	Thank you very much for coming along this morning to give evidence to the Commission. Mr Leung, my understanding is that you were the site agent, and we've seen the organisation chart, for area C	20 21 22 23	And there's a list of positions and names. Am I right in thinking that your name appears there as the sixth name down from the top? A. Yes, correct.
19 20 21 22	Thank you very much for coming along this morning to give evidence to the Commission. Mr Leung, my understanding is that you were the site	20 21 22	And there's a list of positions and names. Am I right in thinking that your name appears there as the sixth name down from the top?

	Page 5		Page 7
1	A. Yes, I see it.	1	the installation of couplers "is in addition to
2	Q. You will see, on the right-hand side, there's a heading	2	the site supervision plan", that we've just been looking
3	at the top of the table, "Frequency level of site	3	at in the other file.
4	[supervision]"; do you see that?	4	So were you unaware, throughout your time on this
5	A. I see it, yes.	5	site, that there was a document that required additional
6	Q. Under or against your name, what it says is "4 (one	6	or enhanced supervision of the coupler installation
7	visit every week)"; do you see that?	7	work?
8	A. Yes, I see it.	8	A. No.
9	Q. Does that statement for one visit every week reflect,	9	Q. You were unaware of this document?
10	Mr Leung, in fact what you did by way of supervision;	10	A. No, I wasn't aware of this document.
11	that is, you visited the site approximately one visit	11	Q. And you were unaware, therefore, of the enhanced
12	every week? Is that correct?	12	supervision that was required by this document
13	A. Yes, correct.	13	throughout your time working on project SCL1112?
14	Q. On your one visit every week, to what extent, if at all,	14	A. No idea, because I've never read this document before.
15	did you look, starting in 2015 so two years into the	15	I've no idea.
16	project at the rebar fixing being done by	16	Q. Right.
17	Fang Sheung?	17	I'm not sure I can take that very much further then.
18	A. Fang Sheung was not one of the sub-contractors that	18	We will see how Mr Ip gets on later.
19	I had to supervise or was not one of the work processes	19	Can I ask you this question, Mr Leung. We've heard
20	I had to supervise. Now, when I visited the site,	20	a lot of evidence, an increasing amount of evidence,
21	I would actually look at everything. Fang Sheung was	21	about the inspections that took place of the rebar
22	one of the sub-contractors working on site, and also	22	installed by Fang Sheung. My understanding of what you
23	here you can see I am an alternative. That means if the	23	said a moment ago is that Fang Sheung and the
24	actual person, say, is on leave, on sick leave, and so	24	installation of the rebar was not something with which
25	on, then I would stand in as the alternative.	25	you were concerned; is that correct?
	Page 6		D O
			Page 8
1	Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were	1	A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the
1 2	-	1 2	-
	Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were		A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the
2	Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the	2	A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect
2 3	Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this	2 3	A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their
2 3 4	Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table?	2 3 4	A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect
2 3 4 5	Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table?A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's	2 3 4 5	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; do you see that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision. Q. Or inspection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; do you see that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision. Q. Or inspection? A. Correct, I did not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document you've seen before? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision. Q. Could you please be shown an email at C12/8127.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document you've seen before? A. I have not seen it before. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision. Q. Or inspection? A. Correct, I did not. Q. Could you please be shown an email at C12/8127. Do you have that, Mr Leung?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document you've seen before? A. I have not seen it before. Q. If you go, please, to page 4267 in this document it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision. Q. Could you please be shown an email at C12/8127. Do you have that, Mr Leung? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document you've seen before? A. I have not seen it before. Q. If you go, please, to page 4267 in this document it will be on the screen or you can take it in hard copy if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision. Q. Could you please be shown an email at C12/8127. Do you have that, Mr Leung? A. Yes. Q. This is an email that was sent by Mr Kobe Wong of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document you've seen before? A. I have not seen it before. Q. If you go, please, to page 4267 in this document it will be on the screen or you can take it in hard copy if you wish, Mr Leung at the bottom there, of that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision. Q. Could you please be shown an email at C12/8127. Do you have that, Mr Leung? A. Yes. Q. This is an email that was sent by Mr Kobe Wong of the MTR to, amongst other people, yourself; do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. In this instance, am I right in thinking that you were the alternative to Andy Ip, that is the name the person that appears above you, two above you, in this table? A. Yes, correct, according to this table. That's how it's represented. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with and have you heard of the quality supervision plan in relation to the fixing and installation of couplers? A. I have no recollection of that. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown H9, page 4265. You will see, Mr Leung, at page 4265, a document headed, "Quality supervision plan on enhanced site supervision & independent audit checking by MTRC & registered contractor for installation of couplers"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document you've seen before? A. I have not seen it before. Q. If you go, please, to page 4267 in this document it will be on the screen or you can take it in hard copy if 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, you can't put it this way. It's one of the procedures. Of course, if you talk about details, I might not oversee very clearly, but in terms of their actual work and the procedure, and how they would affect the progress and the flow of work, I did take part in it. I also mentioned in my previous statement that before Fang Sheung started their work at the site, at the initial stage I discussed with them the methods, the drawings, the problems. So I wouldn't say that I was not concerned at all. Q. All right. Let me put it slightly differently. You were not involved personally in the supervision and inspection of the Fang Sheung work as the various layers of rebar were installed; would that be fair? A. Right, I personally did not involve in the supervision. Q. Could you please be shown an email at C12/8127. Do you have that, Mr Leung? A. Yes. Q. This is an email that was sent by Mr Kobe Wong of the

Γ

٦

	Page 9		Page 11
1	A. No recollection.	1	A. I know who he is. He is the senior staff of the project
2	Q. So you took no action upon this email; would that be	2	design team.
3	right?	3	Q. Are you aware that he compiled two reports relating to
4	A. You can put it this way. In my recollection, about this	4	these incidents?
5	thing, I did not take any particular action.	5	A. No, I don't know. But, I mean, I saw him from time to
6	Q. All right. We know this email was sent with a number of	6	time at our site office.
7	attachments, a number of photographs. They are in the	7	Q. Were you involved in any way or form with assisting
8	following pages in the file or on the screen. Have	8	Mr Lumb in any way relating to these matters?
9	a look at 8128, please. Do you have any recollection of	9	A. No, because when I worked in this area, I did not stay
10	seeing this photograph attached to the email?	10	all the way to the end. It was in 2016, the first
11	A. It was only after the reporting of this incident that	11	quarter, in my recollection, I do not recall the exact
12	I saw that in the news. Previously, I had no	12	time, that I got transferred to other areas for other
13	recollection.	13	works, so I had no idea when he had come or when he had
14	Q. When you say "in the news", you're talking about this	14	conducted some investigation.
15	year, are you, Mr Leung?	15	Q. So you were not involved with the investigation?
16	A. Yes. Yes. After the inquiry began, I saw those in the	16	A. I was not involved. I don't even know about the
17	news.	17	investigation.
18	Q. Right. But you have no independent recollection of	18	MR TO: Mr Leung, I don't have any further questions.
19	having seen this back in 2015?	19	Thank you, Chairman and Commissioner.
20	A. Correct, no recollection.	20	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, before anybody else stands up perhaps
21	MR PENNICOTT: In that case, I have no further questions for	21	I should have mentioned this earlier, given Mr To's
22	you, Mr Leung.	22	questions to the witness last evening, we received
23	Cross-examination by MR TO	23	from Leightons two further witness statements, which
24	MR TO: Chairman and Professor, good morning.	24	I think you're aware of.
25	Mr Leung, I represent China Technology, my name is	25	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
	Page 10		Page 12
1	Christopher To, and I basically have two or three	1	MR PENNICOTT: One was from Andy Ip, who we are about to
2	questions to ask you.	2	hear from after Mr Leung is finished, and the other was
3	Mr Leung, I won't take you to your witness statement	3	the fourth witness statement of Stephen Lumb.
4	but you mention this in paragraph 21, that someone	4	I don't know whether that's managed to filter
5	informed you about, for example, there was an issue	5	through to all my learned friends, but Mr Lumb sets out
6	about the bars not being screwed in properly.	6	some further detail of the background to the preparation
7	Can I just ask you maybe a question leading on to	7	of his report, and indeed lists out the people he
8	that. Are you familiar with this term called	8	understands that were spoken to for the purposes of his
9	"non-conformance reports"?	9	review and investigation.
10	A. Yes, I know this.	10	Cross-examination by MR CHOW
11	Q. Usually short term "NCR"?	11	MR CHOW: Good morning, Mr Chairman and Professor. I have
12	A. Yes, NCR, yes, I've heard that.Q. Can I ask you how many NCRs were issued during your time	12	a few questions for Mr Leung. Good morning, Mr Leung. I am acting for the
13 14	when you were actually handling this project?	13	government and I have a few questions for you.
14	A. I personally did not issue any.	14	Mr Leung, according to the organisation chart dated
15			December 2015 that we have just looked at earlier, it
10	O But how many have you seen?	16	
	Q. But how many have you seen? A In this project, at the later stage I mean I was	16 17	-
	A. In this project, at the later stage I mean, I was	17	appears that your engineering team is the only
18	A. In this project, at the later stage I mean, I was later transferred to another area. I worked in several	17 18	appears that your engineering team is the only engineering team taking care of area C of the EWL slab.
18 19	A. In this project, at the later stage I mean, I was later transferred to another area. I worked in several areas, and at a later stage I saw one or two, but	17 18 19	appears that your engineering team is the only engineering team taking care of area C of the EWL slab. Is that correct?
18 19 20	A. In this project, at the later stage I mean, I was later transferred to another area. I worked in several areas, and at a later stage I saw one or two, but previously I did not see.	17 18 19 20	appears that your engineering team is the only engineering team taking care of area C of the EWL slab. Is that correct? A. Correct.
18 19 20 21	A. In this project, at the later stage I mean, I was later transferred to another area. I worked in several areas, and at a later stage I saw one or two, but previously I did not see.Q. Are you familiar with one called NCR157?	17 18 19 20 21	appears that your engineering team is the onlyengineering team taking care of area C of the EWL slab.Is that correct?A. Correct.Q. So any inspection, be it a routine inspection or the
18 19 20 21 22	 A. In this project, at the later stage I mean, I was later transferred to another area. I worked in several areas, and at a later stage I saw one or two, but previously I did not see. Q. Are you familiar with one called NCR157? A. No recollection. I don't recall the number. 	17 18 19 20	appears that your engineering team is the only engineering team taking care of area C of the EWL slab. Is that correct?A. Correct.Q. So any inspection, be it a routine inspection or the formal inspection for the steel fixing work, would have
18 19 20 21	 A. In this project, at the later stage I mean, I was later transferred to another area. I worked in several areas, and at a later stage I saw one or two, but previously I did not see. Q. Are you familiar with one called NCR157? A. No recollection. I don't recall the number. Q. Thank you. 	 17 18 19 20 21 22 	appears that your engineering team is the onlyengineering team taking care of area C of the EWL slab.Is that correct?A. Correct.Q. So any inspection, be it a routine inspection or the
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 A. In this project, at the later stage I mean, I was later transferred to another area. I worked in several areas, and at a later stage I saw one or two, but previously I did not see. Q. Are you familiar with one called NCR157? A. No recollection. I don't recall the number. 	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	appears that your engineering team is the only engineering team taking care of area C of the EWL slab. Is that correct?A. Correct.Q. So any inspection, be it a routine inspection or the formal inspection for the steel fixing work, would have been conducted by members of your engineering team; is

T

Page 14Page 141Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My1this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank2question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of3this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank3the three engineers were at that time qualified as3WITNESS: Thank you.4a grade T3 TCP?4(The witness was released)5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness wil6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you8WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning.9still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate1717occasions, between September and December 2015, one of1818your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three1819incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,2020Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement <th></th> <th>Page 13</th> <th></th> <th>Page 15</th>		Page 13		Page 15
2 various members of your team, the inspection of the 2 1 also did not hear of this being done." 3 steel fixing work was carried out by the engineers of 3 4 your team, namely MF Kaward MoK, Man Sze Ho or 5 5 A. Correct. 6 A. I don't recall whether it was him who told me, because 7 0. And your sub-agent, Mr Andy Ip, did not carry out any – 6 A. I don't receal whether it was him who told me, because 8 perhaps I should put it – did not carry out full-time 9 coupling works on site; is that correct? 11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Ip did not stay 11 that. So that's why I made this statement. 12 full-time on site for the purpose of inspections. 13 could not be screwed in in the or not, I couldn't 13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that 13 remember, but Trecall Someone telling me that the bars 14 Immetione and the chart I saw just now or the table I saw 13 MC CHON: Thank you, Mr Leang. 15 M. You mean the chart I swight on wore the was set eased. 14 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 15 MR CHOW: That is correct: Floward Mok, Mar Sze Ho and 15 MR CHONC: No questions fron MIR. <	1	O. What I further gather from your statement is that among	1	shortening the threaded ends of a reinforcement bar.
3 steel fixing work was carried out by the engineers of 3 Can we take it that Mr Edward Mok, after having 4 your team, namely Mr Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho or 5 soas Laung; is that correct? 6 A. Correct. 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. And your sub-agent, Mr Andy Ip, did not carry out any - 6 A. I don't recall whether it was him who told me, because in the winness statement. 9 and continuous inspection for the installation of the 0 Courpet works on site; is that correct? 11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Ip did not stay 11 that be hars were core or a tot, shot, some told me 12 of the EWJ, slob, qualified as a grade T3 technically 10 that the bars were core or a tot, some questions. 13 O. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that the call someone telling me that the bars 10 14 Imentioned earlier was, at the time of the construction 13 Temember, but I recall someone telling me that the bars 14 Imentioned earlier was, at the time of the assue as ub-agent, 14 A to urean the chart I saw just now or the table I saw 15 off the EWJ, slob, qualified as a grade T3 technically 15 MR CHOW: Thank you, 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from MJR. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td></t<>				-
4 your team, namely Mr Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho or discovered the cur reinforcement, be has not informed 5 Sasu Leung; is that correct? you about any of those incidents? 7 Q. And your sub-segent, Mr Andy Jp, did not carry out full-time in the winess statement 1 mentioned that 1 had 8 perhaps 1 should put it - did not carry out full-time in the winess statement 1 mentioned that 1 had 9 and continuous inspection for the installation of the in the winess statement 1 mentioned that 1 had 10 coupling works on site; is that correct? in the winess statement 1 mentioned that 1 had 11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Jp did not stay in the twiness statement 1 mentioned the construction 12 full-time on site for the purpose of inspections. in the construction 13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that in the indue or and, is and informed 14 I mentioned aritir way, just now or the tuble I sw is if? 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically is if? 16 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. im the winess of the correct. 17 A. You mean the chart I sw just now or the tuble I sw is if? 18 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. if CHAIRMAN: Thank you.		• · · •		-
5 Sasa Leung; is that correct? 5 you about any of those incidents? 6 A. Correct. 6 A. Correct. 7 A. Ady our sub-agent, Mr Andy Ip, did not carry out any 7 in the witness statement I mentioned that I had 8 perhaps I should put it did not carry out full-time 9 and continuous inspection for the installation of the 10 couly mowick on site, is that correct? 10 that the bars were cut or cut short, something like 11 A. Correct. As in whether he told me or not, I couldn't 11 12 full-time on site for the purpose of inspections. 11 12 As to whether he told me or not, I couldn't 13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that 11 Teremether, but I recall someone telling me that the bars 14 I mentioned earlier was, at the time of the construction 15 MC HOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 15 A MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 16 MC CHAIRAN: Tetra, you guestions? 20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 20 MS CHONG: No questions? 21 Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My 20 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
6 A. Correct. 6 A. I don't recall whether it was him who told me, because 7 Q. And your sub-agent, Mr Andy Ip, did not carry out full-time in the witness statement 1 mentioned that 1 had 9 and continuous inspection for the installation of the in the witness statement 1 mentioned that 1 had 10 coupling works on site; is that correct? in the witness statement 1 mentioned that 1 had 11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Ip did not stay in the bars were cut or cut short, something like 11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Ip did not stay in the bars were cut or cut short, something like 12 Guad state agrade T3 technically could not be screwed in. 13 or Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that in the winess and the coulers, and the bars 14 or methode arriter was, at the time of the construction in the wine name was not on it; is that what you mean? 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as agrade T3 technically io MR CHOW: Thank you. io MR CHOW: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN: Think three names were mentioned, is it? io MR CHANS: Thank you. io Max 21 Sasa Leung. io Max Size Ho and io MS CHONE: No questions? io MS CHONE: No questions? 23 The orgami				
7 Q. And your sub-agent, Mr Andy Ip, did not carry out any 7 in the witness statement I mentioned that I had 8 perhaps I should put it did not carry out full-time 8 recollection that someone told me that the bars could 9 and continuous inspection for the installation of the 9 not be screwed in that someone told me that the bars something like 10 coupling works on site; is that correct? 10 that the bars were cut or cut short, something like 11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Ip did not stay 11 that. So that's why I made this is statement. 13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that 11 that the bars were cut or cut short, something like 14 I mentioned earlier was, at the time of the construction 15 Mc CHOW: Thank you, Mr Leang. 16 order berky solut, hins mark was not on it; is that what you mean? 16 Mr Chairman, I have no more questions. 17 A. You mean the chart I saw just now or the table I saw 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR. 19 CHAIRMAN: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ha and 10 MR CHAMAN: Thank you. 21 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you ory attendrift				
8 perhaps I should put it - did not carry out full-time 9 and continuous inspection for the installation of the 10 coupling works an size is that correct? 8 recollection that someone told me that the bars could 9 not be screwed in to the courd work is total me 10 that the bars were ut or cut short, something like 11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Ip did not stay 12 full-time on site for the purpose of inspections. 13 Q. Carny ou also confirm that none of your engineers that 14 I mentioned earlier was, at the time of the construction 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 16 competent person? 17 A. You mean the chart I saw just now or the table I saw 18 just now, his name was not on it; is that what you mean? 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR. 19 CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is 17 A. You mane, Loe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 Andy Ip? 21 CHAIRMAN: Finak you. 21 CHAIRMAN: Proter, any quections? 22 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 23 Under your name, Loe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 a grade T3 TCP? 22 CMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 the three engineers which I have named. My 2 question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of 3 at the there engineers which I have named. My 2 question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of 3 char Hay you. 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 4 This morning. Wr p. 4 a grade T3 TCP? 4 (The witness was released) 5 MR CHANG: Thank you. 9 with the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you 3 ocorrer. 5 MR CHANG: Marchain-michef PM WL (AIMNG 4 (Thawitness the shown bundle C12, page 815 4 A. Yes, I see it.			7	
9 and continuous inspection for the installation of the 10 9 not be screwed into the couplers, but no one told me 10 coupling works on site; is that correct? 10 that. So that's why 1 made this statement. 12 full-time on site for the purpose of inspections. 11 that. So that's why 1 made this statement. 12 full-time on site for the purpose of inspections. 12 As to whether he told me or not, I couldn't 13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that 11 that. So that's why 1 made this statement. 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 10 that the hars were eut or cur oldn ot be screwed in. 16 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 16 Mr Chairman, I have no more questions. 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR. 19 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 MS CHONC: No questions. 21 Sasa Leung. 21 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can sce a sub-agent, 22 COMMISMONE No questions. 24 Andy Ip? 22 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 23 25 A. Yes, I see it. 24 MR CHANG: No re-exami	8		8	recollection that someone told me that the bars could
10 coupling works on site; is that correct? 10 that the bars were cut or cut short, something like 11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Ip did not say 11 that the bars were cut or cut short, something like 13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that 11 that So that's why I made this statement. 14 I mentioned earlier was, at the time of the construction 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 16 MR CHOW: Thank you, MI Leung. 16 could not be screwed in. 17 A. You mean the chart I saw just now or the table I saw 18 MR CHOW: Thank you. 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR. 19 CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is it? 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 Sasa Leung. 21 CIMAIRMAN: No questions. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 Andy Ip? 23 CIAIRMAN: No questions. 24 Andy Ip? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 25 CIAIRMAN: Thank you. 3 3 3 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 3 3 <td></td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td>not be screwed into the couplers, but no one told me</td>			9	not be screwed into the couplers, but no one told me
11 A. Correct. As far as I know, Andy Ip did not stay 11 that. So that's why I made this statement. 12 full-time on site for the purpose of inspections. 12 As to whether he told me or not, I couldn't 13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that 13 Termember, but I recall someone telling me that the bars 14 I mentioned carlier was, at the time of the construction 15 As to whether he told with Leng. 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 16 Mr Chairman, I have no more questions. 16 competent person? 17 CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is if? 10 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 18 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 11 A you mare, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 MR CHANG: No questions? 21 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 MR CHANG: No re-examination. 23 Under your angineers which I have named. My 2 you. 2 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 24 MR CHANG: No re-examination. 2 you. 1 this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 2 you. a grade 13 I	10	-	10	that the bars were cut or cut short, something like
12 full-time on site for the purpose of inspections. 12 As to whether he told me or not, I couldn't 13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that 1 1 1 14 I mentioned earlier was, at the time of the construction 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 16 could not be screwed in. 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 16 MR CHOW: Thank you, Mr Leung. 16 16 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 17 CHAIRMAN: Ithink three names were mentioned, is it? 19 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 10 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 21 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 MR CHANN: No re-examination. 25 24 Andy Ip? 24 MR CHANS: No re-examination. 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 1 this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 2 you. 2 Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My you. 10 this	11	· ·	11	
13 Q. Can you also confirm that none of your engineers that 13 remember, but I recall someone telling me that the bars 14 Immittioned carlier was, at the time of the construction 13 remember, but I recall someone telling me that the bars 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 16 MR CHOW: Thank you, Mr Leug. 16 A. You mean the charl I saw just now or the table I saw 17 A. You mean the charl I saw just now or the table I saw 18 just now, his name was not on it, is that what you mean? 16 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 20 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 Sasa Leung. 21 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 23 CHAIRMAN: No questions. 24 Andy Ip? 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you ore streased 24 3 uper streaming in the three engineers which I have named. My 29 29 20 3 uper streaming in the cores on the engineers which is a grade T3 TCP? 4 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 4 a grade T3 TCP? 5 5 MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next wit	12		12	
14 Imentioned earlier was, at the time of the construction of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 14 could not be screwed in. 15 of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically 15 MR CHOW: Thank you, Mr Leung. 16 competent person? 16 Mr Chairman, I have no more questions. 17 A. You mean the chart I saw just now or the table I saw 18 MR CHOW: Thank you. 18 just now, his name was not on it, is that what you mean? 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is it? 19 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 20 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSPORD: No questions. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 MR CHANC: No re-examination. 24 Andy Ip? 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 24 Andy Ip? 24 MR CHANG: No re-examination. 25 A. Probably no, they were not. 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 4 grade T3 TCP? 4 Gha	13		13	remember, but I recall someone telling me that the bars
16 competent person? 16 Mr Chairman, I have no more questions. 17 A. You mean the chart I saw just now or the table I saw 17 CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is it? 18 just now, his name was not on it, is that what you mean? 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR. 19 CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is it? 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 20 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 Sasa Leung. 21 CHAIRMAN: No questions. 22 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 Andy Ip? 23 24 Andy Ip? 24 MR CHANS: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 25 A. Yes, I see it. 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 2 question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 the three engineers which I have named. My 1 this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 2 you. 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 4 a grade T3 TCP? 5 MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Prof	14	I mentioned earlier was, at the time of the construction	14	
17 A. You mean the chart I saw just now or the table I saw just now, his name was not on it; is that what you mean? 17 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is it? 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR. 19 CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is it? 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 20 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 Sasa Leung. 21 CHAIRMAN: Peter, any questions? 22 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 MR CHANG: No re-examination. 25 A. Yes, I see it. 20 MR CHANG: No re-examination. 26 Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My 1 this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 2 you. 3 the three engineers were at that time qualified as 3 4 a grade T3 TCP? 5 MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness will 6 Q. Thank you. 5 MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness will 7 The last area	15	of the EWL slab, qualified as a grade T3 technically	15	MR CHOW: Thank you, Mr Leung.
18 just now, his name was not on it; is that what you mean? 18 MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR. 19 CHAIRMAN: 1 think three names were mentioned, is it? 19 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 20 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 Sasa Leung. 20 MS CHONG: No questions? 22 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 23 CHAIRMAN: No questions. 24 Andy Ip? 24 MR CHANG: No re-examination. 25 A. Yes, I see it. 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 7 The inst can you confirm that none of 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 3 the three engineers were at that time qualified as 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 6 Q. Thank you. 6 5 MR ChANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness wil 6 6 Q. Thank you. 7 Good morning. 9 MR IP MAING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti) 10 see that? 1 Ala sover	16	competent person?	16	Mr Chairman, I have no more questions.
19 CHAIRMAN: 1 think three names were mentioned, is it? 19 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 20 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 Sasa Leung. 20 MS CHONG: No questions? 22 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 Andy Ip? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 24 Andy Ip? 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 25 A. Yes, I see it. 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 26 Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My 1 this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 2 you. 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 a grade T3 TCP? 4 (The witness was released) 5 4 a grade T3 TCP? 5 MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness will 6 5 A. No, I don't see the date. 9 MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in P	17	A. You mean the chart I saw just now or the table I saw	17	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
20 MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and 20 MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung. 21 Sasa Leung. 21 CHAIRMAN: Peter, any questions? 22 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 23 CHAIRMAN: No questions. 24 Andy Ip? 23 CHAIRMAN: No questions. 25 A. Yes, I see it. 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 7 The three ongineers were at that time qualified as 4 a grade T3 TCP? 4 6 Q. Thank you. 6 be Mr Andy Ip. 7 Good morning, Mr Ip. 8 well, we can see from this organisation chart which is 9 MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti) 10 see that? 10 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 11 A. No, I don't see the date. 12 Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG 12 Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand 13 morter. 13 A. No, I don't see it. 14 Eafore you is a document titled, "First witness	18	just now, his name was not on it; is that what you mean?	18	MR BOULDING: No questions from MTR.
21 Sasa Leung. 21 CHAIRMAN: Peter, any questions? 22 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 Andy Ip? 24 Andy Ip? 23 CHAIRMAN: No questions. 25 A. Yes, I see it. 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 26 Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My 2 guestion earlier is that: can you confirm that none of 4 this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 2 you. 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 3 4 a grade T3 TCP? 4 (The witness was released) 5 5 A. Probably no, they were not. 6 be Mr Andy Ip. 7 Good morning, Mr Ip. 7 The last area I would like to explore with you 8 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning. 9 still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you 9 MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti) 10 see that? 10 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter) 11 A. N	19	CHAIRMAN: I think three names were mentioned, is it?	19	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
22 The organisation chart is still on the screen. 22 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions. 23 Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 24 Andy Ip? 24 Andy Ip? 25 A. Yes, I see it. 23 CHAIRMAN: No questions. 24 Andy Ip? 24 MR CHANG: No re-examination. 25 25 A. Yes, I see it. 26 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir 7 The three other engineers were at that time qualified as 4 9 9 4 a grade T3 TCP? 4 (The witness was released) 5 5 A. Probably no, they were not. 6 be Mr Andy Ip. 7 7 The last area I would like to explore with you 8 Well, we can see from this organisation chart which is 9 MR PMAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti) 10 10 see that? 10 (Alaswers given via simultaneous interpreter) 11 A. No, I don't see the date. 12 Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG 12 Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand 13 MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 815 14 corner.<	20	MR CHOW: That is correct: Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and	20	MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung.
23Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent, 2423CHAIRMAN: No questions.24Andy Ip?24MR CHANG: No re-examination.25A. Yes, I see it.25CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir Page 141Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My 22900.2question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of 31this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 23the three engineers were at that time qualified as 4a grade T3 TCP?4(The witness was released)5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness wil 666Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.77The last area I would like to explore with you 88WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning.9still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you see that?9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter)10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter)11A. No, I don't see the date.12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown budle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.16If the witness may be shown page 8162.16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate roccasions, between September and Dec	21	Sasa Leung.	21	CHAIRMAN: Peter, any questions?
24Andy Ip?24MR CHANG: No re-examination.25A. Yes, I see it.24MR CHANG: No re-examination.26A. Yes, I see it.25CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendir27Question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of1this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank2you.3the three engineers were at that time qualified as3WITNESS: Thank you.3a grade T3 TCP?4(The witness was released)5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness wil6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you7Good morning, Mr Ip.8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10ose that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17There is a signature.17There is a signature?18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witn	22	The organisation chart is still on the screen.	22	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No questions.
25 A. Yes, I see it. 25 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attendim Page 14 1 Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My 1 this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 2 question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of 3 the three engineers were at that time qualified as 1 this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank 3 the three engineers were at that time qualified as 4 grade T3 TCP? 3 WITNESS: Thank you. 4 a grade T3 TCP? 4 (The witness was released) 5 MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness wile 6 Q. Thank you. 7 The last area I would like to explore with you 8 WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning. 9 still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you 9 MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti) 10 see that? 10 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter) 11 A. No, I don't see the date. 12 Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG 12 Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand 12 Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG 13 corner. 14 Before you is a document titled, "First witness <td>23</td> <td>Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent,</td> <td>23</td> <td>·</td>	23	Under your name, Joe Leung, we can see a sub-agent,	23	·
Page 14Page 141Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My1this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank2question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of3the three engineers were at that time qualified as3the three engineers were at that time qualified as3WITNESS: Thank you.4a grade T3 TCP?4(The witness was released)5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness wil6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you8WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning.8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature?18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to by our signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumabl	24	Andy Ip?	24	
1Q. Then three other engineers which I have named. My1this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank2question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of3the three engineers were at that time qualified as3the three engineers were at that time qualified as3WITNESS: Thank you.4a grade T3 TCP?4(The witness was released)5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness wil6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you8WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning.8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner.14corner.1414corner.15A. Yes, I see it.1515A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three1819incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,20Q. Do you confirm this is your fir	25	A. Yes, I see it.	25	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. Thank you for attending
2question earlier is that: can you confirm that none of 32you.3the three engineers were at that time qualified as 4a grade T3 TCP?34a grade T3 TCP?4(The witness was released)5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness will6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you 88WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning.8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is 99MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter)11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature?18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably, 2020Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement		Page 14		Page 16
3the three engineers were at that time qualified as3WITNESS: Thank you.4a grade T3 TCP?4(The witness was released)5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness wil6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you7Good morning, Mr Ip.8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is8WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning.9still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness14corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank</td>	1		1	this morning. Your evidence is now complete. Thank
4a grade T3 TCP?4(The witness was released)5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness will6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you7Good morning, Mr Ip.8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is8WITNESS: (Via interpreter) Good morning.9still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement	2		2	
5A. Probably no, they were not.5MR CHANG: Mr Chairman and Professor, the next witness will6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you7Good morning, Mr Ip.8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20during that period, he was already one of the members of20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement	3		3	
6Q. Thank you.6be Mr Andy Ip.7The last area I would like to explore with you8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is99still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness14corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement	4	-	4	
7The last area I would like to explore with you7Good morning, Mr Ip.8well, we can see from this organisation chart which is9still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner I believe it's marked on the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement	5			
 8 well, we can see from this organisation chart which is 9 still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you 10 see that? 11 A. No, I don't see the date. 12 Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand 13 corner I believe it's marked on the upper left-hand 14 corner. 15 A. Yes, I see it. 16 Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate 17 occasions, between September and December 2015, one of 18 your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three 19 incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably, 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 		•		
9still on the screen, it is dated December 2015; do you see that?9MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner I believe it's marked on the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate occasions, between September and December 2015, one of 1817There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three 1918to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably, 2020Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
10see that?10(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner I believe it's marked on the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
11A. No, I don't see the date.11except where otherwise specified)12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner I believe it's marked on the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
12Q. Perhaps we can move the chart to the upper left-hand12Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG13corner I believe it's marked on the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
13corner I believe it's marked on the upper left-hand13MR CHANG: May the witness be shown bundle C12, page 81514corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
14corner.14Before you is a document titled, "First witness15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
15A. Yes, I see it.15statement of Andy Ip".16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
16Q. From the document, it is clear now, on three separate16If the witness may be shown page 8162.17occasions, between September and December 2015, one of17There is a signature. Mr Ip, can you confirm that18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably,19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				-
 17 occasions, between September and December 2015, one of 18 your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three 19 incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably, 20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement 				
18your engineers, Mr Edward Mok, discovered three18to be your signature?19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably, during that period, he was already one of the members of18to be your signature?20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
19incidents where threaded bars had been cut. Presumably, during that period, he was already one of the members of19A. Correct, yes, it's mine.20Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
20 during that period, he was already one of the members of 20 Q. Do you confirm this is your first witness statement				
		•		-
21 your team taking care of the EWL slab; is that correct? 21 prepared for this Inquiry?				
22 A. Yes, correct. 22 A. Yes, correct.				
23 Q. From your witness statement, paragraph 22, you, however, 23 Q. Can the witness be shown bundle C32, page 24074. This				
24 said: 24 said: 25 said: 26 said: 27 said: 26 said: 27 said: 27 said: 28				
25 "At no time did I ever see anyone cutting off or 25 Andy Ip". If we go to page 24077, there is a signature.	_∠+			

Commission of Inquiry into the Diaphragm Wall and Platform Slab Construction Works at the Hung Hom Station Extension under the Shatin to Central Link Project

	Page 17		Page 19
1	Can you confirm that to be your signature?	1	your witness statement. You say there:
2	A. Yes, I confirm that.	2	"I recall in late 2015 (I do not remember exactly
3	Q. Is this your second witness statement prepared for the	3	when), Edward Mok informed me that he and the MTRCL
4	purpose of this Inquiry?	4	inspector had identified rebar with the threaded end cut
5	A. Yes, correct.	5	off (I do not remember the exact number he identified).
6	Q. There's a third witness statement from you. Bundle C35,	6	He explained that the rebar had been rectified
7	page 26678. The document is titled, "Third witness	7	immediately by the sub-contractor and that the MTR
8	statement of Andy Ip".	8	approved the inspection."
9	Over the page, 26679, there is a signature. Again,	9	Do you see that, Mr Ip?
10	can you confirm that to be your signature?	10	A. Yes, I see it.
11	A. Yes, correct.	11	Q. My understanding is that that was the first occasion
12	Q. Is this your third witness statement for the purpose of	12	upon which Edward Mok had identified cut threaded rebar.
13	this Inquiry?	13	Is that also your understanding, Mr Ip?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. Mr Mok identified three incidents, but I couldn't recall
15	Q. For all these three witness statements, do you confirm	15	whether this was his first or second time that he told
16	the contents to be true and accurate?	16	me about it.
17	A. Yes, correct, true and correct.	17	Q. Right. Well, in paragraph 30 of Mr Mok's statement, he
18	Q. Do you wish the Commission to accept the three witness	18	says he told you about this first incident, and I assume
19	statements as part of your evidence in this Inquiry?	19	that you would accept that that's correct?
20	A. Yes, I do.	20	A. Yes, you could put it that way.
21	MR CHANG: Please remain seated. Lawyers around the room	21	Q. All right. Now, this is the first let's assume that
22	may have questions for you, starting from Mr Pennicott.	22	this is the first incident, on that basis. You've been
23	Examination by MR PENNICOTT	23	told, as the sub-agent, about somebody cutting threaded
24	MR PENNICOTT: Good morning, Mr Ip.	24	rebar. Did that not strike you as slightly curious at
25	A. Good morning.	25	the time you were told it?
	Page 18		Doco 20
	1.66.10		Page 20
1	Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the	1	A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was
1 2	Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then	2	-
	Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the	2	A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was
2	Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then	2	A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much
2 3	Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner	2 3	A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter.
2 3 4	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. 	2 3 4	A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter.Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree?A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out
2 3 4 5	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still 	2 3 4 5	A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter.Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree?A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified,
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 2017, did you remain on project SCL1112? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 2017, did you remain on project SCL1112? A. Yes, correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right. Then, going over the page in your witness statement to 8161 and paragraph 17, you deal with what Mr Mok
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 2017, did you remain on project SCL1112? A. Yes, correct. Q. Are you still working on that project? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right. Then, going over the page in your witness statement to 8161 and paragraph 17, you deal with what Mr Mok describes as the third incident, which gave rise to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 2017, did you remain on project SCL1112? A. Yes, correct. Q. Are you still working on that project? A. This year, in September, I was transferred to another 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right. Then, going over the page in your witness statement to 8161 and paragraph 17, you deal with what Mr Mok describes as the third incident, which gave rise to the issue of NCR157, and I assume you recall that incident
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 2017, did you remain on project SCL1112? A. Yes, correct. Q. Are you still working on that project? A. This year, in September, I was transferred to another project. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right. Then, going over the page in your witness statement to 8161 and paragraph 17, you deal with what Mr Mok describes as the third incident, which gave rise to the issue of NCR157, and I assume you recall that incident and the issue of NCR157, Mr Ip?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 2017, did you remain on project SCL1112? A. Yes, correct. Q. Are you still working on that project? A. This year, in September, I was transferred to another project. Q. So just a couple of months ago? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right. Then, going over the page in your witness statement to 8161 and paragraph 17, you deal with what Mr Mok describes as the third incident, which gave rise to the issue of NCR157, and I assume you recall that incident and the issue of NCR157, Mr Ip? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 2017, did you remain on project SCL1112? A. Yes, correct. Q. Are you still working on that project? A. This year, in September, I was transferred to another project. Q. So just a couple of months ago? A. Yes, correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right. Then, going over the page in your witness statement to 8161 and paragraph 17, you deal with what Mr Mok describes as the third incident, which gave rise to the issue of NCR157, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. You received an email from Kobe Wong, with a series of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. As has been indicated, I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I get to ask you some questions first, then others may follow, and the Chairman and the Commissioner may also ask you questions at any time. Thank you very much for coming along to give evidence this morning, and I apologise for my voice. Now, Mr Ip, my understanding is that you are still employed by Leighton; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And you were a sub-agent between May 2015 up to some point in 2017, when you were promoted to a site agent; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Approximately when in 2017 were you promoted? A. April. Q. And when you were promoted to a site agent in April 2017, did you remain on project SCL1112? A. Yes, correct. Q. Are you still working on that project? A. This year, in September, I was transferred to another project. Q. So just a couple of months ago? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, I would have been curious, but because it was followed up on immediately, therefore I did not pay much attention to it thereafter. Q. It's not just curious, is it, Mr Ip? It's also quite a serious matter, don't you agree? A. Yes, but it could be that individual workers did it out of expediency, but then it was very quickly rectified, so that's why I did not follow up on it much afterwards. Q. All right. You didn't follow it up at all, not "much", you didn't follow it up at all; is that the case, Mr Ip? A. Correct. Q. Did you not think at the time that given a fairly serious issue of cut threaded rebar had occurred, that it was worthy of further investigation? A. At that time, I didn't consider that. Q. All right. Then, going over the page in your witness statement to 8161 and paragraph 17, you deal with what Mr Mok describes as the third incident, which gave rise to the issue of NCR157, and I assume you recall that incident and the issue of NCR157, Mr Ip? A. Correct.

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

	Page 21		Page 23
1	a non-conformance report; is that right?	1	there wasn't much consideration.
2	A. Correct.	2	Q. Right. It's perhaps an unfair question, but I'll ask
3	Q. So was it you, in combination with Mr Mok, that	3	you anyway, Mr Ip: have you any idea whether Mr Harman
4	decided who decided, rather this NCR should be	4	was aware of the two previous incidents?
5	issued to Fang Sheung?	5	A. I'm not sure.
6	A. At that time, I probably discussed with Mr Mok in	6	Q. Okay.
7	an email. The QA manager, Kevin Harman, was also	7	A. I'm not sure whether he was aware.
8	included in the list of recipients. Perhaps Kevin	8	Q. All right.
9	Harman also reminded us to issue the NCR to record this	9	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I ask a question at this
10	incident.	10	point?
11	Q. Well, that's what I'm trying to get at, Mr Ip. Whose	11	MR PENNICOTT: Of course.
12	ultimate decision was it to issue this NCR?	12	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Was Mr Harman regularly on site?
13	A. I don't remember. Perhaps we jointly agreed.	13	A. At the site office. He rarely went out to the site.
14	Q. All right. There's no doubt that you prepared the NCR;	14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right, but he was full-time in the
15	that's right, isn't it?	15	site office, was he, or pretty much full-time in the
16	A. Correct.	16	site office?
17	Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown the NCR, at	17	A. Full-time in the site office.
18	C12/8134.	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So you had regular access to
19	If we go down to the bottom, please, we can see,	19	Mr Harman if you needed it?
20	right underneath the copyright sign, "Prepared by:	20	A. Correct.
21	[you]"; do you see that?	21	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand. Thank you.
22	A. Correct.	22	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir.
23	Q. And we know that it was signed by Mr Rawsthorne on	23	If you go, please, to page 8141, we can see there,
24	18 December 2015, we see that from the centre of the	24	Mr Ip, that the non-conformance report, which we can see
25	page; do you see that?	25	on the following pages, was forwarded or sent to the
	Page 22		Page 24
1	A. Yes.	1	MTR; do you see that?
2	Q. Then, over the page at 8135, we see your name again	2	A. Yes.
3	under the copyright sign, this time together with	3	Q. If you go to page 8143, you will see that the box in the
4	Mr Gary Chow's name; do you see that?	4	middle of the page, "Details of required rectification",
5	A. Yes.	5	is blank; do you see that?
6	Q. Why was Gary Chow's name put on this NCR?	6	A. Yes, I see that.
7	A. First of all, let me clarify. I'm not sure whether the	7	Q. Save for somebody has typed in the word "Minor" against
8	signature belonged to him. For this form, usually,	8	"Approximate rectification cost"; do you see that?
9	according to our computer system, we type in information	9	A. Yes.
10	to create this form. As we prepared the form, there was	10	Q. Now, if you could go, please, to C27/20358. This is
11	a box for us to fill in the line of manager and then the	11	another version, another copy, rather, of the
12	construction manager of mine at the time was Gary Chow.	12	non-conformance report. You will see that at 359.
13	That's why his name appeared.	13	Then if you go to 20364, this time we see the box,
14	Q. Mr Ip, can I ask you this: what was the primary reason	14	"Details of required rectification", filled in, in
15	for issuing this NCR? Was it because of the number of	15	manuscript; do you see that, Mr Ip?
16	rebar, that is the five rebar that had been identified	16	A. Yes, I see that.
17	as having been cut, or was it because this was the third	17	Q. And, Mr Ip, this page is the subject matter,
18	time, according to Mr Mok, that such an incident had	18	essentially, of the witness statement that you served
19	occurred, or was it perhaps a combination of both of	19	last night?
20	those reasons? Can you explain what you were thinking	20	A. Correct.
21	about, your thought processes, when you decided to issue	21	Q. As I understand it, you explain that the manuscript that
22	this NCR?	22	we now see in "Details of required rectification" was,
23	A. At the time, probably discussion was made with the QA	23	firstly, written by Mr Harman; is that right?
24	manager, Kevin Harman, and he recommended that we issue an NCR to Fang Sheung. As for other reasons, well,	24 25	A. I believe so.Q. And written by him in January 2017; is that right?
25			1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

	Page 25		Page 27
1	-	1	-
1	A. Correct.	1	that Mr Lumb and his team carried out an investigation
2	Q. And you signed against that manuscript also, as	2	into the cut threaded rebar in mid-January 2017.
3	I understand it, in January 2017; is that correct?	3	A. During that period, I saw that the design manager of his
4	A. Correct.	4	team came. I provided him with photos and information,
5	Q. Who wrote in the date of 15 December 2015 against the	5	but I don't know whether it was related to the
6	"Target re-inspection date"?	6	investigation.
7	A. I suppose Kevin Harman.	7	Q. All right. All I'm suggesting to you, as a matter of
8	Q. Right. Who wrote in the date of 15 December 2015 in the	8	logic and non-coincidence, Mr Ip, is that Mr Lumb and
9	box entitled, "Project manager's approval", where	9	his team realised when they were doing this
10	Mr Rawsthorne has signed?	10	investigation that this important NCR had not been
11	A. I'm not sure about this.	11	closed out, and therefore decided that it should be
12	Q. Okay.	12	closed out, and the document we're looking at is the one
13	You make reference in your third witness statement	13	that's attached to his report.
14	to the writing at the bottom, the manuscript at the	14	A. Yes.
15	bottom of the page. You say:	15	Q. All right. Well, we can ask Mr Lumb about all that in
16 17	"Closed out on Friday 13 January 2017 using RISC/11266 as close out evidence."	16 17	due course as well.
			Before we move on to look at some parts of Mr Lumb's
18	Do you see that? A. Yes.	18 19	report, can I ask you this CHAIRMAN: Sorry to interrupt. Could we just have a look at
19 20		20	that NCR just a second?
20	Q. And that's signed by Mr Harman on 16 January 2017, and you've also signed against that annotation; do you see	20	MR PENNICOTT: Of course, sir. Any particular part of it?
21	that?	21	The page we've just been looking at?
22	A. Yes.	22	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
23	Q. In your third witness statement you say, in paragraph 7,	23	MR PENNICOTT: 20364.
25	the last sentence sorry, that's C35/26679:	25	CHAIRMAN: There we go.
	Page 26		Page 28
1	"I do not know who prompted Kevin [that's Mr Harman]	1	Then if we go down a bit.
1	to ask me to close out NCR157 in January 2017."	2	Okay. Good. I just wanted to check the date:
2 3	Is that right, Mr Ip?	3	Friday, 13 January 2017.
3 4	A. Correct.	4	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir.
5	Q. Can I suggest to you what prompted him to do that, and	5	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
6	it's this. You will recall and we will be coming to	6	MR PENNICOTT: Mr Ip, can I change tack, a different topic.
7	it in a moment that Mr Lumb and a design manager	7	Have you ever heard of the site supervision plan?
8	carried out a review and investigation into the cut	8	A. Yes, I have.
9	threaded rebar in January 2017; do you recall that?	9	Q. Are you familiar with the site supervision plan, Mr Ip?
10	A. No, I don't.	10	A. I don't know it that well.
11	Q. We'll be coming to it shortly.	11	Q. Have you read it at any time during the course of your
12	That investigation specifically took place, in terms	12	duties on this project?
13	of interviewing various members of Leighton's staff,	13	A. No.
14	including you, according to Mr Lumb, on 9, 10 and	14	Q. Can I show it to you, please. Could we please, once
15	11 January 2017. Were you aware of that?	15	again, go to H10/4543. That's the covering letter.
			That's the front sheet of the site supervision plan,
16		16	That's the front sheet of the site supervision plan.
16 17	A. No, I don't remember.	16 17	
16 17 18	A. No, I don't remember.Q. Right. So what I'm going to suggest to you, Mr Ip, is		sent to the government in August 2015, just to put it in
17	A. No, I don't remember.	17	
17 18	A. No, I don't remember.Q. Right. So what I'm going to suggest to you, Mr Ip, is that during the course of that investigation, Mr Lumb	17 18	sent to the government in August 2015, just to put it in context of the time, Mr Ip; okay?
17 18 19	A. No, I don't remember.Q. Right. So what I'm going to suggest to you, Mr Ip, is that during the course of that investigation, Mr Lumb and his team discovered that this NCR had not been	17 18 19	sent to the government in August 2015, just to put it in context of the time, Mr Ip; okay?A. (Nodded head).
17 18 19 20	A. No, I don't remember.Q. Right. So what I'm going to suggest to you, Mr Ip, is that during the course of that investigation, Mr Lumb and his team discovered that this NCR had not been closed out, and it is not coincidental that it was	17 18 19 20	sent to the government in August 2015, just to put it in context of the time, Mr Ip; okay?A. (Nodded head).Q. Then if we could go over to the increasingly familiar
17 18 19 20 21	A. No, I don't remember.Q. Right. So what I'm going to suggest to you, Mr Ip, is that during the course of that investigation, Mr Lumb and his team discovered that this NCR had not been closed out, and it is not coincidental that it was closed out on 13 January 2017, during the course of that	17 18 19 20 21	sent to the government in August 2015, just to put it in context of the time, Mr Ip; okay?A. (Nodded head).Q. Then if we could go over to the increasingly familiar page at 4548, we see the supervision plan of the
17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. No, I don't remember. Q. Right. So what I'm going to suggest to you, Mr Ip, is that during the course of that investigation, Mr Lumb and his team discovered that this NCR had not been closed out, and it is not coincidental that it was closed out on 13 January 2017, during the course of that review and investigation. Does that make sense to you, 	17 18 19 20 21 22	sent to the government in August 2015, just to put it in context of the time, Mr Ip; okay?A. (Nodded head).Q. Then if we could go over to the increasingly familiar page at 4548, we see the supervision plan of the registered contractor, that's Leighton, and I think

	Page 29		Page 31
1	Q. You were the T3 and T2 technically competent person; is	1	threaded rebar, into the couplers?
2	that right?	2	A. From my experience, yes, I think that's important. So,
3	A. Correct.	3	when I walked on the site, I would pay attention to
4	Q. And on the right-hand side, under the heading,	4	that.
5	"Frequency level of site inspection", it says "4 (one	5	Q. Okay. Did you yourself take part in any, let's say,
6	visit every week)"; do you see that?	6	informal, routine inspections of the connections between
7	A. Yes, I see it.	7	the threaded rebar and the couplers?
8	Q. Mr Ip, does that one visit every week reflect, properly	8	A. No. It's just that when I walked past, I would check
9	reflect, accurately reflect, the number of times that	9	whether there were any threads exposed and whether the
10	you visited the site when you were the sub-agent?	10	bars were screwed tightly. But watching them screw in
11	A. No. Every day, almost, I would spend an hour or two in	11	the bars, rarely did I do that.
12	the morning on site, and then in the afternoon I would	12	Q. Right. So there might have been occasions that on
13	be at the office doing paperwork.	13	an informal basis you would walk along where the rebar
14	Q. All right. So this doesn't reflect the factual	14	was being fixed and you would just look at and observe
15	position. You were there, you say, at least a few hours	15	the connections?
16	every day; is that right?	16	A. Yes, there's a chance of that.
17	A. About one to two hours at the site.	17	Q. Mr Ip, on one reading of this quality supervision plan
18	Q. Okay. Can I ask you this, Mr Ip: have you heard of the	18	for the couplers and the rebar, documentation records
19	quality supervision plan, specifically in relation to	19	ought to have been kept in relation to the inspection of
20	the installation of couplers?	20	each and every coupler connection. Are you aware of any
21	A. During the works period, I have not heard of it. It's	21	such records? A. I'm not aware of that.
22	only recently that I heard about QSP.	22 23	
23 24	Q. All right. Let's have a look at that. That's in H9. When you say "recently", that you've recently heard	23 24	Q. We have heard evidence that the inspection of the connections of the threaded rebar to the couplers would
24	of this document, what do you mean by "recently", Mr Ip?	24 25	take place on a layer-by-layer basis. Were you aware of
25	Page 30	25	Page 32
1	A. That is after this incident took place. If it's the	1	that?
2	exact time, then it should be June 2018. It's starting	2	A. I am not aware of that.
3	from then that I heard about this.	3	Q. Right. My understanding is that the routine and formal
4	Q. All right. If you go, please, to H9/4265. Mr Ip, you	4	inspections of the connections of the rebar to the
5	say you heard about this document recently. Did you	5	couplers was carried out by your engineering team,
6	take an opportunity to read it?	6	comprising Edward Mok, Man Sze Ho and Sasa Leung; is
7	A. No.	7	that correct?
8	Q. So is this the first time you've seen it?	8	A. Correct.
9	A. Just now, when Mr Leung was giving evidence, I also saw	9	Q. And, as has been pointed out, none of them appear on the
10	this document.	10	site supervision plan as a T3, a T2, or a T1 for that
11	Q. That's a very accurate answer, if you were sat outside.	11	matter; is that right?
12	It's the second time you've seen it then?	12	A. Correct.
13	A. (In English) Yes.	13	Q. Whose decision was it that those three engineers and
14	Q. Was the first time you saw it this morning?	14	we know that Mr Mok was a graduate engineer at the
15	A. Yes, you can put it that way.	15	time whose decision was it that the responsibility
16	Q. Mr Ip, without taking you to any of the details, what	16	for inspecting the connections should be put upon them?
17	this document seeks to do is to enhance or improve the	17	A. Well, I don't know. At the time, for these inspections,
18	supervision and the inspection of the installation of	18	I did not know that we needed to have T1, T2 or T3 to
19 20	couplers and also the installation of steel reinforcing	19 20	accept the works.
20	bars into the couplers. Do you follow?	20	Q. All right.
21 22	A. Yes, I follow that.Q. Whilst you say you were not aware of this particular	21 22	Can I ask you, please, to be shown bundle CHAIRMAN: Sorry, one question.
			MR PENNICOTT: Of course, sir.
114	document at the time let's say back in 2015 was it		
23 24	document at the time, let's say back in 2015, was it your understanding that particular care and attention	23 24	CHAIRMAN: Just for my information. Were you aware at the

	Page 33		Page 35
1	certain levels of experience were required to inspect	1	we should have picked up earlier, was a T2 and T3
2	different aspects of the construction works, if that was	2	alternative in the site supervision plan. How much of
3	in fact the case?	3	his time was spent on site, Mr Ip; do you know?
4	A. No, I wasn't aware.	4	A. I'm not sure.
5	CHAIRMAN: Okay.	5	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, Mr Ip, do you know what
6	MR PENNICOTT: Now, you made reference a short while ago	6	Mr William Holden's role was and to whom he reported?
7	to I made reference and you made reference a short	7	I could probably find it on an organisation chart if
8	while ago to the investigation and review that	8	I looked for it, but do you know?
9	Mr Lumb carried out in January 2017; yes?	9	A. In the beginning, for EWL slab, I suppose he was under
10	A. Yes.	10	Gary Chow. Later, he was reassigned to different
11	Q. I think you said that you spoke to one of Mr Lumb's team	11	positions or areas. As for the final stage, I'm not
12	when that investigation was carried out; is that	12	sure.
13	correct?	13	At some point, he worked with us as a senior site
14	A. Correct.	14	agent, sometimes for the EWL slab.
15	Q. And that accords with what Mr Lumb has told us. Do you	15	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you.
16	remember the name of the person to whom you spoke at	16	MR PENNICOTT: All right.
17	that time?	17	Then the next name on the list is Joe Tam, from whom
18	A. Guntung.	18	we heard yesterday, and he told us transcript Day 19,
19	Q. And Guntung was, as I understand it, a design manager	19	page 95; no need to put it up that he had no
20	working with Mr Lumb; is that right?	20	recollection of being interviewed. All right? So park
21	A. Right.	21	that.
22	Q. Do you recall what information you gave him?	22	Then we come to you, Mr Ip, as the sixth name on the
23	A. I gave him information about EWL concrete pouring dates,	23	list, and you accept that you were spoken to by Guntung,
24	some photos, about the construction of EWL slab,	24	and also we have Man Sze Ho, who we'll be hearing from
25	formwork, bar fixing, the relevant procedures. I gave	25	in due course as well.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	him those photos.	1	Now, in terms of information that could have been
2	Q. All right. Could I ask you, before I move on to ask you	2	given to Mr Lumb or Guntung about what happened on
3	some more questions about his report, just to be shown	3	a day-to-day basis on site, would you accept that really
4	page C35/26681, which is the second page of Mr Lumb's	4	it is only you and Man Sze Ho who could provide that
5	fourth witness statement that we received last evening.	5	information?
6	Do you see that on the screen there, Mr Ip?		
7		6	A. In January 2017, right, it was only I and Man Sze Ho who
	A. Yes, I see that.	6 7	A. In January 2017, right, it was only I and Man Sze Ho who could provide information.
8			
8 9	A. Yes, I see that.	7	could provide information.
	A. Yes, I see that.Q. So what Mr Lumb says is:	7 8	could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to
9	A. Yes, I see that.Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the	7 8 9	could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by
9 10	A. Yes, I see that.Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team	7 8 9 10	could provide information.Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242.
9 10 11	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". 	7 8 9 10 11	could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is
9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality 	7 8 9 10 11 12	could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL
9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We
9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his time in the site office; is that right? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom right-hand corner on 10 February 2017, revision 1.
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his time in the site office; is that right? A. Correct. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom right-hand corner on 10 February 2017, revision 1. Is this a document you have seen before?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his time in the site office; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Then there is Betty Ng, also, as I understand it, 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom right-hand corner on 10 February 2017, revision 1. Is this a document you have seen before? A. No.
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his time in the site office; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Then there is Betty Ng, also, as I understand it, a design manager; is that correct? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom right-hand corner on 10 February 2017, revision 1. Is this a document you have seen before? A. No. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown page 20250. This
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his time in the site office; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Then there is Betty Ng, also, as I understand it, a design manager; is that correct? A. Correct. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom right-hand corner on 10 February 2017, revision 1. Is this a document you have seen before? A. No. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown page 20250. This is part of the report, headed "Construction
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his time in the site office; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Then there is Betty Ng, also, as I understand it, a design manager; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Then we have a new name, Kian Law, K-I-A-N Law, who we 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom right-hand corner on 10 February 2017, revision 1. Is this a document you have seen before? A. No. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown page 20250. This is part of the report, headed "Construction process/workflow"; do you see that, Mr Ip?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his time in the site office; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Then there is Betty Ng, also, as I understand it, a design manager; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Then we have a new name, Kian Law, K-I-A-N Law, who we think we've worked out was also a member of the in-house 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom right-hand corner on 10 February 2017, revision 1. Is this a document you have seen before? A. No. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown page 20250. This is part of the report, headed "Construction process/workflow"; do you see that, Mr Ip? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, I see that. Q. So what Mr Lumb says is: "My understanding is Guntung discussed the allegation with at least the following site team members". Firstly, Mr Harman, and he, we know, was the quality and environmental manager, spending, as you said to Prof Hansford a short while ago, the majority of his time in the site office; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Then there is Betty Ng, also, as I understand it, a design manager; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Then we have a new name, Kian Law, K-I-A-N Law, who we think we've worked out was also a member of the in-house design team, a senior design engineer in the in-house 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 could provide information. Q. Could I then ask you, please, to go to I hesitate to call it the Lumb report but the report prepared by Mr Lumb and Guntung, at C27/20242. I should start by asking you this, Mr Ip. Is this we see the front sheet of the "Review of EWL slab, rebar installation and checking procedure". We can see that it's signed by Mr Lumb in the bottom right-hand corner on 10 February 2017, revision 1. Is this a document you have seen before? A. No. Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown page 20250. This is part of the report, headed "Construction process/workflow"; do you see that, Mr Ip? A. Yes. Q. In the second paragraph, it says this:

2number, setting out and orientation against the approved2Then in brackets, b3diaphragm wall rebar shop drawings. No formal record of3step 5 to 7 for the s	Page 39
2number, setting out and orientation against the approved2Then in brackets, b3diaphragm wall rebar shop drawings. No formal record of3step 5 to 7 for the s	e same supervisory staff indicated.
3 diaphragm wall rebar shop drawings. No formal record of 3 step 5 to 7 for the s	back at the second column, "(Repeat
	subsequent layers)"; do you see
4 the survey or coupler checks are in place recording this 4 that?	
5 process." 5 A. Yes, I see it.	
6 Do you see that? 6 Q. So, as I said earlie	er, this must have been information
7 A. Yes. 7 that either you or N	Man Sze Ho gave to Guntung; do you
8 Q. And I think that is confirmatory of an answer you gave 8 agree?	
9 me earlier, that there were no records of that sort of 9 A. I did not give the	information. I believe it would be
10 inspection? 10 my engineer, Man	Sze Ho, who gave the information.
11 A. Correct. 11 Q. All right. But wh	nat it's describing is something
12Q. The report goes on:12I discussed with year	ou earlier, that is the layer-by-layer
13 "In cases where the couplers were found to be 13 approach; do you s	see that?
14 missing, or installed at the incorrect level, or the 14 A. Yes, I see that.	
	out, but 8, 9 and 10 repeats the
	of the top rebar; do you see that?
17section 8. There is no formal record of any submission17A. Yes, I see it.	
	sk Man Sze Ho more if I need to.
	x you, please, to go to 20254, the
-	e's a section headed, "Remedial
	8; do you see that?
22 provide him with any records of remedial measures? 22 A. Yes, I see it.	
23 A. Well, at the time, Guntung didn't ask me for any such 23 Q. What it says here	
	estigation, it was advised that
25 Q. Are you aware of there being any records, formal or 25 remedial works we	ere required to the coupled starter bars
Page 38	Page 40
1 otherwise, of the submission or approval for any 1 in several condition	ons:
-	aligned in level (resulting starter
3 or installed at an incorrect level, or the bars were 3 bar with inadequat	
-	aligned in level (resulting in
	g with other rebar).
	above conditions, it was advised that
	edial measures were implemented:
	arter bar was at the correct level
	e incorrect direction (ie not
	he face of the diaphragm wall), the
	echanically bent to the intended
12Q. If you cast your eye down, please, to number 5 or12alignment."13step 5, it says, "Survey couplers for bottom rebar13Mr Ip, do you p	personally have any knowledge of the
13step 5, it says, "Survey couplers for bottom rebar13Mr Ip, do you p14connection", and then the scope of Leighton's checking,14form of remedial r	personally have any knowledge of that
15 "Check number and level of couplers against D-wall rebar 15 A. No, I don't know	
16 drawing", and then the supervision staff are given: 16 Q. All right.	uoout n.
11/ "Supervisor engineer site agent (as required)" 117 Then 2.	er bar was at the correct level but
17"Supervisor, engineer, site agent (as required)".17Then 2:18Then number 6: "Connect starter bars to lowest layer18"When the start."	
18Then number 6: "Connect starter bars to lowest layer18"When the start	
18Then number 6: "Connect starter bars to lowest layer18"When the start19of bottom bar cast-in coupler", and then the scope of19installed in the inc	
18Then number 6: "Connect starter bars to lowest layer18"When the start19of bottom bar cast-in coupler", and then the scope of19installed in the inc20checking, "Check direction of starter bar", and then20perpendicular to the	he face of the diaphragm wall), in
18Then number 6: "Connect starter bars to lowest layer18"When the start19of bottom bar cast-in coupler", and then the scope of19installed in the inc20checking, "Check direction of starter bar", and then20perpendicular to th21again the same supervision staff listed.21some instances the	he face of the diaphragm wall), in e starter bar (T40) was bent to the
18Then number 6: "Connect starter bars to lowest layer18"When the start19of bottom bar cast-in coupler", and then the scope of19installed in the inc20checking, "Check direction of starter bar", and then20perpendicular to th21again the same supervision staff listed.21some instances the22Do you see that, Mr Ip?22intended alignment	he face of the diaphragm wall), in e starter bar (T40) was bent to the ht and one additional T25 starter bar
18Then number 6: "Connect starter bars to lowest layer18"When the start19of bottom bar cast-in coupler", and then the scope of19installed in the inc20checking, "Check direction of starter bar", and then20perpendicular to th21again the same supervision staff listed.21some instances the22Do you see that, Mr Ip?22intended alignmen23A. Yes.23drilled and fixed u	he face of the diaphragm wall), in e starter bar (T40) was bent to the

	Page 41		Page 43
1	work measure having been carried out?	1	drawing appears to have something to do with the tremie
2	A. This one, yes, I think at the time we did submit what we	2	pipe and the dowel bars in relation to that.
3	call TQ, that is the engineering department submitted	3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand that.
4	that to the consultant company and asked whether it	4	MR PENNICOTT: So we are a bit confused as to how this sort
5	could be done this way. At the end, drilling was done	5	of slots into the question of damaged couplers and so
6	to add the T25 bar. That's the remedial measure that	6	forth. That's our confusion at the moment.
7	was taken at the end.	7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. That's helpful.
8	Q. Right. Were you aware of that type of remedial measure	8	MR PENNICOTT: No doubt somebody will be able to explain it
9	having been carried out without the submission of a TQ,	9	to us in due course, but unfortunately not me.
10	that's a technical query?	10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Maybe Mr Man Sze Ho, when we get to
11	A. That I am not aware of, but I recall we have done that	11	him.
12	before and we should have submitted TQ, I believe.	12	MR PENNICOTT: Quite possibly, sir.
13	Q. All right.	13	Then just the last line here, Mr Ip, it says this:
14	Then 3:	14	"It was advised that these remedial methods were
15	"When the starter bar was installed at the incorrect	15	agreed with MTR's inspector of work."
16	level (ie installed too high or too low) and therefore	16	Do you see that?
17	needed to be abandoned, one additional T25 starter bar	17	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry
18	was drilled and fixed using Hilti chemical resin	18	MR PENNICOTT: I'm very sorry. We need to go back to 20254.
19	HIT-RE500 adjacent to the abandoned T40 coupler, making	19	My fault, sorry.
20	reference to [a drawing]", that's at appendix K.	20	I'm just looking at the last line on this page,
21	A. Yes.	21	Mr Ip:
22	Q. Were you aware of that type of remedial measure?	22	"It was advised that these remedial methods were
23	A. This one, I am not aware of it, but from what I know,	23	agreed with MTR's inspector of work."
24	this shouldn't have taken place at area C. It should be	24	Do you see that?
25	at other locations, such as area A or HKC.	25	A. Yes, I see it.
	Page 42		Page 44
1	Q. And why do you say that?	1	Q. Are you able to say and I think you accepted that you
2	A. Because over there it's not the same as area C. The	2	were aware of the second type of remedial work, that's
3	concrete level was even at area C, but over there, the	3	in number 2 are you able to say, from your own
4	concrete was ramped, at a ramping level, so that's why	4	knowledge, that that type of remedial work method was
5	this could happen there.	5	agreed with MTR?
6	Q. All right. I'll have to reflect on that answer.	6	A. I believe it's been agreed upon, because I remember TQ
7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, do you have appendix K there?	7	was issued to ask the consultant, and the consultant
8	Can we just be taken to it, or is that an inconvenient	8	agreed to their method, and then I think our design
9	point?	9	department should have submitted the TQ and informed MTR
10	MR PENNICOTT: Not at all, sir. Appendix K is at	10	about this remedial measure.
11	page 20371. When you look at it I will make the	11	Q. Right. So you limit your answer to this, as
12	following observations.	12	I understand it, that MTR would have agreed insofar as
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Good.	13	the remedial work was recorded in a TQ, but not
14	MR PENNICOTT: The first observation, sir, is that we don't	14	otherwise?
15	understand it. The second observation is, insofar as we	15	A. You mean it's limited to the fact that TQ must be
16	could understand it, we can't work out why it's	16	submitted, MTR must have agreed to it before we could
17	relevant. Insofar as our research so far is concerned,	17	carry out the remedial works? No, not necessarily the
18	we believe that it's a drawing that was copied, in	18	case. Sometimes there could be agreement on site, so
19	essence, from TQ12 and TQ13, where specific dowel bars	19	maybe there's no need to submit TQ. Maybe we've agreed
20	were used to deal with particular issues that had	20	with the MTRCL's engineer on the method and then we
21	arisen.	21	could still do it.
22	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I will study it offline.	22	Q. All right. I don't want to put words in your mouth and
23	Thank you.	23	press you too much on all this, Mr Ip. Is the position
24	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.	24	that Man Sze Ho is likely to know more about this, the
25	Just to pick up the last sentence sorry, the	25	remedial measures, and so forth?

	Page 45		Page 47
1	A. I believe, for such information, it was provided by Man	1	or may not know this were required under the site
2	Sze Ho to Guntung. Probably he would know more.	2	supervision plan and, as it happens, the Code of
3	Q. All right. Can I just ask you a few questions about one	3	Practice as well. Were you aware of that?
4	last topic, for which purpose could we please be shown	4	A. No, I'm not aware of that.
5	bundle C13 or go to bundle C13.	5	Q. Okay.
6	COURT REPORTER: Is this a short topic?	6	Let's move on. You told us earlier, Mr Ip, that it
7	MR PENNICOTT: I'm sorry, yes, you are quite right. Perhaps	7	was in September of this year that you were moved to
8	it would be appropriate to have a break before I deal	8	another project?
9	with this topic. It won't last long but it will be	9	A. Sorry, I said it wrong. It should be October, just last
10	a few minutes.	10	month.
11	CHAIRMAN: All right. 15 minutes.	11	
12	(11.42 am)	11	Q. That's fine for my purposes.
12			So when the events that have given rise to this
	(A short adjournment) (12.02 pm)	13	Inquiry first hit the media at the end of May and the
14	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you, sir. The break was useful because	14	beginning of June this year, you were still working for
15		15	Leighton on the project; is that right?
16	I had omitted to ask you one question regarding the	16	A. Actually, in May I was transferred back to the head
17	report that we were looking at.	17	office for tendering exercise, but after this incident
18	Just in case we come on to it, can I ask you to be	18	happened, then in June I was again transferred to the
19	shown C27/20593.	19	Hung Hom project.
20	Mr Ip, the report we were looking at we looked at	20	Q. Right. When that transfer took place, Mr Ip, were you
21	some of the text earlier has a series of appendices	21	involved, on behalf of Leighton, with the collection and
22	attached to it. I'm just explaining to you that that's	22	the compilation of the documentation that various
23	the position. One of the appendices starts just so we	23	people the MTR, the government, then ultimately the
24	can put it in context appendix P, which is at 20583,	24	Inquiry was asking for?
25	just to show you.	25	A. Yes, I was.
	Page 46		Page 48
1	It's called, "Inspection record of technically	1	Q. And under whose supervision did you carry out those sort
2	competent person under RGBC (for period September to	2	of duties, the collation and compilation of the
3	October 2015)"; do you see that?	3	documents? Who was heading up that process, internally
4	A. Yes, I see it.	4	to Leighton, I mean?
5	Q. Then if you could please be taken to 20593, we see there	5	A. There were several persons. One was Justin Taylor.
6	a CoP for site supervision, form A, and various details	6	There was also William Holden. So mostly it was these
7	are given, and your name appears; do you see that?	7	two gentlemen who asked me to collect the information.
8	A. Yes.	8	Q. Right. So they were heading up the task of compiling
9	Q. Can you just explain, Mr Ip, what these documents are or	9	all the material, and you and perhaps others were
10	this document is? There are a whole series of them.	10	helping them?
11	A. At the time, my understanding was for SSP, these were	11	A. Yes.
12	matters about safety, and so the forms were about the	12	Q. Who else was helping you; apart from Mr Holden and
13	inspection points. Every time I went on site, I would	13	Mr Taylor who else was involved in the process of
14	check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects,	14	collating the documentation?
15	check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here.	15	A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab
15 16	check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here.Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for	15 16	A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They
15 16 17	check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here.Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for the week commencing 31 August 2015; do you see that?	15 16 17	A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They would be asked to come back, some probably on
15 16 17 18	check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here.Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for the week commencing 31 August 2015; do you see that?A. Yes, I see it.	15 16 17 18	A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They would be asked to come back, some probably on a part-time basis.
15 16 17 18 19	check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here.Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for the week commencing 31 August 2015; do you see that?A. Yes, I see it.Q. Is this a document that you would have and we can see	15 16 17 18 19	A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They would be asked to come back, some probably on a part-time basis.Q. Such as Mr Mok, for example?
15 16 17 18 19 20	 check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here. Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for the week commencing 31 August 2015; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document that you would have and we can see your signature along the bottom signed every day, or 	15 16 17 18 19 20	A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They would be asked to come back, some probably on a part-time basis.Q. Such as Mr Mok, for example?A. Yes.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here. Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for the week commencing 31 August 2015; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document that you would have and we can see your signature along the bottom signed every day, or at the end of the week or the end of the month; what's 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They would be asked to come back, some probably on a part-time basis.Q. Such as Mr Mok, for example?A. Yes.Q. Anybody else you can think of?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here.Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for the week commencing 31 August 2015; do you see that?A. Yes, I see it.Q. Is this a document that you would have and we can see your signature along the bottom signed every day, or at the end of the week or the end of the month; what's the position?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They would be asked to come back, some probably on a part-time basis. Q. Such as Mr Mok, for example? A. Yes. Q. Anybody else you can think of? A. Man Sze Ho. I think he came back too, to help.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here. Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for the week commencing 31 August 2015; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Is this a document that you would have and we can see your signature along the bottom signed every day, or at the end of the week or the end of the month; what's the position? A. Usually, I would sign as soon as I remember, maybe a few 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They would be asked to come back, some probably on a part-time basis. Q. Such as Mr Mok, for example? A. Yes. Q. Anybody else you can think of? A. Man Sze Ho. I think he came back too, to help. Q. All right.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	check safety matters, I would check the safety aspects, and then I would sign my name here.Q. All right. We can see that this sheet at 20593 is for the week commencing 31 August 2015; do you see that?A. Yes, I see it.Q. Is this a document that you would have and we can see your signature along the bottom signed every day, or at the end of the week or the end of the month; what's the position?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. They would find people who were involved in the EWL slab works, engineers and others, who were involved. They would be asked to come back, some probably on a part-time basis. Q. Such as Mr Mok, for example? A. Yes. Q. Anybody else you can think of? A. Man Sze Ho. I think he came back too, to help.

	Page 49		Page 51
1	page 8581.	1	A. No, I don't accept it, because when I was asked to do
2	Mr Ip, on this page, you will see, top middle,	2	it, we did not know the purpose of doing so, and after
3	"Area C1-1"; do you see that?	3	we prepared it we have come up with a table, but we have
4	A. Yes, I see it.	4	not put anything in the table such as circling the S.
5	Q. So an area which, back in 2015, you were responsible	5	We ourselves did not fill in the form.
6	for?	6	Q. Who do you say, if you know, filled in the form and
7	A. Yes, I was one of them.	7	circled the "S"s?
8	Q. And we can see a list of dates on the right-hand side,	8	A. Not sure.
9	all in 2015; do you see that?	9	Q. Not you?
10	A. Yes, I see it.	10	A. Not me.
11	Q. If you could be shown, please, page 8648 in the same	11	Q. So what part of the diagram did you have a hand in
12	file. You can see that this is a document the top	12	preparing?
13	right-hand corner is headed "C1-1 (East)"; do you see	13	A. Working out the number of couplers.
14	that?	14	Q. What about the manuscript we see there, "23 July 2015";
15	A. Yes, I see it.	15	who wrote that on there?
16	Q. On the left-hand side the heading is, "As-built for	16	A. I don't know.
17	on site assembly of EWL slab to D-wall/slab couplers";	17	Q. You see, above that table, it says, "EWL slab top bars:
18	do you see that?	18	T1, T3, T5"; do you see that?
19	A. Yes, I see it.	19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And the slab area is C1, and the D-wall panels that are	20	Q. Who put that information on this sheet?
21	listed are EH75, EH74 and EH73; do you see that?	21	A. You mean put the information in relation to number of
22	A. Yes, I see it.	22	couplers?
23	Q. Is this a document that you've seen before, Mr Ip?	23	Q. Yes. I think that's
24	A. This year, in June, I saw it, or after June I saw it.	24	A. (Chinese spoken).
25	Q. Who prepared it, Mr Ip?	25	Q. Sorry, go on.
	Page 50		Page 52
1	A. At that time, many engineers were asked to come back to	1	A. I suppose it was the team of engineers or myself who
2	do this together.	2	worked that out.
3	Q. Do you know who was responsible for preparing this	3	Q. All right.
4	document or similar documents that are spread amongst	4	Could I ask you then to be shown, two pages on,
5	this file and subsequent files? Do you know who	5	8650. On this page, Mr Ip, we find, top right-hand side
6	prepared who was involved in the preparation of these	6	corner, "C1-1 (East)" again, "R1"; do you see that?
7	documents?	7	A. Yes.
8	A. I was involved, but there were several other engineers	8	Q. "Revised on 31 July 2018", that is this year; do you see
9	working together, because at the time we were talking	9	that?
10	about the entire EWL slab, not just area C. So it's not	10	A. Yes.
11	just one location.	11	Q. Do you know why the drawing that we were just looking at
12	Q. Do you accept, Mr Ip, that this document that we're	12	was revised to the one we're now looking at?
13	looking at here was prepared this year, in or about June	13	A. I believe it was because of the discrepancies in the
14	2018?	14	previous version that the revision was made.
15	A. I accept that.	15	Q. There are a number of differences. Obviously, the
16	Q. What is the document seeking to show, Mr Ip?	16	diagrams are different. The quantity of T1, T3 and T5
17	A. At the time, the company asked us to work out how many	17	are different. The OTE is added. The only thing that's
18	couplers were used for the D-wall connection.	18	constant is the B5, B3 and B1 figures; do you see that?
19 20	Q. When you say "the company asked", who gave you instructions to put together this document?	19	A. Yes, I see that.
20	instructions to put together this document?	20	Q. What's also added to the diagrams on the left-hand side
21	A. In my recollection, it was Justin Taylor.	21 22	is something called "Additional T25 drill-in bars"; do you see that?
22 23	Q. Okay. And what you were seeking to do, as I understand it, Mr Ip, was retrospectively create records that ought	22	A. Yes.
	to have been in place in 2015, contemporaneously with	23 24	Q. Do you know where that came from, where that piece of
21	TO DAME IN ANTICIDALE IN ZULT CONCENTIONALCOUSIN WITH	_ ∠+	
24 25	the work having been carried out. Do you accept that?	25	information came from?

	Page 53		Page 55
1	A. Well, that's exactly what I mentioned just now. For the	1	A. Yes.
2	TQ requirement, drill-in bars, T25 process as a remedial	2	Q. And in particular, do you see in the box the top bars,
3	measure, the T25.	3	"T1", "T3", "T5", and various numbers of bars, and then
4	Q. So you think we will find, do you, a TQ somewhere	4	the "S" and the "NS"; do you see that?
5	A. Correct.	5	A. Yes, I see that.
6	Q that deals with a T25 drill-in bar at panel EH75?	6	Q. Now, compare that with 8956. What has happened and
7	A. Correct.	7	this is illustrative of the point I put to you just
8	Q. One of the reasons, can I suggest to you, that there had	8	a moment ago is that in fact, on the revision sheet,
9	to be a revised drawing/diagram that we've got here is	9	all the top bars T1, T3, T5, and so forth have
10	that when you did the original one, it had been	10	disappeared; do you see that?
11	overlooked that there had been changes of design detail	11	A. Yes, I see that.
12	to the top of the east diaphragm wall?	12	Q. That is because there was a realisation, presumably,
13	A. Agree.	13	between the preparation of the first diagram and the
14	Q. What you were seeking to do here whether you were	14	revision, that this area had through-bars and there were
15	aware of the ultimate purpose, Mr Ip, park on one side	15	no couplers involved; is that right?
16	because I've heard what you've said about that you	16	A. Correct.
17	were trying to create retrospectively records that	17	Q. This also shows an additional T25 drill-in bar as well;
18	sought to show that each connection of rebar to	18	do you see that?
19	a coupler had been inspected and was said to be	19	A. Yes, I see that.
20	satisfactory. That's what this document seeks to show,	20	Q. And that should also, in this area, be covered by a TQ;
21	isn't it?	21	is that right?
22	A. Because the company only asked us to do it. We had no	22	A. I suppose it's related to the TQ, yes.
23	idea of what the company wanted to use it for.	23	Q. Again, is your evidence the same in relation to this
24	CHAIRMAN: So you are saying you were just asked by the		document as the previous one, that the manuscript that
25	company to put all this information together, were you?	25	we see on this was put on by somebody else, not you?
	Page 54		Page 56
1	Page 54 You don't know the reason?	1	Page 56 A. Correct.
1 2	-	1 2	-
	You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers.	-	A. Correct.Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton?
2	You don't know the reason?A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers.MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the	2	A. Correct.Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton?A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware
2 3	You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed	2 3	A. Correct.Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton?A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided.
2 3 4 5 6	You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your	2 3 4	A. Correct.Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton?A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided.Q. To the MTR?
2 3 4 5	You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody	2 3 4 5	A. Correct.Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton?A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided.Q. To the MTR?A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to?	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You don't know the reason?A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers.MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to?A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in "C1-3 (East)", do you see that, as opposed to C1-1? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology, if I may.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in "C1-3 (East)", do you see that, as opposed to C1-1? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology, if I may. Mr Ip, can I bring you to bundle C1, page C43.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in "C1-3 (East)", do you see that, as opposed to C1-1? A. Yes. Q. So we're concerned with panels EM68, EH67 and EM66; do 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology, if I may. Mr Ip, can I bring you to bundle C1, page C43. Mr Ip, this is the NCR157, and if you pay attention to,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in "C1-3 (East)", do you see that, as opposed to C1-1? A. Yes. Q. So we're concerned with panels EM68, EH67 and EM66; do you see that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology, if I may. Mr Ip, can I bring you to bundle C1, page C43. Mr Ip, this is the NCR157, and if you pay attention to, at the top of the document, where there is a number,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in "C1-3 (East)", do you see that, as opposed to C1-1? A. Yes. Q. So we're concerned with panels EM68, EH67 and EM66; do you see that? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology, if I may. Mr Ip, can I bring you to bundle C1, page C43. Mr Ip, this is the NCR157, and if you pay attention to, at the top of the document, where there is a number, a series of numbers, next to "Project/contract no.",
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in "C1-3 (East)", do you see that, as opposed to C1-1? A. Yes. Q. So we're concerned with panels EM68, EH67 and EM66; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. We've got the date of 4 September 2015, which happens to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology, if I may. Mr Ip, can I bring you to bundle C1, page C43. Mr Ip, this is the NCR157, and if you pay attention to, at the top of the document, where there is a number, a series of numbers, next to "Project/contract no.", there is a small initial "A". Is that your signature?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in "C1-3 (East)", do you see that, as opposed to C1-1? Yes. Q. So we're concerned with panels EM68, EH67 and EM66; do you see that? Yes. We've got the date of 4 September 2015, which happens to be the RISC date for this area, for pre-pour check, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology, if I may. Mr Ip, can I bring you to bundle C1, page C43. Mr Ip, this is the NCR157, and if you pay attention to, at the top of the document, where there is a number, a series of numbers, next to "Project/contract no.", there is a small initial "A". Is that your signature? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 You don't know the reason? A. Correct, because at that time we were only asked to prepare a summary of the number of couplers. MR PENNICOTT: So would this be fair, Mr Ip: that the diagrams and the words and figures that we can see typed onto these sheets was prepared by you and some of your colleagues, but the manuscript was put on by somebody else; is that what it comes to? A. Yes, I suppose you can put it this way. In fact, the form wasn't even prepared by us. We just put in the diagrams and worked out the number of couplers on this sheet. Q. All right. Let me just show you one more example, before I sit down. Could you please be shown C13, the same file, 8954. It's a similar diagram, Mr Ip, but we're now in "C1-3 (East)", do you see that, as opposed to C1-1? A. Yes. Q. So we're concerned with panels EM68, EH67 and EM66; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. We've got the date of 4 September 2015, which happens to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Q. Were you aware, Mr Ip, that these documents, amongst others, were submitted to the MTR by Leighton? A. Subsequently, when the report was submitted, I was aware that these records were also provided. Q. To the MTR? A. Correct. Q. Were you also aware that these documents, amongst others, were supplied to the government? A. No, I'm not aware of that. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, thank you very much. I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Cross-examination by MR SO MR SO: Sir, there will be questions from China Technology, if I may. Mr Ip, can I bring you to bundle C1, page C43. Mr Ip, this is the NCR157, and if you pay attention to, at the top of the document, where there is a number, a series of numbers, next to "Project/contract no.", there is a small initial "A". Is that your signature?

	Page 57		Page 59
1	it?	1	this assist you to tell us, together with C48 C45 and
2	A. Yes, I did.	2	C48, these two photographs can you tell us how can
3	Q. Of course you would pay attention to the details of the	3	you confirm there were five bars being cut?
4	defective work where it writes that there were five	4	A. Maybe we can't confirm just from the photos, because the
5	number of threaded steel bar heads being cut; correct?	5	engineers reported to me five bars, so I put down five
6	A. Yes.	6	bars. It's not the case that the photos must show all
7	Q. At the time when you signed it, you also did see that;	7	five bars.
8	correct?	8	Q. You would agree with me, would you not, that the
9	A. Yes.	9	photographs appended behind the NCR is to record what
10	Q. When you signed this NCR157, were you given the	10	were the defects being found out from the NCR; correct?
11	photographs at C45, C46, C47 and C48?	11	A. I don't get your question; can you ask it again, please?
12	A. Yes, they were given to me.	12	Sorry.
13	Q. Can I ask you to pay attention to C48, and can I ask	13	Q. The purpose of appending photographs behind an NCR is
14	those operating the computer to turn the photograph	14	that we can know what are the details and what are the
15	clockwise.	15	particulars the NCR is referring to; correct?
16	Correct me if I am wrong, Mr Ip, if you look at the	16	A. Yes.
17	photograph, at the back of the part the inner	17	MR SO: Thank you. No further questions.
18	layer, I can see there were three bars being cut; is	18	Cross-examination by MR KHAW
19	that correct? Two on the left and one in the middle; is	19	MR KHAW: Mr Leung, I am acting for the government.
20	that correct?	20	In view of the very detailed discussion that
21	A. I'm not sure whether there were two on the left. On the	21	Mr Pennicott had with you, I only have a few questions
22	left there was just one, and in the middle there was	22	for you.
23	one.	23	Do you remember your evidence given earlier this
24	Q. I tell you what I see, and you can disagree with me.	24	morning that you agree or you accept that you did not
25	There is one with half of the threads there, one without	25	carry out full-time and continuous inspection on the
	Page 58		Page 60
1	any thread, on the left, and one in the middle with some	1	site; do you remember that?
2	of the threads; is that correct? So there were three?	2	A. I remember that.
3	A. No, it's not that. To the left, there's one with	3	Q. On this note, if I can just ask you to take a look at
3 4	A. No, it's not that. To the left, there's one with threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that	3 4	Q. On this note, if I can just ask you to take a look at one document, at C27/20593.
4	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that	4	one document, at C27/20593.
4 5	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe.	4 5	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation
4 5 6	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe.Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in	4 5 6	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called,
4 5 6 7	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe.Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct?	4 5 6 7	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC
4 5 6 7 8	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe.Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct?A. Correct. Correct.	4 5 6 7 8	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that?
4 5 6 7 8 9	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe.Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct?A. Correct. Correct.Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but	4 5 6 7 8 9	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe.Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct?A. Correct. Correct.Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe.Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct?A. Correct. Correct.Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut?	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe.Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct?A. Correct. Correct.Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut?A. I don't know which one you are referring to.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. Q. Maybe I please continue. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct. Q. Can you tell us who prepared this document?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. Q. Maybe I please continue. A. I can't really tell. I'm not sure. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct. Q. Can you tell us who prepared this document? A. At the time, it was the engineers, they asked QA and
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. Q. Maybe I please continue. Q. Maybe I will deal with it another way. Can we not zoom 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct. Q. Can you tell us who prepared this document? A. At the time, it was the engineers, they asked QA and then they printed out this form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. Q. Maybe I please continue. A. I can't really tell. I'm not sure. Q. Maybe I will deal with it another way. Can we not zoom into the photograph? Can you count to us which five 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct. Q. Can you tell us who prepared this document? A. At the time, it was the engineers, they asked QA and then they printed out this form. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, what does QA mean in this context?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. Q. Maybe I please continue. A. I can't really tell. I'm not sure. Q. Maybe I will deal with it another way. Can we not zoom into the photograph? Can you count to us which five bars you saw those were the bars being cut? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct. Q. Can you tell us who prepared this document? A. At the time, it was the engineers, they asked QA and then they printed out this form. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, what does QA mean in this context? MR KHAW: Quality assurance.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. Q. Maybe I please continue. A. I can't really tell. I'm not sure. Q. Maybe I will deal with it another way. Can we not zoom into the photograph? Can you count to us which five bars you saw those were the bars being cut? A. I may not be able to see that, because that photo may 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct. Q. Can you tell us who prepared this document? A. At the time, it was the engineers, they asked QA and then they printed out this form. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, what does QA mean in this context? MR KHAW: Quality assurance. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. Q. Maybe I please continue. A. I can't really tell. I'm not sure. Q. Maybe I will deal with it another way. Can we not zoom into the photograph? Can you count to us which five bars you saw those were the bars being cut? A. I may not be able to see that, because that photo may not have captured all five bars; right? So I can't 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct. Q. Can you tell us who prepared this document? A. At the time, it was the engineers, they asked QA and then they printed out this form. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, what does QA mean in this context? MR KHAW: Quality assurance. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, when you say "they asked QA".
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 threads, and then to the right there's another bar, that should be the lapping bar, I believe. Q. So you say there were only one on the left and one in the middle; correct? A. Correct. Correct. Q. Then can I cast your mind to also the layer behind but to the right of the monitor. That's the third bar, correct, being cut? A. I don't know which one you are referring to. Q. The one behind, on the right of the monitor, above a coupler but without any threads, that is the one being cut; is that correct? A. I can't answer you. I can't see it. Q. Maybe I please continue. A. I can't really tell. I'm not sure. Q. Maybe I will deal with it another way. Can we not zoom into the photograph? Can you count to us which five bars you saw those were the bars being cut? A. I may not be able to see that, because that photo may 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 one document, at C27/20593. This is a record attached to Mr Lumb's investigation report. The title of this document, it's called, "Record of specific tasks performed by TCP under RC stream"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, your name apparently appears on this document; do you see that? A. I see it. Q. You confirm that the signature or the signatures put at the bottom of the table on this particular document are your signature? A. Yes, correct. Q. Can you tell us who prepared this document? A. At the time, it was the engineers, they asked QA and then they printed out this form. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, what does QA mean in this context? MR KHAW: Quality assurance. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

Γ

	Page 61		Page 63
1	A. We have a quality department that would coordinate this.	1	A. Correct.
2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes. Okay. Thank you.	2	Q. Thank you.
3	MR KHAW: Now, am I right that at that time the person in	3	You remember your evidence that before the NCR
4	charge of the QA department was Mr Harman?	4	incident, you were told by Mr Edward Mok of a previous
5	A. Right.	5	one incident regarding threaded rebar cutting; do you
6	Q. So his team apparently prepared this document; is that	6	remember that?
7	correct?	7	A. Yes.
8	A. I'm not sure whether it's them who did it, but they	8	Q. I would only want to confirm with you whether, in
9	provided it to me.	9	relation to that previous incident, ie when Edward Mok
10	Q. We can see from this document that the date started from	10	told you about that previous incident, before the NCR
11	31 August 2015; can you see that?	11	incident, were you shown any photographs regarding that
12	A. I see it.	12	particular incident?
13	Q. All the way up to 6 September, but that is a Sunday, so	13	A. Are you referring to the first incident? No, I wasn't
14	there is really no particular record showing anything	14	shown.
15	for that particular day; do you see that?	15	Q. You remember this morning, when Mr Pennicott asked you
16	A. I see it.	16	about that first incident that you knew from
17	Q. So this document shows the activities from 31 August up	17	Mr Edward Mok, you told us about the possible cause of
18	to 5 September?	18	that incident. According to what you said, you told us
19	A. Yes.	19	that perhaps the individual workers did it out of
20	Q. It's not the only document. If we can then go to the	20	expediency; do you remember that?
21	next page, 20594, a similar document covering another	21	A. Yes.
22	period, from 7 September to 12 September; do you see	22	Q. From whom did you gather the information that perhaps
23	that?	23	the individual workers did it out of expediency?
24	A. I see it.	24	A. My own speculation.
25	Q. Those are also your signatures; do you confirm?	25	Q. Or did you have any ideas as to why the workers made
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Page 62 A. Yes.	1	Page 64 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton
1 2	-	1 2	
	A. Yes.		such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton
2	A. Yes.Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the	2	such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea?
2 3	A. Yes.Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601?	2 3	such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leightonto help? Do you have any idea?A. I can't think of any reason.
2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until	2 3 4	such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea?A. I can't think of any reason.Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your
2 3 4 5	A. Yes.Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that?	2 3 4 5	such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea?A. I can't think of any reason.Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. 	2 3 4 5 6	such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea?A. I can't think of any reason.Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR;
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency 	2 3 4 5 6 7	such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea?A. I can't think of any reason.Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. Q. So your evidence is that I'll see whether I put this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. Q. So your evidence is that I'll see whether I put this fairly to you you did not pay much attention to this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall." Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. Q. So your evidence is that I'll see whether I put this fairly to you you did not pay much attention to this description, "Full time", as stated in this record; is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Am I correct in saying that you copied, you simply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. Q. So your evidence is that I'll see whether I put this fairly to you you did not pay much attention to this description, "Full time", as stated in this record; is that correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Am I correct in saying that you copied, you simply copied, his description into your NCR into the NCR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. Q. So your evidence is that I'll see whether I put this fairly to you you did not pay much attention to this description, "Full time", as stated in this record; is that correct? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Am I correct in saying that you copied, you simply copied, his description into your NCR into the NCR that you prepared; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. Q. So your evidence is that I'll see whether I put this fairly to you you did not pay much attention to this description, "Full time", as stated in this record; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Your evidence is that you endorsed and signed on this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Am I correct in saying that you copied, you simply copied, his description into your NCR into the NCR that you prepared; is that correct? A. Can I read my own NCR?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. Q. So your evidence is that I'll see whether I put this fairly to you you did not pay much attention to this description, "Full time", as stated in this record; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Your evidence is that you endorsed and signed on this record so long as you believed that you spent some time 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Am I correct in saying that you copied, you simply copied, his description into your NCR into the NCR that you prepared; is that correct? A. Can I read my own NCR? Q. Sure. If we can just let this page stay on the screen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. I believe the same situation would apply to the following documents, from 20595 all the way to 20601? You can have a look. So covering the period until 31 October 2015 at 20601; do you see that? A. Yes, I see it. Q. Now, in all of those documents, in relation to frequency of inspection, you put "Full time"; do you see that? A. Yes, I see that it's written "Full time" on this table. Q. But obviously, according to your evidence, you could not have possibly conducted full-time inspection; is that right? A. Perhaps I had some misunderstanding about this term "full time". Usually, I would go out every day and I did conduct inspections. That's why I signed my name here. Q. So your evidence is that I'll see whether I put this fairly to you you did not pay much attention to this description, "Full time", as stated in this record; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Your evidence is that you endorsed and signed on this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 such decisions for themselves instead of asking Leighton to help? Do you have any idea? A. I can't think of any reason. Q. Back to the NCR incident. We understand from your evidence that when you were first told about the NCR incident, in fact you were informed by Kobe Wong of MTR; right? A. Correct. Q. If we can just take a look at the email that we see from him, at C12/8127. There, his description of the problem identified is you can see from the first sentence: " threaded bars at 3m thickness EWL slab at area C3 bay C3-2/C3-3, was found 5 number of threaded steel bars heads Y40 at bottom layer which were wire cut and hadn't screwed into couplers face to bay C3-1/C3-4/eastern D-wall." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Am I correct in saying that you copied, you simply copied, his description into your NCR into the NCR that you prepared; is that correct? A. Can I read my own NCR?

	Page 65		Page 67
1	I believe it's the exact wording.	1	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you.
2	A. Correct, with some slight changes regarding photographs.	2	MR KHAW: Mr Ip, at the time when you were preparing the
3	Q. The difference, I believe it's according to Kobe	3	contents of the NCR, at that time did you and your team
4	Wong's email, the last bit of the first paragraph, it's	4	consider the threaded rebar cutting found in relation to
5	"(Please see attached pictures)", and you changed it to	5	this NCR a serious matter?
6	"(Please refer E1 to E4)"; right?	6	A. I considered so.
7	A. Correct.	7	Q. During the period between December 2015 and January
8	Q. My question to you is apart from Kobe Wong's email, the	8	2017 you can take it from me that January 2017 was
9	photographs that he attached to his email, and then what	9	the time when Mr Lumb published his draft report of his
10	you put in this NCR, did Leighton have any independent	10	investigation; okay? Now, during this period, did your
11	record in relation to the details of the defects found	11	team or any team in Leighton issue any direction or
12	regarding this particular incident?	12	instruction telling staff to tighten or strengthen the
13	A. I have no idea.	13	inspection for coupling works?
14	Q. Okay. If you take a look at C8142.	14	A. No. However, I would also tell my engineers that they
15	I believe you were shown this document before, and	15	should pay more attention to the works of the bar fixing
16	you can see that from the box there is a particular row	16	workers.
17	in relation to "Instruction"; can you see that?	17	Q. If I can take you to your third witness statement,
18	A. Yes.	18	paragraph 6 now, you said:
19	Q. There, the NCR said:	19	"The version of NCR that was issued to
20	"Please review the evidence and investigate the root	20	Fang Sheung on 18 December did not include anything
21	cause of the problem then propose your corrective	21	in the section titled 'Details of required
22	actions"	22	rectification'. I recall that I left this section blank
23	Do you see that?	23	because it is usually completed by the sub-contractor
24	A. Yes.	24	who receives the NCR."
25	Q. Now, after this NCR was issued, did you or anyone in	25	Do you see that?
	Page 66		Page 68
	1450 00		l age 00
1	your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to	1	A. Yes.
1 2	-	1 2	A. Yes.Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and
	your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No.	-	A. Yes.Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually
2	your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any	2	A. Yes.Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification
2 3	your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No.	2 3	A. Yes.Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that?
2 3 4	your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem?A. No.CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause?	2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that?A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung
2 3 4 5 6 7	your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was responsible for issuing the next non-conformance report, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out the problematic threads and replace them with new bars
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was responsible for issuing the next non-conformance report, whereas for this one, it was an external transmittal. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out the problematic threads and replace them with new bars with threads. In the process, our workers probably also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was responsible for issuing the next non-conformance report, whereas for this one, it was an external transmittal. So I suppose this external transmittal was issued, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out the problematic threads and replace them with new bars with threads. In the process, our workers probably also took part in the process, and I am referring to Leighton
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was responsible for issuing the next non-conformance report, whereas for this one, it was an external transmittal. So I suppose this external transmittal was issued, again, with my non-conformance report attached for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out the problematic threads and replace them with new bars with threads. In the process, our workers probably also took part in the process, and I am referring to Leighton workers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was responsible for issuing the next non-conformance report, whereas for this one, it was an external transmittal. So I suppose this external transmittal was issued, again, with my non-conformance report attached for Fang Sheung. As it was written here, "Document 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out the problematic threads and replace them with new bars with threads. In the process, our workers probably also took part in the process, and I am referring to Leighton workers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was responsible for issuing the next non-conformance report, whereas for this one, it was an external transmittal. So I suppose this external transmittal was issued, again, with my non-conformance report attached for Fang Sheung. As it was written here, "Document transmittal external". 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out the problematic threads and replace them with new bars with threads. In the process, our workers probably also took part in the process, and I am referring to Leighton workers. MR KHAW: Do you agree that the methods regarding rectification works were determined by Leighton?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was responsible for issuing the next non-conformance report, whereas for this one, it was an external transmittal. So I suppose this external transmittal was issued, again, with my non-conformance report attached for Fang Sheung. As it was written here, "Document transmittal external". COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So the instruction was written by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out the problematic threads and replace them with new bars with threads. In the process, our workers probably also took part in the process, and I am referring to Leighton workers. MR KHAW: Do you agree that the methods regarding rectification works were determined by Leighton? A. Yes, I agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 your team liaise with Fang Sheung in order to investigate the root cause of the problem? A. No. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, did you receive from Fang Sheung any statement as to their investigations and what they ascertained to be the root cause? A. No. No. I did not. CHAIRMAN: So would it be correct to say the instruction, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause", that was never done, that you know of? A. That's right. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just follow up on that? Are those your words, "Please review the evidence and investigate the root cause"? Was that from you, or did that come from your QA manager? A. I suppose the QA manager who said it, because I was responsible for issuing the next non-conformance report, whereas for this one, it was an external transmittal. So I suppose this external transmittal was issued, again, with my non-conformance report attached for Fang Sheung. As it was written here, "Document transmittal external". 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Now, we have all heard evidence that it was Leighton and not the sub-contractor, ie Fang Sheung, who eventually was responsible for carrying out the rectification works. You know about that? A. Rectification works should be carried out by Fang Sheung and some workers who assisted us. I mean Fang Sheung workers also helped in, say, looking for new couplers for replacement, and we also engaged our own workers to help. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I'm a bit confused as to that. Could you repeat that, perhaps a little more clearly, for me? So you say A. That day, when five threaded bars were found to be defective, as I understand, Fang Sheung also had their people take part in the rectification, say by taking out the problematic threads and replace them with new bars with threads. In the process, our workers probably also took part in the process, and I am referring to Leighton workers. MR KHAW: Do you agree that the methods regarding rectification works were determined by Leighton?

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

	Page 69		Page 71
1	works?	1	normal?
2	A. Because they committed the error, so we asked them to	2	A. No. It was too long ago.
3	suggest the rectification details to us.	3	Q. Do you mean that you considered eight months quite
4	Q. Finally, I would like to just ask you something about	4	an unusually long period?
5	the closing out of the NCR.	5	A. Yes.
6	Can you just tell us, in general terms, what you	6	Q. Are you aware of any reasons why it took so long for
7	mean by "closing out" regarding an NCR?	7	this particular NCR?
8	A. Closing out, that means, first of all, the rectification	8	A. As I said before, the case was immediately followed up
9	works details would be approved and then we would have	9	on, so no one was anxious to follow up on it. That's
10	actually carried out the rectification works, and then	10	why this was left aside for a long time. Maybe in
11	usually the normal procedure is for MTRC to carry out	11	August someone mentioned there's need to issue an RISC,
12	inspection, and they would approve it and then we would	12	that's why we then issued the form.
12	submit a RISC form to them, and then on the RISC form	13	MR KHAW: Thank you. I have no further questions.
14	they would approve the works and then we would use that	14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I'm rather confused on this
15	as evidence to close out the NCR.	15	last point, and it's not helped by not being able to
16	CHAIRMAN: Does it normally take a long time to do this, to	16	read this form very clearly on my screen. I imagine the
17	get this process done?	17	hard copy is as difficult to read as this would be.
18	A. You mean the usual NCR or this coupler case?	18	MR PENNICOTT: Even worse.
19	CHAIRMAN: Well, in this case, by way of an example, it	19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Because if I'm understanding this
20	seems that the problem was spotted, the rectification	20	correctly, this is a request for inspection which then
20	work was done almost immediately	21	is followed by the inspection taking place on site, and
21	A. Yes.	21	the inspection takes place on site at a hold point and
22	CHAIRMAN: and was, because of its limited nature,	22	works do not continue until that's completed.
23 24	approved at that time. So it was all done in one short	23 24	If I understand it correctly, what's being shown
24 25	**	24 25	here is evidence that in order to close out the NCR
23	period of time, a matter of hours.	23	
1	Page 70	1	Page 72 form, the fact that an inspection took place is being
1	I'm just wondering why it wasn't closed out for	1 2	shown as evidence of that.
2	another two years almost, or something. MR PENNICOTT: 14 months.	2	
3	CHAIRMAN: 14 months.	4	Now, I don't understand what these dates relate to. I think it would help if I could see this form a lot
4		4 5	-
5	A. That's probably because after the NCR was issued, we did		clearer than I can. So could it be explained to me what
6	not follow up on this further. But the problem was	6	we're being told at this point? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, my understanding is that it's simply
7	already resolved, so we therefore were not anxious to	7	
8	follow up on it.	8 9	something that is being done retrospectively. It's just endorsing the fact that this was an inspection back
9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.		on that the remedial works were carried out on the
10	MR KHAW: If you can take a look at another document,	10	
11	C12/8149. According to paragraph 8 of your third witness statement you can take it from me that I'm	11	15th, in the presence of both Leighton and the MTRC.
12 13	-	12	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right. MR PENNICOTT: And somebody woke up to the fact, I think it
13	quoting from your statement that you received this	13 14	
	RISC from Edward Mok, and this is an RISC dated		was in April 2016 we saw with a witness yesterday, he referred to an email in April 2016. Attached to that
15 16	18 August 2016. Do you remember that?	15 16	email was a list of NCRs that had not been closed out,
	A. Yes, I remember it.	10 17	this being one of them. This I think then triggered
17		17	this document.
18 19	Q. Is it a normal practice to prepare an RISC before an NCR is closed out?	18 19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.
19 20	A. Usually, this is the normal approach, because QA would	19 20	MR PENNICOTT: So it's basically been done retrospectively.
20 21	A. Usually, this is the normal approach, because QA would ask us to produce the proof that there was approval from	20 21	I'm not suggesting there's any misfeasance going on
21 22	MTRCL, so we had to submit the records to them.	21 22	here.
		22 23	
23	Q. According to this document, the RISC was prepared in	23 24	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No, no, no. MR PENNICOTT: It's just that the paperwork wasn't filled in
124	August 2016 so about aight months attar the		
24 25	August 2016, so about eight months after the rectification works were completed in December. Is it	24 25	and it took a while. Quite what then happened when this

Γ

	Page 73		Page 75
1	document dated 18 August was filled in, quite what then	1	a RISC form on 18 August to put everything on
2	happened between then and January 2017, I'm not entirely	2	record. This was formally endorsed and closed out on
3	sure.	3	11 September 2016."
4	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No, but perhaps I am missing	4	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. So Mr Kobe Wong is telling us
5	something. I assumed that concrete could not be poured	5	this was a matter of proper record-keeping as opposed to
6	until a hold point had been satisfactorily passed and	6	a physical inspection being carried out at that time?
7	an RISC form would be completed to allow that hold point	7	MR CHANG: This is how we understand from Mr Wong's
8	to be passed.	8	evidence.
9	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.	9	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. We will speak to Mr Wong at
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Am I being told that this is	10	a later date. Thank you very much. That's very
11	a separate RISC	11	helpful.
12	MR PENNICOTT: You are, sir.	12	MR BOULDING: Sir, my learned friends have stolen most of my
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: specifically related to the	13	thunder, but I have just one or two questions and you
14	satisfactory work, satisfactory remedial work, of	14	might want me to put them to the witness before the
15	an NCR?	15	luncheon adjournment because I anticipate we might be
16	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir.	16	able to
17	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I wasn't aware that those were		CHAIRMAN: I'm wondering about that.
18	separate inspections.	18	Ms Chong, do you have any
19	MR PENNICOTT: I believe, sir. Quite why, I don't	19	MS CHONG: I have no questions.
20	understand why you've got the RISC that was issued	20	CHAIRMAN: All right. Then we may well be able to deal with
21	for the purposes of the concrete pour, why that couldn't	21	the matter and then adjourn for the day.
22	be used as a mechanism for saying, "Well, all of this	22	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir.
23	can now be closed out."	23	MR WILKEN: Sir, before that happens, there's one thing
24	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes.	24	I must mention. There has been some work going on
25	MR PENNICOTT: I'm not sure why a separate RISC form had to) 25	behind the scenes and there's a document we would wish
	Page 74		Page 76
1	be issued. I'm not sure.	1	to show the Commission today so it can take it away for
2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.	2	the break. It's a question whether, when Mr Boulding
3	MR PENNICOTT: In theory, I suppose, the RISC form in	3	has finished, we break for five minutes so the document
4	relation to the final checking of the rebar and then the	4	can be read by the Commission and we then come back and
5	pre-concrete pour checking could have been used to	5	discuss it, so we can then end for the day, or whether
6	indicate that this had been closed out.	6	we have lunch. I don't think it will take very long
7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes.	7	because the document speaks for itself but I mention it
8	MR PENNICOTT: But it wasn't.	8	now so you can plan accordingly, sir.
9	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Perhaps we can come back to		CHAIRMAN: Subject to what Prof Hansford says, I am inclined
10	that when we get to Edward Mok.	10	to the view that most of us have come some distance to
11	MR PENNICOTT: Possible, sir. Yes.	11	do the work today and if we are able then to actually
12	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you.	12	adjourn for the day sooner rather than later then we can
13	MR CHANG: Professor, on this matter, I don't want to	13	either have lunch here or have lunch wherever you wish
14	pre-empt MTR's evidence, but in fact Mr Kobe Wong in his	14	to go back to and get on with other work for the rest of
15	witness statement covered part of what led to the	15	the day.
16	issuance of this RISC. If I may assist the	16	That's a long-winded way of saying I think we'll
17		17	deal with your matter as soon as Mr Boulding has
1	Commissioner, it's just a quick reference to his		finished his.
18	paragraph 84 of his witness statement, if it can be	18	
19	paragraph 84 of his witness statement, if it can be shown on the screen quickly.	19	MR WILKEN: I'm grateful, sir.
19 20	paragraph 84 of his witness statement, if it can be shown on the screen quickly. CHAIRMAN: Please, yes.	19 20	MR WILKEN: I'm grateful, sir. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm very happy to have a late lunch
19 20 21	paragraph 84 of his witness statement, if it can be shown on the screen quickly.CHAIRMAN: Please, yes.MR CHANG: Bundle B1, page 441, paragraph 84. Mr Kobe Wong	19 20 21	MR WILKEN: I'm grateful, sir. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm very happy to have a late lunch MR WILKEN: A later longer lunch, perhaps.
19 20 21 22	paragraph 84 of his witness statement, if it can be shown on the screen quickly.CHAIRMAN: Please, yes.MR CHANG: Bundle B1, page 441, paragraph 84. Mr Kobe Wong says:	19 20 21 22	MR WILKEN: I'm grateful, sir. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm very happy to have a late lunch MR WILKEN: A later longer lunch, perhaps. Cross-examination by MR BOULDING
19 20 21 22 23	paragraph 84 of his witness statement, if it can be shown on the screen quickly.CHAIRMAN: Please, yes.MR CHANG: Bundle B1, page 441, paragraph 84. Mr Kobe Wong says:"Subsequently, I was informed by Leighton that	19 20 21 22 23	MR WILKEN: I'm grateful, sir. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm very happy to have a late lunch MR WILKEN: A later longer lunch, perhaps. Cross-examination by MR BOULDING MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, sir and professor.
19 20 21 22	paragraph 84 of his witness statement, if it can be shown on the screen quickly.CHAIRMAN: Please, yes.MR CHANG: Bundle B1, page 441, paragraph 84. Mr Kobe Wong says:	19 20 21 22	MR WILKEN: I'm grateful, sir. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm very happy to have a late lunch MR WILKEN: A later longer lunch, perhaps. Cross-examination by MR BOULDING

Ĩ

	Page 77		Page 79
1	on the question of NCR157.	1	this, but first of all can you look at the bottom-left
2	I wonder if you could be shown Mr Kobe Wong's	2	corner.
3	statement, which is at B417.	3	You were asked this morning as to why we see Mr Gary
4	Presumably, Mr Kobe Wong was known to you, was he,	4	Chow's name here, and you said you were not sure whether
5	Mr Ip?	5	it was his signature.
6	A. Yes, correct. I know him.	6	Can I ask you this. We can see two initials at the
7	Q. If we could go on, please, to paragraph 83, which is on	7	bottom-left corner. Can you identify these two initials
8	B441. You can take it from me that here Mr Kobe Wong is	8	for us?
9	talking about the threaded rebar cutting which occurred	9	A. The one resembling A was mine. The other one, I'm not
10	on 15 December 2015, and for the record we can pick that	10	sure who added it.
11	up at paragraph 77.	11	Q. Can I remind you, in your third witness statement which
12	Then if you would be kind enough to look at	12	you filed yesterday it's bundle C35/26678,
13	paragraph 83, and here Mr Kobe Wong says:	13	paragraph 5 can I read it out to you so that it can
14	"Mr Andy Wong did not report any problems with	14	be translated. You said this:
15	resolving the incident, so my understanding was that the	15	"I recall that I assisted Kevin Harman (Leighton's
16	non-compliant threaded rebars/couplers had been	16	quality assurance manager at the time) to prepare NCR157
17	rectified."	17	to be issued to Fang Sheung in December 2015. NCR157
18	It's right, is it not, that so far as your evidence	18	was approved by Kevin Harman and issued to Fang Sheung
19	is concerned, you agree that it was rectified; correct?	19	on 18 December 2015. Both Kevin and I signed our
20	A. Correct.	20	initials at the bottom left-hand corner of NCR157"
21	Q. Then Mr Kobe Wong goes on:	21	So does this bring back your memory as to who
22	"I learned about Leighton's non-conformance report	22	initialled the other initial that we can see at 20364?
23	to Fang Sheung when Leighton's Mr Andy Ip asked me for	23	A. Can I also check B4121, the top part of the document
24	more information for the purposes of preparing the NCR."	24	with the signature?
25	Now, it's correct, is it not, as Mr Kobe Wong states	25	Q. Yes. The same bundle, 20358. It's the same document.
	Page 78		Page 80
1	there, that you informed him about NCR157; that's	1	It's the document transmittal sheet.
2	correct, is it not?	2	A. Because for the initial on the right, I believe it's
3	A. That I have no recollection of.	3	Kevin Harman.
4	Q. Well, you will probably not be able to answer my next	4	Q. Yes.
5	question, but I'll try it in any event, because Mr Kobe	5	A. It's signed above "KH", so I think it can be checked
6	Wong says:	6	against the other sheet at the bottom.
7	"I learned about Leighton's non-conformance report	7	Q. 20364, bottom left.
8	to Fang Sheung when Leighton's Mr Andy Ip asked me for	8	A. I believe so, because the initials look similar.
9	more information for the purposes of preparing the NCR."	9	Q. Still on this page, you were asked by Mr Khaw for the
10	Do you see that statement there, Mr Ip?	10	government who did the rectification work under this
11	A. Yes, I see that.	11	NCR157, and your evidence is you recall both Leighton
12	Q. I was going to ask you what further information you asked Mr. Kaba Wang for Can you remember asking him for	12	and Fang Sheung took part.
13 14	asked Mr Kobe Wong for. Can you remember asking him for further information?	13 14	Now, can I draw your attention to the details of required rectification. You can see some handwritten
14	A. No, I don't remember.	14	scripts here. If I can read it out to you so that it
15 16	MR BOULDING: Thank you. No further questions, sir.	15	can be interpreted to you. It reads:
17	CHAIRMAN: Good. And Ms Chong, no questions?	17	"Sub-contractor Fang Sheung will be requested to
18	MS CHONG: No questions from Fang Sheung.	18	remove all rebars with shortened threads. LCAL [that's
19	CHAIRMAN: Yes?	19	Leighton] and MTR will verify the condition of couplers
20	MR CHANG: Being the only person standing between lunch and		and the length of thread for each rebar. The new rebar
20		21	will be screwed with the help of LCAL direct labour.
	the hearing. I have a few duestions for clarification		
21	the hearing, I have a few questions for clarification. CHAIRMAN: Yes.		MTR IoW [inspector of works] team and LCAL site engineer
	CHAIRMAN: Yes.	22 23	MTR IoW [inspector of works] team and LCAL site engineer team will inspect the whole process for replacing all
22		22 23	MTR IoW [inspector of works] team and LCAL site engineer team will inspect the whole process for replacing all the new threaded rebars."

	Page 81		Page 83
1	-		
1	you tell us whose signature is it?	1	you. You may be excused now. Thank you very much.
2	A. My signature.	2	WITNESS: Thank you, Chairman.
3	Q. By signing against it, do you confirm or do you have	3	(The witness was released)
4	any comments on whether the details of required	4	MR WILKEN: Sir, I am in the enviable position of both
5	rectification did take place?	5	stealing Mr Boulding's thunder and giving Mr Pennicott
6 7	A. Well, nothing. Well, Kevin Harman asked me, and then	6 7	something he asked for.
7	I just put them down in his words and confirmed it.		Taking the Commission back to the heady days of
8	Q. Do you confirm the details as set out here to be correct	8	Day 1, Mr Pennicott asked MTR and ourselves to agree
9 10	or not?	9 10	amongst themselves what is at the top of the diaphragm wall in areas B and C and provide the Commission with
10 11	A. Yes, I confirm that.Q. One final question. Mr Khaw asked you questions about		the as-built information.
11	whether you were full-time, you were engaged in	11	We now have that, and hopefully logistics will catch
12	full-time and continuous site supervision, and he	12	up with me. Mr Pennicott has a hard copy. What we have
13 14	referred you to a document.	14	produced is a joint statement which annexes drawings and
15	Now, can we have that document, C27/20595.	15	photographs and plans which show you what is as-built so
16	Specifically, Mr Khaw asked you about this item,	16	far, as best as we know, at the top of the diaphragm
10	"Frequency of inspection", and it stated "Full time",	17	wall.
17	and your answer to Mr Khaw's question is perhaps your	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Joint between whom?
19	understanding of the word "full time" is different. You	19	MR WILKEN: MTR and ourselves.
20	go out to site every day and did the inspection. That's	20	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you.
20	[draft] page 64, line 3.	21	MR WILKEN: We then have individual statements which are
22	Can I ask you this. If you did not go to site every	22	short which set out our particular perspective. For
23	day, would you agree to this description, "Full time"?	23	Leighton, ours is this shows it was a minor change and
24	A. For full-time in this project for site office, it's	24	therefore did not need notification. I'll leave MTR to
25	also part of the site.	25	explain its position.
	Page 82		Page 84
1		1	Then annexed we have and depending how one wishes
1 2	Q. My question is, your evidence is you attended site every day. If you did not attend site every day, would you	2	to get into the detail two plans which I found
3	agree to this description "Full time"?	3	immensely helpful. One is a plan which cross-references
4	A. I'm sorry, can you repeat?	4	to the photographs and the photographs are annexed, and
5	Q. Your evidence is that you went to site every day to do	5	one cross-references to the drawings and the drawings
6	site inspection for one to two hours. That's your	6	are annexed.
7	evidence.	7	Then behind there one also has a couple of pieces of
8	A. Yes.	8	correspondence that have passed between the parties.
9	Q. My question is this. If you did not go to site every	9	So, in terms of getting into the detail, it's the
10	day for one to two hours, would you agree with this	10	two plans that are the best for the macro, and then if
11	description "Full time" as we can see from this form?	11	you want to cross-reference to the micro, the drawings
12	CHAIRMAN: I think there may perhaps be in terms of	12	as they now stand, and the photographs, are there.
12	syntax, it's quite a difficult question. I see what	13	Sir, we will provide that to everyone as soon as the
14	you're saying. I wonder how far that would help us.	14	logistics have caught up with what we have been doing,
15	I think we understand.	15	and I will leave it to Mr Boulding to explain his
16	MR CHANG: We believe it could be addressed by way of	16	position on their section of the joint statement.
17	submissions.	17	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN: I think so, yes, thank you.	18	MR BOULDING: Yes. Thank you very much, Mr Wilken, and sit
19	MR PENNICOTT: The Commission has our point.	19	and professor.
20	CHAIRMAN: Absolutely we do. Thank you very much.	20	If you've been given the original, there is at the
21	Good. Nothing further.	21	front
	MR WILKEN: Can the witness be released?	22	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No, we haven't as yet. No, we
22			
22 23	CHAIRMAN: I do apologise.	23	haven't.
		23 24	haven't. MR WILKEN: Mr Pennicott has. You haven't.

	Page 85		Page 87
1	MR BOULDING: I don't know whether Mr Pennicott would be	1	CHAIRMAN: No. Obviously these are matters we will have to
2	prepared to allow the original to go to the	2	look at and consider.
3	Commissioner.	3	Mr Pennicott?
4	MR PENNICOTT: Of course.	4	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir. Obviously I've had a very brief
5	MR BOULDING: Oh, there's another copy. Splendid.	5	opportunity of reading the front-end
6	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	6	three-page document. Mr Boulding is absolutely right
7	MR BOULDING: It's taken a lot of work, sir, but we trust	7	that it's paragraph 3.4 that perhaps interests us the
8	that the signed joint statement at the front, which runs	8	most and is of immediate concern.
9	to some three pages, is self-explanatory. It appends	9	CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course.
10	two annexes, as my learned friend Mr Wilken has	10	MR PENNICOTT: Clearly it would be extremely helpful to have
11	explained, and he has dealt with his additional remarks,	11	some indication as to precisely when that opening-up
12	which are important. He says, firstly, that the	12	proposal is going to be available, if for no other
13	relevant works represent a minor change to the drawings.	13	reason that I think it likely that we would want to run
14	I of course opened on that basis, but we do not want to	14	that proposal past the Commission's own structural
15	anticipate the expert evidence but reserve our right to	15	engineering expert for any views that he may have.
16	make submissions to that effect as and when the	16	But obviously, sir, this is a huge step in the right
17	Commission has concluded and we have heard that	17	direction by the sounds of it and I'm grateful to the
18	evidence.	18	MTRC and Leighton for taking this matter forward.
19	Similarly, I opened on the basis that so far as we	19	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
20	were concerned, it was indeed a safer and better	20	MR BOULDING: Sir, if I can just say that I fully agree with
21	connection detail than the original, and again I make	21	my learned friend Mr Pennicott's concerns. I don't
22	the point that I'm not anticipating the expert evidence	22	consider it appropriate at this stage to put it on the
23	but I will make submissions in due course depending on	23	transcript, but if we could have a word outside the room
24	what that evidence is.	24	I think I'll be able to give him further information
25	We have set out certain additional remarks. I'd be	25	which would benefit him and, in due course, you, sir.
	Page 86		Page 88
1	happy to take you through them, but it does seem to me	1	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed.
2	that they are self-explanatory.	2	Anything further?
3	Perhaps I just ought to point out that in		
5		3	MR WILKEN: No, sir, nothing from me.
4	paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of	3 4	MR WILKEN: No, sir, nothing from me. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day.
			-
4	paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of	4	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day.
4 5	paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to	4 5	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is,
4 5 6	paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at",	4 5 6	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this
4 5 6 7	paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm	4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning
4 5 6 7 8	paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the	4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on
4 5 6 7 8 9	paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the	4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." Then finally, the formal design amendment submission will be submitted to the Buildings Department in due course. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week. Thank you very much.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." Then finally, the formal design amendment submission will be submitted to the Buildings Department in due course. I hope the Commissioners regard that as helpful, and 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week. Thank you very much. (1.33 pm)
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." Then finally, the formal design amendment submission will be submitted to the Buildings Department in due course. I hope the Commissioners regard that as helpful, and to the extent that you have any questions, we'll 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week. Thank you very much. (1.33 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." Then finally, the formal design amendment submission will be submitted to the Buildings Department in due course. I hope the Commissioners regard that as helpful, and to the extent that you have any questions, we'll endeavour to deal with them, but you can imagine there's 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week. Thank you very much. (1.33 pm)
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." Then finally, the formal design amendment submission will be submitted to the Buildings Department in due course. I hope the Commissioners regard that as helpful, and to the extent that you have any questions, we'll endeavour to deal with them, but you can imagine there's been a lot of backroom working going on to produce this 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week. Thank you very much. (1.33 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." Then finally, the formal design amendment submission will be submitted to the Buildings Department in due course. I hope the Commissioners regard that as helpful, and to the extent that you have any questions, we'll endeavour to deal with them, but you can imagine there's been a lot of backroom working going on to produce this sort of material. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week. Thank you very much. (1.33 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." Then finally, the formal design amendment submission will be submitted to the Buildings Department in due course. I hope the Commissioners regard that as helpful, and to the extent that you have any questions, we'll endeavour to deal with them, but you can imagine there's been a lot of backroom working going on to produce this sort of material. CHAIRMAN: Yes, absolutely. Thank you very much indeed. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week. Thank you very much. (1.33 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 paragraph 3.4, this will be important and obviously of interest to you, Commissioners: we have "agreed to a request from the government to open up locations at", amongst other places, "locations along the diaphragm wall and the EWL track slab (east side), to verify the state of the as-constructed works. The details of the opening up are being considered and finalised." I understand that we are doing quite well in that regard, and of course: "The as-constructed works will be subject to verification upon [this] opening up." Then finally, the formal design amendment submission will be submitted to the Buildings Department in due course. I hope the Commissioners regard that as helpful, and to the extent that you have any questions, we'll endeavour to deal with them, but you can imagine there's been a lot of backroom working going on to produce this sort of material. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. We'll adjourn for the day. I'm aware of the fact that there has been, and is, some media attention and interest to the public on this Inquiry, and I just wish to say that we are adjourning not just for today but for one week. We will return on Monday week. Prof Hansford had to turn upside down most of his professional and domestic life in order to take on this Commission, and there were several dates which were simply not possible to move or to which he had commitments, and this one week is one of those dates to which he was committed. So that is the purpose of the adjournment for the one week. Thank you very much. (1.33 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am

	Page 89		
1	INDEX		
2	PAGE		
3	MR LEUNG KWOK CHEONG, JOE (sworn in Punti)1		
4	Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG1		
5	Examination by MR PENNICOTT2		
6	Cross-examination by MR TO9		
7	Cross-examination by MR CHOW12		
8	(The witness was released)16		
9	MR IP WAI MING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)16		
10	Examination-in-chief by MR CHANG16		
11	Examination by MR PENNICOTT17		
12	Cross-examination by MR SO56		
13	Cross-examination by MR KHAW59		
14	Cross-examination by MR BOULDING76		
15	Re-examination by MR CHANG		
16	(The witness was released)83		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23 24			
24 25			
25			
		22 (Baga 80	ſ