	Page 1		Page 3
1	Friday, 7 December 2018	1	says:
2	(10.06 am)	2	"Leighton's quality control supervisors to carry out
3	MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in Punti)	3	full-time supervision of splicing assemblies on site and
4	(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter	4	maintain inspection records"
5	except where otherwise specified)	5	Then there's a cross-reference to appendix C of the
6	Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)	6	QSP, and then the third bullet point:
7	MR PENNICOTT: Good morning, sir. Good morning, Professor.	7	"MTR's quality control supervisors to carry out
8	Apologies for the slight delayed start. I had something	8	20 per cent supervision of splicing assemblies on site
9	administrative that cropped up right at the last minute	9	and maintain records"
10	that I had to deal with.	10	And another cross-reference to appendix C of the
11	Mr Wong, good morning.	11	QSP.
12	A. (In English) Good morning.	12	Mr Wong, I think we're agreed that it's those
13	Q. When we finished last night, I indicated I had one more	13	records that are referred to there that are missing?
14	topic to cover with you, and that's what I'm going to do	14	A. Correct.
15	now. It relates to the retrospective records that you	15	Q. In your witness statement, at paragraphs 47 and 48 if
16	were involved with, or the preparation of those records	16	you go to that, please; it's B1/432 you say:
17	you were involved with, this year.	17	"During the course of the internal review in 2017,
18	However, the starting point is the internal review	18	Mr James Ho asked me and Mr Jeff Cheung if there were
19	that was carried out by MTR, by Mr Carl Wu, in	19	any records as per the QSP, including record sheets for
20	January/February 2017. I'd like to go to that review,	20	the coupler installation in the EWL slab. After Mr Ho's
21	please, at B7/4516.	21	enquiry, I proceeded to ask Leighton to obtain the
22	Mr Wong, we can see from page 4516 that the review	22	relevant records, which were not in MTR's possession."
23	was to examine the construction records to confirm that	23	Pausing there, did you make that enquiry of Leighton
24	the steel reinforcement and coupler for the EWL track	24	in order to assist Mr Wu in preparing the report, or did
25	slab had been installed in accordance with the	25	you make that enquiry after the report had been prepared
	Page 2		Page 4
1	requirements of relevant quality assurance and quality	1	as a follow-up action?
2	control regimes.	2	A. Mr Wu conducted an internal review, and during the
3	We can see from item 2 that you were interviewed for	3	course of that he asked to collect one of the records.
4	the purposes of the preparation of this report. Do you	4	After the interview I asked personally Leighton for the
5	recall being interviewed?	5	relevant records, and then there was a follow-up action
6	A. Correct.	6	later.
7	Q. Did you see a copy of this report back in 2017, early	7	Mr Wu suggested that we sort out with Leighton on
8	2017, Mr Wong, after it had been prepared?	8	the records, and after that I asked Leighton again
9	A. Yes, I did see, but I did not read it in detail.	9	whether they ever prepared these records.
10	Q. Right. Paragraph 5 of the review on page 4518 deals	10	Q. Right.
11	with the quality assurance scheme of couplers; do you	11	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just get that clear in my head,
12	see that?	12	and thank you very much. It was put to you that your
13	A. Yes, I see it.	13	obligation under the QSP to conduct a 20 per cent
14	Q. And there's a reference to the QSP, and then there's	14	supervision of splicing and to maintain records, there
15	a reference further down to the key requirements of the	15	was a failure in the sense that the records were not
16	QSP, that is:	16	maintained by you; is that right?
17	"Leighton to provide full-time supervision of	17	A. Perhaps let me say a bit more. During the construction
18	mechanical coupler works by a T3;	18	of the EWL slab, my supervisor did not assign me to
19	MTR to provide 20 per cent supervision of the	19	supervise the coupler installation. So, if you extend
20	splicing assemblies by a T3".	20	that to the diaphragm wall, the records were prepared
21	I just pause there.	21 22	and provided by the contractor, and these records would
22 23	Then over the page, at 4519, there's the heading, "Review of quality assurance and quality control of	23	be countersigned by the MTRCL colleague. So, therefore, the record should have been prepared by the contractor.
23	steel reinforcement and coupler installation", then	24	During the internal review, Mr Wu mentioned it, and so
. /4	sicer removement and coupler installation, then	Z4	
25	a series of bullet points, and the second bullet point	25	I asked Leighton for the records.

Page 5 Page 7 1 CHAIRMAN: So you had joint records, is that -- sorry. and Intrafor were finished, there were two lots of 2 2 MR PENNICOTT: But the point is, is it, Mr Wong, that during splicing operations still to be done: into the diaphragm 3 3 the course of the carrying out of the work and your walls, and within the slab itself, at the joints? 4 4 inspections, you had no document to countersign at that A. Correct. 5 point in time? 5 CHAIRMAN: Now, were records, to your knowledge, kept of 6 A. You mean every day when I conducted site inspection, 6 either of those two operations, by MTR? 7 whether there were any documents to be signed? Now, the 7 A. MTRC, no. Perhaps I should supplement a little bit. 8 8 site surveillance was to make sure there's proper MTRC did not create or keep appendix B of QSP. When 9 quality, and at that time there were no documents for 9 Intrafor was carrying out the diaphragm wall, the 10 10 signing. appendix B of QSP was prepared by Intrafor, and they had 11 I must stress again, at the start of the EWL slab 11 to carry out 100 per cent supervision. Then MTRC staff 12 12 would countersign their report -construction, my seniors told me that for steel fixing 13 13 CHAIRMAN: I understand that. inspection, it's the responsibility of the ConE team, 14 that is our engineering team, and then my senior did not 14 A. On records, we do not sign more than 20 per cent. 15 15 assign me to carry out acceptance of coupler Therefore, my understanding was that for EWL slab, the 16 inspection -- installation. That's why I did not have 16 appendix B of QSP was to be prepared by Leighton. 17 any records and I did not ask Leighton further for 17 Therefore, in relation to your question whether MTRC had 18 records for countersigning. 18 prepared appendix B of QSP, we did not, but I had taken 19 19 So it's during routine inspection that I made sure some record photos. 20 there's quality control and assurance. 20 CHAIRMAN: All right. But Leighton did, and presumably you 21 CHAIRMAN: All right. Sorry, again, I do apologise, but I'm 21 had to sign 20 per cent --22 falling behind again. It strikes me as quite plain. 22 MR PENNICOTT: No, Leighton didn't. That's the point. 23 23 MTR is to have 20 per cent supervision of the splicing CHAIRMAN: I know, but in theory --24 MR PENNICOTT: Had they done. operations, and to maintain records. Now, question one, 24 CHAIRMAN: Yes -- that's what you were meant to do? If you 25 did you maintain records in that regard? You don't have Page 6 Page 8 to explain around it. Answer -- it's quite simple --1 say Leighton were meant to keep the records, you had 1 2. 2 you did or you didn't. an obligation to be able to do 20 per cent supervision 3 3 A. We have taken photos, photo records, but not the type as and to demonstrate that fact by way of records? specified in appendix B of QSP. 4 A. Correct. I understand. 5 CHAIRMAN: All right. Did anybody else, to your knowledge, 5 CHAIRMAN: So did you do that? 6 working with you, maintain the sort of records required 6 A. Because I was not assigned to continue with the 7 by the QSP? 7 surveillance of couplers installation in EWL slab and 8 A. In diaphragm wall, yes. By the time we moved on to EWL 8 acceptance of it. 9 CHAIRMAN: All right. When you were doing it, did you do slab, according to my knowledge, no. 10 CHAIRMAN: All right. Who maintained the records in respect 10 that? A. When EWL slab was being carried out, when I carried out 11 of the diaphragm walls? 11 12 the daily routine surveillance, I would pay attention 12 A. At that time, it's Intrafor. 13 13 CHAIRMAN: All right. And you countersigned those records? and look at how they carry out coupler installation. 14 A. Correct. Correct. 14 But my supervisor did not assign me to do a formal 15 CHAIRMAN: You're talking now about the actual couplers in 15 inspection, because under the QSP a T3 staff should have 16 the diaphragm walls, in the cages? Because once the 16 been assigned. 17 diaphragm walls were actually embedded, then Intrafor 17 So, in the case of diaphragm wall, I was assigned to 18 didn't have anything more to do with it? 18 be responsible for coupler installation of the rebar 19 A. Correct. 19 cages. As for EWL slab, I was not assigned to be doing 20 CHAIRMAN: So, once Intrafor were out of the picture, as 20 that job. Therefore, I did not carry out a formal 21 I understand it, there were two lots of couplings to be 21 inspection. 22 22 MR PENNICOTT: But you see, Mr Wong, where we've got to is dealt with: the splicing, into the diaphragm wall, and 23 at the joints, within the slab itself; right? 23 this. As I understand it, you accept that you carried 24 A. Could you repeat the question? 24 out routine surveillance of, amongst other things, the

coupler -- the installation of the rebar into the

25 CHAIRMAN: Once the diaphragm walls were properly embedded 25

#### Page 9 Page 11 1 couplers, on a routine basis, but kept no records, other 1 CHAIRMAN: All right. So your routine supervision, when you 2 2 were doing it, was not part of the 20 per cent than the photographs; right so far? A. Correct. 3 supervision; that was more of a formal type of checking, 3 4 is that right, the 20 per cent, and that was to be done 4 O. Mr Louis Kwan has told us that when he did the formal 5 by a T3 officer, and you're not quite sure of the 5 inspections, consequent upon the RISC forms, he didn't 6 identities or identity of that or those officers? 6 formally inspect the coupler connections. So there is 7 a question as to who was formally inspecting the rebar 7 A. Correct. Agreed. 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 8 into the coupler installations, and you say, as 9 9 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, Mr Wong -- would it have been I understand it, a T3 was responsible for that type of 10 possible for a T3 officer to attend the site to do those 10 inspection. 11 A. Correct. 11 inspections without you knowing about it? Would that 12 have been even possible? 12 Q. The question is: who are the candidates for that T3 13 A. Possible. 13 position? Can you identify them? I mean, Mr Kwan was 14 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Without you knowing who he or she 14 a T3, as I understand it, but he told us that he wasn't 15 was, without you knowing the identity of that T3 15 formally inspecting the couplers on his formal 16 officer; would that have been possible, given that you 16 inspections. So who was doing it? 17 were on site all the time? 17 A. Perhaps my interpretation of the QSP is that the T3 18 grade staff should be a person assigned to do that. At 18 A. Perhaps I should put it this way. Among our colleagues, 19 19 most of them bear T3 qualification. Whether a person that time, most of our colleagues have T3 grade, or T3 20 20 had been assigned by his or her superior as a T3 person quality or qualifications, and there should be a senior 21 officer assigning that person to do that. And my 21 responsible for coupler inspection, I did not ask each 22 22 senior, the SIoW, Mr Dick Kung, for the case of and every one of them. 23 23 Therefore, for my colleagues, for example Mr Kwan or a diaphragm wall, he did assign me to carry out 24 24 other inspectors, or SConE, they went to the site to do inspection in respect of coupler installations for rebar 25 inspections from time to time and I did not particularly 25 cages. Page 12 Page 10 Therefore, in the case of D-wall, I did inspect the 1 1 ask the purpose of their inspection. Therefore, I did 2 coupler installation and to fulfil the 20 per cent 2 not know, for example, if a certain person has been 3 3 supervision requirements or countersigning requirements assigned to do this, whether he was carrying out 4 as per appendix B of QSP. 4 a coupler installation inspection and acceptance at the 5 However, when it came to the EWL slab, my supervisor 5 6 expressly told me that for EWL slab, for the acceptance 6 CHAIRMAN: You see, the difficulty that I have at the moment 7 of rebars, it was the responsibility of our colleagues 7 is that Mr Louis Kwan, I think, has said that he was 8 from the ConE team, and he did not ask me to carry out 8 never given the job of formally inspecting the coupler 9 9 acceptance of coupler installation. installations when he was completing the RISC forms; 10 Therefore, I did not know whether my supervisor or 10 right? He thought somebody else had been given that 11 superior had other arrangements. 11 obligation, and I think you're saying, effectively, the 12 12 Q. So your expectation was that you would be doing the same thing; that you did routine, you did not do 13 13 day-to-day routine inspection and surveillance of the a formal inspection of the coupler installation, because 14 coupler connections on the EWL slab, but you believed 14 you also imagine that there was somebody else who was 15 that a T3, at some point, presumably later, would carry 15 assigned. 16 out a formal inspection of those connections? That was 16 Now, who would have been the person responsible for 17 your expectation and belief? 17 assigning that T3 officer? A. Correct. A. Chairman, you mean who assigned the T3 officer? 18 18 19 Q. And, at that stage, assuming that were to happen, 19 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 20 prepare the necessary records, pursuant to the QSP? 20 A. I believe it was the CP. 21 A. Correct. 21 CHAIRMAN: And who was the CP? 22 CHAIRMAN: And the 20 per cent supervision would be recorded 22 MR PENNICOTT: Jason Wong. 23 23 A. Jason Wong, or the senior of the T3, that is a T5. At 24 A. It should be the T3 officer that was responsible at the 24 that time, James Ho was the T5, but I could not be sure.

25

CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

25

time.

- MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, as we are going to see in a moment
- 2 one of the problems is, as you've said to the Chairman
- 3 just a moment ago, the 20 per cent
- 4 inspection/supervision should have been done by a T3
- 5 officer -- that's what you said in answer to the
- 6 Chairman's question just a moment ago -- but we will see
- 7 in a moment, won't we, that when it came to prepare the
- 8 retrospective records, it was your inspections that were
- 9 relied upon to achieve the 20 per cent?
- A. Yes. 10
- 11 Q. So that takes us neatly to the next part of the story.
- 12 Please could you look at H14/35070.
- 13 I understand, Mr Wong, this is a document that you
- 14 prepared; is that right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And a document you prepared, I believe, in late May or
- 17 early June of this year; is that correct?
- 18
- 19 Q. In your witness statement, as I understand it, you say
- 20 about this document the following. Sorry, just one
- 21

25

1

7

14

- 22 You say at paragraph 54 -- you need to keep that
- 23 document to hand, please, if you can:
- 24 "Mr James Ho later followed up on this issue and
  - asked if MTR had any internal records of our site

- Page 15 not? If it's not immediately apparent, Mr Wong, just
- 2

1

6

17

- 3 A. For the photos I had, they were more than the ones here.
- 4 O. Yes. You see, I think this is a document -- this is
- 5 a photograph somebody has taken of your document. In
  - fact one of the government officers, as I understand it,
- 7 who inspected some documents back in June, took
- 8 a photograph of this document. Do you understand?
- 9 A. You mean a government officer took a photo of this 10 summary?
- 11 Q. That's my understanding. That's why it looks as it
- 12 looks.
- 13 A. In my reply -- when I prepared my reply statement, it's
- 14 only then that I knew there was a government officer who
- 15 took this photo.
- 16 Q. Right. But, in its original form, this document,
  - Mr Wong, was the spreadsheet accompanied by the
- 18 photographs that you looked at?
- 19 A. Perhaps -- after I prepared this form, I just submitted
- 20 this form to Mr Ho, James Ho.
- 21 Q. With or without the photographs?
- 22 A. No, I think -- I don't think I included the photos at
- 23 the time.
- 24 Q. All right. Did you keep yourself a copy of the sheet,
- 25 a hard copy of the sheet that you prepared, together

# Page 14

- surveillance in respect of the couplers in the EWL slab. 1
- 2 I confirmed that I had conducted routine site
- 3 surveillance in respect of more than 50 per cent of the
- 4 couplers in the EWL slab, but there were no written
- 5 records as such. There was, however, a collection of
- 6 site photos of the rebar fixing and coupler installation
  - works taken during our routine site surveillance of the
- 8 EWL slab works."
- 9 And 55:
- 10 "Having reviewed those site photos (which had been
- 11 uploaded to the SCL project server contemporaneously),
- 12 I then compiled an Excel spreadsheet summarising the
- 13 dates and locations of the photographs taken."
  - Mr Wong, the document that we're looking at, or we
- 15 were just looking at, is this the Excel spreadsheet that
- you're referring to in paragraph 55? 16
- 17 A. Yes, correct.
- 18 Q. I don't know if you can be given a hard copy, the bundle
- 19 from which this -- can you actually be given the bundle,
- 20 sorry. (Handed).
- 21 I just want to make sure that we don't miss
- 22 something here, Mr Wong.
- 23 The photographs that you say you looked at to assist
- 24 you in preparing this spreadsheet -- just look in the
- 25 bundle -- can you identify the photographs at all or

- with the photographs, or indeed a soft copy of the
- 2 spreadsheet, with the photographs?
- 3 A. Hard copies, I probably don't have them, but for soft
- 4 copies, they should be in the site office of Hung Hom 5 1112.

Q. Right.

6

- 7 Sir, the reason I'm slightly belabouring this point
- 8 is that despite the search that we've carried out, this
- 9 appears to be the only copy of this document that we
- 10 have in the files, and it's obviously been disclosed by
- 11 the government as part of an exhibit to one of the
- 12
  - witness statements.
- 13 We are not aware that it has been disclosed by the
- MTRC, with or without the photographs, and that's why 14
- 15 I was just exploring that point with Mr Wong.
- 16 Now, Mr Wong, just looking at this sheet, it says at 17 the bottom "more than 60 per cent of the installed
- 18 couplers were inspected in the mentioned areas".
- 19 How does one derive the 60 per cent figure? How
- 20 have you calculated the 60 per cent?
- 21 A. For the 60 per cent figure, I think it's broken down
- 22 area by area. That means the number of bays. It's by
- 23 the number of bays.
- 24 Q. I'm still not quite there, Mr Wong. What you've listed 25 there, by reference to a series of dates, are various

Page 16

Page 20

Page 17

1

- 1 areas on the EWL slab, one on the South Approach Tunnel,
- 2 and a small number on the NSL, slab I assume that is.
- 3 I just don't get, from that information, how you
- 4 calculated 60 per cent. And sorry, I should say, some
- 5 are on the western wall, and I assume but I don't know,
- 6 where it doesn't say "western D-wall" it perhaps means
- 7 "eastern", but I'm really not sure. Can you explain?
- 8 A. Let me explain this form. The first column is the date.
- 9 That means the date when the photo was taken.
- 10 Q. Right.
- 11 A. Then the "Area", EWL area C, bay number, that's the bay
- number. The first row is "C1-2". "Location" is "bottom 12
- 13 layer", that means the bottom mat.
- 14 Q. Right. Pausing there, is that the East Wall or the
- 15 West Wall? On the first one, sorry.
- 16 A. Yes, understood. Sorry, you mean the first row? Which
- 17 first row?

25

1

9

- 18 Q. Where it says "C1-2", to the right of that you've got
- 19 "bottom layer" under the heading "Location". Is that
- 20 the East Wall or the West Wall?
- 21 A. That should include all East Wall, West Wall, and the
- 22 construction joints.
- 23 Q. Right. So pause there. If that is right, that the
- 24 C1-2, the first item on this list, is the bottom layer
  - of east, west and construction joints, how many

60 per cent as well. That is of course an approximate

2 figure. 3 This is because, when I prepared this summary, there

4 was a pressing timetable. I could not be very exact in 5 calculating how many couplers installation, for example

6 how many coupler installations there were in total.

7 Therefore, the percentage was just a rough estimation.

8 O. Right.

9 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, again, but the percentage then of

10 60 per cent, from what you're telling me, if it was done

11 by taking the number of bays, might suggest that there

12 were certain bays which you didn't inspect at all?

13 A. For the photos that I took personally, I did not take

14 some photos in some areas, but it doesn't mean that 15 I did not inspect and check those areas, because there

16 were other colleagues who were responsible for other

locations.

17

25

6

18 CHAIRMAN: I understand that. It's just you said that the

19 60 per cent as a rough figure might be done by taking

20 the number of bays. So, if you had ten bays, on your

21 basis, you would have inspected, say, six, that would

22 have given you 60 per cent, but that would have left

23 four bays where perhaps there was no record of any

24 inspection?

It's probably an overly simplistic question. I'm

Page 18

photographs did you look at to establish that?

- 2 A. I would have to look at the number of photos in the
- 3 server at the site office. I would say approximately
- 4 five to six photos, from my recollection.
- 5 Q. Right. And the next one down is the EWL slab, area C,
- C1-3, but this time specifically the western D-wall? 6
- 7 A. Yes. For the western D-wall, I specified this because
- 8 there's a vertical installation for the couplers, as
  - shown in the photo that we saw yesterday. I also saw
- 10 this photo in other pages from this folder. So here
- 11 we're talking about the vertical coupler installation
- 12 for the western D-wall.
- 13 Q. Right. Now, as a general question, where we see "bottom"
- 14 layer" on this sheet, as per the first item, can we
- 15 assume that you're talking about the eastern wall, the
- western wall and the construction joints? 16
- A. Correct. 17
- Q. And so, in order to arrive at the conclusion that all of 18
- 19 these areas constituted more than 60 per cent of the
- 20 installed couplers, or areas where the installed
- 21 couplers were to be found, presumably you must have
- 22 calculated the total number of couplers?
- 23 A. Agreed. However, if we calculate it by area -- for
- 24 example, I have ten bays, I have finished six bays --
- 25 I have inspected six bays, that would be equivalent to

- just trying to understand how you worked out percentage. 1
- 2 A. The 60 per cent was based on my record photos. It
- 3 doesn't mean that for the remaining 40 per cent I did
- 4 not go or I did not go to the other four areas, because
- 5 sometimes, when I went there, I may not have taken any
- 7 CHAIRMAN: All right. Then the other final question --
- 8 again, it's probably overly simplistic and forgive me --
- 9 is how does a photograph prove that you inspected
- 10 a particular percentage of the individual couplers?
- 11 A. Some of the photos I took were very clear. For example,
- 12 there was one photo that you saw yesterday, I actually
- 13 put a ruler next to the coupler. So that would prove
- 14 that I had checked, and there was some general view or
- 15 some overview showing that the workers were carrying out
- 16 coupler installation or actually have finished steel
- 17 fixing. That would mean that I had inspected those
- 18 areas, I had seen the installation of the couplers in
- 19 that area, because for the training given by BOSA to us
- 20 for -- under the QSP, when inspectors went to see --
- 21 went to check whether the coupler installation passed or
- 22 not, we would check whether there was a maximum
- 23 tolerance of 1 to 1.5 pitch of the thread.
- 24 CHAIRMAN: I appreciate all of that. I'm just looking at 25 accuracy of records, I suppose, and perhaps, because I'm

Page 24

Page 21 from the age of just writing stuff down, I find it a bit

- 2 difficult. I imagine myself, by way of analogy, going
- 3 to the front of a renaissance cathedral in Europe and
- 4 looking at all the saints, the statues. The fact that
- 5 I take a photograph of one statue at the front of that
- 6 cathedral doesn't mean that I have necessarily inspected
- 7 60 per cent of the statues. Do you see the point I'm
- 8 making? And if I then go to my photographic book two
- 9 years later and people say, "How many statues did you
- 10 look at?", I'd probably have difficulty trying to
- 11 remember. And it seems to me, in principle, this is the
- 12 same sort of situation, you see.

1

- 13 A. I understand. Therefore, I would check the photos that 14 we had taken, and then, from those photos, together with
- 15 the time we spent on inspection on site by myself and my
- 16 colleagues, and apart from the five incidents that
- 17 I mentioned in my witness statement, which were all
- 18 rectified immediately, and then I deduced that -- and
- 19 I was confident that, for those records that I signed,
- 20 there was no problem and they were okay.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: All right. So you kept, did you, a record each
- 22 day of how long you had actually spent on site?
- 23 A. Perhaps I'll elaborate a little bit more. For entry and
- 24 exit time from the site, for myself, when I was the
- 25 inspector of works, there were three types of documents

A. Yes, I saw it.

2

3

11

14

17

25

- Q. The reason I'm interested in that is that bay 4/5
  - doesn't have a top layer of rebar, because it has
- 4 through-bars and there are no couplers there. Hence my
- 5 interest to see the photographs that you looked at.
- A. There should be a construction joint requiring coupler 6
- 7 installation. There should be four areas, there should
- 8 be construction joints in all four faces. So, for the
- 9 EWL slab, area C1 or area B3, there should be couplers.
- 10 Q. That's why I'm interested to see the photographs, precisely what it is you're referring to.
- 12 So you're saying, as I understand, this has got
- 13 nothing to do with the D-walls; this is just to do with
  - the construction joints, is it?
- 15 A. If you are talking about the east side, there was no
- 16 coupler. Of course I definitely have to check the
  - photos. Perhaps in area B, bay 3, or area C1-5.
- 18 Q. I don't doubt what you're telling us, Mr Wong, but the
- 19 point is that -- that sort of point illustrates the fact
- 20 that unless you've got the photographs and you can
- 21 identify what it is you've looked at, it's impossible to
- 22 work out, without the photographs, how you've arrived at
- 23 that 60 per cent. It's just impossible, because we
- 24 don't know whether we're looking at the D-wall east
  - side, the D-wall west side, the construction joints,

Page 22

1 whether it's one side or both sides. We simply don't

- 2 know, without the photographs, do we?
  - 3 A. Indeed, I have to look at the photos.
  - 4 Q. Well, don't do the exercise unless asked.
  - Can we move on, please. Could I ask you now to go 5
  - 6 to page B7 --
  - MR BOULDING: Sir, I hesitate to intervene. I'm just trying 7
  - 8 to be helpful. I've just been told that all of the
  - 9 photographs are in bundle B17, pages 24203 to 24373.
  - 10 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
  - 11 MR PENNICOTT: Sorry, is that all the photographs that
  - 12 relate to this sheet, the spreadsheet?
  - 13 MR BOULDING: That's the understanding of the note I've just
  - 14 been passed.
  - 15 MR PENNICOTT: All right. So that will be 70-odd
  - 16 photographs. Let's have a look. B17.
  - 17 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I, Mr Wong, just -- we have
  - 18 discussed this and I don't wish to belabour it, but
  - 19 would you agree that it's perhaps not the most accurate
  - 20 system that you've explained to us, for recording things
  - 21 some time later?
  - 22 A. If you are talking about a very detailed record,
    - of course it would be best for the record to be done
  - 24 contemporaneously rather than retrospectively.
    - CHAIRMAN: But even retrospectively, this is not exactly

- that I had to be stationed in the office to carry out: 1
- 2 first the site diary, progress summary, and then record
- 3 photos. For these three documentary evidence, they are
- 4 derived from the information I collected when I went
- 5 on site.
- 6 So, for myself, I spent a large proportion of my
- 7 time on site doing surveillance, and according to my
- 8 practice my normal working hours were from 8.30 am up to
- 9 4 pm, and I usually would spend time on site from
- 10 9.30 am to 5 pm, apart from one hour for lunch.
- 11 So, actually, I spent a large proportion of my time 12 to carry out site surveillance.
- MR PENNICOTT: All right. Mr Wong, how easy would it be for 13 14 you to identify the photographs that you looked at in
- 15 preparing this schedule, if I asked you to go and do it

16

- 17 A. If I have to do so, I have to go back to the Hung Hom
- 18 office. There was a folder which I should have kept all
- 19 these photos.
- 20 Q. Right. One reason I ask you that question is this.
- 21 I would be interested to know what photographs you
- 22 looked at in relation to the last item on this schedule,
- 23 the photograph or photographs taken on 11 January 2016,
- 24 in relation to the EWL slab, area B, bay 4/5, top layer;
- 25 do you see that?

Page 28

Page 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 1 high-tech, absolute record-keeping, is it? It's a bit
- 2 vague. I don't mean that in a condescending way at all.
- 3 I'm just saying, looking at the way you've explained it,
- 4 for example, how long you spent on the site in a day,
- 5 where you were, things like that, it seems to me that,
- 6 at best, what we're looking at is a sort of estimate.
- 7 Would you agree with that?
- 8 A. Agreed, Chairman. For myself, in 2018, when I signed
- 9 these record sheets, my main consideration was whether
- 10 there were any major problems that had happened, without
- 11 following up. According to my recollection --
- 12 CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that, absolutely. Sorry, I may have
- 13 interrupted the interpreter.
- 14 INTERPRETER: We are finished, Mr Chairman.
- 15 CHAIRMAN: Apparently not. Thank you.
- 16 MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I'm inclined, if that's the right
- 17 reference that Mr Boulding has given to us, to leave it
- 18 for re-examination, because I've looked at the first six
- 19 photographs, I've looked at the dates, and the dates
- 20 don't appear on that schedule. So, as I say, if that's
- 21 the right reference, no doubt it can be dealt with in
- 22 some other way, but I'm afraid I can't struggle through
- 23 all those 70 photographs, trying to match up the dates,
- 24 and certainly the first six don't appear on the
- 25 schedule.

1

9 Q. All right. As I understand it, this is essentially

A. Yes, correct.

Q. Did you prepare it?

10 an index, or summary, of the various sheets that follow

I think we now need to look at the next document that

you at least signed. Could we please go to B7/4537.

We can see that on this page, 4537 -- Mr Wong,

A. I think it was Derek Ma who prepared it, my colleague.

11 this document in the file. If you just flick over the

I don't think I prepared this document.

vou've signed this document?

- 12 page, you will see the individual sheets for different
- 13 areas. Do you see that? So one starts with A1, A2, and
- 14 so forth.
- 15 A. Yes, I see them.
- 16 Q. Right. You can confirm that that sheet at the front is
- 17 essentially a summary or an index of the following
- 18 sheets?
- 19 A. Yes, correct.
- 20 Q. Mr Ma has explained to us -- that's Derek Ma has
- 21 explained to us -- that he received a soft copy of
- 22 a template from Leighton, that he modified the document.
- 23 Following discussions with Michael Fu he added the
- 24 words -- if you look at the first sheet, at 4538, he
  - added, or rather Mr Ma added, the words:

Page 26

25

1

5

7

9

- MR BOULDING: Sir, I'm happy to consider that, but I just
- 2 point out my understanding is that these are the
- 3 photographs which are referred to in paragraph 59 of
- 4 Mr Kobe Wong's witness statement on page B435.
- 5 MR PENNICOTT: I'm happy to ask him the general question of
- 6 whether that's right.
- 7 Mr Wong, I'm going to give you a file containing
- 8 some photographs, with dates but without any
- 9 annotations, without any indication what area we're in,
- 10 and ask you to confirm. (Handed).
- 11 Do you see that photograph? Flick through them,
- 12 Mr Wong, please, if you would.
- 13 I don't know if you've seen enough yet, Mr Wong, but
- 14 the general question is this: are these the photographs
- 15 that you looked at to prepare the Excel spreadsheet that
- 16 we have been looking at?
- 17 A. Roughly, yes.

25

- 18 Yes, this is the batch of photos.
- 19 Q. Right. I'm not going to pursue that any further at this
- 20 stage, because there's obviously a huge amount of
- 21 information there. There's no indication on the
- 22 photographs as to the areas, but obviously Mr Wong
- 23 presumably must have been able to work it all out from
- 24 the exercise he carried out.
  - Mr Wong, can we move on in time, as it were, because

"This form serves a retrospective record of coupler

2 installation."

3 Do you see that, at the bottom of the page? Do you 4

see that, Mr Wong?

- A. Yes, I see it. I understand it.
- 6 Q. All right. Then he handed the document to you, but
  - without any of the manuscript on the documents that we
- 8 can see on page, by way of example, 4538.
  - So, pausing there, are the manuscript annotations
- 10 that we see on these sheets, from 4538 and onwards,
- 11 yours, Mr Wong?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Just taking -- let's look at 4539, a slightly better
- 14 example. We're in area A2; do you see that, Mr Wong?
- 15 A. It should be area A, bay 2.
- 16 Q. Yes, area A, bay 2, yes, that's right.
- 17 And area A was an area that you were responsible for
- 18 inspecting, Mr Wong?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. You have, we can see, struck through the letters "NS" in
- 21 relation to the top rebar and the bottom rebar on this
- 22 page?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And in making, as it were, that striking-through, what
- 25 did you rely upon? What was your thinking? What

Page 29 Page 31 allowed you to delete the letters "NS"? 1 1 morning? 2 2 A. I looked at the record photos, and then there were A. Correct. 3 3 photos taken by other colleagues. For this area, there CHAIRMAN: So would you agree what you were attempting to do 4 were never any problems with coupler installation, and 4 was to make it look as if these documents had been 5 then I myself and my colleagues, when carrying out 5 compiled in February 2017, at or about the time that the 6 a site inspection, in the time we did so, I think it internal review came out? 7 could more than cover the assembly process. That's why 7 A. Agreed. 8 MR PENNICOTT: In paragraph 64 of your witness statement --I was confident to strike out "NS". 9 Q. Did you also delete items 5 and 6 on page 4539? 9 but don't lose the sheets -- you say this: 10 10 A. I can't recall whether it was me or Derek who deleted "As mentioned earlier, at the time of signing the 11 these items, because for area A, bay 2, for items 5 11 checklists, I did not check them in great detail, given 12 12 the limited time available. I am now aware that the and 6, they never appeared. That's why they were 13 13 coupler checklists are not entirely accurate, as some of deleted. 14 the diaphragm walls covered by the checklists did not in 14 Q. All right. Sorry, sir. 15 15 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I do apologise again. So your handwritten fact have any couplers in the top layer rebars as 16 deletion of "Not satisfactory" then is based on memory, 16 a result of a change in detailing from the use of 17 17 essentially, is that right, together with the couplers to through-bar lapping. Although I was 18 18 photographs? definitely aware of this change in detailing at the time 19 19 of the works, I unfortunately did not notice the A. Correct. 20 CHAIRMAN: Because you're saying, "We didn't remember any 20 inaccuracies within the checklists when signing them, 21 problem with the coupler installation." That's to quote 21 until it was instructed to find and collate all site 22 what you said a couple of minutes ago. So basically, 22 photos showing construction details of the east 23 23 diaphragm wall, and was subsequently told that this was a lot of these records were based on recollection going 24 24 for the purpose of identifying parts of the diaphragm back a good many months? 25 25 wall and cast-in couplers which had been trimmed away." A. Correct. Page 30 Page 32 CHAIRMAN: Okay. So if we go back to B7 and the sheet at 4561, just 1 2 MR PENNICOTT: Having made the manuscript additions to the 2 to illustrate the point that you have made, on the sheet 3 3 various sheets, Mr Wong, my understanding is that there that we see at 4561, it relates to area C1, bay 3; do 4 was a discussion between yourself and your colleagues, 4 you see that? So it's C1-3. 5 including Mr Ma, that the document should all be 5 A. I saw that. 6 backdated to 10 February 2017; is that correct? 6 Q. On the sheet we see references to T1, T3 and T5. 7 A. Correct. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And, in your own words, Mr Wong, what was the thinking 8 Q. Denoting rebar with couplers. 9 behind that? 9 A. Yes. 10 A. You mean about the date? 10 Q. And in fact there are no couplers in the top part of O. Yes. 11 11 C1-3 because through-bars were adopted. 12 A. In June 2018, I was already relocated to another 12 A. When we checked the photo records, yes, indeed that was 13 13 section, a property project, and my title was no longer the case, there was no. 14 inspector of works; it was site representative at the 14 Q. Yes. And so you were showing, on this sheet at least, 15 time. And since this is a retrospective record, and it 15 having recorded inspections of couplers which you could 16 was the first time I had this arrangement, I had to ask not have inspected because they weren't there? 16 17 17 A. When I checked the photo record, I realised that there which date I should put in. 18 At that time, I was certain that the date would not 18 was none, because there were no couplers. So, for this 19 be in 2015, because this is a retrospective record, and 19 form, I didn't pay enough attention or pay detailed 20 after some discussion it was concluded that 10 February 20 attention. That's why I signed it wrongly. 21 21 2017, because that was the date we had to respond to the Q. So, Mr Wong, just to round this off, having completed 22 22 internal review -- therefore, the date was inserted as these sheets, as I understand it, you handed them back 23 23 10 February 2017. to Derek Ma; is that right? 24 Q. So there was an attempt to tie it back to the internal 24 A. Correct. 25 review that we were looking at at the outset this MR PENNICOTT: Then, obviously, we know what happened

Page 33 Page 35 1 subsequently. 1 Q. Can you tell us the name of your superior who said this 2 2 Thank you very much, Mr Wong. I've got no further to you, who was it? 3 3 questions. Thank you very much. A. Mr Dick Kung. 4 4 MR TO: No questions from China Technology. Q. Thank you. 5 MR CHANG: No questions from Leighton. 5 This morning, when you were asked by Mr Chairman as to who would be the responsible officer in the position 6 MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, there are some questions from the 6 7 government, but I see that we are at 11.23. Perhaps to appoint the specific T3 to be in charge of the 8 8 inspection of the coupling works, and you mentioned two it's a convenient moment for us to take the morning 9 break, so that I can start after the break. 9 possible positions. One is the CP; do you recall that? 10 CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly. 15 minutes. Thank you. 10 A. Yes, correct. 11 (11.22 am)11 Q. You also mentioned the name Mr Jason Wong; do you recall 12 12 that part of your evidence? (A short adjournment) 13 13 (11.43 am) A. Yes, I remember that. Q. An alternative would be a T5, and at that stage you 14 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I just want to ask one thing, Mr Wong. 14 15 mentioned the name James Ho? 15 Over the tea break, just looking back at the records 16 that you prepared and to which you put your name, would 16 A. Yes, correct. 17 you agree that, essentially, they were based on fallible 17 Q. We have received evidence from the construction manager, 18 memory and records that were not highly specific? 18 Mr Kit Chan, who told us that you were the person 19 19 A. I did do the records based on memory but not fallible or supposed to carry out the duty of the quality control 20 20 supervisor for inspecting the couplers installation vague. 21 CHAIRMAN: All right. Were you quite happy to sign these 21 work. 22 records? 22 To be fair to you, may I refer you to that 23 23 A. When you say happy or satisfactory -particular part of the transcript: Day 26, page 105, 24 line 5, when I asked Mr Chan: 24 CHAIRMAN: No, my question is quite clear, I think. When 25 "So you are telling us that for the purpose of the 25 you were asked to sign these template records which Page 36 Page 34 1 you've been shown, were you happy to do so, and to 1 QSP, the quality control supervisor designated to take 2 backdate them? 2 care of the supervision of the ductile couplers 3 A. This was because my superior, Mr James Ho, requested me 3 installation was Kobe Wong? 4 4 Answer: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN: That's a different question. My question is not 5 Question: So it's not Derek Ma? 6 who asked you to sign. My question is: were you -- as 6 Answer: No. Derek Ma is an engineer who's 7 an individual, at that moment in time, with the 7 responsible for rebar checking. The coupler 8 knowledge that you had of the nature of these records --8 installation checking, based on the arrangement at that 9 9 you happy to sign them? time, material time, are the inspectors." 10 A. To myself, I have no problem. 10 So our understanding of Mr Kit Chan's evidence is CHAIRMAN: All right. So you were happy to sign? 11 that, to him, it was quite clear that you were the A. Yes. 12 12 person who was supposed to carry out that duty. 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 13 Am I right in thinking that, at the time, he never let 14 Cross-examination by MR CHOW 14 you know you should be discharging that duty; is that 15 MR CHOW: Good morning, Mr Wong. My name is Anthony Chow 15 correct? 16 and I represent the government. The government has some 16 A. Correct. He did not assign me to do this inspection or 17 questions for you in relation to the evidence that you 17 checking. 18 have given so far. 18 Q. For the purpose of the record, can I also refer you to 19 Mr Wong, my first question is that I recall that 19 the site supervision plan, at bundle B6/4081. This is 20 this morning you mentioned that you were told by your 20 part of the site supervision plan dated November 2015. 21 superior that the steel fixing work will be inspected by 21 This shows the various personnel under the CP stream, 22 the construction engineer, and you also told that it is 22 and Mr Kit Chan, at that point, first of all, was 23 not your job to look at the couplers installation. Do 23 qualified as a T5 TCP, and at the same time he was also 24 you still recall that? 24 the CP representative; do you see that? 25 A. Yes, correct, I remember that. A. Yes.

Page 37 Page 39 1 Q. So, as far as you are concerned, based on what you have 1 what you yourself have admitted. 2 told us this morning, he would have the authority to 2 A. I understand. This was because, in 2018, my position 3 appoint the candidate for taking up the position of the 3 and title was different. I was in longer inspector of 4 4 quality control supervisor; is that correct? works. So, therefore, I had to enquire what date 5 A. Yes, correct. 5 I should put in for these retrospective records. Q. Now, I would like to move on to the retrospective 6 6 Of course I understand that I should not say that these 7 records. Can I refer you to paragraph 49 of your 7 records were made in 2015. 8 statement, at bundle B1, page 433, please. If I may, 8 MR CHOW: Is it your evidence now, so long as it is put on 9 I would like to remind you what you have said in those 9 the face of the document, telling people that this is 10 paragraphs, 49 and 50: 10 a retrospective record, it would be okay for you; is 11 "After the first media report in late May 2018 11 that right? 12 regarding allegations of defective steel works under 12 A. If it is a retrospective document and it is expressly 13 contract 1112, various MTRCL members of staff (including 13 stated that it was retrospective, I think it's okay. 14 Mr James Ho, Mr Derek Ma, Mr Louis Kwan, Mr Arthur Wang 14 Q. Okay. Now, paragraphs 52 and 53 of your witness 15 and myself) began to gather evidence in response to what 15 statement, bundle B1, page 433, where you said: 16 has been alleged in the media report, and I assisted 16 "Afterwards, Mr James Ho told me that Leighton had 17 with collecting and collating the relevant site photos 17 by then retrospectively prepared a set of record sheets 18 from MTRC's project server. Other than collating and 18 for the EWL slab, although I had not actually seen 19 19 providing some relevant site photos, I had no a physical copy at the time. He asked me whether I was 20 involvement at all in the preparation and drafting of 20 willing to countersign those record sheets, and 21 the MTRC report dated 15 June 2018. 21 I vehemently said that I was not willing to do so in 22 Shortly thereafter, in or around early June 2018, 22 these circumstances when Leighton had failed to keep any 23 23 I ran into LCAL's Mr Edward Mok and Ms Mini Lo and contemporaneous record sheets as required by the QSP. 24 learned that they were preparing the record sheets for 24 Furthermore, I distinctly remember raising the 25 the EWL slab at that time. I naturally asked if they 25 concern that I was only a T3 site supervisor for the ELS Page 38 Page 40 were willing to sign those record sheets, but they were 1 1 [slab], such that I did not consider myself to be the 2 adamant that they were not prepared to sign any 2 competent or appropriate person to sign the so-called 3 retrospective record sheets after the event." 3 record sheets retrospectively prepared and provided by 4 May I ask why would you ask them whether they were 4 LCAL." 5 willing to sign the retrospective record sheets at that 5 Now, what you said in paragraph 53 is not entirely 6 point? 6 clear to me as to your concern. Your concern, am I --7 A. This is because these record sheets were supposed to 7 which one is it? You thought it would be wrong to 8 have been signed by them first, so that's why 8 create inspection records retrospectively, or your 9 subconsciously I asked them whether they were going to 9 concern is you consider yourself not an appropriate 10 sign them. 10 person or not competent to sign on the record sheet? 11 Q. So am I right in thinking that, as far as you are 11 Which one is your real concern, the former or the 12 concerned, it wouldn't be right for them to sign on the 12 latter? 13 record sheets which were prepared retrospectively? 13 A. My concern was that I was not the T3 site supervisor 14 A. Could you repeat the question? 14 assigned to do the formal acceptance. 15 Q. As far as you are concerned, would it be right for 15 Q. Okay. Perhaps, at this point, can I ask you to go to 16 someone to sign on record sheets which were prepared 16 look at a document: bundle H14, page 35067. 17 17 retrospectively? Right. Do you have any -- at the time when Mr James 18 A. If the records were specified to be retrospective 18 Ho asked you whether you were prepared to sign on the 19 records, there should be no problem, because it was made 19 set of record sheets, did he show you similar document 20 clear that these records were retrospectively made. 20 as we see now on the screen? 21 There was no concealment that these records were 21 A. I did not see those documents. 22 retrospective. 22 Q. How about the other document, at bundle G12/9883, 23 CHAIRMAN: Well, with respect, there was. You yourself have 23 please? How about this one? 24 said that it was dated 2017 in order to give the 24 A. Not at that time. 25 appearance that they were made at that time. That's Q. So, basically, your conversation -- on that occasion,

Page 44

### Page 41

- 1 Mr James Ho simply asked you whether you were prepared
- 2 to sign something called a record sheet, without showing
- 3 you what documents he had in mind; is that right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Now, this morning Mr Pennicott has already taken you to
- 6 a summary table that you prepared, at bundle H14,
- 7 page 35070.
- 8 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just before we move on there -- in
- 9 an affidavit or an affirmation, you have said that there
- was a time when you were very strongly opposed to
- signing these record sheets, but this morning, to me,
- 12 you have said that in fact, in contemplation, looking
- back on everything you have said this morning, and
- reassessing the situation, you were happy to sign; is
- 15 that right?
- 16 A. Sorry, Chairman, could you repeat your question, please?
- 17 CHAIRMAN: All right. In this affirmation, you've said you
- were strongly opposed to signing at that time; yes?
- 19 A. Now I understand. I was strongly opposed.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: You have said to me, after we have debated the
- 21 issue for a good period of time this morning, that
- looking back on everything, yes, you were happy to sign
- 23 at that time.
- 24 A. Chairman, what I meant was I was strongly opposed
- against signing the records provided by Leighton, but

# actually mentioned he was asked by Mr James Ho to sign

- tham
- 2 them.
- 3 CHAIRMAN: I know. I'm just wanting to see where there's
- 4 consistency or not, if you see what I mean.
- 5 MR CHOW: Sorry.
- 6 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.
- 7 Thank you. Yes, Mr Chow.
- 8 MR CHOW: Mr Wong, you recognise this document that
- 9 Mr Pennicott took you to this morning?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You yourself prepared this document; correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So everything that we see on this document was, to
- a certain extent, typed up by you; is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. At the bottom of the page, it was your signature as
- well?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, based on what you have just told us, that so long
- as we put on the face of the document telling people
- 21 that this is a retrospective record, it will be okay; do
- you recall that part of your evidence?
- 23 A. Yes, I did say so.
- 24 Q. Do you agree with me that there is no indication on this
- document telling people that this document was actually

# Page 42

- 1 I was happy to sign our own internal records.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you. So you thought that these
- 3 were Leighton records you were signing?
- 4 A. The Leighton records I would not sign.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Were you asked to sign the Leighton records?
- 6 A. Just now, it was mentioned -- I was asked if Mr So asked
- 7 me whether I would sign the records, and I indicated
- 8 that I would not sign the Leighton records, when Mr Ho
- 9 asked me.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: My question is a simple one: were you, at any
- time, asked to countersign Leighton records?
- 12 A. Chairman, you mean countersigning the retrospective
- records of Leighton, or any records?
- 14 CHAIRMAN: No. Please listen to my questions. I do try to
- make them simple, and my apologies if I am being
- overcomplicated. Were you at any time asked to sign or
- 17 countersign Leighton records of a similar kind to the
- 18 records which you in fact signed?
- 19 A. I wouldn't sign them. The Leighton records, I wouldn't
- sign them.
- 21 CHAIRMAN: So you were asked to sign, were you, or did you
- 22 merely make a statement to that general effect, that if
- you were asked you wouldn't?
- 24 A. Mr Ho did ask me to sign them.
- 25 MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, actually, in paragraph 52, he

- 1 prepared in early June this year?
- 2 A. Yes, correct.
- 3 Q. And as a matter of fact, this document was prepared by
- 4 you not until June this year; correct?
- 5 A. Yes, correct, because it's a summary.
- 6 Q. Fair enough.
- Now, can you tell me why, at that point, in June
- 8 2018, a few months earlier, you still put your job title
- 9 as IoW?
- 10 A. I think that's a typo.
- 11 Q. You told this Commission that since November 2015, you
- have been promoted to the position of a senior IoW; do
- 13 you recall that?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Now, if I can refer you to one of the emails that you
- issued shortly after you had been promoted --
- 17 bundle C12, page 8127 -- on 15 December 2015, when you
- talk about the incident of bar cutting.
- Now, that happened shortly after you had been
- promoted. We can see that you have not been slow in
- 21 telling people that your new position is senior
- 22 inspector of works II-civil; am I correct?
- 23 A. You mean I didn't tell people or did I tell people?
- 24 Because here the title does say inspector of works II.
- 25 Q. Yes, we can all see that.

Page 45 1 Then can you tell me, once again, after more than 1 2 2 two and a half years you have been promoted to a new 3 3 position, you would make a mistake, as you described 4 4 earlier, by claiming yourself to be an IoW when you 5 prepared the summary table, using your title more than 5 6 two and a half years ago and during the time when you 6 7 were looking after the EWL slab? 7 8 8 A. When I prepared the summary table, I believe it was just 9 a typo, so I typed my title wrong. 9 10

Q. Can you also tell us -- if you can go back to the 11 summary table that we have just looked at -- bundle H14, 12 page 35070 -- can you also tell us why you did not date 13 this document when you signed it? 14 A. Well, this is just a summary. It's a summary. So my 15 understanding is there is no need to put a date on it.

16 Q. Let me give you some background of how the government 17 got to look at this document. This document was shown 18 to the government inspector in early June this year, to 19 look at the records, the contemporaneous records, in 20 relation to the inspection of the couplers installation, 21 and this document was shown by people in the MTRC office 22 to the government inspector, and at the same time the

installation, it was sensitive at the moment and that's why copies could not be made for the government, and in

government was informed that in relation to the couplers

Page 47 not to mislead people into believing that this is

a document made contemporaneously, you would have put in

some note on the document to make sure that whoever

would come across this document would not be misled? Do

you agree with me?

A. I agree.

Q. Now, regarding the supporting documents for compiling this summary, you refer to a set of photos saved in the

company's server; do you recall that?

10 A. Yes, I did.

11 Q. Part of the photos, as I understand your evidence this

12 morning, were taken by you yourself?

13 A. Yes, correct.

14 Q. There were also other photos taken by other inspectors

15 or colleagues of MTRC; is that correct?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. So, when you prepared this summary, had you exhausted

18 all the photos available to you in the company's server

19 for compiling this table?

20 A. If you're just referring to this form, this summary 21 form, I only looked at my own photos.

22 Q. I see. Can I now -- we will come back to this later on,

23 but at the moment I would like to refer you to the

24 checklist that you have signed. Can I just remind you

what you said in paragraph 55 onwards, in relation to

25

2

3

4

5

1 this set of retrospective records.

Now, paragraph 55:

"Having reviewed those site photos (which had been uploaded to the SCL project server contemporaneously), I then compiled an Excel spreadsheet summarising the

6 dates and locations of the photos taken. A hard copy of 7 that spreadsheet was provided to Mr James Ho for

8 consideration, but he considered that the summary was 9 not sufficiently detailed, and he asked if I was willing

10 to prepare and sign a more detailed set of records. At

11 that point, I was assured by Mr Ho that the proposed set 12 of records would only act as an internal record.

13 I understood this to mean that it was only for the use

14 of myself, Mr Ho, Mr Derek Ma, Mr Louis Kwan and 15

Mr Arthur Wang, and would not be circulated to any other

16 17 Thereafter, I understand that Mr James Ho instructed

18 Mr Derek Ma to prepare a first set of checklists

entitled 'Checklist for on-site assembly of EWL slab to D-wall/slab couplers', a hard copy of which was printed

out and handed to me at the Hung Hom site office.

22 During the discussions with Mr Ho and Mr Ma,

I understood from Mr Ma that the checklists prepared by

him covered around 20 per cent of the rebars/couplers

installed on site. Above all, Mr Ma assured me once

Page 46

those circumstances an inspector took out his phone and

2 then took a photo, and that is how we got possession of

3 this document. So this is the background; right? Do

4 you follow me?

23

24

25

1

5 A. Yes, I understand.

6 Q. At the time when you prepared this summary table, do you

7 know whether this document would be shown to the

8 government or not?

9 A. I didn't know that.

10 Q. This document was prepared by you. Was it under the 11 instructions from James Ho?

12 A. Yes, correct.

13 Q. What did Mr James Ho tell you when he gave you the 14 instruction to prepare this summary?

15 A. He said that the MTR should prepare an internal record.

16 Q. So do you expect that what you are going to prepare 17 would be shown to persons other than Mr James Ho?

18 A. At that time, I did not know.

19 Q. Then did you ask Mr James Ho as to what information that 19 20

20 you were supposed to include in the document that he

21 asked you to prepare?

22 A. He did not say in detail what should be included in the

23 document. So I just looked at the record photos and 24 then I put the information here.

Q. Do you agree with me that, given that it is your concern

Page 48

21

23

24

Page 49

- 1 again that these checklists would only act as
- 2 an internal retrospective record of my recollection not
- 3 to be circulated to any parties outside our team of
- 4 Mr Ho, Mr Ma, Mr Louis Kwan and Mr Arthur Wang.
- 5 During the same discussions, it was decided that the
- 6 checklists should be dated with a date after the
- 7 internal review, ie 10 February 2017, as these
- 8 checklists were prepared with the intention of
- 9 responding to and addressing the recommendations
- 10 therein. As far as I was concerned, I was sure that
- 11 those checklists could not and should not be dated back
- 12 to 2015, as they were merely a retrospective internal
- 13 record for the purpose of satisfying myself that we had
- 14 carried out sufficient site surveillance in respect of
- 15 the coupler installations."
- 16 Further, in paragraph 59, you said:
- 17 "Based on my memory of my site surveillance 18 activities at the time, and having previously reviewed
- 19 the site photos taken by myself and other ...
- 20 supervisors, I was satisfied that we did carry out more
- 21 than enough site surveillance covering the coupler
- 22 installation works, and I proceeded to fill in those
- 23 checklists. I did not check the numbers or drawings
- 24 referred to in the checklists in detail, as Mr Derek Ma
  - prepared the checklists and I relied on the information

Page 50

- 1
- 2
- 3

25

- 4
- 5 you, to give you an impression that he was urgently in
- 6 need of that set of checklists? What did he tell you,
- 7 insofar as you can recollect?
- 8 A. I can no longer recollect the actual or exact
- 9 conversation. I remember that he needed the records
- 10 urgently.
- 11 Q. Did he tell you why he was in need of it urgently?
- 12 A. I didn't ask.
- 13 Q. All right.
- 14 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one thing which puzzles
- 15 me. You have said in this affirmation that you were
- 16 assured that the records were only intended for a very
- small group of people who worked with you; correct? 17
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: If that was the case, and they were purely
- 20 internal records, why would anybody have to sign them?
- 21 Why would anybody have to date them? They're just
- 22 internal records for you and three or four other
- 23 co-engineers to be able to assure yourself of whatever
- 24 it was you wished to be assured of. Why were you, in
- 25 particular, required to sign?

A. That was a requirement of Mr James Ho.

- 2 CHAIRMAN: All right. You didn't think it a bit strange,
  - especially when you had to date it as well, because it
- 3 4 seems to give a certain formality to documents which
- 5 you've said you were assured were only for internal
- 6 records, to be seen by just four or five people?
- 7 A. At that time, that were lot of documents -- information
- 8 that needed to be collected. I didn't have time to
- 9 think things carefully. I only knew that this should be
- 10 an internal document.
- CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you. 11
- MR CHOW: Now, Mr Wong, in paragraph 57, when you talk 12
- 13 about -- when you try to explain why the document was
- 14 backdated to 10 February 2017, you refer to an internal
- 15 review and you said the intention was to respond to and
- 16 address to the recommendations therein.
- 17 Now, this is the problem that I have, and with due
- 18 respect, I don't quite follow it. What happened, at
- 19 that stage, in early -- from the end of May up to early
- 20 June, as you and Mr Derek Ma have described what
- 21 actually happened, if I may gather, sort of give you
- 22 a summary -- it's that at the end of May, there were
- 23 widespread reports regarding problems with couplers in
- 24
- the Hung Hom Station, and because of that, you were 25
  - asked by James Ho as to whether you were prepared to

Page 52

- he had incorporated therein. Moreover, I was under the
- impression from Mr James Ho that he urgently required
- those checklists."
  - Now, may I first ask you what did Mr James Ho say to

- 1 sign on a set of record sheets, which you refused. Do
- 2 you recall that?
- 3 A. Yes, I remember that.
- 4 Q. Then you refused to do so, you were asked to prepare
- 5 a summary sheet by Mr James Ho, you have just told us;
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And Mr James Ho found that a summary sheet in such form
- 9 was not sufficient, and therefore he asked you whether
- 10 you are ready to prepare a more detailed set of records.
- 11 Do you recall that?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Then you have Mr Derek Ma, all of a sudden, prepared
- 14 a detailed set of records for you; do you recall that?
- 15 A. Yes, I remember that.
- 16 Q. And asked you to sign; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. Then you also mentioned to us that Mr James
- 19 Ho somehow gave you the impression he was urgently in
- 20 need of that set of documents. Do you recall that?
- 21 A. Yes, I remember that.
- 22 Q. How would a person believe that the purpose of signing
  - that set of documents was to address a report which was
- 24 published more than one and a half years ago, the
- 25 internal review report, in such circumstances?

Page 56

Page 53

- 1 A. You mean believing the date 10 February 2017? Could you
- 2 repeat the question?
- 3 Q. Given that a serious event happened from the end of May
- 4 up to the moment you were asked to sign on a set of
- 5 detailed records prepared by Derek Ma, my suggestion is
- 6 that a reasonable person would not honestly believe that
- 7 the purpose of signing that set of documents was to
- 8 address a report that was published more than one and
- 9 a half years ago. Do you agree with me?
- 10 A. This is because, when these record sheets were prepared,
- 11 as I said, I was already relocated to another section,
- 12 the project division, and to my understanding these
- 13 retrospective records would not put the date 2015.
- 14 After some discussion, we concluded that 10 February
- 15 2017 was a more appropriate date. I also mention that
- 16 this was an internal document, so only for reference by
- the few of us. 17
- 18 O. Now, this morning, Mr Pennicott also showed you details
- 19 of the relevant part of the internal review report; do
- 20 you recall that?
- 21 A. I remember that.
- 22 Q. The specific part that has been shown to you includes
- 23 a recommendation section; do you recall that?
- 24 A. I remember.
- 25 Q. If I may call up the same document -- bundle B7,

- 1 an attempt to address this particular recommendation set
- 2 out in the internal review report; right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. At that point, shortly after the report was published?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. And upon being informed by Leighton that no such record
- 7 existed, the matter was put at rest, at that point, and
- 8 no further action was taken by MTRC or your team?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Can you think of any justification that all of a sudden,
- 11 one and a half years later, in early June 2018, there
- 12 was a need to prepare a whole set of inspection records
- 13 just to address this particular recommendation?
- 14 A. Concerning the date, it was arrived at after some
- 15 discussion among the colleagues. This is because, at
- 16 that time, the general direction was that the report or
- 17 the record would not be backdated to 2015, therefore it
- 18 was decided to use 2017.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: No, I think the question is: can you think of any
- 20 reason why, in June 2018, when no action had been taken
- 21 for over a year or so in respect of the recommendation
- 22 in this report, you would then suddenly be asked to
- 23 prepare records which apparently were to meet
- 24 a recommendation in the report?
- 25 MR CHOW: Thank you, Mr Chairman.

Page 54

1

7

10

15

- page 4519 -- now, under section 5.1, "Recommended
- 2 follow-up actions", the second bullet point requires you
- 3

1

- 4 "Confirm the frequency of Leighton and MTRC
  - supervision were in compliance with the requirement of
- 6 the QSP, and were recorded on the record sheet ..."
- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 A. Yes, I saw that.
- 9 Q. Do you agree with me that what is recommended in this
- 10 report is to ask you or the MTRC staff to go away and
- 11 confirm that proper supervision has been provided and
- 12 there were records recording the supervision provided?
- 13 It never asked you to go away and prepare a new set of
- 14 records to cover that?
- 15 A. Could you repeat the question?
- 16 CHAIRMAN: I agree, it's a little ambiguous.
- 17 MR CHOW: All right. Okay. Then I will move on.
- CHAIRMAN: All right. 18
- 19 MR CHOW: Now, earlier, in relation to this recommendation,
- 20 you also told us that actually, action was taken at that
- 21 time. Somebody went to ask Leighton as to whether they
- 22 had kept a contemporaneous record, and they were told by
- 23 Leighton that it did not exist; do you recall that?
- 24 A. I remember that.
- Q. So action was actually taken in response or in

- A. I didn't think too much about this, or along this line.
- 2 Q. In paragraph 57 of your statement, you actually go on to 3 say a second reason:
- 4 "... I was sure that those checklists could not and 5 should not be dated back to 2015, as they were merely
- 6 a retrospective internal record for the purpose of
  - satisfying [yourself] ..."
- 8 This is where I would like you to explain to us.
- 9 Why would there be a need, after so many years, to put
  - so much effort in creating this set of documents for the
- 11 mere purpose of satisfying yourself?
- 12 A. Sorry, please let me take a look first.
- 13 I believe what this sentence means is that I was
- 14 clear that these records would certainly not be dated
  - back to 2015, because it was a set of internal and
- 16 retrospective records.
- 17 Also, these documents were based on sufficient site
- 18 surveillance and then there were satisfactory outcome. 19 I think that's what it should mean; "satisfying" means
- 20 satisfied about the coupler -- being satisfactory about
- 21 the coupler installations.
- 22 Q. Am I right to say that what is more appropriate would
- 23 be -- perhaps you can disagree with me; right? -- what
- 24 you should have put is "as they were merely
- 25 a retrospective internal record for the purpose of

Page 57

- satisfying the government that we had carried out
- 2 sufficient site surveillance in respect of the coupler
- 3 installations"; would it be more appropriate for you to
- 4 put that, as opposed to satisfying yourself?
- 5 A. Perhaps I can put it this way. When these records were
- 6 prepared, they were meant to be an internal document.
- 7 I did not expect them to be made public or to be passed
- 8 on to people outside of my team.
- 9 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I ask: if you had been told that
- they may be used externally, that is perhaps to be
- considered by government, what would have been your
- reaction, as to the request for you to put your
- signature onto these records, that signature, in the
- normal course of events, certifying that you saw them as
- being accurate and good faith documents?
- 16 A. I understand. If the documents were to be passed on to
- other parties such as the government, then I believe it
- should be the relevant T3 person to sign the forms.
- 19 CHAIRMAN: But you didn't know who that was?
- 20 A. Yes, I did not ask who was responsible for this.
- 21 MR CHOW: We know that the set of documents that you have
- signed, the checklist that you have signed and backdated
- 23 to 10 February 2017 was subsequently submitted by MTRC
- 24 to the government on 15 June 2018, this year. Are you
- aware of that fact?

1 15 June?

- 2 A. On that occasion, when I went back to the office, I did
- 3 not see the original copy. There was a scanned copy.
- 4 Q. Yes. So you realised that on that day; is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So did you ask Mr James Ho what has become of the
- 7 original set of documents that you signed, why it
- 8 disappeared?
- 9 A. I did not ask him on that day, I suppose, I believe,
- because I thought they might just have taken it for
- 11 a look.
- 12 Q. Right. Let's go to have a detailed look at the
- documents that you have signed. Perhaps bundle B7,
- 14 page 4555, please.
- Right. So this is one of the checklists that you
- have signed. We see that at the lower part of the
- document, there are six items, which suggest to the
- reader that those are the items that you have checked in
- 19 particular; do you agree with me?
- 20 A. Yes, I agree, but for items 5 and 6, they shouldn't be
- there, so I just omitted to delete them.
- 22 Q. Yes, I know that. Now, do you agree with me that based
- on the description of the first four items -- well,
- 24 perhaps you can take a look at the description first and
  - then I will ask my question.

Page 58

25

Page 60

- 1 A. I was not aware that my internal documents would be attached to the report.
- attached to the report.Q. So are you saying that before today you were not aware
- 4 of this fact? So I just told you; is that the position?
- 5 A. I meant, for the report submitted to the government in
- 6 June, when the report was given to the government, I did
- 7 not know that that document was attached to it, because
- 8 I was not involved in the drafting of the report.
- 9 Q. At any stage before today, were you aware of the fact
- that the documents that you have signed were submitted
- 11 to the government?
- 12 A. Yes, I know about that. When I gave the witness 13 statement for this Commission, I knew about it.
- 14 Q. Okay. In paragraph 63 of your witness statement, you
- 15 mention that at one point you returned to the site
- office and you couldn't find the hard copy of the
- 17 checklists that you had left on your desk. Do you
- recall that? Paragraph 63, page --
- 19 A. Yes, I remember.
- 20 Q. Can you tell us what was the purpose of your visit on
- 21 15 June this year?
- 22 A. James Ho asked me to go back to continue with the
- 23 collection of information.
- 24 Q. And as at that point you realised that the set of
- documents you signed disappeared, is that correct, as on

- 1 A. (In English) Okay.
- 2 Q. All right. Do you agree that on the basis of the
- description, it is quite clear that what you were
- 4 expected to do is to supervise and look at the coupling
- 5 installation process?
- 6 A. Agreed.
- 7 Q. You agree?
- 8 A. Agree.
- 9 Q. So am I right to say that you have not supervised or
- inspected such process for all the coupling installation
- 11 works?
- 12 A. Perhaps I could say a bit more here. How could I look
- at all four items in one go? The first item, "Couplers
- fully screwed & fitted", that means the couplers are
- properly screwed with the threaded rebar. And items 2
- and 3, they just refer to the threads. One is the
- thread inside the coupler, the other is the thread on
- the rebar. And the fourth item is about successful
- 19 installation.
- 20 During our day-to-day site surveillance, I would
- just stand at a distance not too far away, perhaps just where you are, that's the distance, and then I would
  - where you are, that's the distance, and then I would look at the bar benders doing their work. So they would
  - take a bar from a certain location.
    - Now, these are threaded rebars produced by BOSA.

23

2

- 1 There would be a red plastic protective cap -- usually 2 it's red -- I would see the worker take out the rebar 3 and then he would break away the protective cap. That 4 means it would meet the requirements of item 3, that is 5 there are threads on the rebar, so that's not a problem.
  - Then usually there would be one or two workers, so at least two workers, because the rebars is of a certain length, maybe 1.8 metres or 2 metres, so it's not possible for just one worker to do the installation.

Now, the worker would remove the plastic protective cap and for this rebar without the cap, then the worker would try to fix it. One worker would be at the front end, the other worker at the other end of the rebar, because the rebar is rather heavy.

I can give you an example. Let's say you want to screw in the plastic cap of a bottle, and when you do the screwing you don't need to concentrate and look at it and then the cap would have been screwed on to the bottle, and if that's the case, because the threads are proper, that's why you could screw the cap onto the bottle. So, at that point, I would watch the two bar benders successfully screwing the rebar into the coupler. I would also check at the time, for two workers, how long it took to screw in a rebar into the coupler, and then I would know how many layers there Page 63

- Q. Okay. That's enough for my question. What I'm trying 1 to get at is -- sorry.
- 3 Do you recall that at one stage you signed on
- 4 a number of checklists which you considered represent
- 5 20 per cent of the total number of couplers, and later
- 6 on, when your team realised that 20 per cent was just
- 7 not good enough because the EWL slab required 8 50 per cent, then you signed a further checklist? Do
- 9 you recall that process?
- 10 A. Yes, I recall that.
- 11 Q. And those checklists were not prepared by you, they were
- prepared by Derek Ma; is that correct? 12
- 13 A. Correct.

17

- 14 Q. Now, if you have not inspected 100 per cent of all the
- 15 couplers, how can you be sure there's no checklists
- 16 which are location-specific, prepared by Mr Derek Ma,
  - were the locations that you actually inspected?
- 18 A. Because I checked the record photos. When Mr Ma
- 19 prepared the record, before he did so, he talked to me,
- 20 and I gave him a general direction, based on all the
- 21 record photos taken by myself and my team, and these
- 22 photos would cover the 50 per cent that I had signed in
- 23 the internal records.
- 24 Q. While we are on this checklist, you mentioned to us that 25 this checklist was actually prepared by Derek Ma, and --

Page 62

Page 64

- were, how many bars there were initially, and then 1
- 2 I could work out how much time it would take,
- 3 approximately.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

Then, after the installation was done, the workers would walk away and I would approach and do inspection on item 4.

As for the footnotes here, the maximum, only 1 to 1.5 threads could be exposed, so I would check at that point whether more than 1 to 1.5 threads were exposed, and if, from my observation, there was no problem with that, then I could say confidently, for all four items, they were completed satisfactorily.

Q. Mr Wong, believe it or not, I knew you were going to say 14 that, but I'm not going to investigate with you at this stage. I will come back to it.

But you haven't answered my question. My question was you have not supervised the coupling installation work for all the couplers in the EWL slab. Can you give a simple answer to that? You have not?

- 20 A. 100 per cent no, and it was not necessary.
- 21 Now, under the QSP requirement, it's 20 per cent or 22 50 per cent. I believe I and my team have most 23 definitely met that requirement. In reality, it's not
- 24 possible to do 100 per cent supervision, I could confirm 25 that.

- do you recall that? 1
- 2 A. I remember.
- 3 Q. Can you give us an explanation why Mr Derek Ma made the
  - same mistake as you did, by referring to you as an IoW,
- 5 instead of a senior IoW?
- 6 A. This is because, at that time, there was a pressing
- 7 timetable and we had to do it hurriedly, and we didn't
- 8 pay too much attention on the title, and there was
- 9

- 10 Q. So you are suggesting that two separate persons making
- the same inadvertent mistake was a coincidence? 11
- 12 A. I agree.
- 13 Q. Do you agree with me that that would give an impression
- 14 to people reading your report that those are at least
- 15 documents prepared close to the time when the works were
- 16 carried out when you were an inspector of works?
- 17 A. This is why it's said it's a retrospective record.
- CHAIRMAN: Sorry, what rank did you hold in February 2017? 18
- 19 A. (In English) A senior inspector of works II.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Now, you've said that you backdated it to
- 21 2017 to give the impression that that's when it was
- 22 prepared, as a retrospective document at that time, and
- 23 would it not follow that you would then give the rank
- 24 that you had at that time?
- 25 A. I agree. That's why I said it was wrongly put there.

Page 65 Page 67 1 In 2017, I should have put in SIoW-II, senior inspector 1 Professor, you got a hard copy of that document 2 of works II. 2 yesterday. Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN: All right. 3 Do you have a hard copy of the layout plan? 4 MR CHOW: Now, I would like to look at another summary sheet 4 Could Mr Wong be given a copy, please. (Handed). 5 that you prepared. Actually, we have already looked at 5 According to the hard copy of the document 24199, it this morning. I wonder whether we can put the two 6 6 the rebar fixing works for C1, bay 3, did not commence 7 documents side by side. The first one is the first 7 until 22 August 2015? 8 version of the summary sheet, bundle H14, page 35070. 8 A. Yes, I can see that. 9 While we keep this document on the screen, if we can 9 Q. Right. This is one of the entries in your earlier 10 version of the summary which is apparently wrong; do you then call up another document, at bundle B7, page 4537. 10 11 These two documents we have looked at already this 11 see that? Do you agree? 12 morning. The one on the left, if we can scroll down to A. For the date on the summary table, it was actually the 12 13 the bottom, again, this document was not dated by you 13 date of the photo, and for the photo for the western 14 when you signed, and again your position was somehow 14 D-wall, maybe the coupler installation in western D-wall 15 wrongly described. So this is again a coincidence; 15 had commenced. 16 right, is that your evidence? 16 But, for this summary table, for C1 the rebar fixing 17 A. For the document to the right-hand side of the screen, 17 only started on 22 August 2015, so perhaps they would 18 this was prepared at the very early stage. I think 18 first install the couplers in the western D-wall before 19 Mr Derek Ma made use of this document to prepare the 19 they formally commenced the rebar fixing at the bottom 20 document to the left, and therefore he may have 20 layer. So that's why there was a difference or 21 misunderstood that my title at the time was IoW. And 21 discrepancy between this date and the other date. 22 when I signed the document, I didn't pay attention to 22 Perhaps we could look at the photo dated 4 August 23 23 the title put down in the document, whether it was IoW 2015 and you would understand. Maybe they had done 24 or SIoW. But those documents were wrong and I did not 24 coupler installation on 4 August 2015 and stopped for 25 rectify them. 25 a while, and that's why there was a difference in the Page 68 Page 66 Q. Mr Wong, I want to ensure that I clearly hear what you 1 two dates. You may have misunderstood it to mean 1 2 said. You said Mr Derek Ma has mistaken your position 2 there's a problem. 3 3 even in June this year. Is that your evidence just now? MR BOULDING: Sir, if you want to look at the photo, I'm 4 A. You mean whether he had mistaken my position? You have 4 told it's at B24216. 5 to ask him. 5 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 6 Q. Well, this -- I thought that's what your answer was just 6 MR CHOW: Yes, Mr Wong. What can we see from this photo, 7 7 which shows the commencement of the reinforcement for now. 8 A. No. When we were preparing this document, we were under 8 9 9 a pressing timetable. Perhaps he did not pay attention A. Now, we are all looking at this photo, you can see here 10 to this area. 10 this is obviously the western D-wall, because you can O. Now, if we compare the entries in these two summary 11 see at the back there was the white bit, that's the 11 12 sheets -- now, the one on the right is an earlier 12 waterproofing membrane and it's only for the western 13 13 version -- or perhaps I should ask you: is the one on D-wall where there's the waterproofing membrane. 14 the right an earlier version of the other summary sheet 14 Then you see the vertical couplers installation. In 15 on the left? 15 my summary, on 4 August, I mention a photo; this is 16 A. Yes, correct. The document to the right was an earlier exactly the one. Also it says here "western D-wall", in 16 17 17 terms of location on the summary. Then at the bottom version 18 Q. Right. Now, first of all, what we have noticed from the 18 you see a few rows of coupler marked red here. 19 earlier version is that, first of all, some of the dates 19 I mentioned the plastic protective cap, and that's the 20 20 were wrong. For example, for area C1, bay 3, you protection for the rebar. 21 21 indicated that the date of your couplers inspection was So it's obvious that on 4 August they did not start 22 on 4 August 2015; do you see that? 22 the bottom layer rebar fixing yet. So it says that the 23 A. Yes. 23 rebar fixing date commencement was 22 August on the 24 24 Q. If we look at the layout plan where we have set out all layout plan, and you might therefore misunderstand that 25 25 the dates of concreting -- I understand, Mr Chairman and the fixing hasn't started, but maybe it's just that

Page 69

- 1 they've already started the installation of the vertical
- 2 couplers.
- 3 Q. Mr Wong, are you saying that the way we should read your
- 4 summary table, when you put an entry of 4 August 2015
- 5 for bay C1-3, it should not be interpreted as the date
- 6 when you carry out the couplers installation check for
- 7 the slab, but simply the couplers on the west diaphragm
- 8 wall has been exposed; is that right? Is that how we
- 9 should read your summary table?
- 10 A. Well, actually, let me describe this once again. In
- 11 this photo, you see rather new rebars and couplers.
- 12 This is already in the structure of the EWL slab. So
- 13 that's why I put an annotation "western diaphragm wall".
- 14 So the location is the western diaphragm wall, but it's
- 15 still for EWL slab.
- 16 Q. Can I ask one more question before the lunch break. So
- 17 4 August, the second entry in the summary table,
- 18 4 August 2015, you put this date for that area was based
- 19 on the photo that we are looking at; is that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. So 4 August 2015 is not the date when you carried out
- 22 the alleged inspection of the couplers connection works
- 23 between the reinforcement of the slab and the diaphragm
- 24 wall. So it's not the date when you carried out the
- 25 inspection; is that right?

25

- Page 70
- A. Sorry, can you repeat your question? 1
- 2 Q. What we see from this photo are just exposed couplers,
- 3 exposed horizontal couplers, on the diaphragm wall; is
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. Okay, now I get it. Now I get it.
- 6 O. Let's --
- 7 A. Do you want me to continue to explain that?
- Q. Yes, please.
- 9 A. This summary, 4 August, and this photo of 4 August, for
- 10 the western diaphragm wall of the EWL slab, I checked
- 11 the installation of the vertical rebar and coupler.
- 12 It's not what you are concerned about, that is the
- 13 bottom layer, the few red dots at the bottom of the
- 14 photo. These are vertical bars, the western diaphragm
- 15 wall. The details of the western and eastern diaphragm
- 16 walls are different.
- 17 Q. Oh, sorry, that must be my fault --
- 18 A. (Chinese spoken).
- 19 Q. -- because for the second entry --
- 20 A. That's fine, because it's really complicated.
- 21 Q. -- what you put under the location is the western
- 22 diaphragm wall.
- 23 A. Yes, yes.
- 24 MR CHOW: It's my fault, sorry.
- 25 Mr Chairman, perhaps it's a convenient moment for

- 1 the lunch break.
- 2 CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly. Thank you. 2.15. Thank you.
- (1.03 pm) 3
  - (The luncheon adjournment)
- 5 (2.21 pm)

4

- MR CHOW: Good afternoon, Mr Wong. 6
- 7 A. (In English) Good afternoon.
- 8 Q. Before the lunch break, we were looking at the two
  - versions of summary table that have been produced.
- 10 Can I have the two versions put back on the screen,
- 11 side by side, please. Bundle H14, page 35070, and the
- 12 next one is bundle B7, page 4537, please.
- 13 Mr Wong, the version on the left is an earlier
- 14
  - version that you compiled yourself; right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And the one on the right was compiled by Mr Derek Ma;
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Should be.
- 19 Q. For compiling the second version, before Derek Ma
- 20 produced this table, have you had any input into the
- 21 compilation of this second version?
- 22 A. I provided some record photos.
- 23 Q. The photos that you now mention, were they not the same
- 24 set of photos that you referred to earlier, when we
  - talked about the first version -- it's the same set of
- Page 72
- 1 photos that you relied on in producing the first summary
  - 2 table; is that right?
  - 3 A. When I prepared the first version, all those record
  - 4 photos I used were taken by me, but for the second
  - 5 version, those photos were taken both by me and my
  - 6 inspectorate colleagues.
  - 7 Q. Okay. Now, this morning my learned friend Mr Boulding
  - 8 informed or indicated to us that the set of relevant
  - 9 photos can be found at bundle B17 from page 24303 to
  - 10 24373. So the set of photos comprising more than --
  - 11 well, roughly 70 photos are the full set of photos that
  - 12 Mr Derek Ma relied on in producing the second summary
  - 13 table; is that right?
  - 14 A. Correct.
  - 15 Q. Before I move on to another topic, I'm just trying to
  - 16 understand some of the entries in the second version.
  - 17 If we take area C1, bay 2 as an example, if we look
  - 18 at the version on the left, C1, bay 2, the first entry
  - 19 shows the date of inspection of the bottom layer of the
  - 20 EWL slab on 3 August 2015; is that right?
  - 21 A. Correct.
  - 22 Q. And the third entry on the same table shows a date of
  - 23 inspection of the top mat of the same day, right, on
  - 24 14 August 2015?
  - 25 A. Correct.

Page 76

Page 73

- 1 Q. But if you look at the second table on the right, for
- 2 the same area, C1-2 -- now, Mr Derek Ma, looking at the
- 3 set of photos, produced two different dates, 7 August
- 4 2015 and 13 August 2015. Can you explain why there was
- 5 such a change on the dates, when both of you were
- 6 supposed to be working on the same set of photos?
- 7 A. For the first version, the one on the left, those photos
- 8 were taken by me. When Derek Ma did this, compiled this
- 9 table, there were some photos dated 7 August. Maybe
- 10 some of those photos were taken by my colleagues; that
- 11 explains the difference. But we're looking at the same
- 12 thing. Maybe the dates are not the same.
- 13 Q. Perhaps if we look at another area, area C2, bay 3. In
- 14 the earlier version, for this area, C2-3, which is about
- 15 the ninth entry, shows the bottom layer on 14 September,
- 16 and then further down, about the sixth entry from the
- 17 bottom -- no, that one is for western diaphragm wall.
- 18 So there is only one entry showing the inspection date
- 19 of the bottom layer on 14 September.
- 20 If we now look at the later version for the same
- 21 area, C2-3, so now it's indicated in the later version
- 22 as on 29 September. So are you saying that Mr Derek Ma
- 23 works on -- while going through the set of photos,
- 24 realised that the dates that you had put were probably
- 25 incorrect, and therefore he inserted a new date? Is
  - Page 74
- 2 A. The date is not incorrect for the first version. These

that what you reckon to be the case?

- 3 dates on the left-hand side are based on the record
- 4 photos. If we look at C2-3, on 14 September, there's
- 5 a photo dated 14 September, which shows that I inspected
- 6 the bottom layer at C2-3.
- 7 Mr Ma might have seen another photo showing the date
- 8 of 29 September. Can I take a look at the photo that he
- 9

1

- 10 CHAIRMAN: Sorry to interrupt. Weren't these meant to be
- 11 photographs of inspections, or have I got that wrong?
- 12 Sorry, Mr Chow --
- 13 MR CHOW: I think this question has never been asked.
- 14 The set of photos that you are now telling us you
- 15 relied on showed the time of inspection of the couplers
- 16 at those locations?
- A. Correct. 17
- CHAIRMAN: Well, on that basis, are you saying that there 18
- 19 were two different inspections? Because the one
- 20 photograph shows an inspection on one date and the other
- 21 photograph shows an inspection some considerable time
- 22 later; would that be right?
- 23 A. That's possible, because after I have done my
- 24 inspections, my colleagues might, around the same time,
- 25 conduct another inspection.

- 1 CHAIRMAN: Yes, but C2-3, as far as I can see, you've got
- 2 14 September and then the 29th; is that right?
- 3 MR CHOW: Yes.
- 4 CHAIRMAN: So you've got inspections quite different in
- 5 time.
- 6 A. The time was based on the rebar fixing and installation
- time. If it took 20 days for C2-3, that meant that
- 8 every day someone would be conducting site surveillance
- 9 and people taking photographs, looking at the
- 10 installation of couplers. So it wouldn't be one day.
- 11 As you know, there are many layers to the bottom mat, so
- 12 it can't be.
- 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. I appreciate that. In
- 14 which case, we are obviously at odds then. What you are
- 15 saying to me is that these photographs are not of
- 16 a particular inspection date, a formal inspection; they
- 17 are just of the work as it progresses. That must be the
- 18 case then; is that right?
- 19 A. I agree.
- 20 CHAIRMAN: Okay. So what were these documents being held
- 21 out as? On this basis, this document is a summary of
- 22 shots of work in progress; that's right, isn't it? Not
- 23 of any particular special inspection time, for example
- 24 when the RISC document was asked for, but just shots of
  - work in progress?
- A. I agree.

25

- CHAIRMAN: All right.
- 3 A. Because for coupler inspections, it was done based on
  - site surveillance.
- 5 CHAIRMAN: Okay. So if one photograph is two weeks ahead of
- 6 another one, then presumably the coupling of reinforced
- 7 bars into the couplers would have been at different
- 8 stages too?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 CHAIRMAN: So if you say this is a checklist to confirm that
- 11 they've all been properly installed, that can't be
- 12 right, because one set may show it when it's only just
- 13 started the installation process; another may show it
- 14 later. In other words, they are shots of work in
- 15 progress. They are not confirmations of the completion
- 16 of work satisfactorily. That must follow, mustn't it?
- 17 A. We conducted daily site surveillance to ensure quality.
- 18 So, during the daily site surveillance, we would
- 19 ensure -- say, for example, in relation to coupler
- 20 installations, we would ensure that the coupler
- 21 installations were satisfactory, albeit there was no
- 22 official inspection.
- 23 CHAIRMAN: All right. Please forgive me. I know I sound as
- 24 if I'm labouring all this, but I'm obviously just not
- 25 smart enough to really follow this, but if you've got

we would check and inspect to see if they were up to

also use record photos as a form of record. When we

prepare these documents afterwards, they were generated

standard in relation to the installations. We would

from the record photos.

22

23

24

25

#### Page 77 Page 79 1 a checklist, the coupler installation in bay C1-2 has 1 Q. All right. Can I then refer you to one of the photos 2 been dealt with correctly, then you can't have one 2 that you relied on, in bundle B17, page 24228, please. 3 photograph taken one week and another taken three weeks 3 Can you tell us, by looking at these photos, how can 4 4 later, because by then, either in the beginning or the one say where the location was? 5 end, it's all covered with concrete, or the work hasn't 5 A. The photo's file name contains the location. So, if you 6 been completed. Do you see what I mean? 6 look at the photo itself, you will have to match the 7 A. I understand what you mean, but the work of steel fixing 7 time and the date with the work being done, to find out 8 8 would take time to complete. So even when it's the where the particular location was. 9 bottom mat, it would take a week or two to complete. 9 Q. I see. 10 So, during the period of two weeks, during our daily 10 MR PENNICOTT: Sir, could I also point out that if you look 11 surveillance, we would look at the coupler installation 11 at the soft copy that's on the system in relation to 12 to see if they were up to standard. Based on the 12 this, in B17, as disclosed by the MTR, it tells you 13 photos, there would be different dates of inspections, 13 which area is by a series of annotations. 14 because it took place every day and we conducted 14 MR CHOW: I'm grateful. 15 surveillance every day. 15 MR PENNICOTT: It has just not, I'm afraid, come out on the 16 CHAIRMAN: All right. So what you're saying, for example, 16 photographs that we've got here. CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 17 then is one photograph may look at the bottom layer or 17 the bottom mat, another at another date may look the top 18 18 MR BOULDING: I agree with that, sir. It's the photos file 19 mat? 19 in B17. 20 A. Right. 20 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: If I can just take that one step 21 MR CHOW: Thank you. 22 forward, Mr Wong. So, really, what these photographs 22 Now, without the benefit of seeing what is shown on 23 are showing, in your view -- tell me if I've got this 23 the soft copy, can you advise us which -- what are we 24 24 right -- is that surveillance activities took place on looking at? Are we looking at the slab reinforcement or 25 couplers on these dates, that's what they show, and 25 what, in this photo, when we look at this photo? Page 78 Page 80 that's all that they show; is that right? 1 1 A. This photo, on the left-hand side, I think is a D-wall. 2 A. Right. 2 On the left, at the bottom, is a construction joint. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. 3 Q. Okay. When you say on the left side, what -- are you 4 MR CHOW: So, in other words, the dates shown on this 4 orienting the photo up --5 checklist by reference to photos cannot represent the 5 A. If you look at the screen --6 actual date of the coupling installation or the 6 Q. All right. 7 completion of the coupling installation at that 7 A. -- the left-hand side of that photo on the screen is the 8 location; right? 8 top of the D-wall. You see at the top there are five 9 9 CHAIRMAN: Well, that's two different -- I think you've put coupler installations. 10 two alternatives there. 10 Q. Right. And the reinforcement that we see on the right MR CHOW: What I'm trying to say -- a particular photo shows 11 11 side of the photo are part of the slab reinforcement; is 12 certain couplers or certain splicing assembly; right? 12 that right? 13 Now, the date of the photos does not represent the date 13 A. Correct. 14 when you inspect and you were satisfied with the 14 Q. So it shows the slab reinforcement being screwed or 15 coupling works at that location; am I right? 15 having been screwed into the couplers embedded in the 16 A. Let me emphasise that every day we would conduct 16 diaphragm wall; right? 17 surveillance. The installation of couplers would be 17 A. Correct. 18 covered. We would inspect to see if they were up to 18 Q. Now, we also see some of the small diameters 19 standard. And there were also record photos, say for 19 reinforcement -- well, as I can tell from the photos, 20 example those covered by these two lists -- every day, 20 the U-shaped -- this is a U-shaped kind of 21

21

22

23

24

A. Yes.

A. (In English) Shear link.

reinforcement, vertically; do you see that?

Q. Am I right to say that those are part of the stirrups,

or can you tell? Or vertical shear link, perhaps?

Page 84

Page 81 Q. So you would no doubt agree with me, if we see shear 2 link having been installed, as far as the horizontal 3 reinforcement is concerned, it was considered as having 4 been properly screwed into the coupler; right? 5 A. Correct.

Q. If I can now refer you to another photo, just the 6 7 page before, 24227. Would you be able to tell us 8 whether the photo in 24227 is a blown-up part of the 9 same photo of 24228?

10 A. Right.

Q. Now, the couplers -- the splicing assembly at the very 11 12 bottom, left-hand side of this photo, does it appear to 13 be satisfactory to you?

14 A. At the very bottom rebar, you mean, the one with some 15 threads exposed?

16 Q. Yes.

17 A. There is a chance that it's a type B combination. The 18 length of the thread of type B equals to the length of 19 the coupler, so if a type B threaded rebar was used 20 after the assembly, you can still see something like 21 what is depicted in the photograph, that is still 22 some -- about half of the threads exposed. 23 Q. Mr Wong, can you confirm to us, other than the one at

24 the bottom, all the rest of the couplers are type A 25 couplers?

1 CHAIRMAN: Well, you say "not the best way" -- we are going

2 to get an expert giving evidence as to oversight and

3 procedures, and he will no doubt look at this, and do

4 you expect him to be impressed?

5 A. I was trying to say that it could have been done in 6 a better way.

7 CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you.

8 MR CHOW: Mr Wong, I would like to move on to the five

9 incidents -- although this subject matter has been

10 thoroughly dealt with at various stages, but I won't be 11 long on this topic.

> Can I refer you to the relevant part of your witness statement. The first incident, paragraphs 69 to 73. In paragraph 70, you say:

"During this first incident, I noticed one or two non-compliant threaded rebars (which I suspect had been cut by a portable wire cutter, such that they were shorter than the rebar length required by BOSA) on the ground, at a time when there were rebar fixing works in progress in the area. The threaded ends of the non-compliant rebars (which were intended to be used with 86 millimetre long couplers for type A connections) were shortened by half, compared to the length they should have been. I do not know who was responsible for cutting the threaded ends.

Page 82

I immediately contacted Leighton's site supervisor,

2 Mr Chan Chi Yip, and asked what was the deal with the 3

threaded rebars. Mr Chan Chi Yip assured me that he

4 would resolve the problem immediately."

5 The question I would like to ask you is, when you 6 informed Mr Chan Chi Yip, did he sound surprised, when

you informed him of what happened?

CHAIRMAN: After a couple of years, though, I don't know

that we're assisted by a very, very subjective memory

10 test there. I'm not saying the question is improper.

11 I just don't know the degree to which it will assist us.

12 MR CHOW: I will move on.

13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

14 MR CHOW: Now, this incident, when you put down what you

15 said in paragraph 70, you seem to suggest that the bar,

16 of which the threaded part has been cut, although it was

17 lying on the ground, you seem to suggest that they were

18 intended to be used and screwed into couplers. Is that

19 what you intended to tell us, because you further

20 mentioned that "when there were rebar fixing works in

21 progress in the area"?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. So you would no doubt agree with me that, in those

24 circumstances, it is a clear case that someone intended

25 to cheat?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

9

- 1 A. If we take a look at this photo, we are talking about
- 2 the top layer, one, two, three, four, five, or one to
- 3 four, they are type A. The fifth one is type B.
- 4 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, Mr Wong, how do you know it's
- 5 a type B?
- 6 A. Well, actually, I had the same query like you.
- Therefore, I asked to unscrew it to prove that it was
- 8 type B. There was a photo in my statement saying that
- I did that during that time.
- 10 MR CHOW: Are you telling us that you remember this
- 11 particular piece of reinforcement and that is what
- 12 happened?
- 13 A. Yes, I remember that was what happened. When I did the
- 14 inspection, I did have this query. They had used type A
- 15 all the time, and therefore there was no way that half
- 16 of the threaded portion was exposed. Therefore, I asked
- 17 the people there to unscrew it to prove that it was
- 18 type B.

- 19 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can I just -- I would like your
- 20 professional assessment, with the benefit of hindsight.
- 21 This doesn't seem to me to be a particularly good method 22
- of record-keeping. Your memory, trying to be reminded
- 23 of particular photographs -- would you agree, it's a bit unsatisfactory as a method of record-keeping?
- A. I agree, this is certainly not the best way to do it.

Page 85 Page 87 A. I agree. 1 Q. Can I ask you, when Mr James Ho gave evidence in this 2 2 Q. So that does not occur to you that it is a serious Commission, were you listening to his evidence outside 3 matter and has to be dealt with seriously by a more 3 this courtroom? 4 senior level within the MTRC organisation? 4 A. Yes, roughly so. 5 A. When this happened, it occurred during my daily 5 Q. May I remind you of Mr James Ho's evidence given in re-examination then? Day 27, page 50, when Mr James Ho 6 surveillance, and the contractor, Leighton, immediately 6 7 resolved the problem in this incident, and rebar fixing 7 was re-examined by Mr Boulding. 8 8 was still in progress, it was not yet completed, so At line 23, Mr Boulding asked: 9 9 "You were taken to a document -- I think it's I didn't report the case to my supervisor. 10 Q. Now, for the second incident, you said -- perhaps before 10 B7/4538, and if that could be blown up a little bit 11 that, what was your understanding at the time as to the 11 there; thank you -- do you remember being asked about 12 12 items I think 1, 2 and 4? reason why the threaded bar has to be cut on this first 13 13 incident? Answer: Yes. 14 14 A. I didn't ask for the exact reason. Question: You can see that the first item is, 15 Q. You didn't ask, but did you have an idea as to the 15 'Couplers fully screwed and fitted'? 16 reason why? 16 Answer: Yes. 17 17 A. I cannot remember whether I gave any thought to that, Question: Am I right in thinking that you can only 18 but if you ask me for my personal view, I think usually 18 check that when the rebar has been properly screwed into 19 19 it's done for the purpose of speeding things up. the coupler? 20 20 Q. Okay. Perhaps I can remind you -- now, in paragraph 72 Answer: Yes, correct. 21 21 Question: And if we were to look at 4, 'Complete you said: 22 "Shortly thereafter, Leighton notified me that the 22 splice between coupler/rebar', again would I be right in 23 23 workers would start rectifying the rebars, and thinking that you can only check that that's been done 24 24 I personally went down to site to oversee the properly after the rebar has been properly screwed into 25 25 rectification process -- the workers used an electric the coupler? Page 88 Page 86 1 concrete breaker to hack off the concrete around the 1 Answer: Yes. 2 couplers concerned, and then installed new couplers and 2 Question: Now, let's have a look at 3, together, 3 3 compliant rebars (new couplers had to be used because it for example, 'Has thread been cleared of foreign 4 was impossible to install a compliant rebar into the 4 materials (for example concrete gels)'; do you see that? 5 original couplers)." 5 Answer: Yes. 6 6 Question: Now, just assume, will you, that the Do you see that? 7 7 A. You are correct. thread had foreign materials on it, for example concrete 8 Q. So am I right to say that the reason for cutting part of 8 gels. Do you have have a view as to whether or not that 9 9 the threaded rebar was because there was a problem with rebar could have been properly screwed into the coupler? 10 10 the couplers in question, and a compliant rebar could Answer: It cannot. 11 not be screwed into the couplers, and that must be the 11 Question: Similarly, looking at 2, 'Has coupler 12 reason on that occasion? 12 been cleared of foreign materials (for example concrete 13 A. I agree. 13 gels)', if it had not been cleared of those materials, 14 Q. So it also implies that having cut away part of the 14 do you have a view as to whether or not the rebar could 15 threaded rebar, notwithstanding the coupler in question 15 have been properly screwed into the coupler? 16 was defective, the cut bar could have been screwed into 16 Answer: Again, it cannot be properly screwed." 17 17 the defective couplers, otherwise the steel fixers would Now, this morning, when I asked you to look at the 18 not have cut the threaded rebar; do you agree with me? 18 several items set out in the lower half of the 19 A. That's possible. 19 checklist, and my question, my original question, was 20 20 Q. Now we can go back to the checklist that we have looked whether on the basis of the description here you were 21 at. I promised you this morning I would come back to 21 supposed to monitor or supervise the couplers 22 22 it. Bundle B7, page 4555. installation process. Your original answer was "yes", 23 The first four items, in the lower part of the 23 but subsequently you went on to say something along the 24 checklist -- you remember we had some discussion on it? 24 line as Mr James Ho said in re-examination. Do you 25 A. Yes. 25 recall that?

Page 92

Page 89

- 1 A. I can recall that.
- 2 Q. We have just now looked at the first incident, and you
- 3 agreed with me that as far as you were concerned, the
- 4 problem in the first incident is because of the
- 5 defective couplers, and if the threaded bar has been
- 6 cut, notwithstanding the defective couplers, the cut bar
- 7 can still be screwed into the couplers; do you recall
- 8 that?
- 9 A. It was possible that it could be screwed.
- 10 Q. So am I right in thinking that the reason why you were
- specifically -- or those four items were separately set
- out in the checklist, one of the reasons is to avoid
- exactly the kind of situation that happened in the first
- incident, which is to monitor and supervise the
- installation process, to avoid the possibility that any
- 16 cut rebar could have been screwed into defective
- 17 couplers? Do you agree with me?
- 18 A. I agree.
- 19 Q. In the third incident, and the fourth and fifth
- incidents, your evidence set out in your witness
- 21 statement suggest that they are of a similar nature; do
- 22 you recall that?
- 23 A. I do.
- Q. It would suggest to you that someone who intended to
- 25 cheat on the first incident, similar intention to cheat

- 1 "So you would no doubt agree with me that, in those 2 circumstances, it is a clear case that someone intended
  - to cheat?

3

- 4 Answer: I agree."
- 5 Wasn't it your answer?
- 6 A. Well, the motive of the worker was something that I did
- 7 not ask about. So whether it was intentional cheating
- 8 or whether it was done inadvertently, I did not know, so
- 9 I cannot comment on the motive of the worker who cut the 10 rebar.
- 11 Q. Right. Let's go on. Now, do you agree with me that
- 12 Leighton's inspectors or supervisors would have spent
- more time on site supervising the work than the staff of
- 14 MTRC?
- 15 A. You can say that.
- 16 Q. From what you describe as to what happened in the five
- incidents, it appears to me, at least, on each occasion
- it was your team who discovered the non-compliances. It
- was never Leighton's on-site supervisors; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Let me supplement. I myself discovered five incidents.
- 22 Leighton supervisors, whether they discovered anything,
- 23 I did not ask. And whether they require rectification
- upon discovery, I did not ask, because they are not
  - obliged to tell me that they have discovered these

Page 90

25

1

incidents and they have them resolved.

- 2 Q. In relation to these five incidents that you mention,
- they were discovered by you or your team members; right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Since Leighton's staff spent more time on site than your
- 6 team, and further given that for these five incidents
- 7 that we know of, each and every time it was you or your
- 8 team member that discovered it, has it ever occurred to
- 9 you that the problem might be much more widespread
- on site, not isolated incidents?
- 11 A. At that time, I did not ask Leighton whether they have
- discovered similar incidents.
- 13 Q. Right. Let's move on.
- Am I right to say that you managed to spot these
- 15 five incidents of non-compliance, it's because every
- time you either discover there is a gap between the cut
- ends of the bar at the couplers, or you see a cut bar
- that of the bar at the couplers, or you see a co
- 18 lying on the floor?
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. So am I right in thinking that if any cut bars had been
- screwed into couplers, you would not have been able to
- spot those non-compliant splicing assemblies?
- 23 A. If it's after the installation, if the exposed thread is
- less than 1 to 1.5, then it's still up to standard or
- compliant. But as to whether there was any prior

- 1 actually did not stop; it continued?
- 2 A. Could you please repeat?
- 3 Q. In the first incident -- you agreed with me already --
- 4 the conduct by whoever it was actually has an element of
- 5 deception, trying to cheat MTRC or Leighton, we don't
- 6 know, so -- do you agree with me?
- 7 A. In the first occasion, I did not ask for the real
- 8 reason. The first incident was definitely
- 9 an unsatisfactory and substandard installation, but
- 10 I don't know whether there was any element of deception.
- 11 Q. Mr Wong, we are just normal human beings. Given the
- fact someone cut short part of the threaded end with
- an intention to use it and screw into a defective
- coupler -- well, it appears to me it won't be difficult
- for somebody to form an opinion that that person,
- whoever it was, intended to cheat.
- 17 A. Perhaps let me talk about the first incident.
- 18 I discovered non-compliant threaded rebar being put at
- 19 the site, where there was rebar fixing work being
- 20 conducted. I did not see someone using the
- 21 non-compliant rebar in the installation. I immediately
- 22 informed frontline staff of Leighton.
- 23 Q. Now, Mr Wong, if I may recall to you what you just said,
- 24 the transcript of today at [draft] page 68, line 1 -- my
- 25 question was:

- 1 treatment done to it, it depended on whether someone was
- 2 supervising the entire process before one could spot any
- 3 irregularity.
- 4 Q. Now, this morning you mentioned to us your normal
- 5 working hours; do you recall that?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. You said from 9.30 to 5 pm; right?
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. Now, we have evidence that occasionally the steel fixers
- may work overtime; you were aware of that too, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12~~Q.~ Can I assume that on those occasions, you were not
- required to stay behind to look at their work; right?
- 14 A. I myself did not do overtime, but I have made
- 15 arrangements for other colleagues to conduct overnight
- 16 surveillance.
- 17 Q. There is evidence from Mr Jason Poon who told this
- 18 Commission, under paragraph 42 of his statement -- for
- the purposes of the record, it's bundle D1, page 22 --
- 20 he said after September these activities, namely cutting
- 21 the threaded part of the bar, were carried out at night.
- Do you think this was possible, for this kind of
- 23 activity being carried out at night and not noticed?
- 24 A. You mean you ask me whether I estimate that that
- would -- well, personally speaking, if they meant to do

- Page 95
- 1 "Afterwards, Mr James Ho told me that Leighton had
- 2 by then retrospectively prepared a set of record sheets
- 3 for the EWL slab, although I had not actually seen
- 4 a physical copy at the time. He asked me whether I was
- 5 willing to countersign those record sheets, and
  - I vehemently said that I was not willing to do so in
- 7 these circumstances when Leighton had failed to keep any
  - contemporaneous record sheets as required by the QSP."
- 9 So the way I read what you said in this statement is
- your particular objection is because Leighton failed to
   keep any contemporaneous records as required by the QSP;
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Correct.

6

8

- 14 Q. So, from your point of view as a senior inspector of
- works, you will agree with me, no doubt, proper keeping
- of contemporaneous records is important for the purpose
- of ensuring quality control/quality assurance; right?
- 18 A. I agree.
- 19 Q. And that's exactly why we need a proper record-keeping
- 20 requirement under the QSP; agree?
- 21 A. I agree.
- 22 Q. Right. But when you were asked to sign on the
- 23 retrospective records prepared by your company, MTRC,
- you knew that they were prepared without the benefit
  - of relevant contemporaneous records; right?

Page 94

25

Page 96

- something like that, they could do it any time. But if
- 2 there was a chance for them to do it at night, it would
- 3 be because there were less surveillance, because after
- 4 6 pm our inspectors would only include one person
- 5 surveilling the entire area, from A to C. There would
- 6 be less staff members on duty after 6 pm.
- 7 Q. Lastly, I would like simply to follow up on one of the questions raised by Mr Chairman this morning.
- 9 Earlier on, when Mr Chairman asked you whether you
- were happy to sign on the set of retrospective records,
- when you were asked by your senior to do so, your answer
- was you were happy. Do you recall that?
- 13 A. I understand, but I would like to supplement that when
- 14 I said I was happy to sign, I meant to sign the
- 15 retrospective internal record that we had. I wasn't
- happy to sign the one supplied by Leighton.
- 17 Q. Now, if the retrospective record was prepared by
- Leighton, you were unwilling to sign, but if it was
- prepared by MTRC, you have no problem in signing; right?
- Is that what you are telling us?
- 21 A. Because that was for internal use, and it's not
- 22 appendix B mentioned in QSP. That's why I wouldn't mind
- 23 preparing that record.
- Q. I see. Can you take a look at paragraph 52 of your witness statement, page 433, please, where you said:

- 1 A. At that time, when I was preparing the retrospective
- 2 record, I only had record photos. That's why I at that
- 3 time said very clearly to my superior that I wasn't T3
- 4 under the QSP and I could only provide relevant record
- 5 photo, and if I were to prepare documents, I believe
- 6 them to be internal documents.
- 7 Q. Am I right to say that you ought to have the same
- 8 concern when you signed on the set of checklists
- 9 prepared by Derek Ma, instead of happily signing on it;
- 10 right? Because those set of documents were not
- 11 contemporaneous records. Do you agree?
- 12 A. When this was provided to me by Mr Ma, he told me in no
- uncertain terms that there was a retrospective internal
- 14 document.
- 15 Q. Ah. Actually, I recall what you said this morning is so
- long as there is something on the face of the document
- saying that it is retrospective, then you find it okay
- to sign at some later date; do you recall that?
- 19 A. This morning, when I talked about retrospective records,
- I said that Mr Ma assured me that the date would not be put as 2015.
- 22 Q. Can I just ask my last question, I promise. When you
- were asked by Mr James Ho as to whether you are willing
- 24 to sign on a set of retrospective records prepared by
- Leighton, you told us that at that point Mr James Ho did

Commission of Inquiry into the Diaphragm Wall and Platform Slab Construction Works at the Hung Hom Station Extension under the Shatin to Central Link Project Page 97 Page 99 1 not show you the retrospective records that he was 1 every rebar to check whether any threadings were being 2 talking about; right? Do you recall that? 2 3 3 CHAIRMAN: Well, that would be, would it not, sort of A. Yes. 4 Q. So you never found out whether Leighton has put a kind 4 self-defeating? You might as well then say why screw 5 of note, just like what you have done, on the set of 5 them in if we've got to screw them out again? retrospective records, telling the whole world that this 6 MR SO: To check it. 6 7 document was made retrospectively? You never bothered 7 CHAIRMAN: Just have somebody standing there watching all 8 to find out; right? You simply rejected to sign on it? 8 the time I think would be the best, which again we 9 A. Well, I gave a direct answer to Mr Ho that I wouldn't 9 accept is impractical in the world. 10 sign on Leighton's record. 10 MR SO: Thank you. That's the question. Thank you. 11 Q. Why? CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 11 12 A. It's for the same reason, I'm not the T3 person MR CONNOR: I have no questions, sir. 12 13 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. assigned. 14 14 Q. That is the only reason; right? Yes, Mr Boulding. 15 A. May I continue? 15 Re-examination by MR BOULDING MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, sir. Good afternoon, 16 Q. Yes. 16 17 A. I said I would not countersign record provided by 17 Professor. 18 Leighton, because that was not shown to me in 2015 and 18 Good afternoon, Mr Wong. I just have one or two 19 19 I was not the T3 person under QSP. matters that I'd like your further assistance on, 20 MR CHOW: Thank you, Mr Wong. I have no more questions for 20 please. I'd like you to cast your mind back to 21 21 yesterday, I think it was, when you gave evidence about 22 Mr Chairman, I have no more questions. 22 the second rebar cutting incident. Do you remember 23 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 23 being questioned about that, Mr Wong? 24 MR SO: Sir, I apologise, I do understand that China 24 A. I remember that. 25 Technology did indicate earlier that we have no Q. And the transcript records you saying that it was still Page 98 Page 100 questions. I wonder if we may crave leave to ask just 1 one or two rebars. Are you sure about that? 1 2 two very brief questions arising out of the government's 2 A. Yes. 3 3 Q. The transcript records that there was a debate by cross-examination? 4 CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly. 4 reference to photographs produced by Mr Edward Mok as to 5 MR SO: Thank you. I do apologise. 5 whether the second incident was the same incident as Cross-examination by MR SO 6 Edward Mok was talking about. Do you remember being 6 7 7 Q. Mr Wong, I'm Simon So, I represent China Technology. questioned about that, Mr Wong? 8 A. Yes, I can remember that. There are just two very short questions I wish to ask 9 9 you about. Q. Do you remember saying that you could not categorically

- 10 Take the situation when you were inspecting the 11 coupler-to-coupler installations. When you saw that
- 12 there was one threading exposed in the rebar, could you
- 13 tell whether that particular rebar, the threadings had
- 14 been cut or whether the threadings had not been cut?
- 15 A. If it was already installed and that is affixed to the
- 16 coupler, then you can't tell by visual inspection. 17 Q. In the course of your inspection, would you unscrew
- 18 a particular thread in order to check whether any of the
- 19 threadings were being cut?
- 20 A. I wouldn't ask them to do this in all cases. That would
- 21 be done on a random selection basis. As we can see in
- 22 the photo that we saw, I would only do this for the
  - doubtful cases, for type B, because if we do this, we
- 24 will damage the threads.

23

Q. So the short answer is you would not unscrew each and

- 10 say that was the case as your recollection was not good 11
- 12 A. That's correct. For the second incident, I really don't
- 13 know whether it was the same incident as that referred
- 14 to by Mr Mok.
- 15 Q. Right. The transcript records you saying, at page 147,
- 16 line 6:

- 17 "For the first and second incidents, I think the
  - situation was very similar, so on the ground, I would
- 19 say. And I think in the vicinity of that, I think there
- 20 were some bar bending activities."
- 21 Do you remember giving that answer?
- 22
- 23 Q. What did you mean by the phrase "on the ground"?
- 24 A. Maybe I can say something more about the incident. When
- 25 I did the inspection, I discovered cut threaded rebar

Page 101

- 1 located in an area close to where bar bending was taking
- 2 place, so I asked Mr Chan Chi Ip of Leighton whether
- 3 there's a problem with that, and then he took immediate
- 4 action for rectification, and he informed me of the
- 5 rectification, and I was on the ground when they
- 6 replaced the coupler and also the threaded bar -- well,
- 7 it's similar in the two incidents.
- 8 I cannot recall whether it was fixed on the fixing
- 9 area or it's in one of the bundles, in a bundle, where
- 10 the bar fixing was taking place, because my memory
- 11 wasn't that clear.
- Q. That's quite useful, but I don't think you've answered 12
- 13 my question as to what you actually meant by the phrase
- 14 "it was on the ground". What did you mean by "on the
- 15 ground"?
- 16 A. I think it's the bar, it's on the ground.
- Q. Do you mean the cut bar was lying on the ground? 17
- 18 A. Was on the ground.
- 19 Q. Well, was the bar that had been cut lying on the ground;
- 20 is that what you mean?
- 21 A. Yes.

1

9

- 22 Q. Okay. You mention that they replaced the coupler. Do
- 23 you know why they had to replace the coupler?
- 24 A. I know that.
- 25 Q. Yes, but do you know why they had to replace it?

Page 102

- A. I didn't ask why they had to replace it, but when they
- 2 did that, I could estimate that there was foreign object
- 3 there hindering the installation.
- 4 Q. I see. Let's move on and turn to a document that you've
- 5 been questioned on quite a lot. It's at H14,
- 6 page 35070.
- 7 Now, as I understand it, Mr Wong, this is the
- 8 spreadsheet which you refer to in paragraph 55 of your
  - witness statement; that's correct, isn't it?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you prepare this yourself, Mr Wong?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Across the top of the document, we've got a bar, and do
- 14 you see the first entry is "Date"?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. What does that relate to, Mr Wong? 16
- 17 A. The date is related to the record photo, the same day.
- 18 Q. I see.
- 19 Then we've got the reference to the area, bay and
- 20 location, have we not?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 O. Do I understand that that identifies the location in
- 23 which the photograph was taken?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Then, in the final column, we've got "Pass" or "Fail".

1 What does that tell us, Mr Wong?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What does it tell us, Mr Wong?
- 4 A. This -- let's say look at the first row, 3 August 2015,
- 5 area C, bay C1-2, it's the bottom layer, the coupler
- 6 installation passed.
- 7 Q. Okay. And the photographs which have the date in the
- 8 first column, are these the photographs that we can see
- 9 at bundle B17, starting at pages 24203?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do I understand that these photos run through to 24373?
- 12
- 13 Q. Did you take all of these photographs, Mr Wong?
- 14 A. For this group, they were taken by me and my inspector
- 15 colleagues.
- 16 Q. I see. Can we look, please, at bundle B17, but go into
- 17 the photo file, what's termed the photo file. Can we
- 18 open it? No, that's not the correct file.
- 19 Yes, that's the one. In this photo file, do we see
  - the specific area in which the photos were taken?
- 21 A. The file name clearly specifies that it's area C3-3.
- 22 So, using the first photo as an example, the location
- 23 depicted in this photo is area C3-3. The same applies
- 24 to the rest.

20

1

25 Q. I see. So if we go back to H35070 -- thank you -- and

Page 104

- let's go down the left-hand side, and do you see that
- 2 you've got two entries for 2 October 2015?
- 3 A. Yes, I can see that.
- 4 Q. If we go to the second one, for the EWL C, C2-3 western
- 5 wall, am I right in thinking that that would be photo
- B17/24211? It's the bottom right-hand corner. 6
- 7 Now, we can see the photo, but in order to establish
- 8 the area I fear we need to go back to the photo folder
- in bundle B17.
- 10 MR PENNICOTT: It's on the screen.
- 11 MR BOULDING: I see. Can you see it relates to C2-3?
- 12 A. Yes, because if a photo is to show such detail, then we
- 13 will have to take a close up like this B24211. So, for
- 14 a close-up, one cannot see the background, so you can't
- 15 work out the location. As a result, in the file name,
- 16 we would type in the actual location.
- 17 If you think that the file name location is not to
- 18 be trusted, then you can try to work it out using the
- 19 date of the photo. Taking the two together, you will be
  - able to find the answer.
- 21 For close-ups showing details, then we would usually
- 22 take a wider shot so that one can work out using the
- 23 date and the information.
- 24 Q. Do I take it that you are satisfied that the photo at
- 25 B24211 is the photo that is referred to on H35070, the

Page 108

Page 105

- 1 second entry of 2 October 2015?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Now, do you know whose hand or fingers can be seen in
- 4 that photo, Mr Wong?
- 5 A. They are mine.
- 6 Q. Can you tell me what you're doing there, Mr Wong?
- 7 A. In this photo, the hand was holding a tape measure.
- 8 It's a vertical rebar installation. It is to measure
- 9 the length of thread exposed. It's a type B connection,
- so I can see that is about 50 millimetres. This action
- was to show that I have inspected it and found that the
- installation passed.
- 13 Q. Does that explain why you have put the word "Pass" in
- the last column of H35070?
- 15 A. Correct, that's right, because if you look at the
- vertical rebar in the photo, and then all the other
- exposed threads, they are of the same length, so you
- could draw a conclusion that in H35070, for EWL C2-3,
- 19 the installation was passed.
- 20 Q. I see. You tell us you carried out these inspections.
- 21 Do I understand correctly that these inspections to
- 22 check the couplers were carried out progressively, day
- by day, over a period of time?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Why did you carry out these inspections of the couplers

1 a close-up of the photo we looked at a couple of moments

- 2 ago?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And again, on the basis of what you have recorded in
- 5 that photograph, do I understand that you concluded that
- 6 the word "Pass" should go in the far right-hand column
- 7 of the document at H35070?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Just to try one more, if we look at the entry -- let's
- go up -- 35070 for a moment -- let's go up to the entry
  - of 15 September 2015.
- Have you found that?
- 13 A. Yes.

11

- 14 Q. We can see that that relates to the EWL, area C,
- bay C1-4; correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. But here do I understand that you are inspecting the
- 18 eastern diaphragm wall bottom layer?
- 19 A. Correct
- 20 Q. If we go to the photo folder in B17, and look for photo
- 21 B24231 -- if we can just see the caption at the bottom,
- please. Can you move the photo up a bit, please. The
- other way, please. I want to see the area it relates
- to, please. It was on the earlier photo.
- 25 MR PENNICOTT: That's it.

Page 106

- υ
- day by day, over a period of time? Can you explain to
- 2 the Chairman and the professor why you adopted that
- 3 approach, please?
- 4 A. Because, in the process of rebar fixing, it wasn't
- 5 done -- well, coupler installation wasn't done
- 6 continuously. Say, for example, in the western wall,
- 7 there was a vertical threaded bar installation.
- 8 Sometimes, it would be two or three days later when they
- 9 started the installation of the bottom layer. After the
- 10 coupler installation of the bottom layer was completed,
- there would be steel fixing. When they were working on
- the bottom layer, there would no longer be the
- installation of threaded bar because they had to work on
- the through-bar. After B1, then they would go on to B2,
- and it was at the initial stage that there would be
- installation of threaded bar. After that, then they
- 17 would have to wait until the rebar fixing was completed
- before they proceeded.
- 19 So it would not be installation and connection
- 20 taking place every single second or over a period of
- 21 time. It would only take place at specific time, at
- 22 certain time. So every day I would pay attention to the
- 23 process of the installation.
- Q. If we were to stay with this entry for the time being and go to B24212, am I right in thinking that that's

- 1 MR BOULDING: Do you see there "C1-4"; do you see that
- 2 caption there, Mr Wong?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. Does this show you that this photo, with a date of
- 5 15 September 2015, is a photo which relates to the entry
  - of the same date in document H35070?
- 7 A. Right.

6

- 8 Q. If we scroll through to photograph B24239, do we see
  - a further seven photographs taken of the same area on
- the same day?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. For present purposes, I'd like to go to photo B24232.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Can you describe to the Commissioners, Mr Wong, what
- we're looking at here?
- 16 A. In this photo, it depicts rebar and coupler connection
- of a D-wall. If you look at the very bottom, on the
- right-hand side of the photograph is the D-wall. The
- connection is to the threaded rebar of EWL slab. At the
- bottom, there is a coupler with the installation; we
- call it B1. The one up is called B3, in terms of
- layers. So, in the photograph, the top one is B5. In
- this photograph, you can also see that they are
- compliant installations. You see that the thread
- exposed was less than one. In this photo, it shows that

	Page 109		Page 111
1	the installation of threaded rebar was compliant.	1	A. I think I was saying that it shouldn't be backdated to
2	Q. I see.	2	2015. The record was clearly not prepared in 2015.
3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, can I interrupt at this	3	Q. Okay. Thank you.
4	point, because I'm trying to understand this photograph,	4	CHAIRMAN: Well, the record was not prepared in February
5	and it may help me, Mr Wong, if you can tell me what	5	2017, was it?
6	those red strips and the blue strips are on the right;	6	A. Correct. Well, at that time, I was already transferred
7	what are those?	7	out of the project division, and it was the first time
8	A. The red one is a hydrophilic strip. The blue one is	8	I signed such retrospective record, so I asked my
9	regroutable strip.	9	supervisor, Mr Ma, on what date should be put on the
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. So are they running	10	document. So we concluded that should be put as the
11	vertically or horizontally?	11	date as the time of internal review, to tie in with that
12	A. The red one and the blue one are horizontal.	12	review we put it as 2017.
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So therefore do we need to turn this	13	CHAIRMAN: All right. So that was the intention, to make it
14	photo through 90 degrees that's better. Now	14	appear as if it had been prepared at about that time?
15	I understand.	15	A. That's the result of the discussion we had then.
16	A. Yes, this is the right rotation, when you look down.	16	CHAIRMAN: Okay. Why didn't you just make it the date on
17	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. That's better and clearer for		which you looked at the documents and agreed to sign
18	me. Thank you very much.	18	them? Because that was the date when you signed. That
19	MR BOULDING: Okay. Again we see, do we not, that you've	19	would have been the straightforward way, would it not?
20	got a "Pass" against this particular area in the	20	A. I understand that. Well, we had to deal with a lot of
21	document at H35070?	21	documents. We didn't give very careful thought to the
22	A. Yes.	22	right date. If you ask me now, of course naturally we
23	Q. You were also asked questions about retrospective	23	should put the date as it was.
24	records in the context of why they were dated February	24	CHAIRMAN: I mean, with the greatest of respect, Mr Wong,
25	2017. Do you remember being asked questions about that	25	a cynic might say and I'm not a cynic that
	Do an 110		
	Page 110		Page 112
1	date?	1	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that
1 2	date? A. Yes.	2	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what
	date? A. Yes. Q. And in answering various questions, including questions	2 3	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating
2 3 4	date? A. Yes. Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example,	2 3 4	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.
2 3	date? A. Yes. Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood	2 3 4 5	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was
2 3 4 5 6	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do	2 3 4 5 6	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already
2 3 4 5 6 7	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?	2 3 4 5 6 7	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	date? A. Yes. Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer? A. Yes, I can remember that. Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.  CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me: why not 2015?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.  MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.  CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me: why not 2015?  A. It's a retrospective record. It's clearly something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.  MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.  Chairman, Professor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.  CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me: why not 2015?  A. It's a retrospective record. It's clearly something that you prepared afterwards. So we shouldn't put the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.  MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.  Chairman, Professor  CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Boulding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.  CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me: why not 2015?  A. It's a retrospective record. It's clearly something that you prepared afterwards. So we shouldn't put the date of the inspections on the retrospective record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.  MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.  Chairman, Professor  CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Boulding.  Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.  CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me: why not 2015?  A. It's a retrospective record. It's clearly something that you prepared afterwards. So we shouldn't put the date of the inspections on the retrospective record. CHAIRMAN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.  MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.  Chairman, Professor  CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Boulding.  Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I just have one question, Mr Wong
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.  CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me: why not 2015?  A. It's a retrospective record. It's clearly something that you prepared afterwards. So we shouldn't put the date of the inspections on the retrospective record. CHAIRMAN: Yes.  MR BOULDING: I think the transcript has misrecorded that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.  MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.  Chairman, Professor  CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Boulding.  Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I just have one question, Mr Wong On the spreadsheet, the summary spreadsheet that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.  CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me: why not 2015?  A. It's a retrospective record. It's clearly something that you prepared afterwards. So we shouldn't put the date of the inspections on the retrospective record.  CHAIRMAN: Yes.  MR BOULDING: I think the transcript has misrecorded that for the time being. Am I right in saying that you said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.  MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.  Chairman, Professor  CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Boulding.  Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I just have one question, Mr Wong  On the spreadsheet, the summary spreadsheet that you prepared could we just have it on the screen. What
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	date?  A. Yes.  Q. And in answering various questions, including questions to the Chairman, you said and this is, for example, [draft] page 39 of the transcript that you understood that you should not backdate the records to 2015. Do you remember giving that answer?  A. Yes, I can remember that.  Q. Can you explain to me why you had that understanding, namely that they shouldn't be backdated to 2015?  A. Because that document wasn't there in 2015. When we prepared this document, we shouldn't backdate the document. After the discussion, and we talked about the date we put in this retrospective document, we decided to put it as 2017. The thing is the date shouldn't be 2015 in this record.  CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me: why not 2015?  A. It's a retrospective record. It's clearly something that you prepared afterwards. So we shouldn't put the date of the inspections on the retrospective record. CHAIRMAN: Yes.  MR BOULDING: I think the transcript has misrecorded that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	backdating by a couple of years, that is something that requires thought, but signing the current date is what you do automatically. In other words, the backdating was a deliberate, contemplated decision.  A. At that time, I didn't quite understand that it was a retrospective document. At that time, I was already working for another department. I was asked to go back to prepare the document. So I asked my supervisor what the date should be.  MR BOULDING: Then finally, Mr Wong, do you recall being asked various questions about your qualifications to undertake certain tasks on the project?  A. Yes.  Q. Am I right in thinking that you're qualified as a T3 under the RGE stream?  A. Correct.  MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.  Chairman, Professor  CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Boulding.  Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I just have one question, Mr Wong On the spreadsheet, the summary spreadsheet that you

	Page 113		Page 115
1	after.	1	A. Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Let's have a look and I'll tell you	2	CHAIRMAN: Because the photographs, unless you were using
3	if it is.	3	a wide-angle lens or a fish-eye lens, that would then
4	MR PENNICOTT: The first one?	4	distort I'm an amateur photographer absent that,
5	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, the first one, the one Mr Wong	5	you're only ever, at best, going to get a portion of the
6	prepared. Put it on the screen and then I'll ask my	6	work that was being done, correct, at a particular
7	question of Mr Wong, because I'm just puzzled by	7	moment in time?
8	something.	8	A. Correct. I will take something representative.
9	Got it. Very good.	9	CHAIRMAN: That's right. So did you think of perhaps
10	Can I ask, Mr Wong you prepared this sheet,	10	putting down "photographs X and Y through until", and
11	didn't you? You told us you prepared this?	11	give the other numbers, so you can immediately see,
12	A. Yes.	12	"I've given a pass to this particular area because
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Why didn't you have a column that	13	there's a series of 20 photographs which confirm it"?
14	gave the photograph number for each entry?	14	Because you give one photograph number or identification
15	A. Well, it was a bit of a rush. We had very little time.	15	only.
16	So I just typed the date. With the dates we can always	16	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: There's none.
17	find in the photo.	17	CHAIRMAN: Oh, there's none.
18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No, but you told us that for each of	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Just the date.
19	these lines you looked at a photo. That's how you got	19	CHAIRMAN: Just the date, yes, which is the question from
20	this information. So you must have had the photograph	20	the professor. But it would help, don't you agree, if
21	number.	21	we could see how many photographs you had taken in order
22	If I were preparing a sheet like this, I think	22	to reach your conclusion?
23	I would have put the photograph number. Isn't that	23	A. I need to sort out the photographs, to tell which
24	sensible?	24	photographs would help us to judge that it was a pass.
25	A. I understand that. In the company's intranet,	25	There were dates on the photos, and then we can also
	Page 114		Page 116
1	I identified all the photos that I had taken, put them	1	find the location, the bay, in the file names. But
2	in my folder, and then I confirmed that the photos were	2	I did not put the numbers on the photos, because I could
3	related to coupler fixing. I didn't have time to give	3	find the photos with the dates. That I didn't do.
4	each and every photo a new serial number, but in the	4	CHAIRMAN: All right. Looking back on it now, and I've
5	file names, you can tell the location. With the dates,	5	
	Y 1 4 4 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		asked you in part this question before, and this is my
6	I can locate the photos very easily. I didn't have	6	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?
7	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in		last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the
7 8	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.	6 7 8	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?
7 8 9	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us	6 7 8 9	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written
7 8 9 10	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the	6 7 8 9 10	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you
7 8 9 10 11	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?	6 7 8 9 10 11	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and
7 8 9 10 11 12	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this exercise was done.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record photos or even video.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this exercise was done.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. That's a fair answer. Thank	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record photos or even video.  CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm not suggesting we go into the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this exercise was done.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. That's a fair answer. Thank you very much. It was just puzzling me. That's fine.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record photos or even video.  CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm not suggesting we go into the realm of video, but I'm just talking about something
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this exercise was done.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. That's a fair answer. Thank you very much. It was just puzzling me. That's fine.  Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record photos or even video.  CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm not suggesting we go into the realm of video, but I'm just talking about something written down or put onto a computer, with details, is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this exercise was done.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. That's a fair answer. Thank you very much. It was just puzzling me. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN: Can I ask just one question. From what	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record photos or even video.  CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm not suggesting we go into the realm of video, but I'm just talking about something written down or put onto a computer, with details, is readily and accurately sourced, and it's a good
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this exercise was done.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. That's a fair answer. Thank you very much. It was just puzzling me. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN: Can I ask just one question. From what I understand, in order to reach your "Pass" or "Fail",	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record photos or even video.  CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm not suggesting we go into the realm of video, but I'm just talking about something written down or put onto a computer, with details, is readily and accurately sourced, and it's a good confirmation and, if you wish, photographs to back it
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this exercise was done.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. That's a fair answer. Thank you very much. It was just puzzling me. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN: Can I ask just one question. From what I understand, in order to reach your "Pass" or "Fail", you would have had to have taken a series of photographs	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record photos or even video.  CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm not suggesting we go into the realm of video, but I'm just talking about something written down or put onto a computer, with details, is readily and accurately sourced, and it's a good confirmation and, if you wish, photographs to back it up. Would you agree that that's a better system?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sufficient time. I had to prepare this summary in a haste.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. So you are telling us that the photograph numbers came later; at the time, the photographs didn't have a number?  A. Correct.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.  MR PENNICOTT: Sir, that's our understanding, that the photograph numbers, I'm afraid, were put on subsequently and I don't think they would have been around when this exercise was done.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. That's a fair answer. Thank you very much. It was just puzzling me. That's fine.  Thank you.  CHAIRMAN: Can I ask just one question. From what I understand, in order to reach your "Pass" or "Fail",	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	last question it's a cumbersome procedure, isn't it?  A. You mean cumbersome in that we have to find the photographs to verify the process?  CHAIRMAN: Yes, it's a lot easier if you've got a written record, perhaps backed up by photographs, something you can just go to a book, or go to a computer, click, and there it all is, meeting the obligations of the quality  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Supervision.  CHAIRMAN: supervision, yes.  A. I agree, that would be ideal, with records and record photos or even video.  CHAIRMAN: All right. I'm not suggesting we go into the realm of video, but I'm just talking about something written down or put onto a computer, with details, is readily and accurately sourced, and it's a good confirmation and, if you wish, photographs to back it

1 CHARMAN: Thank you. 2 2 Peter, any more; 2 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD. No, that's all from me. 3 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD. No, that's all from me. 4 CHARMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Wong. Thank you for your assistance. You evidence is now completed. 5 WITHINESS: Thank you. 6 WITHINESS: Thank you. 7 MR BOULDING: Sir, my next witness is Mr. Andy Wong. I think. 8 Would it be convenient to take the afternoon tea break? 9 CHARMAN: Yes. Well just have 15 minutes. Thank you. 10 (401 pm) 11 (A short adjournment) 12 (A short adjournment) 13 MR BOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my next witness is Mr. Andy Wong. 14 Mr Andy Wong. 15 Mr Wong, good afternoon. 16 WITHINESS: Good afternoon. 17 MR WONG KAI WING, ANDY (affirmed in Paunt) 18 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter executive the other wise specified) 19 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING 20 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING 21 Wong Kai Wing? 22 Wong Kai Wing? 23 Wong Kai Wing? 24 (In Fagish) Okay. Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two 26 Mr BOULDING: Chairms and one reply statements for the assistance of the Commission of this statement, correct? 27 A. There's a supplementary statement. 28 Well have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, and one reply statement. 29 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 30 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first witness statement, or the monitor? 31 Mr BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that witness statement, or the condens of your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 31 Mr BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that word of the formatic on his, so we'll pick those up, please, at your signature under the date of the commission his condition of the document, we can see that first page of your signature under the date of the correction, are the contents of your signature under the date of the correction of the correction specified of your signature under the date of the correction		Page 117		Page 119
2	1	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	1	if we can adopt the same procedure please starting off
3 Reg of your reply witness statement? 4 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Wong. Thank you for your assistance. Your evidence is now completed. 5 WITNESS: Thank you. 6 WITNESS: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN: Thank you nexy much, Mr Wong. I think. 8 Would it be convenient to take the aftermoon he break? 9 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 10 (4-01 pm) 11 (A short adjournment) 11 (A short adjournment) 12 (4-16 pm) 13 MR BOULDING: Sir. my next witness is Mr Andy Wong. I think. 14 Mr Andy Wong. 15 Mr Wong, good afternoon. 16 WITNESS: Good afternoon. 16 WITNESS: Good afternoon. 17 MR WONG KAI WING, ANDY (affirmed in Punt) 18 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter) 19 except where otherwise specified) 10 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING 11 Witness statement, is in tot, By A. (10 English) Oasy. Yes. 12 It's correct; is in not, flav your full mame is Andy 12 Wong kai Wing? 13 A. There's a supplementary statement. 14 witness statement, correct? 15 A. There's a supplementary statement. 16 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, is it not? 17 A. Yes. 18 Witness statement, on the monitor? 18 A. There's a supplementary statement. 29 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor? 18 A. Correct. 29 A. Yes. 20 Corocuse you can. So that's the first page of your first first witness statement, is it not? 20 A. Correct. 31 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 - your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 31 Q. Death in the make to fits, so well pick those up, please, at page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 - your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 31 Q. Death in the make to fits your will prove the contents of your at my state previous. A Yes. 32 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd lisk to make to this, so well pick those up, please, at page B461.		-		
4. CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much, Mr Wong. Thank you for you be assistance. Your evidence is now completed.  4. A. (In English) Yes.  5. So, If we go on to B13673, which is the next page, I trust we can see your signature, can we not, under the date of 12 October 2018?  6. CHAIRMAN: Yes. Well just have 15 minutes. Thank you.  10. (40 pm)  10. (A) short adjournment)  11. (A) short adjournment)  12. (4.16 pm)  13. MR BOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my nest witness is MR Andy Wong.  14. Mr. Andy Wong.  15. MR Wong, good afternoon.  16. WITNIESS: Good afternoon.  17. MR WONG KAI WING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)  18. (A) answers grewn via simulateous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  19. Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING  20. Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING  21. Re BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong.  22. If so orrect, is it not, that your full name is Andy  23. Wong Kai Wing?  24. A. (In English) Okay. Yes.  25. Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two  26. Well have a look at them. Lef's go to B448, or witness statement, is it not?  27. A. Yes.  38. CHAIRMAN: Thank you, the date of 12 September?  39. A. Yes.  30. CHAIRMAN: Yes, Well just those up, please, at witness to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page 1461.1.  30. A. Yes.  31. Mr. And Yong.  32. He we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the cortents of your first witness statement, is it not?  20. Croce.  30. Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the see the first page of your first witness statement, is it not?  21. A. Yes.  32. A. Correct.  33. A. Correct.  34. A. (In English) Concourse.  35. Chair Mission in the minute to the best of your first witness statement and one reply when the see the first page of your first witness statement, is it not?  22. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do a we not the previous page, 460 - your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  39. Q. Flease, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, is	3	-		• •
s assistance. Your evidence is now completed.  WITNESS: Thank you.  MR BOULDING: Sir, my next witness is Mr Andy Wong, I think.  Would it be convenient to take the afternoon tea break?  CHAIRMANK Yes. Well just have 15 minutes. Thank you.  (401 pm)  (401 pm)  (A short adjournment)  (A hort adjournment)  (A flow MR BOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my next witness is 44 Mr. Andy Wong.  (401 pm)  (A wong, good afternoon.  (Witness: Good afternoon.  (Witness: Good afternoon.  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (All answers given vius simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)  (A (In English) Okay, yes.  (A (In English) Okay, yes.  (A	4	·	4	
With New York of the Commission of the Statement for the Statement for the Statement of t	5	assistance. Your evidence is now completed.	5	` ' '
8 Would it be convenient to take the afternoon tea break? 9 CHAIRMAN: Yes. We'll just have 15 minutes. Thank you. 10 (4.01 pm) 11 (A short adjournment) 12 (4.16 pm) 13 (M FBOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my next witness is 14 Mr Andy Wong. 15 Mr BOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my next witness is 15 Mr Bougle (M and M a	6	WITNESS: Thank you.	6	
9 CHAIRMAN: Yes. We'll just have 15 minutes. Thank you. 10 (401 pm) 11 (A short adjournment) 12 (4.16 pm) 13 MR BOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my next witness is 14 Mr Andy Wong. 15 Mr Wong, good afternoon. 16 WITNESS: Good afternoon. 17 MR WONG KAI WING, ANDY (affirmed in Punt) 18 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 19 Examination-in-cibr by MR BOULDING 20 Reamination-in-cibr by MR BOULDING 21 MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong. 22 If so critect, is it not, that your full name is andy 23 Wong Kai Wing? 24 A, (In English) Okay, Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two 26 Witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct? 27 A. There's a supplementary statement, Q. We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor? 30 A. There's a can be the whole page? 41 A. Yes. 42 A. Correct. 43 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do the document, on the monitor? 44 A. Yes. 45 Q. Well have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor? 45 A. Trect. 46 A. Correct. 47 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do the document, on the monitor? 47 A. Yes. 48 A. Yes. 49 Designed and belie!? 40 A. Yes. 41 Witness statement from the page? 41 Witness thatement, on the monitor? 42 A. Correct. 43 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do the document, on the monitor? 44 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor? 45 A. Yes. 46 Correct. 47 Q. Under the previous page, 460 - your signature under the document when the protous page, 460 - your signature under the document when the protous page, 460 - your signature under the document when the protous page, 460 - your signature under the document when the protous page, 460 - your signature under the document	7	MR BOULDING: Sir, my next witness is Mr Andy Wong, I think.	7	12 October 2018?
10	8	Would it be convenient to take the afternoon tea break?	8	A. Correct.
11	9	CHAIRMAN: Yes. We'll just have 15 minutes. Thank you.	9	Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the best of
12   (4.16 pm)   12   Q. Now, Mr Wong, it's become conventional to try to locate   13   MR BOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my next witness is   13   you in the MTR organisational hierarchy. For that   14   Mr Andy Wong.   14   purpose, can we look first at B572. If we come in, the   15   Mr Wong, good afternoon.   16   do we see your position in the MTR organisational chart as at August 2015?   17   as at August 2015?   18   (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified)   19   15   Explember?   18   COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, does it say "Effective except where otherwise specified)   19   15   Explember?   20   MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong.   21   not, under your name?   22   Mr BOULDING: Thank you, fill name is Andy   22   A. Correct.   23   Wong Kai Wing?   23   Wong Kai Wing?   24   A. (In English) Okay. Yes.   24   move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go down, this time you're in the second column in from the   25   down, this time you're in the second column in from the   26   We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448,   7   please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, is thought of the assistance of the Commission in first witness statement, is the norm of the second column in from the   16   do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (right shift)"?   3   A. Yes.   (In English) Concourse.   16   left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (right shift)"?   3   A. Yes.   (In English) Concourse.   16   left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (right shift)"?   3   A. Yes.   (In English) Concourse.   16   left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (right shift)"?   3   A. Yes.   (In English) Concourse.   16   left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (right shift)"?   3   A. Yes.   (In English) Concourse.   16   left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (right shift)"?   3   A. Yes.   (In English) Concourse.   16   left,	10	(4.01 pm)	10	your knowledge and belief?
13 MR BOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my next witness is   14	11	(A short adjournment)	11	A. Yes.
Mr Andy Wong.	12	(4.16 pm)	12	Q. Now, Mr Wong, it's become conventional to try to locate
15 Mr Wong, good afternoon. 16 WITNESS: Good afternoon. 17 MR WONG KAI WING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti) 18 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter except where otherwise specified) 19 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING 20 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING 21 MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong. 21 Instruction of the statement is not, that your full name is Andy 22 It's correct, is it not, that your full name is Andy 23 Wong Kai Wing? 24 A. (In English) Okay. Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two 26 Verified of the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct? 27 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct? 38 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first wintess statement, on the monitor? 39 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 40 A. Ocrrect. 41 Q. Ocroet. 42 O. Ocroet. 43 O. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 41 Witness statement, is it not? 42 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your first witness statement true to the best of your 43 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 44 Correct. 45 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 45 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 46 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 47 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 48 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 49 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 40 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 41 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 42 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 43 O. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do in the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 44 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 45 O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 46 O. Subject to	13	MR BOULDING: Chairman, Professor, my next witness is	13	you in the MTR organisational hierarchy. For that
16 WITNESS: Good afternoon.  17 MR WONG KAI WING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)  18 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter  19 except where otherwise specified)  19 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING  20 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING  21 MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong.  22 If scorrect, is it not, that your full name is Andy  23 Wong Kai Wing?  24 A. (In English) Okay. Yes.  25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two  26 you splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two  27 a witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct?  28 Well, there's one witness statement and one reply  29 statement; correct?  30 A. There's a supplementary statement.  40 Well, there's one witness statement and one reply  51 statement; correct?  52 Vell have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first  53 Vers.  54 A. Yes.  55 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do  44 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  54 A. Correct.  55 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do  56 A. Correct.  57 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do  58 A. Correct.  59 Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can  50 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first  50 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do  59 A. Correct.  50 Q. Bull tunderstand there are one or two corrections you'd  50 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your  51 G. A. Correct.  52 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your  53 A. There's an author of the document, we can  54 A. Correct.  55 Q. Bread do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse  56 witness statement, on the monitor?  57 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd  58 A. Yes.  59 MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that  50 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your  51 G. A. Correct.  52 Q. Frenicity	14	Mr Andy Wong.	14	purpose, can we look first at B572. If we come in, the
17 MR WONG KAI WING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti) 18 (All answers given via simultaneous interpreter 19 except where otherwise specified) 20 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING 21 MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong. 22 It's correct, is it not, that your full name is Andy 23 Wong Kai Wing? 24 A. (In English) Okay. Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two 26 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission 27 in this matter; correct? 28 A. There's a supplementary statement. 29 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply 20 Statement, correct? 21 A. There's a supplementary statement. 22 [We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, roginates, down there see the first page of your first winess statement, is it not? 29 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 30 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first winess statement, is it not? 31 Mr BOULDING: Wes. It says "Effective September", does it not, under your name? 21 not, under your name? 22 In not, under your name? 23 (a. Then if we could just pick up one more reference we move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go down, this time you're in the second column in from the  Page 118  Page 120  Page 120  Page 120  Page 120  I eth, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse of move in this matter; correct? 31 A. Yes. 42 (In English) Concourse. 43 A. Yes. 44 (In English) Concourse. 45 (If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of so life upon the more of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of see that's the management organisation chart as of see that's the management organisation chart as of you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then you'll be question you at any stage they consider it's appropriate, and then	15	Mr Wong, good afternoon.	15	third column from the left, and scroll down a bit, there
18   COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, does it say "Effective except where otherwise specified)   1 September"?   1 September"   1 September	16	WITNESS: Good afternoon.	16	do we see your position in the MTR organisational chart
19 except where otherwise specified) 20 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING 21 MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong 22 It's correct, is it not, that your full name is Andy 23 Wong Kai Wing? 24 A. (In English) Okay. Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two 26 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission 27 in this matter; correct? 28 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission 29 in this matter; correct? 30 A. There's a supplementary statement. 41 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply 42 statement; correct? 43 A. There's a supplementary statement. 44 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply 45 statement; correct? 46 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, 47 please, do we there see the first page of your first 48 witness statement, on the monitor? 49 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 40 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your 41 first witness statement, is it not? 41 A. Correct. 42 A. Correct. 43 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 44 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1 40 A. Yes. 41 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 41 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1 41 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 42 A. Yes. 43 A. Yes. 44 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 45 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1 45 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 46 A. Yes. 46 A. Yes. 47 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 48 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1 47 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 48 A. Yes. 49 A. Yes. 40 D. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of 3 Hardraha and 4 Winney and 4 Winney and 5 Winney and 4 Winney and 5 Wi	17	MR WONG KAI WING, ANDY (affirmed in Punti)	17	as at August 2015?
20 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING 21 MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong. 22 In's correct, is it not, that your full name is Andy 23 Wong Kai Wing? 24 A. (In English) Okay. Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two 26 Witness statements for the assistance of the Commission 27 in this matter; correct? 28 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission 29 in this matter; correct? 30 A. There's a supplementary statement. 41 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply 42 statement; correct? 43 A. Yes. 44 (In English) Concourse. 45 Q. Well are a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, is it not? 46 A. Correct. 47 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 48 A. Correct. 49 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do like to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1. 40 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1. 40 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 41 G. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 42 A. Yes. 41 A. Yes. 42 A. Yes. 43 D. RB DULDING: Yes. It says "Effective September", does in this unt, under your name? 43 A. Correct. 44 With BOULDING: Mr woord in more reference we move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go down, this time you're in the second column in from the montion of the document in from the with shift) or nine months, I think B576. If we go down, this time you're in the second column in from the modification (night shift)"? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of 3 1 March 2016; correct? 5 Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of 3 1 March 2016; correct? 8 A. Yes. 9 MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that you'll be que	18	(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, does it say "Effective
21 MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong. 22 It's correct, is it not, that your full name is Andy 23 Wong Kai Wing? 24 A. (In English) Okay. Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two  26 Page 118  1 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct? 2 move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go down, this time you're in the second column in from the  Page 120  1 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct? 2 movel in this matter; correct? 3 A. There's a supplementary statement. 4 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply statement; correct? 5 statement; correct? 6 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, 7 please, do we there see the first page of your first 8 witness statement, on the monitor? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. O' Course you can. So that's the first page of your first first witness statement is it not? 10 you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who have a substated first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who have a substated first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who have a substate the competition by Mr. Pennicott, and the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 10 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, a	19	except where otherwise specified)	19	1 September"?
22 It's correct, is it not, that your full name is Andy 23 Wong Kai Wing? 24 A. (In English) Okay. Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two 26 plendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two 27 in this matter; correct? 28 A. There's a supplementary statement. 4 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply 5 statement; correct? 5 Verl have a look at them. Let's go to B448, 6 please, do we there see the first page of your 10 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your 11 first witness statement, is it not? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do 4 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under 15 the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 first witness statement true to the best of your 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Then if we could just pick up one more reference we move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go wight or hink e ould just pick up one more reference we move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go wor, if we on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go wor, if we move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go wor, if we move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go down, this time you're in the second column in from the  Page 118  Page 120  1 left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse 2 modification (night shift)"?  3 A. Yes.  4 (In English) Concourse.  4 (In English) Concourse.  4 (In English) Concourse.  5 Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of see that'	20	Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING	20	MR BOULDING: Yes. It says "Effective September", does it
23 Q. Then if we could just pick up one more reference we move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go down, this time you're in the second column in from the  Page 118  Page 120  Page 120  I witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct?  A. There's a supplementary statement.  Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply statement; correct?  Well have a look at them. Let's go to B448, ege that's the management organisation chart as of see that's the management organisation chart as of see that's the management organisation chart as of 31 March 2016; correct?  A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  A. Correct.  Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply witness statement, on the monitor?  A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  D. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do the down, this time you're in the second column in from the move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go down, this time you're in the second column in from the more the produced, have you not he moor the Commission  I left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (night shift)"?  A. Yes.  (In English) Concourse.  A. Yes.  MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to question you. The professor and the Chairman can question you at any stage they consider it's appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask you some questions at the end of your evidence. So please stay there.  Beach and the provious page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  A. Correct.  D. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  D. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your first witness statement true to the best o	21	MR BOULDING: Thank you, Mr Wong.	21	not, under your name?
24 A. (In English) Okay. Yes. 25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two  Page 118  Page 120  1 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct? 2 modification (night shift)"? 3 A. There's a supplementary statement. 4 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply statement; correct? 5 variatement; correct? 6 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, is it not? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 10 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first first witness statement, is it not? 11 first witness statement, is it not? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do 14 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1. 19 page B461.1. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 10 A. Yes. 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much first witness statement true to the best of your 21 first witness statement true to the best of your 22 first witness statement true to the best of your 23 knowledge and belief? 21 A. Yes. 22 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 24 A. Yes.	22	It's correct, is it not, that your full name is Andy	22	A. Correct.
25 Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two  Page 118  Page 118  Page 120  1 witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct?  3 A. There's a supplementary statement.  4 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply statement; correct?  5 Statement; correct?  6 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor?  9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  9 A. Correct.  10 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first witness statement, is it not?  11 first witness statement, is it not?  12 A. Correct.  13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  15 appropriate, and then it might be the ease that I ask you some questions at the end of your evidence. So please stay there.  16 A. Correct.  17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  19 Date I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  19 Date I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  20 A. Yes.  21 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your first witness statement true to the best of your afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	23	Wong Kai Wing?	23	Q. Then if we could just pick up one more reference we
Page 118  Page 120  in this matter; correct?  A. There's a supplementary statement.  Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply statement; correct?  We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  Correct.  Correct.  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  A. Correct.  But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  A. Yes.  Page 120  Page 120  I left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (night shift)"?  A. Yes.  (In English) Concourse.  Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of 3 1 March 2016; correct?  A. Yes.  MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to question you. The professor and the Chairman can question you at any stage they consider it's appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask you some questions at the end of your evidence. So please stay there.  WITNESS: Thank you.  Examination by MR PENNICOTT  MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 for comming to give evidence to the Commission this afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 24 hehalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	24	A. (In English) Okay. Yes.	24	move on eight or nine months, I think B576. If we go
witness statements for the assistance of the Commission in this matter; correct?  A. There's a supplementary statement.  Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply statement; correct?  We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor?  A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first witness statement, is it not?  A. Correct.  Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  P. Weill have a look at them. Let's go to B448, A. Yes.  I left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AloW, concourse modification (night shift)"?  A. Yes.  (In English) Concourse.  Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of 3 I March 2016; correct?  8 A. Yes.  9 MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to question you. The professor and the Chairman can question you. The professor and the Chairman can question you at any stage they consider it's appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask you some questions at the end of your evidence. So please stay there.  WITNESS: Thank you. Examination by MR PENNICOTT  MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much for coming to give evidence to the Commission this afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is knowledge and belief?  A. Yes.	25	Q. Splendid. Now, you have produced, have you not, two	25	down, this time you're in the second column in from the
2 in this matter; correct? 3 A. There's a supplementary statement. 4 Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply 5 statement; correct? 6 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, 7 please, do we there see the first page of your first 8 witness statement, on the monitor? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 10 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your 11 first witness statement, is it not? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do 14 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under 15 the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 19 page B461.1. 19 page B461.1. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 19 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that 20 A. Yes. 21 WITNESS: Thank you. 22 afterstown, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 24 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will		Page 118		Page 120
A. There's a supplementary statement.  Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply statement; correct?  We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor?  A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first witness statement, is it not?  A. Correct.  Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  A. Yes.	1	witness statements for the assistance of the Commission	1	left, and do we see "Andy Wong, AIoW, concourse
4 (In English) Concourse. 5 statement; correct? 6 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, 7 please, do we there see the first page of your first 8 witness statement, on the monitor? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that 10 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your 11 first witness statement, is it not? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do 14 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under 15 the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1 10 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 21 G. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 24 (In English) Concourse.  5 Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of 3 I March 2016; correct?  8 A. Yes.  9 MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that 10 you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, 11 who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then 12 various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to 13 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 14 question you at any stage they consider it's 15 appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 16 you some questions at the end of your evidence. So 17 please stay there. 18 WITNESS: Thank you. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 A. Yes. 21 G. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 24 A. Yes.	2	in this matter; correct?	2	modification (night shift)"?
statement; correct?  We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor?  A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first witness statement, is it not?  A. Correct.  Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your first witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?  A. Yes.  Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can see that's the management organisation chart as of 31 March 2016; correct?  A. Yes.  31 March 2016; correct?  MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then you'll be question you. The professor and the Chairman can question you. The professor and the Chairman can question you at any stage they consider it's appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask you some questions at the end of your evidence. So please stay there.  WITNESS: Thank you.  Examination by MR PENNICOTT  MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is howledge and belief?  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  B. MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commission chart as of you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commission chart as of you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commission chart as of you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assis	3	A. There's a supplementary statement.	3	A. Yes.
6 We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448, 7 please, do we there see the first page of your first 8 witness statement, on the monitor? 8 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that 10 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your 11 first witness statement, is it not? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do 14 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under 15 the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 10 Wong, what's going to happen now is that 10 you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, 11 who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then 12 various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to 13 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 14 question you at any stage they consider it's 15 appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 16 you some questions at the end of your evidence. So 17 please stay there. 18 WITNESS: Thank you. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 A. Yes. 21 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 22 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 25 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 26 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	4	Q. Well, there's one witness statement and one reply	4	(In English) Concourse.
please, do we there see the first page of your first witness statement, on the monitor?  A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first witness statement, is it not?  A. Correct.  Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  A. Yes.  Yes.  31 March 2016; correct?  A. Yes.  MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to question you. The professor and the Chairman can question you at any stage they consider it's appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask you some questions at the end of your evidence. So please stay there.  WITNESS: Thank you.  Examination by MR PENNICOTT  A. Yes.  Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your first witness statement true to the best of your Subject to that correction, are the contents of your knowledge and belief?  A. Yes.  Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  Pannicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	5	statement; correct?	5	Q. If we go to the top left-hand of the document, we can
witness statement, on the monitor?  A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?  O. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your  first witness statement, is it not?  A. Correct.  O. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do  we not the previous page, 460 your signature under  the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  A. Correct.  O. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd  like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at  page B461.1.  A. Yes.  Witness statement, on the monitor?  MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that  you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then  12 various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to  13 question you. The professor and the Chairman can  14 question you at any stage they consider it's  appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask  you some questions at the end of your evidence. So  17 please stay there.  WITNESS: Thank you.  Page B461.1.  D. Examination by MR PENNICOTT  MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much  A. Yes.  O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your  first witness statement true to the best of your  first witness statement true to the best of your  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  A. Yes.  Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on  behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	6	We'll have a look at them. Let's go to B448,	6	see that's the management organisation chart as of
9 A. Yes. Can I see the whole page? 9 O. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first witness statement, is it not? 10 O. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your first witness statement, is it not? 11 who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then the could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 10 O. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1. 10 you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then 12 various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to 13 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 14 question you at any stage they consider it's 15 appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 16 you some questions at the end of your evidence. So 17 please stay there. 18 WITNESS: Thank you. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 A. Yes. 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 knowledge and belief? 21 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 24 A. Yes. 22 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	7	please, do we there see the first page of your first	7	31 March 2016; correct?
10 Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your 11 first witness statement, is it not? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do 14 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under 15 the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 10 you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott, 11 who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then 12 various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to 13 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 14 question you at any stage they consider it's 15 appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 16 you some questions at the end of your evidence. So 17 please stay there. 18 WITNESS: Thank you. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 A. Yes. 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much for coming to give evidence to the Commission this 21 first witness statement true to the best of your 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 25 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 26 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	8	witness statement, on the monitor?	8	A. Yes.
first witness statement, is it not?  11 who is the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do 14 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under 15 the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 first witness statement true to the best of your 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 26 Windows the lawyer assisting the Commissioners. Then 27 various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to 28 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 29 question you at any stage they consider it's 20 appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 21 you some questions at the end of your evidence. So 28 WITNESS: Thank you. 29 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 24 A. Yes. 24 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	9	A. Yes. Can I see the whole page?	9	MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, what's going to happen now is that
12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do 14 we not the previous page, 460 your signature under 15 the date of 12 September 2018; correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 10 A. Yes. 20 Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 21 G. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 first witness statement true to the best of your 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 26 Various other lawyers in the room get the opportunity to 26 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 27 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 28 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 29 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 29 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 20 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 21 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 21 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 21 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 21 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 24 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 25 question you. The professor and the Chairman can 26 professor and the Chairman can 27 professor and the Chairman can 28 papropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 29 propriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 29 professo	10	Q. Of course you can. So that's the first page of your	10	you'll be questioned first, I suspect, by Mr Pennicott,
Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  A. Correct.  Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  A. Yes.  Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your first witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?  A. Yes.  13 question you. The professor and the Chairman can question you at any stage they consider it's appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask you some questions at the end of your evidence. So like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  Bexamination by MR PENNICOTT MR Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much for coming to give evidence to the Commission this afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	11	first witness statement, is it not?	11	-
we not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  A. Correct.  But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  A. Yes.  MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much specifiest witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?  A. Yes.  We not the previous page, 460 your signature under the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  14 question you at any stage they consider it's appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask  15 appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask  16 you some questions at the end of your evidence. So  17 please stay there.  18 WITNESS: Thank you.  19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT  20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 24 A. Yes.  24 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	12	A. Correct.	12	
the date of 12 September 2018; correct?  15 appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 A. Yes. 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 first witness statement true to the best of your 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 25 appropriate, and then it might be the case that I ask 26 you some questions at the end of your evidence. So 27 please stay there. 28 WITNESS: Thank you. 29 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 24 A. Yes. 26 Dehalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	13	Q. Then if we could go down to page B461. There we see, do	13	
16 A. Correct. 17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 A. Yes. 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 first witness statement true to the best of your 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 26 you some questions at the end of your evidence. So 27 please stay there. 28 WITNESS: Thank you. 29 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 24 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	14	we not the previous page, 460 your signature under	14	question you at any stage they consider it's
17 Q. But I understand there are one or two corrections you'd 18 like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at 19 page B461.1. 19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 first witness statement true to the best of your 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 26 WITNESS: Thank you. 27 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 28 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 29 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 20 A. Yes. 21 please stay there. 22 MR PENNICOTT: 23 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 24 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	15	the date of 12 September 2018; correct?	15	
like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at page B461.1.  A. Yes.  O. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your first witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?  A. Yes.  Is WITNESS: Thank you.  Bexamination by MR PENNICOTT  MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much give evidence to the Commission this afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on A. Yes.  Is WITNESS: Thank you.  Pennicott: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is A. Yes.  Dehalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	16	A. Correct.	16	
page B461.1.  19 Examination by MR PENNICOTT  20 A. Yes.  20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much  21 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your  22 first witness statement true to the best of your  23 knowledge and belief?  24 A. Yes.  29 Examination by MR PENNICOTT  20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much  21 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this  22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is  23 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on  24 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	17	· ·	17	
A. Yes.  20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your 22 first witness statement true to the best of your 23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes.  20 MR PENNICOTT: Mr Wong, good afternoon. Thank you very much 21 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this 22 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 23 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 24 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	18	like to make to this, so we'll pick those up, please, at		
Q. Subject to that correction, are the contents of your first witness statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?  A. Yes.  21 for coming to give evidence to the Commission this afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	19	• •		
first witness statement true to the best of your 2 afternoon, and as Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is 2 knowledge and belief? 2 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 2 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will				
23 knowledge and belief? 24 A. Yes. 25 Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on 26 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will				
24 A. Yes. 24 behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will	1 22	first witness statement true to the best of your		
			23	Pennicott and I'm going to ask you a few questions on
Q. Then you've also produced a reply witness statement, and 25 only be a few and that we can deal with this relatively	23			
	23 24	A. Yes.	24	behalf of the Commission, and I'm hoping that there will

Page 124

Page 121

- 1 quickly.
- 2 Mr Wong, for the period September 2015 to December
- 3 2015, my understanding is you were the assistant
- 4 inspector of works and your primary area of
- 5 responsibility was areas C2 and C3?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. You deal in your witness statement with two incidents,
- 8 which you call the first incident and the second
- 9 incident; yes?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. The first incident is one which lots of people in this
- room are very familiar with. It's the one that gave 12
- 13 rise ultimately to NCR157.
- 14 A. (In English) Yes.
- 15 Q. What you very helpfully do, in one of your attachments
- 16 to your witness statement, is you identify for us where
- 17 the incidents, the two incidents you talk about, two
- 18 place.
- 19 So could we go to B1/463, please. If we could blow
- 20 the top right-hand corner up of this, please. Thank you
- 21 very much.
- 22 The first incident, Mr Wong, as I understand it, is
- 23 the incident that --
- 24 A. (In English) First incident.
- Q. Is the first incident, the one on the left, and it's the

- 1 Q. You say in your witness statement, at paragraph --
- 2 there's no need to look at it; I'll read it out and we
- 3 can focus on the photograph -- you say:
- 4 "The 1st photograph shows two steel bars not
- 5 properly screwed into the coupler."
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Can you identify the two that you're talking about,
- 8 please?
- 9 A. (In English) (Indicating). You see?
- 10 Q. If you can point there, the lady over there will put the
- hand on it for us on the screen. 11
- Yes, that's right. It's those two. 12
- 13 A. I have highlighted them.
- 14 Q. That's fine. All right. Thank you very much.
- 15 Then, at 7460, you say in paragraph 25(2) of your
- 16 witness statement -- again, no need to look at it; I'll
- 17 read it out again:
- 18 "The 2nd photograph shows a wire cutter lying on the
- 19 fixed steel bars in area C3, bay 2. This was the
  - location where I found one of the cut ends of the
- 21 threaded steel bar."
- 22 Is that right?
- 23 A. When I discovered this, this wire cutter was put there,
- 24 and additionally there were two cut threaded portions
- 25 nearby.

20

- Q. All right. Indeed, if we go now to page 7458, back two
  - 2 pages, you say in your witness statement:
  - 3 "The 3rd photograph shows a cut end of a threaded
  - 4 steel bar resting on my hand."
  - 5 A. Correct.
  - 6 Q. We can see --
  - A. (In English) Right-hand side.
  - Q. We can see that very clearly.
  - 9 Then, lastly, so far as the photographs are
  - 10 concerned, 7459. You say:
  - 11 "The 4th photograph" -- this is paragraph 25(4) --
  - 12 "shows three shortened threaded steel bars not properly
  - 13 screwed into the couplers."
  - 14 A. Right.
  - 15 Q. Again, can you identify the three that you are referring
  - 16
  - 17 A. (Indicating).
  - 18 Q. Can you hold that up so that we can --
  - 19 A. Can you see that?
  - 20 Q. We just want to get the hand.
  - 21 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can we have it the same way up
  - 22 Rotate it.
  - 23 A. Can you see? (Indicating).
  - 24 MR PENNICOTT: So it's the three at the back of the
  - 25 photograph that we're looking at. Yes, that's one, and

Page 122

one we know about; that's the NCR no. 157?

- A. (In English) Yes.
- 3 Q. You identify essentially two separate locations for the
- 4 incident?

1

- 5 A. Well, it was so long ago, I can only remember the exact
- locations after reviewing the documents, but I did take 6
- 7 photos.
- 8 Q. Yes, indeed. And as I understand it we've got part of 9 the incident happening on the diaphragm wall and the
- 10
- other part of the incident happening on the construction 11 joints?
- A. (In English) Slab to slab. 12
- 13 Q. Slab to slab, yes. Okay.
- 14 Just so we can see in a moment when we come to the 15 second incident, the second incident that you deal with
- 16 in your witness statement, we can see, were two
- 17 locations on the construction joint, slab to slab?
- 18 A. Correct.

- 19 Q. Now, dealing with the first incident, could I ask you to 20 be shown the photographs, starting at B10/7457.
- 21 Mr Wong, these are photographs that we've been
- 22 looking at quite a few times during the course of the
- 23 Inquiry so far and, as I understand it from your witness
- statement, these four photographs were taken by you? A. (In English) Yes, photo by me.

	Page 125		Page 127
1	then to the left yes, that's the second one and	1	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I wasn't going to go to the NCR again.
2	then to the left again	2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I just wanted to check it's the same
3	CHAIRMAN: That's it.	3	incident.
4	MR PENNICOTT: that's it.	4	MR PENNICOTT: It is the same, yes.
5	A. Yes.	5	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Good.
6	Q. Okay. Thank you very much.	6	MR PENNICOTT: But just to one point that perhaps hasn't
7	Mr Wong, can I ask you this: when you took these	7	come out perhaps quite as clearly as it does with
8	photographs, were there any workers in the vicinity?	8	Mr Wong's evidence is as I understand it, Mr Wong,
9	A. There were, but no Leighton foremen or engineers. It	9	correct me if I'm wrong, in the plan that we had a look
10	was	10	at just a moment ago, there were two separate locations,
11	INTERPRETER: I can't hear.	11	and as I understand it tell me if I'm wrong the
12	A. At that time, I was by myself who was doing the	12	photograph we've got on the screen at the moment is the
13	inspection.	13	one by the diaphragm wall?
14	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, but were there any steel bar fixers in	14	A. Right. This is towards the D-wall, facing the D-wall.
15	the area when you took these photographs?	15	Q. Yes, and the other photograph that we saw at 7457 is the
	A. Yes. Yes.	16	one on the slabs, the connection joints?
16			A. This is slab to slab.
17	Q. Fang Sheung workers?	17	
18	A. Right.	18	Q. Slab to slab, yes, indeed.
19	Q. Did you speak to them?	19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Again, so that I can understand it,
20	A. At that time, I did not. I called telephoned my	20	how many bars in total are we referring to as being cut
21	supervisor and WhatsApped my supervisor, that is my	21	in this incident? How many bars?
22	superior, to inform them about this case.	22	A. Five.
23	Q. Right. That was Mr Kobe Wong?	23	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Five in total in both
24	A. Yes.	24	A. (In English) Yes.
25	Q. Did you not feel it appropriate to speak to the	25	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Five in total, which is the
	Page 126		Page 128
1	Page 126 Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to	1	Page 128 combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?
1 2		1 2	•
	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to		combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?
2	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you	2	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the
2	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with	2 3	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in
2 3 4	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem	2 3 4	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.
2 3 4 5	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?	2 3 4 5	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in
2 3 4 5 6	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior	2 3 4 5 6	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with	2 3 4 5 6 7	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December 2015, during regular site surveillance in area C1 bay 5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?  A. Yes.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, perhaps you were going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December 2015, during regular site surveillance in area C1 bay 5 or area C3 bay 3, I saw that there were 5 or 6 threaded
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?  A. Yes.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, perhaps you were going to take him to this, Mr Pennicott, so forgive me is this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December 2015, during regular site surveillance in area C1 bay 5 or area C3 bay 3, I saw that there were 5 or 6 threaded steel bars that were not screwed into the couplers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?  A. Yes.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, perhaps you were going to take him to this, Mr Pennicott, so forgive me is this the incident that led to the issue of an NCR?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December 2015, during regular site surveillance in area C1 bay 5 or area C3 bay 3, I saw that there were 5 or 6 threaded steel bars that were not screwed into the couplers.  These steel bars were located at the slab-to-slab
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?  A. Yes.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, perhaps you were going to take him to this, Mr Pennicott, so forgive me is this the incident that led to the issue of an NCR?  MR PENNICOTT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December 2015, during regular site surveillance in area C1 bay 5 or area C3 bay 3, I saw that there were 5 or 6 threaded steel bars that were not screwed into the couplers.  These steel bars were located at the slab-to-slab (construction joint) connections."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?  A. Yes.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, perhaps you were going to take him to this, Mr Pennicott, so forgive me is this the incident that led to the issue of an NCR?  MR PENNICOTT: Yes.  A. (Nodded head).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December 2015, during regular site surveillance in area C1 bay 5 or area C3 bay 3, I saw that there were 5 or 6 threaded steel bars that were not screwed into the couplers.  These steel bars were located at the slab-to-slab (construction joint) connections."  Now, Mr Wong, first question: did you think to take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?  A. Yes.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, perhaps you were going to take him to this, Mr Pennicott, so forgive me is this the incident that led to the issue of an NCR?  MR PENNICOTT: Yes.  A. (Nodded head).  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December 2015, during regular site surveillance in area C1 bay 5 or area C3 bay 3, I saw that there were 5 or 6 threaded steel bars that were not screwed into the couplers.  These steel bars were located at the slab-to-slab (construction joint) connections."  Now, Mr Wong, first question: did you think to take any photographs of these particular non-screwed-in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Fang Sheung workers? I appreciate that you wanted to contact your superior, I understand that, but did you not think it might be appropriate to have a word with the Fang Sheung workers and ask them about the problem that you had identified?  A. No. The procedure, I thought, was to inform my superior to see if there was any arrangement for me to deal with the situation. If I talked to the workers directly, there might be conflict.  Q. All right, understood. I don't need to discuss it with you, Mr Wong, in any detail, but we know that as a consequence of you identifying these problems, they were quickly rectified, as I understand it, on site, on the same day, and indeed you took some photographs of the rectification some photographs of the rectification works as they were taking place?  A. Yes.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, perhaps you were going to take him to this, Mr Pennicott, so forgive me is this the incident that led to the issue of an NCR?  MR PENNICOTT: Yes.  A. (Nodded head).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	combination of both areas; it was five bars in total?  A. Let me add that it's the same area, because at the corner there was D-wall and this is the slab to slab in the same bay, same area.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes, okay. I understand. It's in the same bay. Thank you.  MR PENNICOTT: As I understand it, looking at your plan, just a little distance apart?  A. Right.  COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.  MR PENNICOTT: All right. Now, if anybody wants to ask you about the first incident, they will in a moment. I'm going to move on to your second incident, which you deal with starting at paragraph 30 of your witness statement, where you say:  "Sometime between 16 December 2015 and 31 December 2015, during regular site surveillance in area C1 bay 5 or area C3 bay 3, I saw that there were 5 or 6 threaded steel bars that were not screwed into the couplers.  These steel bars were located at the slab-to-slab (construction joint) connections."  Now, Mr Wong, first question: did you think to take

### Page 129 Page 131 1 looked at, it should be C1, bay 5, because on the day it A. Right. 2 was concrete pouring, they were doing concrete pouring 2 CHAIRMAN: Just briefly, tell us, what was the essential 3 3 when I took the photographs, but in 2016 my computer was purpose of your job? 4 infected with a virus and I telephoned to inform my 4 A. My job covers documentation, to put documents and 5 5 photographs on the server. My working hours were superior and I asked a foreman to follow up on the replacement of the screwing. There was record and there 6 between 8.30 to 6 o'clock, and for one or two days every 6 7 were photographs, but I only verbally informed my 7 week I would work overtime until 11 o'clock. 8 8 superior. And there was no report issued or anything The scope of my duties, when I started work there 9 9 would be briefing from my superior to inform me about 10 10 the points that I had to pay attention to. Then I would Q. Since your witness statement, as I understand it, you've now narrowed it down and you think it is C1, bay 5? 11 go to the site for surveillance. I would pay attention 11 12 12 A. (In English) Yes. to safety issues or pay attention to some particular job 13 13 Q. And that's because you recall the concreting was being types, say for example some kind of formwork, I would 14 done at that time? 14 have to conduct constant surveillance. A. Right. 15 CHAIRMAN: And couplers? 15 16 Q. Okay. I think I'm right in saying -- but we can be 16 A. For couplers, first of all, I wasn't assigned to be T3 17 double-checked if I get this wrong -- that the 17 of QSP, but I myself -- well, previously, on another 18 concreting in C1-5 took place on 23 December, so that's 18 site, I was a T3. So I would pay attention to couplers. 19 19 within the period that you've mentioned in your witness During my surveillance, I would pay attention to 20 statement? 20 whether they were properly screwed on, and I would 21 A. Right. 21 physically touch them, push them, to see if they were 22 Q. All right. Again, you tell us that you informed Mr Kobe 22 aligned or stable. I would do it very closely, very 23 23 close to the rebar, or I would conduct a visual Wong about this incident. 24 24 A. Right. inspection on steel fixing work. 25 CHAIRMAN: Were you on site, other than going off for lunch 25 Q. And he instructed you to follow up with Leighton? Page 130 Page 132 1 A. Right. 1 and things like that, the whole time? 2 Q. You go on to tell us that you were or Leighton were able 2 A. Right. There would not be any teatime for me, so 3 3 to remedy two or three of the bars that you saw, because I would be there full-time, that is before 6 o'clock. 4 they were sufficiently high up, as it were, towards the 4 CHAIRMAN: All right. How big an area would you normally 5 top. 5 have to supervise? 6 A. Yes, at the top. 6 A. As stated in my witness statement, C2 to C3, the area Q. But there was nothing that could be done with the three 7 7 would be within 100 metres. 8 steel bars that were further down or beneath the top CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you would patrol, if I can put it that 9 9 layer? way, backwards and forwards, or stay in particular areas 10 A. Yes, because it was during concrete pouring; there was 10 that there was particular type of work being done? 11 no time for us to make any preparations. 11 A. Right. If there was nothing particular, I could conduct 12 Q. Right. So had the concrete pouring actually started, 12 patrols for surveillance. 13 Mr Wong, when you picked this up? 13 CHAIRMAN: Prior to this first incident which you reported, 14 A. Right, because when there was a concrete pouring I would 14 the one where we have a photograph of you holding a cut 15 be there 100 per cent supervising it. 15 thread in your hand, had you come across many incidents 16 Q. Understood. Okay. But the upshot was there was nothing 16 of rebars not being properly put into couplers or there 17 that could be done about three of the steel bars, and 17 being anything that worried you? 18 the concrete therefore was poured and those bars were 18 A. I've been working on different sites for more than 19 left not quite properly connected? 19 20 years. I've never seen cutting of a threaded bar 20 A. Right. 20 like that. I was shocked and I informed my superior to 21 MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr Wong. I have 21 handle that. 22 no further questions. 22 CHAIRMAN: Okay. But prior to that incident, which 23 23 Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS understandably caused you some difficulty, had you seen 24 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can I just ask you, if I may, Mr Wong, 24 anything at all that you felt worthy of bringing to the

attention of anybody concerning rebar -- sorry,

25

25

your job was as an assistant inspector; correct?

Page 133 Page 135 1 concerning couplers, on this site? 1 that I didn't go there earlier, otherwise I would have 2 2 A. Yes. When the D-wall was trimmed, they need to use prevented this. 3 a shear key to do the cleaning to expose the couplers. 3 CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. 4 There were two ways to do it, one hydraulic, using water 4 MR CHANG: No questions from Leighton. 5 jet, hydro-jetting, and the other one, it would be 5 MR SO: One question from China Technology. 6 manually breaking by workers, and there's a chance that 6 CHAIRMAN: Yes. 7 things will be broken up. The hydro-jetting was much 7 Cross-examination by MR SO 8 more better; it would not hurt the concrete. 8 MR SO: Witness, you just told us that in the draft 9 9 If it's manually done and manual hacking, I would transcript, [draft] page 136, line 8, when you were 10 10 check the shear key, then I did not find the threads answering Mr Chairman's question: 11 11 "I won't speculate on the motive, but if we look at CHAIRMAN: Excellent. Thank you very much indeed. I just 12 12 the photos provided by China Technology, and also my 13 wanted to get an idea of your daily routine and the fact 13 photo records, I know who those two people were on that 14 of what you were doing. 14 particular day." 15 A. As I've said, apart from safety and patrol inspections, 15 Can you tell us who those two people were? 16 I have to monitor the progress, to pay attention to what 16 CHAIRMAN: No, I don't think that's necessary. The purpose 17 was being carried out, and if there's anything lacking 17 of this Inquiry is not to apportion blame for criminal 18 I would report the matter to my superior. 18 conduct, or civil liability. I would be content if you 19 19 CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I -- I do apologise -- then also ask were to ask: who did you think employed them? That 20 you this. When you worked in C2 and C3, and you had 20 question has been asked before and it's important 21 this 100 metres to patrol during the day, would you be 21 because we have a number of organisations working 22 assisted by anybody else from MTR? Would you be 22 on site and one should be differentiated from another, 23 23 in the public interest. But I'm not interested in assisted by another inspector, or was that your 24 24 particular area? getting close to issues of criminal or public liability. 25 A. According to the chart, there was no one under me. 25 MR SO: Of course, sir. In that case I will rephrase my Page 134 Page 136 There's a Joe Wong. Sometimes we did some joint 1 question. 1 2 inspections. I did meet him from time to time. 2 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN: All right. And Joe Wong was with who; MTR? 3 MR SO: Regarding those two people -- as you said, you can 4 A. MTR. 4 recognise who they were -- can you tell us which 5 CHAIRMAN: All right. And what about Leightons; would you 5 organisation do they belong to? see them on the site during the day? 6 A. I also have photos. They both work for Fang Sheung. 6 7 A. Yes, yes. There was also joint inspection done with 7 MR SO: Thank you. No further questions. 8 them. When they submitted a RISC form, we would do CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 9 inspection together. We would confine ourselves to MR CONNOR: No questions from me, sir. Thank you. 10 shear key and connection of couplers -- or rather 10 MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, the government has a few questions, but I will try to finish before 5.00. 11 connection of couplers and steel fixing would not form 11 CHAIRMAN: That's all right. If we have to go a bit after 12 part of these inspections. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: Obviously, whoever cut these threads, and we have 13 5.00, it's all right. 14 that photograph again of you holding one, that was 14 Cross-examination by MR CHOW 15 a deliberate act and would have taken not a couple of 15 MR CHOW: Mr Wong, my name is Anthony Chow and I represen 16 seconds but would have taken probably a minute or two. 16 the government. The government has a few questions for 17 How easily do you think the workers might have been able 17 you. 18 to do that, if they were intent on doing it? Was it 18 In relation to the first incident, in your statement 19 you mention: 19 easy to avoid detection, put it that way? 20 "Within an hour after my call with Leighton's site 20 A. I won't speculate on the motive, but if we look at the photos provided by China Technology, and also my photo 21 21 22 22 Am I right to take it that on that occasion you have records, I know who those two people were on that 23 23 particular day. I was also on patrol. waited for quite some time before there's anyone from But it's a question of time. I was there at 24 24 Leighton to come and attend to the problem; is that 25 19:00 hours. The photos were taken at 18:18. I regret 25 right?

- 1 A. Maybe it was not as long as an hour. I think it's half
- an hour to an hour. But the procedure was like this.
- 3 I phoned my superior, the superior instructed me on how
- 4 to follow up the matter, and then he asked me to call
- 5 Leighton to verify the matter. It was not as long as
- 6 an hour.
- When someone arrived, they had to ask the worker to
- 8 expose the relevant bar, because it was -- I would at
- 9 least try to find two bars. So there were at least two
- 10 bars which were cut, and they had to find some bars to
- replace those ones, new ones to replace those ones. So
- close to 6 pm the matter was already handled.
- Q. For the second incident, in paragraph 33 you alsomentioned:
- 15 "After my phone call with Kobe Wong, I immediately
- 16 called Leighton's site staff ..."
- Now, does it mean -- well, we understand on that
- occasion they were about to start concreting; is that
- 19 correct? Or they have already started?
- 20 A. They have already begun concreting.
- 21 Q. You need to call Leighton staff -- does it mean there
- 22 was no supervisor from Leighton around, supervising the
- 23 concreting work?
- 24 A. That was a big area. I had to make a call. There were
- 25 tests, and there were concrete trucks that they had to

Page 139

- 1 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I think you probably ought to pose
- the question again, Mr Chow.
- 3 MR CHOW: Yes. On the second incident, when it happened,
- 4 Leighton was doing concreting; right?
- 5 A. Yes.

8

- 6 Q. Am I right to think that being able to start concreting,
- 7 Leighton had passed two hold point inspections, the
  - rebar fixing inspection and the pre-pour inspection; is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A. Yes, but I want to add. Although they passed the
- inspection, but in the course someone might expose the
- 12 rebars, such as someone responsible for manhole might
- 13 have touched those rebars. So it may be okay at the
- point of inspection, but someone might have touched
- these rebars afterwards, so I had to do the surveillance
- whole time.
- 17 Q. On that particular occasion, do you think that was the
- 18 reason? Was there any manhole around? You mentioned
- manhole; right?
- 20 A. No, no. It was not the reason. There was no one doing
- that. I said that it could be that for some reasons
- like that, some other people might have contacted the
- 23 rebars.
- 24 Q. Yes. Right.
- 25 A. Do I need to go further?

Page 138

Page 140

- 1 manage. So the person might not be close to me.
- 2 Q. My last question is we have heard evidence about hold
- 3 point inspection and procedure, and our understanding is
- 4 that one could only proceed to the next step if the hold
- 5 point inspection was satisfactory.
- 6 Given that, in relation to the second incident,
- 7 Leighton was doing the concreting, and we can deduce
- 8 from that that they have passed at least two hold
- 9 points, one in relation to the rebar inspection and the
- 10 other one is pre-pour inspection; am I correct?
- 11 A. (Nodded head).
- 12 Q. The fact that a problem was --
- 13 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, what was the answer to that?
- 14 MR CHOW: Oh, he was nodding "yes".
- 15 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: You have to speak, Mr Wong, because
- otherwise it doesn't go on the transcript.
- 17 A. I was trying to hear out the question first.
- 18 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Ah.
- 19 A. I didn't want to interrupt.
- 20 COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I fully understand, Mr Wong, and
- 21 I'm sorry, I've just interrupted you, but the transcript
- said "nodding head", and that was taken as being a yes,
- 23 I think, by Mr Chow. So we may need to get "yes" or
- "no" answers as a minimum.
- A. (Chinese spoken).

- 1 Q. So, on that occasion, the possibility about having
- 2 someone doing work for manhole and because of that sort
- 3 of tampered with the reinforcement, that is not what
- 4 happened on that particular occasion; correct?
- 5 A. Correct. On that occasion, it was not due to some
- 6 manhole work. It might be due to the poor workmanship
- 7 of their workers.
- 8 Q. Right. After that incident --
- 9 A. I was transferred to concourse after that, so I was not
- involved in the remedial work. It happened on the 23rd
- and I was transferred out on the 31st.
- 12 Q. All right. I was going to ask you whether there was any
- following up action on the part of Leighton in reviewing
- the hold point inspection procedure, that sort of thing,
- but perhaps you have no knowledge?
- 16 A. Yes, yes. For the first -- the top two layers, they
- 17 could be replaced, and they were, and there were some
- that were on T2 or T3, that is behind the top layer that
- 19 couldn't be done. As a result ...
- 20 INTERPRETER: Sorry, I can't catch the rest.
- 21 MR CHOW: Sorry, it's a fault on my part. What I'm trying
- 22 to get to is -- given that the hold point inspection has
- been passed, but yet we still find problem in the
- reinforcement at the time of concreting -- in such
- 25 circumstances, I was just wondering whether there was

	Page 141		Page 143
1	any review on the part of the MTR on the hold point	1	three teams of people. Say, for example, on that day,
2	inspection procedure, so as to avoid a similar incident	2	on 22 September, that evening there were 18 people on
3	being happened in future?	3	the night shift, so I can't say that I would have seen
4	A. I think that would be answered by my superior. That	4	everything, but I would do my best.
5	would be better.	5	MR BOULDING: You did your best. Well done.
6	MR CHOW: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.	6	I have no further questions for you, Mr Wong.
7	Chairman, I have no more questions.	7	I don't know whether the Chairman or the professor have.
8	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.	8	CHAIRMAN: No.
9	Re-examination by MR BOULDING	9	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No.
10	MR BOULDING: Mr Wong, good afternoon again.	10	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
11	You were asked about your duties, and do you	11	MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Wong.
12	remember telling the Commissioners that you had a duty	12	CHAIRMAN: Mr Wong, thank you very much.
13	of constant surveillance?	13	WITNESS: Thank you.
14	A. Yes.	14	CHAIRMAN: Your evidence has been completed, and may I say
15	Q. Can you just tell me what that duty of constant	15	it's been a pleasure.
16	surveillance would involve, please, Mr Wong?	16	WITNESS: Thank you.
17	A. First of all, I had to prepare something daily, to know	17	CHAIRMAN: So I think we are finished for the week. Thank
18	about the number of workers and their works. Prior to	18	you very much indeed. We will resume on Monday morning
19	that, there would be information given to me by my	19	at 10 am. Thank you.
20	superiors, say for example the progress of the bay, for	20	(The witness was released)
21	example, bar bending supervision, I had to see if they	21	(5.05 pm)
22	have followed the progress. Then I would take down the	22	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
23	number of workers, look at safety issues, as well as	23	on Monday, 10 December 2018)
24	whether there was anything illegal or unsafe going on,	24	
25	and then I would have to deal with them.	25	
		_	
	Page 142		Page 144
1	•	1	Page 144 INDEX
1 2	Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance	2	INDEX PAGE
2	Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were	2 3	INDEX
2 3	Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?	2	INDEX PAGE MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)
2	<ul><li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li><li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but</li></ul>	2 3	INDEX PAGE MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)1
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li><li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	INDEX PAGE MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li><li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	INDEX PAGE MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)1
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)1  Cross-examination by MR CHOW34  Cross-examination by MR SO98  Re-examination by MR BOULDING99  Questioning by THE COMMISSIONERS112
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push it, to see if they were steady. If there was too little connection, then it would not be stable or not aligned.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX PAGE MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push it, to see if they were steady. If there was too little connection, then it would not be stable or not aligned.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever watch the rebar being screwed into the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push it, to see if they were steady. If there was too little connection, then it would not be stable or not aligned.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever watch the rebar being screwed into the couplers?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEX PAGE MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push it, to see if they were steady. If there was too little connection, then it would not be stable or not aligned.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever watch the rebar being screwed into the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push it, to see if they were steady. If there was too little connection, then it would not be stable or not aligned.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever watch the rebar being screwed into the couplers?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, I did. That is more or less part of my daily</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push it, to see if they were steady. If there was too little connection, then it would not be stable or not aligned.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever watch the rebar being screwed into the couplers?</li> <li>A. Yes, Yes, I did. That is more or less part of my daily duties. If there was the coupler connection, I would watch it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push it, to see if they were steady. If there was too little connection, then it would not be stable or not aligned.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever watch the rebar being screwed into the couplers?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, I did. That is more or less part of my daily duties. If there was the coupler connection, I would</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Tell me this: what did that constant surveillance involve, if anything, so far as the couplers were concerned?</li> <li>A. Concerning couplers, well, it wasn't my duty, but because I'm a responsible person, I had to have oversight of everything. I did not have any checklist, but I would still check on compliance. Regarding couplers, if it's within the area I was in, I would look at them, because they were very important.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell the Commissioners how you would check for compliance?</li> <li>A. First of all, as I said, I would do a visual inspection, that is to see if there would be an over-exposure of threads. The correct ones would be just one or two threads. Then I would use my hand or use my leg to push it, to see if they were steady. If there was too little connection, then it would not be stable or not aligned.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever watch the rebar being screwed into the couplers?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes, I did. That is more or less part of my daily duties. If there was the coupler connection, I would watch it.</li> <li>Q. I see. Is that something you did throughout the whole</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX PAGE  MR WONG CHI CHIU, KOBE (on former affirmation in1 Punti)  Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)