	Page 1		Page 3
1	Friday, 31 May 2019	1	A. Yes.
2	(10.01 am)	2	Q. Did they never mention the fact that there were
3	MR HENRY LAI (on former affirmation)	3	interface meetings going on from time to time?
4	Examination by MR PENNICOTT (continued)	4	A. No.
5	MR PENNICOTT: Good morning, sir. Good morning,	5	Q. So the position is this, then, is it, Mr Lai: that you
6	Prof Hansford.	6	are effectively the hands-on Leighton engineer
7	Good morning, Mr Lai.	7	responsible for the stitch joints and the shunt neck
8	A. Good morning.	8	joint?
9	Q. Mr Lai, could I just ask you a few questions about	9	A. Yes.
10	paragraph 13 of your first witness statement, which is	10	Q. You knew nothing about the interface meetings, and you
11	at CC page 90.	11	knew nothing about the details of the 1111 side of the
12	You are dealing there with your, if I may put it	12	interface; is that right?
12	this way, lack of knowledge of any discussions or	13	A. Yes.
14	meetings between Leighton and the 1111 contractor?	14	Q. In your position as the hands-on engineer for the stitch
14	A. Yes.	15	joints and the shunt neck joint, did you not think it
16	Q. As I understand it, you were not aware of the fact that	16	your business to find out some information about the
17	there were meetings called interface meetings between	17	interface details?
17	Leighton and MTR and the Gammon JV contractor?	18	
18	A. No.	10	A. I worked under our drawings and I believed it was sufficient at the time.
20	Q. You certainly didn't receive the minutes of those	20	Q. And so far as the 1111 side is concerned, you didn't
	- •		-
21	interface meetings?	21	think it was necessary or appropriate to find out the
22	A. No.	22	details of that side of the stitch joint?
23	Q. Could we just look at the front sheet of one of those	23	A. No.
24	meetings, please, just for the purposes of the next	24	Q. All right.
25	couple of questions. Could we look at CC2/855, please,	25	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, why was it not necessary?
1	Page 2 which is the front sheet of the minutes of the		Page 4
1		1	A Pacques our PC drawings already show the report
2		1	A. Because our RC drawings already show the rebar
2	20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily,	2	arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it
3	20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope.	2 3	arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works.
3 4	20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and	2 3 4	arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
3 4 5	20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people	2 3 4 5	arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers
3 4 5 6	20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there.	2 3 4 5 6	arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought ithas sufficient information to proceed with the works.CHAIRMAN: Thank you.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplersfrom 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any
3 4 5 6 7	20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris	2 3 4 5 6 7	arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8	20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought ithas sufficient information to proceed with the works.CHAIRMAN: Thank you.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplersfrom 1111, but they didn't identify them as being anydifferent; is that correct?A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works.CHAIRMAN: Thank you.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct?A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Did you have occasion to have any discussions about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Did you have occasion to have any discussions about anything with either Jim Wong or Regina Wong? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours? A. Yes. Q. When you joined the project in February 2016, did you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Did you have occasion to have any discussions about anything with either Jim Wong or Regina Wong? A. We were under the same team, yes. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours? A. Yes. Q. When you joined the project in February 2016, did you did Joe Tam, your ultimate boss, as we've seen, give you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Did you have occasion to have any discussions about anything with either Jim Wong or Regina Wong? A. We were under the same team, yes. Yes. Q. At any time, did they mention the fact that there were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours? A. Yes. Q. When you joined the project in February 2016, did you did Joe Tam, your ultimate boss, as we've seen, give you any information about the level of supervision that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Did you have occasion to have any discussions about anything with either Jim Wong or Regina Wong? A. We were under the same team, yes. Yes. Q. At any time, did they mention the fact that there were interface meetings going on with the 1111 contractor? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours? A. Yes. Q. When you joined the project in February 2016, did you did Joe Tam, your ultimate boss, as we've seen, give you any information about the level of supervision that Leighton was required to provide where the works, the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Did you have occasion to have any discussions about anything with either Jim Wong or Regina Wong? A. We were under the same team, yes. Yes. Q. At any time, did they mention the fact that there were interface meetings going on with the 1111 contractor? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours? A. Yes. Q. When you joined the project in February 2016, did you did Joe Tam, your ultimate boss, as we've seen, give you any information about the level of supervision that Leighton was required to provide where the works, the rebar works in particular, involved the installation of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Did you have occasion to have any discussions about anything with either Jim Wong or Regina Wong? A. We were under the same team, yes. Yes. Q. At any time, did they mention the fact that there were interface meetings going on with the 1111 contractor? A. No. Q. And what about you tell us that you had contact from 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours? A. Yes. Q. When you joined the project in February 2016, did you did Joe Tam, your ultimate boss, as we've seen, give you any information about the level of supervision that Leighton was required to provide where the works, the rebar works in particular, involved the installation of couplers?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 20th meeting. It will be up on the screen momentarily, I hope. All I'm interested in is the front sheet, and perhaps we can just see all the names of all the people who were there. So, Mr Lai, we can see that from MTR there was Chris Chan and Kappa Kang; do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. And from Leighton and obviously I'm just focusing on the 1112 contract; I'm not concerned about the 1111 representatives then there's Jim Wong and Regina Wong, do you see that, from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Do you know Jim Wong and Regina Wong from Leighton? A. Yes. Q. Did you have occasion to have any discussions about anything with either Jim Wong or Regina Wong? A. We were under the same team, yes. Yes. Q. At any time, did they mention the fact that there were interface meetings going on with the 1111 contractor? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 arrangement at the joint area, so therefore I thought it has sufficient information to proceed with the works. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the RC details showed couplers from 1111, but they didn't identify them as being any different; is that correct? A. There would be no mention of the factory or type of coupler used mentioned on the drawings. It would only show up as a coupler. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: So what assumption did you make about the 1111 side couplers, if any? A. Same as ours. Q. You assumed, you made the assumption, that they were the same as yours? A. Yes. Q. When you joined the project in February 2016, did you did Joe Tam, your ultimate boss, as we've seen, give you any information about the level of supervision that Leighton was required to provide where the works, the rebar works in particular, involved the installation of

	Page 5		Page 7
1	We know that part of the works, the rebar works,	1	Q. But in paragraphs 15 and 17 of your second witness
2	involved couplers; yes?	2	statement perhaps you can go to that, please. It's
3	A. Okay.	3	at CC3788. Paragraph 15 at the bottom of the page; do
4	Q. Were you given any information by Joe Tam as to the	4	you have that? It's on the screen, is it?
5	level of supervision that was required by Leighton, by	5	A. Yes, okay.
6	reason of the fact that couplers were being installed?	6	Q. We can do it that way. You say:
7	A. No.	7	"Please refer to paragraphs 14 to 16 of [your] first
8	Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown DD10327. I'm not	8	statement in relation to the process of rebar ordering
9	suggesting this is a letter you would have seen before,	9	and testing."
10	Mr Lai. It's what's known as the acceptance or approval	10	Then, over the page at paragraph 16, you say this:
11	letter from the government to MTR, and it relates to the	11	"After the rebar was delivered to the site, the
12	approval for the NAT. It's what gives the go-ahead for	12	rebar fixing sub-contractor would cut samples of the
13	the NAT works to start.	13	rebar for testing. For the rebar that I ordered for the
14	If you could please be taken to 10339. This is	14	areas that I was responsible for in the NAT, I arranged
15	appendix V to the letter. Do you follow?	15	for the sampling and testing of 103 out of the 159
16	A. Yes.	16	batches of rebar, and did not arrange for the sampling
17	Q. What it says there is, "Mechanical couplers for steel	17	and testing of the remaining 56 batches, because my
18	reinforcing bars without ductility requirement"; do you	18	workload got very heavy later on and I did not have time
19	see that?	19	to arrange for the testing of the remaining batches."
20	A. Yes.	20	Now, that calculation that you've carried out there
21	Q. I assume this is a document you've not seen before?	21	or deduction you've made, that you didn't sample and
22	A. No.	22	test 56 batches, can you explain to us how you arrived
23	Q. If we could go down, please, to (d). It says this:	23	at that, Mr Lai? Because I'm afraid it's defeated us.
24	"The names and qualifications of the supervisory	24	You must have looked at some records and documents. Are
25	personnel representing the competent person and" for	25	you able to explain to us how you reached that
	Page 6		Page 8
1	present purposes, more importantly, the registered	1	conclusion?
2	building contractor, which is Leighton "respectively	2	A. This is, I believe, by our record of order, for ordering
3	should be recorded in an inspection log book. The date,	3	steel, the steel order, and then, by then for each
4	time, items inspected and inspection results should be	4	batch, and then we will have done the rebar testing for
5	clearly recorded in the log book. The log book should	5	the batch, and I believe this number is derived from the
6	be kept at the site office and, when required, produced	6	overall orders.
7	to the Director of Highways for inspection."	7	Q. Right. So it's essentially the rebar order
8	Were you aware, Mr Lai, of any log book being kept	8	documentation that we should be looking for, in relation
9	on site and those details set out in that letter being	9	to, presumably, the NAT?
10	recorded in that log book?	10	A. Yes.
11	A. No.	11	Q. Did you look at that documentation for the purposes of
12	Q. Did you ever see any type of log book recording this	12	arriving at the evidence that you've set out in
13	sort of material, this material details?	13	paragraph 16?
14	A. No.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. So this requirement was not drawn to your attention by	15	Q. You did that yourself? Somebody else didn't do the
16	Joe Tam or anybody else at Leighton when you joined the	16	calculation for you? You did it yourself, did you?
17	project?	17	A. We did it together, yes.
18	A. No.	18	Q. Together with whom?
19	Q. All right. You can put that one away. Could we then	19	A. Just my colleagues at the company.
20	move on to the testing of rebar, a topic which you deal	20	Q. All right.
21	with in your witness statement. In paragraphs 14 to 16	21	Can I then go on to ask you some questions about
21		22	RISC forms.
21 22	of your first statement, you describe in a little detail	22	Tube forms.
	of your first statement, you describe in a little detail the process that took place or should have taken place	23	A. Yes.
22			

	Page 9		Page 11
1	statement, you set out the process of hold-point	1	A. Yes.
2	inspections that we discussed yesterday, not just in the	2	Q. But you never did?
3	context of the stitch joints but the NAT generally. Do	3	A. No.
4	you recall that?	4	Q. Why not?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. I was too caught up with the work and I just forgot to
6	Q. You explain in those paragraphs that, essentially,	6	do it.
7	everything was done between you and the MTR, in broad	7	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I ask, in this regard: would it be
8	terms, so far as the NAT is concerned, verbally rather	8	correct to say that a time would be reached when, in
9	than by the issuing of RISC forms. Would you accept	9	order for completion to take place, to get the necessary
10	that?	10	certificate, you would have to submit all the necessary
11	A. Yes.	11	RISC forms?
12	Q. Can I ask you this, Mr Lai: was it your intention to try	12	A. Sorry, can you repeat that again?
13	and issue the RISC forms subsequently and try and catch	13	CHAIRMAN: Before you can walk away from the job, there has
14	up, as it were? Is that what you intended?	14	to be a completion certificate. The government has to
15	A. I intended to issue on the same day of the inspections.	15	give its okay.
16	Q. But you never did, apart from a very small number of	16	A. Yes.
17	occasions, which we're going to look at in a moment;	17	CHAIRMAN: And my understanding, and I may be wrong here, is
18	that's right, isn't it?	18	that before government gives the okay and says, "Thank
19	A. Yes. Yes.	19	you, we are happy with the work, you can walk away from
20	Q. So I'm asking you, with regard to the ones that were not	20	the site", it needs all the up-to-date documents which
21	issued, was it your intention just to issue them after	21	show inspections and the like, which would include the
22	the event, as it were?	22	RISC forms and their annexures?
23	A. No.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Was that your intention?	24	CHAIRMAN: So, somewhere along the line, in the future, you
25	A. No.	25	were going to have to come up with the RISC forms?
	Page 10		Page 12
1	Q. So your intention was to never issue them; is that	1	A. I believe, on this issue, MTR raised the NCRs for varied
2	right?	2	RISC forms that were not issued, and I believe we were
3	A. No. My intention was to issue them on the same day, but	3	working on a proposal as to how to cover the RISC forms.
4	then I didn't do it.	4	CHAIRMAN: Right. So I appreciate that happened. I'm
5	Q. All right. So, not having done it, did you have any	5	a little more interested in your own thinking at the
6	belief that you perhaps ought to issue them	6	time because, as qualified engineer, you would have
7	subsequently?	7	known, "We're going to have to come up with the RISC
8	A. Maybe one or two days afterwards, but then I forgot to	8	forms at some time."
9	do it.	9	A. Yes, but at the time I didn't issue and it wouldn't be
10	Q. Right.	10	appropriate to issue now.
11	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, and then you forgot to do it?	11	CHAIRMAN: Okay. All right. Did you at any stage go to
12		10	
10	A. Yes.	12	your superiors and say, "Look, I'm sorry, I forgot to do
13	 A. Yes. MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few 		your superiors and say, "Look, I'm sorry, I forgot to do the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too
13 14			
	MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few	13	the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too
14	MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my	13 14	the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"?
14 15 16 17	MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my understanding of the MTR's position is that there's	13 14 15	the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"?A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18	MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my understanding of the MTR's position is that there's an acceptance that verbal instructions were given to proceed with the concrete pours and so forth after the rebar inspections, but there was an expectation on the	13 14 15 16 17 18	the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"?A. Yes.CHAIRMAN: You did?A. Yes.MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I now take that point up with the
14 15 16 17	MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my understanding of the MTR's position is that there's an acceptance that verbal instructions were given to proceed with the concrete pours and so forth after the rebar inspections, but there was an expectation on the part of the MTR that the RISC forms would be produced,	13 14 15 16 17	the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"?A. Yes.CHAIRMAN: You did?A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my understanding of the MTR's position is that there's an acceptance that verbal instructions were given to proceed with the concrete pours and so forth after the rebar inspections, but there was an expectation on the part of the MTR that the RISC forms would be produced, by you they called it a collaborative approach,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: You did? A. Yes. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I now take that point up with the witness? CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my understanding of the MTR's position is that there's an acceptance that verbal instructions were given to proceed with the concrete pours and so forth after the rebar inspections, but there was an expectation on the part of the MTR that the RISC forms would be produced, by you they called it a collaborative approach, I think, in their opening address to the Commission. 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: You did? A. Yes. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I now take that point up with the witness? CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Because I can see where this is going.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my understanding of the MTR's position is that there's an acceptance that verbal instructions were given to proceed with the concrete pours and so forth after the rebar inspections, but there was an expectation on the part of the MTR that the RISC forms would be produced, by you they called it a collaborative approach, I think, in their opening address to the Commission. Did you understand that the MTR expected you to issue 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: You did? A. Yes. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I now take that point up with the witness? CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Because I can see where this is going. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my understanding of the MTR's position is that there's an acceptance that verbal instructions were given to proceed with the concrete pours and so forth after the rebar inspections, but there was an expectation on the part of the MTR that the RISC forms would be produced, by you they called it a collaborative approach, I think, in their opening address to the Commission. Did you understand that the MTR expected you to issue those RISC forms, albeit late? 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: You did? A. Yes. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I now take that point up with the witness? CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Because I can see where this is going. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Let me ask you this specifically, Mr Lai. In
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR PENNICOTT: All right. But whilst you may be asked a few more questions on this topic by the MTR, my understanding of the MTR's position is that there's an acceptance that verbal instructions were given to proceed with the concrete pours and so forth after the rebar inspections, but there was an expectation on the part of the MTR that the RISC forms would be produced, by you they called it a collaborative approach, I think, in their opening address to the Commission. Did you understand that the MTR expected you to issue 	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 the RISC forms for this period of time; I was just too busy"? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: You did? A. Yes. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I now take that point up with the witness? CHAIRMAN: Yes. Thank you. MR PENNICOTT: Because I can see where this is going. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	Page 13		Page 15
1	had you made your superiors, including Joe Tam, aware of	1	Over the page, at paragraph 5, Mr Tam goes on:
2	the fact that you had not been issuing the RISC forms?	2	"Upon receiving MTR's email dated 24 March 2017
3	A. From my recollection, I had.	3	([apparently a] Friday) and over the next few days,
4	Q. On one or more occasions?	4	I spoke to my team members in person on an individual
5	A. I don't remember.	5	basis while at the site office and reminded them that
6	Q. It's quite important, Mr Lai, this point, as I'm sure	6	going forward, they should submit the RISC forms
7	you'll appreciate. So your evidence to the Commission	7	immediately. I spoke to every team under my
8	is that you have a recollection that somewhere between	8	supervision."
9	February 2016 and February 2017, you would have informed		Perhaps "team member".
10	Joe Tam that the RISC forms were not being issued for	10	"Those team members with whom I had spoken responded
11	rebar inspection and pre-pour concrete?	11	on the spot that they would deal with the matter."
12	A. Sorry, can you repeat that again?	12	I will come back to that paragraph in a moment.
13	Q. Yes. It's quite important, Mr Lai. Is it your evidence	13	Could we please look at the email to which Mr Tam makes
14	that in the year between February 2016 and February	14	reference, which is at CC10/6208.
15	2017, you had a conversation with Joe Tam in which you	15	At the top, we see Mr Harman, who we heard from last
16	told him that you were not issuing RISC forms?	16	time in the Inquiry, forwarding an email to a number of
17	A. From my recollection, I raised that to my superiors,	17	people, including Joe Tam, William Holden, and others.
18	yes.	18	Do you see that?
19	Q. You did. And what reaction did you get; do you recall?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. I don't recall now.	20	Q. He says:
21	Q. You say your superiors. My request was specifically	21	"Gents,
22	directed at Mr Tam. Can you confirm you had such	22	Please see the RISC customer complaint below.
23	a conversation with Mr Tam or with somebody else?	23	Please check with your teams that you have ITP for
24	A. It would be just a quick chat, just to express that	24	all current works and are submitting RISC to MTR as per
25	I hadn't done my RISC forms, and maybe just carry on	25	the ITP.
	Page 14		Page 16
1	working. Yes.	1	I will also review the INCITE/RISC module and then
2	Q. And you think you had that conversation with Mr Tam?		see you with my findings."
3	A. I had, but for how many times, I don't remember.	3	More importantly, could we please scroll up to see
4	Q. Okay.	4	the email at the bottom of the page, perhaps over the
5	Could I then ask you, please, to look at Mr Tam's	5	page. This is Mr Kong writing, as we know, on 24 March
6	witness statement. It's at CC3784.	6	2017:
7	I don't know whether you've had an opportunity of	7	"Dear Ian [that's Mr Rawsthorne],
8	reading Mr Tam's witness statement?	8	It is very disappointed for your frontline
9	A. Not yet, no.	9	engineers/agents without submit the request for
10	Q. You haven't?	10	inspection form to our inspectors/construction engineers
11	A. No.	11	I/II for any black and white notice of works through the
12	Q. Let's have a look at it together.	12	RISF for a certain months. This cases were mostly
13	If you could look, please, at paragraph 4, at the	13	happened at SAT, NAT and HHS respectively. The
14	bottom of this page, headed, "RISC forms" do you see	14	contractor should adequate notice MTR through the RISF
15	that?	15	to our construction engineers I/II/inspectors to carry
16	A. Yes.	16	out the individual on-site inspection."
17	Q. He says:	17	And so forth. I won't read the rest of it out.
18	"I became aware on or around 24 March 2017 that	18	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just to help me a second, "ITP"? I've got
19	formal joint inspections by Leighton and MTR had been	19	a mental block.
20	completed, while some of the relevant RISC forms were	20	MR PENNICOTT: Inspection testing procedure. Sorry, "plan"
21	still outstanding, when I was copied in an email dated	21	not "procedure".
22	24 March 2017 from Kenneth Kong (senior inspector of	22	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. It's like Trivial Pursuit questions.
23	works) of MTRC to Leighton"	23	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.
24	Then a reference is given to the email which we will	24	Pausing there, Mr Lai first of all, were you ever
24			

	Page 17		Page 19
1	A. Sorry?	1	53 rebar fixing checks; and
2	Q. Were you ever shown this email? You don't appear to	2	(b) I did not submit a RISC form for the 53 pre-pour
3	have been on the receiver list. Were you ever shown it?	3	checks."
4	A. I think so. I don't remember.	4	First of all, Mr Lai, were you involved in the
5	Q. Okay. This email was sent, as we know, in March 2017,	5	preparation of the table that you refer to in this
6	and we know, as a matter of chronology, so far as we're	6	paragraph?
7	concerned in the Commission, that by this time the shunt	7	A. Yes. I assist, but yes.
8	neck joint and the EWL interface joint had already been	8	Q. Secondly, can you tell me, tell us, who a gentleman
9	done and completed?	9	called Billy Ng, N-G, was?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. My colleague.
11	Q. But the NSL joints, joints 1 and 2, interface and	11	Q. What was his role and what was his position, please?
12	internal, had not yet been done?	12	A. As a buddy to me, in the partnering system, for the
13	A. No.	13	works.
14	Q. Going back to that passage in Mr Tam's witness statement	14	Q. Was he an engineer?
15	that I read out just a moment ago, do you recall him	15	A. Yes.
16	having a conversation with you to remind you that the	16	Q. At a similar level to you or more senior or more junior?
17	RISC forms needed to be issued?	17	A. I'm not sure.
18	A. I don't remember.	18	Q. But he was an engineering colleague?
19	Q. You don't deny that he had a conversation with you to	19	A. Yes.
20	remind you, but you simply don't remember; is that the	20	Q. Working on the NAT specifically, or what was his role?
21	position?	21	A. Yes, working in NAT.
22	A. I don't remember.	22	Q. Okay. Could we then look at, please, the table which is
23	Q. The position is, is it not, Mr Lai, whether or not he	23	at CC3864.
24	had a conversation with you, RISC forms were not issued	24	Sir, I don't know whether it's of assistance but
25	by you subsequent to that email, particularly in	25	I've had the A4 version blown up into A3, if anybody
	Page 18		Page 20
1	relation to the stitch joints?	1	would like one.
2	A. Yes.	2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes. I think we should see that.
3	MR SHIEH: Can I just interpose here. Mr Pennicott might	3	Can I just pause a second, Mr Pennicott.
4	have put that conversation to Mr Lai on the basis that	4	MR PENNICOTT: Of course, sir.
5	when Mr Tam said in his witness statement "spoke to	5	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Mr Lai, you said he was a buddy in
6	every team under [his] supervision" he meant "every team	6	the partnering system. Is a "buddy" a formal term or
7	member". He did mean "every team", not "every team	7	did you mean a friend? What do you mean by "buddy"?
8	member".	8	A. As in work colleague, we work side by side under the
9	MR PENNICOTT: All right.	9	same area.
10	But, in any event, you cannot recall having such	10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. So there wasn't a sort of
11	a conversation as indicated by Mr Tam in March, late	11	formalised buddy system where two people support each
12	March, 2017?	12	other?
13	A. No, I don't remember.	13	A. No. Well, we work together, so in that sense in the
14	Q. Okay. Now, in paragraph 5 of your second witness	14	same area.
15	statement, Mr Lai we are still on the subject of the	15	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you.
16	RISC forms you say:	16	MR PENNICOTT: Sorry, there's a second page coming as well.
17	"Leighton has disclosed a table summarising the	17	This is a two-page document. (Handed).
18	records of the formal joint inspections for rebar fixing	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay.
19	checks and pre-pour checks for the NAT."	19	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
20	Which we will look at in a moment.	20	MR PENNICOTT: Mr Lai, what we need to focus on for present
21	"This table indicates that for the first few	21	purposes, I think, is the columns that are shaded brown
22	concrete pours in the NAT, I issued the RISC forms to	22	at the top, do you see that, which deal with the rebar?
23	document the request for formal joint inspections. The	23	A. Yes.
24	details are as follows:	24	Q. The dates of rebar fixing are given in the first two
25	(a) I did not submit a RISC forms for 51 out of the	25	columns; the date of inspection, subject to the asterisk

	Page 21		Page 23
1	note, are given; then the RISC form numbers, insofar as	1	to track some of this down.
2	they exist, are given; and then the responsible	2	Here's the first one on the table, Mr Lai, and you
3	engineer. Do you see that?	3	can see it's 010259; do you see that?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Now, from this table, Mr Lai, I have deduced that you	5	Q. In fact, this one, so far as Leighton is concerned,
6	were responsible for issuing one solitary RISC form.	6	appears to have been signed by somebody called Cheung
7	That is number 11118 at lines 3 and 4. Do you see that?	7	Tim Fu; do you see that?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Because the others appear to have been issued by	9	Q. Do you know Mr Cheung?
10	Billy Ng, or at least he's described as the responsible	10	A. He was an old colleague.
11	engineer; do you see that?	11	Q. All right.
12	A. Yes.	12	Then if we scroll down, please, "To be completed by
12	Q. With regard to those RISC forms that either you, that's	12	MTR Corporation", "Received by", a gentleman we've heard
			of before, "Kobe Wong"; and then further down,
14	the one, or Billy Ng issued, do you have any	14	"Inspection check was carried out on", and then "Name:
15	recollection of who the MTR inspectors, engineering	15	-
16	inspectors were, that carried out the inspections in	16	Kappa Kang", "CON II", and the observation is
17	relation to those RISC forms?	17	"Satisfactory"; do you see that?
18	A. If it's for the rebar, it wouldn't be the inspectors.	18	A. Yes.
19	It would be the engineers.	19 20	Q. So that's the first one. Then if we could go to 9746.
20	Q. The engineers, yes. Do you recall which of the MTR	20	Again, it's Mr Cheung, so far as Leighton is concerned.
21	engineers carried out the inspections in relation to	21	If we scroll down, we see again it is Kappa Kang who has
22	these RISC forms?	22	carried out the inspection; do you see that?
23	A. It would be Chris or Kappa.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Right. If I tell you that none of those RISC forms show	24	Q. She says that the observation is acceptable.
25	that the inspection was carried out by Chris Chan	25	Then if we go to the one that you issued, that's
	Page 22		Page 24
1	none of them would that surprise you?	1	11118, the next one, we see on this one, Mr Lai, your
2	A. No.	2	name appears.
3	Q. Would it surprise you if I told you that the vast	3	A. Yes.
4	majority there's one or two exceptions, I accept	4	Q. Do you see that?
5	but the vast majority were carried out by Kappa Kang;	5	A. Yes.
6	would that surprise you?	6	Q. Then if we scroll down, we see again, so far as MTRC is
7	A. Sorry, for what type of works?	7	concerned, the person who actually did the inspection
8	Q. The rebar.	8	was Kappa Kang; do you see that?
9	A. Inspections?	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Yes.	10	Q. So am I right in thinking that you personally would have
11	A. Sorry, can you repeat the question again?	11	carried out that inspection with Ms Kang?
12	Q. Yes. Would it surprise you to hear that the majority of	12	A. Yes.
13	the rebar formal inspections referable to these RISC	13	Q. Then 10595.
14	forms, the MTR engineer that carried out the inspection	14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Could I just understand this?
15	was Kappa Kang?	15	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir.
16	A. Yes.	16	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So Mr Lai's name is typed in there.
17	Q. It would surprise you?	17	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.
18	A. Yes.	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Who types that name in?
19	Q. Let's look at them. Could we please look at the first	19	A. It is in the system, company system, that we type our
1	-	20	works and then the date, all the details, and then it
20	one, which is 10259.		
20 21	Sir, I have slightly cheated because I have given	21	generates a form.
		21 22	generates a form. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So you request the form is generated
21	Sir, I have slightly cheated because I have given		
21 22	Sir, I have slightly cheated because I have given the Secretariat a list of the references so they can get	22	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So you request the form is generated

	Page 25		Page 27
1	MR PENNICOTT: Here, on this one this is 10595 we can	1	the second page, and still no further RISC forms issued.
2	see that Billy Ng is the we see actually here he is	2	Sir, just to make it clear, from items 59 onwards,
3	described as a graduate engineer, so perhaps we should	3	that all deals with the remedial works, not the original
4	have sorted that out before but that confirms his	4	joints.
5	position at the time?	5	CHAIRMAN: Okay.
6	A. Yes.	6	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: 59 onwards?
7	Q. Again, Kappa Kang is the MTR inspector.	7	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.
8	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So what number is this one?	8	That's right, is it not, Mr Lai?
9	MR PENNICOTT: This is 10595, sir. I have missed out 10594.	9	A. Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay, yes. I understand.	10	Q. Mr Lai, is there a typo at item 58, where it says, "NAT
11	MR PENNICOTT: Because that is one of the ones that Ms Kang	; 11	EWL 1111/1111"? Should it be "1112", that is the
12	was not involved in.	12	interface joint at EWL?
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I understand. Okay.	13	A. Yes, it should be a typo. Yes.
14	MR PENNICOTT: Then 10625, again, Billy Ng responsible for	14	Q. I've not managed to find a row on this table that refers
15	this one, and again Kappa Kang is the MTR inspector.	15	to the NAT EWL 1111/1112 walls.
16	Then 10669, again Billy Ng and Ms Kang for the MTR.	16	A. Sorry, could you repeat that again?
17	Then 9933, this time Kang Pu, but I think it's	17	Q. Yes. I've not been able to find a row on this table
18	common ground, not disputed, that that is Kappa Kang.	18	that covers the NAT EWL 1111/1112 stitch joint walls.
19	A. (Nodded head).	19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Then lastly 10108, and again we can see Ms Kang's name	20	Q. 67 is the remedial works. I want the original.
21	there.	21	A. Yes.
22	A. (Nodded head).	22	Q. It's not there.
23	Q. As I say, if one goes back to your table and just casts	23	A. Yes.
24	one's eye down those numbers, apart from 10594, it seems	24	Q. So there appears to be a row missing. All right.
25	to be the position, on the face of those documents, that	25	Now, looking at going back to the column where we
	Page 26		Page 28
1	Ms Kang carried out the inspections for the MTR in	1	see "N/A" running from item 11 onwards, there clearly
2	relation to the rebar inspection; do you see? Do you	2	were quite a number of individual rebar hold-point
3	understand now?	3	inspections that took place; yes, Mr Lai?
4	A. Yes, for these RISC forms.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Apart from the RISC form 11118 that has your name on it,	5	Q. Now, just thinking back, it's got Billy Ng's name
6	Mr Lai, and you've confirmed that you carried out	6	stops at number 10, and your name then appears as the
7	an inspection with Ms Kang in relation to that	7	responsible engineer for all the other numbers, all the
8	particular RISC form and the bays that it relates to,	8	other inspections; yes?
9	did you carry out any other inspections or was it Mr Ng	9	A. Yes.
10	that carried out the inspections with Ms Kang in	10	Q. Can you recall, do you have a recollection, in broad
11	relation to the other RISC forms that we have?	11	terms, so far as the MTR engineer is concerned, how many
12	A. Sorry, for can you repeat?	12	of those do you say were carried out by Chris Chan and
13	Q. For the actual RISC forms that we have, where $Billy\ Ng's$	13	how many were carried out by Kappa Kang? Have you any
14	name appears, did he do the inspection with Ms Kang, not	14	idea?
15	you?	15	A. I don't know.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Have you got any impression at all?
17	Q. Then if we go to the "RISC form no." column on the	17	A. I would contact Chris Chan first, and if he was
18	table, and we look at the number 10108, which is the	18	unavailable, which he was most of the time, then he
19	last RISC form we looked at, we then see that there were	19	would pass on to Ms Kang.
20	no further RISC forms issued in this column.	20	Q. Yes. Whilst I appreciate that the RISC forms that we
21	In particular, if we start picking it up towards the	21	have got and we've just looked at are dated between
22	bottom of the page, we see references to the shunt neck	22	January and October sorry, January and July, I think,
23	bay 3, which we've spent some time on already, and then	23	2016; yes, January and July 2016 it's quite clear
24	we see the stitch joints being picked up towards the	24	that, in respect of apart from one, all of those
25	bottom of the page, and that continues over the page to	25	inspections were carried out by Ms Kang?

	Page 29		Page 31
1	A. I wouldn't have known that. I only joined that area in	1	Q. Many occasions or few occasions?
2	June.	2	A. A few.
3	Q. So would I be right in suggesting that that sets	3	Q. Okay. Now, let us picture the situation at the
4	something of a pattern: that the likelihood is that	4	beginning of January where Mr Ng turns up at the shunt
5	these inspections would more likely be done by the	5	neck joint. Gammon have exposed the couplers with
6	construction engineer number II rather than Chris Chan,	6	yellow caps. He sees the yellow caps, which he tells
7	the construction engineer number I?	7	us, like you, he had never seen before; all right?
8	A. No.	8	A. (Nodded head).
9	Q. You don't accept that?	9	Q. He, unlike you, goes up to the yellow cap or a yellow
10	A. No.	10	cap, unscrews it, and finds that it's a tapered threaded
11	Q. All right. Can we move on, Mr Lai	11	coupler. All right? That's the scenario that we're in.
12	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just one question, if I may.	12	All right?
13	MR PENNICOTT: Of course.	13	A. Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN: Site diary entries are you going to come to	14	Q. That he says he found himself in.
15	that? I don't know.	15	Don't you agree that the natural reaction of this
16	MR PENNICOTT: I wasn't planning to, not with Mr Lai anyway	16	sub-contractor supervisor would have been to say I'll
17	CHAIRMAN: Okay. Did you have any role in completing the	17	be careful what language I use in this arena "Well,
18	site diary entries?	18	this is a bit of a problem; I'd better go and speak to
19	A. They were completed by MTR and countersigned. We report	19	Leighton, because this is not something I was
20	the daily activities to the inspectors.	20	expecting"? Don't you think that would be the natural
21	CHAIRMAN: Right. So you didn't actually have a role in	21	reaction of a sub-contractor in that situation?
22	completing the actual details in the site diary?	22	A. I never receive any reactions from him like that.
23	A. No.	23	Q. Because, if that isn't his reaction, then the conclusion
24	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	24	is that he thought, "Well, never mind, I'll just get on
25	MR PENNICOTT: Now, Mr Lai, we are going to sort of go back	25	with it and I'll do the best I can and hope I get away
	Page 30		Page 32
1	to where we started, unfortunately. Ah Chun, Mr Ng	1	with it." Isn't that the reality of this situation,
2	Man Chun he was a supervisor of the rebar	2	Mr Lai?
3	sub-contractor?	3	A. Sorry, could you explain
4	A. Correct.	4	Q. The reality is that faced with this problem, the
5	Q. By the time that the shunt neck joint and the EWL joint	5	incompatibility problem, the tapered threaded couplers,
6	came to be constructed, you had known him for, what,	6	this sub-contractor would have contacted you, Leighton,
7	some seven/eight months or so, perhaps; is that about	7	the main contractor, and drawn this to your attention,
8	right?	8	saying, "Look, I've got the wrong materials; what are we
9	A. Yes.	9	going to do?" Isn't that the natural reaction?
10	Q. You had got to know him reasonably well?	10	A. I was not made aware of it.
11	A. Professionally, yes.	11	Q. So your
12	Q. Professionally, yes.	12	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I do apologise for cutting across.
13	A. (Nodded head).	13	MR PENNICOTT: No, no.
14	Q. Indeed, it's the professional side that I wanted to ask	14	CHAIRMAN: I appreciate you say you were not made aware of
15	you about.	15	it, but I don't think the question was would it not have
16	What impression did you form of him? Was he	16	been more natural for him to contact you. I think the
17	a hard-working, conscientious sort of chap?	17	question was: seen just hypothetically, wouldn't it have
18	A. Yes.	18	been a more natural approach to go to Leighton and say,
19	Q. Did he strike you, in the observations that you made of	19	"We have a problem"? I would add, if I may, one thing,
20	his supervision functions and the way he dealt with his	20	which is that it's not as if one odd coupler had
21	workers, that he was competent?	21	suddenly found itself there with a yellow cap. There
22	A. He got the work done, yes.	22	would have been quite a lot of these couplers, and that
23	Q. Were there any occasions where you had to complain to		would have presented a fairly material problem, some
24	him about the quality of work that was being done?	24	sort of obstacle that had to be overcome. And I think
25	A. Occasions.	25	the question was: wouldn't a sub-contractor be much more

	Page 33		Page 35
1	likely, in the initial instance at least, to go along	1	I mean? He was a sub-contractor who was suddenly faced
2	and say, "I'm suddenly facing dozens of these couplers;	2	with a position or a situation which was the creation of
3	what do we do"?	3	other people, and if he went and reported it, he was
4	A. Hypothetically, yes.	4	protecting his company, was he not, initially?
5	CHAIRMAN: But you yourself didn't receive anything?	5	A. I don't know.
6	A. No.	6	MR PENNICOTT: The next question I've got here is similar.
7	CHAIRMAN: And nobody reported to you from Leightons?	7	CHAIRMAN: Sorry.
8	A. No.	8	MR PENNICOTT: Not at all. It's similar.
9	CHAIRMAN: You never knew there was a problem with couplers?	9	Can you think of any reason why he would run that
10	A. No.	10	sort of risk?
11	CHAIRMAN: Not until it was all opened up and then you saw?	11	A. No.
12	A. Correct.	12	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Was he under a particular time
13	CHAIRMAN: And even then, you wouldn't have known initially,	13	pressure?
14	because the yellow covers were not there then, were	14	A. We had a schedule, yes, but if there were any problems,
15	they, when you opened up?	15	we would have raised it up and corrected it, but we
16	A. Sorry?	16	never received any problems.
17	CHAIRMAN: The yellow covers to the couplers had been	17	MR PENNICOTT: All right.
18	removed by the time you opened all the works up?	18	We know, Mr Lai, that on the Gammon side of the
19	A. Yes.	19	stitch joints, the structures had been completed
20	CHAIRMAN: Because they were sort of stuck in a little bit	20	sometime in advance of the Leighton structure.
21	or whatever?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. You agree with that? All right.
23	CHAIRMAN: So when did you learn that the couplers were	23	Have you any knowledge I mean, can you recall
24	tapered couplers, Lenton couplers?	24	had Gammon actually finished their works by this stage
25	A. During the remedial works. Remedial works.	25	and left, as it were, the site, or were they still
	Page 34		Page 36
1	CHAIRMAN: And nobody had mentioned Lenton couplers to you	1	working away?
2	at any stage prior to that discovery?	2	A. No. Still working.
3	A. No.	3	Q. Still working? Okay.
4	MR PENNICOTT: So is it your evidence, Mr Lai, that none of	4	Do you know if you don't, don't worry whether,
5	the various telephone conversations that Mr Ng refers to	5	for example, Lenton had a rebar yard on the Gammon site
6	in his witness statement none of them ever took	6	which was still operating in the first half of 2017?
7	place; is that your position?	7	A. No, I don't know.
8	A. No, they never took place.	8	Q. All right. Let's press on.
9	Q. So, if that's right, the conclusion must be that Mr Ng	9	Mr Lai, you tell us, in paragraph 11 of your third
10	of Wing & Kwong just took the risk on his own shoulders	10	witness statement, that you had a meeting in February
11	to get on with this work, first of all hope that it	11	2018 with Mr Ng.
12	wasn't spotted by you and he got lucky because it	12	Sorry, it's at CC6508.
13	wasn't spotted by you that it wasn't spotted by the	13	You make reference to Mr Ng's statement, and then
14	MTR, and it wasn't spotted by MTR either. And that	14	you say:
15	really is the alternative scenario if he didn't contact	15	"I remember having such a meeting in around February
16	you; is that right?	16	2018, because when we were preparing for the remedial
17	A. Sorry, can you	17	works, after the defects which caused the water seepages
18	Q. If he didn't contact you and there's no suggestion he	18	were identified at the 3 stitch joints."
19	contacted anybody else in Leighton then the	19	Then you say that Regina Wong, Cheung Chi Wai were
20	alternative scenario must be he just hoped to get away	20	there, and so far as the conversation that took place
21	with it?	21	between your senior and I think you are referring to
22	A. Yes.	22	Mr Kitching; is that right, Mr Lai?
23	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just follow that up, just with one	23	A. From my recollection, I only remember I saw Regina and
24	question, and that is: what was in it for him to do	24	Cheung Chi Wai, yes. So the meeting may have other

1 Q. Okay. But you didn't hear any conversation between 1 A. I don't remember. 2 M. Kitching and Mr Ng? 2 Q. All right. Then lastly 1356. This is a letter three days later from Wing & Kwong to Mr. Kitching again, and any end from Wing & Kwong to Mr. Kitching again, and any end from Wing & Kwong to Mr. Kitching again, and any end from the served advant there was, end that meeting, through February and into March and the time. 2 Q. All right. Then lastly 1356. This is a letter three days later from Wing & Kwong to Mr. Kitching again, and any end from the mouth of the served advant from was croll a pa little bit. 7 2018, a series of correspondence between Wing & Kwong to Mr. Kitching and Wr. Mr. I all we see - it meeting. This is essentially the letter that kicked off the this is essentially the letter that kicked off the this is essentially the letter that kicked off the this is essentially the letter that kicked off the this and etractural eracking at NAT NSI. and FWI. strich and etractural eracking at NAT NSI. and FWI. strich is an etter of 12 February, sou can see. Ft WVI. strich is an etter of 12 February, sou can see. The WVI. strich is an etter of 12 February, sou canse Life WVI. strich is an etter was sant? 1 The nakes are the connection is either coupler with an etractural eracking at NAT NSI. and FWI. strich is parallel threads or with taper-cut threads so as to prepare materials of parallel threads, according twith Mr Kitching or - could son on the strice the start of the work. 2 A. Yes. 1 A. Work work with a second paragraph, the did not more than a thread of the material so transmuted work at prepare the relevant materials to carro ture thow ork at prepare the rele		Page 37		Page 39
2 Q. All right. Then lady 1356. This is a letter three days after from Wing & Kwong to Mr Kitching again, and Again Mr Lai, No. 3 A. No. 3 4 No. 3 5 this is the last few questions I've got — there was, of an during threeing, through Pebnary and in March 5 7 2018, a series of correspondence between Wing & Kwong 7 8 and Leighton. Were you made aware of that 8 9 To avoid the responsibility of any instability. our 10 10 A. Not at the time. 10 10 12 go, first of all, to CC1335. 10 10 13 atter of 12 February, you can see. If is to Wing 13 work." 14 astime, the review of a work and this kinsigned by 1 14 work." 15 a letter of 12 February, you can see. If is to Wing 15 The norell down, please, and towards the end there 16 & Kwong, the Cheang, and Hink it is signed by 1 10 From ake sure the connection is either coupler with parallel threads or with tape-cut threads so as to 19 17 Mr Kitching it is - and it's handed, "Water leask 17 To make sure the connection is either coupler with parallel threads or with taper-cut threads so as to 19	1	O. Okay. But you didn't hear any conversation between	1	A. I don't remember.
 A. No. Q. Then - I dou't know whether you were avare of this; this is the last few questions I've got - there was, after that meeting, through February and into March 2018, a series of correspondence between Wing & Kwong A. No at the time. correspondence? A. No at the time. g. on respondence cetween Wing & Kwong A. No at the time. g. of, first of all. to CC1355. a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing a ketter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing a ketter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing a ketter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing a ketter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing a letter of 11 is headed, "Wate teaks and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stitch joinst", do you see that? Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? A. I don't remember, and a work with this the structure or 12 were you consulted before this letter was sent? Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? a letter of 12 February to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, and a letter? A. I don't remember, and be bore 12 February is said is this: mono it's not - from Tommy Chan, soid quarty surveyor, to Mr Kitching a seat and were were and shown this letter? fit is survey oun anve any teollection of the work." A. I don't remember, and A. I don't remember, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to - no, it's not - from Tommy Chan, soid quarty sit surveyor, to Mr Kitching seat thetter? A. I don't remember, a			2	
4 Q. Then -1 don't know whether you were avare of this; 4 some of this - can we scroll down, please; stop there, this is the last few questions for goal - there was, and the start of the goal - there was, and the start of the goal - there was, and the start of the sta				
5this is the last few questions I've got - there was, after that meeting, through February and into March 2018, a series of correspondence Browen Wing & Kowaj e go, first of all, to CC135.5thanks very mech. Sorry, just scrull up a little bit, please. Right. Now down. Right. I In the fourth paragraph there, M I Lai, we see - it is esperisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, seven months [hat is a change from five months which was in the previous letter] before the start of the work."10A. Not at the time. II10site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, was in the previous letter] before the start of the was in the previous letter] before the start of the was in the previous letter] before the start of the was in the previous letter] before the start of the was in the previous letter] before the start of the was in the previous letter] before the start of the ust and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stich 191011Go Low ou have any recollection of having a conversation 200. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 211121Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 22121111230. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 2320. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 24112411010112511010261101027101010<				
6 after that meeting, through February and into March 6 please. Right. Now down. Right. 7 2018, a series of correspondence between Wing & Kworg 7 in the fourth paragraph there. Mr Lai, we see - it 9 correspondence? 9 To avoid the responsibility of any instability, our 11 Q. Could we just, therefore, have a look at that. Could we 9 To avoid the responsibility of any instability, our 12 go, first of all, to CC133. 11 of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, 12 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing 14 work." 13 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing 15 Then secold down, please, and towards the end there 14 and trutturd carcking and I think it's signed by 17 To make sure the connection is either coupler with 18 and structurd carcking and I MAT NSL and EVL stick 19 prepare the relevant tractials to carry out the work at 20 A. Yes. 20 Up over you have any recollection of having a conversation 10 21 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 22 20 10 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 41 10 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>		-		
7 2018, a series of correspondence between Wing & Kwong 7 In the fourth paragraph there, Mr Lai, we see it repeats what we read earlier: 8 and Leighton. Were you made aware of that 8 9 To avoid the responsibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, 9 10 A. Not at the time. 10 5 11 Q. Could we just, therefore, have a look at that. Could we is given months which was in the previous letter before the start of the therefore, have a look at that. Could we way: for correspondence. 10 13 This is essentially the letter that kicked off the chain of Correspondence. 10 4 14 correspondence. 11 11 11 15 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing 15 Then scroll down, please, and towards the end there it assys: 16 & Kwong, to Ben Cheung, and I think it's stroll down. It's 12 To make sure the connection is either coupler with aper-cult threads or with taper-cult threads or as as to prepare the relevant location is thereads or as as to prepare the relevant location we materials to carry out thereads according to this experience and final confirmed order material by 24 24 A. I don't memmber, no. 20 Dy ou have any recollection of having a conversation that sequence, no. </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
8 and Leighton. Were you made aware of that 8 repeats what we read earlier: 9 correspondence? 10 Cauld we just, therefore, have a look at that. Could we 11 Q. Could we just, therefore, have a look at that. Could we 11 10 Site supervisor, M. Yu, B., had deliberated the particulars 12 go, first of all, to CC1335. of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, 13 a biter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing seven months [that is a change from five months which 14 a bit of correspondence. If we could scroll down. It's in the previous letter] before the start of the 15 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing in the same bundle, Water leaks 16 a was in the previous letter] before the start of the work at 17 Mr Kitchingt is and it's headed, "Water leaks all time, our Chun has inquired your Heary in February 12 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 12 21 12 A. I don't remember. and structural cracking and the first time you saw this 15 please - Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH', or 12 16 Q. If the clast fow wensh? 5 <				· · ·
9 correspondence? 9 "To avoid the responsibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months (hat is a change from five months which a seven months) (hat is a change from five and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stich joints' do you see that? 10 10 A. Yes. 20 Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 21 21 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 21 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according to his experience and final confirmed order material by Leighton." 23 A. I don't remember, no. 23 A. I don't remember, no. 4 J. I don't remember, no. 24 A. Recently. 5 This is Wing & K wong's response to the letter that wey us or you drive passifility of any instability, our 7 To no, it's not - from Tom Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching, erve drive		· · · ·		
10 A. Not at the time. 10 site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars 11 Q. Could we just, therefore, have a look at that. Could we 11 of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, 13 This is essentially the letter that kicked off the 13 of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, 14 chain of correspondence. If we could scoll down, Ir's 14 was in the previous letter] before the start of the 14 chain of correspondence. If we could scoll down, Ir's 15 Then scroll down, please, and towards the end there 16 & Kwong, to Ben Cheung, and I think it's signed by 16 it says: 17 Mr Kitching it is and it's headed, "Water leaks 10 "To make sure the connection is either coupler with 18 and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stitch 18 parelatel threads or with taper-cu threads so as to 19 pionts"; do you as set that? 20 20 Oy ou have any recollection of having a conversation 20 A. Yes. 20 20 Yes 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not 21 20. For you have any recollection of having a conversation 24 to his experience and final confirmed order materials by				*
11 Q. Could we just, therefore, have a look at that. Could we 11 of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai, 12 go, first of all, to CC1335. 12 seven months (that is a change from five months which 13 This is essentially the letter that kicked off the 13 was in the previous letter] before the start of the 14 chain of correspondence. If we could scroll down. It's 14 work." 15 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing 16 it says: 17 Mr Kitching it is and it's headed, "Water leaks 17 The nacroll down, please, and towards the end there 16 js your consulted before this letter was sent? 10 prepare the relevant materials to carry out the work at 20 A. Yes. 20 Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 21 all time, our Chun has inquired your Henry in February 24 with Mr Kitching or - could we scroll down a bit, 25 Leighton." 22 25 please - Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH', or 24 4 Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 ketter? A. I don't renomber, no. 4 <		•		
12 go, first of all, to CC1335. 12 seven months (that is a change from five months which 13 This is essentially the letter that kicked off the was in the previous letter) before the start of the 14 work." Then secold scroll down, It's is ages 15 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing 16 is ages: To make sure the connection is either coupler with 16 & Kwong, to Ben Cheung, and I think if's signed by 17 To make sure the connection is either coupler with 17 and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stitch 18 parallel threads or with taper-aut threads so as to 12 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 20 all time, our Chun has inquired your Henry in February 21 Q. Were you consulted before the set the was sent? 21 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 24 with Mr Kitching or -could we scroll down a bit, 25 24 with Mr Kitching or -could we scroll down a bit, 24 Leighton." 25 25 please - Mr Holden, because 1 think that's "WLH', or 25 Leighton." 26 3 A. I don't remember, no.				· · · ·
13 This is essentially the letter that kicked off the 13 was in the previous letter] before the start of the 14 chain of correspondence. If we could scroll down. It's 14 work." 15 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing 15 Then scroll down, please, and towards the end there 16 & Kwong, to Ben Cheung, and I think it's signed by 16 it says: 17 17 Mr Kitching it is and it's headed, "Water leaks 18 prepare the relevant materials or with taper-cut threads so as to 19 joints"; do you see that? 10 Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 21 2017. We received a reply from Henry in February 20 A. Yes. 20 Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 23 us to prepare materials of contract 1111. He then instructed 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 2 Leighton." 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton." 26 A. I don't remember, no. 3 A. Recently. 4 Q. In the las		-		
14 chain of correspondence. If we could scroll down. It's 14 work." 15 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing 15 Then scroll down, please, and towards the end there 16 & Kwong, to Ben Cheung, and I think it's signed by 16 "To make sure the connection is either coupler with 18 and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stitch 18 "To make sure the connection is either coupler with 19 joints."; do you see that? 19 prepare the relevant materials to carry out the work at 20 A. Yes. 2017. We received a repert by from Henry that he did not 22 21 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 24 us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 24 us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according 24 with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 25 Leighton." 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton." 3 A. I don't remember, no. 3 A. Recently. 4 Q. In the last few weeks? 3 This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that weve 6 Q. And you w		-		-
15 a letter of 12 February, you can see. It's to Wing 15 Then scroll down, please, and towards the end there 16 & Kwong, to Ben Cheung, and I think it's signed by 16 is says: 17 Mr K tiching it is and it's headed, "Water leak, "Water leak," 17 "To make sure the connection is either coupler with 18 and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL sitch 18 parallel threads or with taper-cut threads so as to 19 joints", do you see that? 20 Yes. 20 20 A. Yes. 20 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not 21 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 23 us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according 23 us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according 24 to his experiance and final confirmed order material by 25 please Mr Holden, before correspondence was sent, 21 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not 26 Q. Fiw e could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. 1 Again. Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 27 This is Wing & Kwong? Ch? 2 ktiching. 4 3 A. I don't remember, no. 4 Q. In the last few we		-		-
16 & Kwong, to Ben Cheung, and I think it's signed by 16 it says: 17 Mr Kitching it is - and it's headed, "Water leaks 17 To make sure the connection is either coupler with 18 and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stitch 18 parallel threads or with taper-cut threads so as to 19 joints"; do you see that? 19 prepare the relevant materials to carry out the work at 20 A. Yes. 20 Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 21 21 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 23 us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according 24 with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 25 please - Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 26 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was scent, 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 letter? 3 A. Recently. 4 Q. In the last few weeks? 5 This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've 6 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 7 no, icked at, and		-		
17 Mr Kitching it is and it's headed, "Water leaks 17 "To make sure the connection is either coupler with and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stich 18 parallel threads or with taper-cut threads so as to 19 joints"; do you see that? 19 prepare the relevant materials to carry out the work at all time, our Chun has inquired your Henry in February 21 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 21 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according us to brie seperience and final confirmed order material by 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation of the work at anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent. 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton." 7 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? 3 A. Recently. 4 Q. In the ast few weeks? 5 This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've 6 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 7 8 surveyor, to Mr Kitching. 9 A. No. I don't - m		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		-
18 and structural cracking at NAT NSL and EWL stitch 18 parallel threads or with taper-cut threads so as to 19 joints", do you see that? 19 prepare the relevant materials to carry out the work at 20 A. Yes. 20 all time, our Chun has inquired your Henry in February 21 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 20 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not 22 A. I don't remember. 22 know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 24 know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed 24 with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 24 know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton." 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton." 26 just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to a A. Recently. 4 Q. If we could paragraph, what is asid is this: 9 Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that 27 or to avoid the possibility of any instability, our 16 A. I don't new. No. No.				
19 joints": do you see that? 19 prepare the relevant materials to carry out the work at 20 A. Yes. 20 all time, our Chun has inquired your Henry in February 21 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 21 207. We received a reply from Henry that he did not 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 21 207. We received a reply from Henry that he did not 24 with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 22 know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 24 with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton." 26 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong's presponse to the letter that we've 6 Q. In the last few weeks? 5 5 This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've 6 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 7<		-		
20 A. Yes. 20 all time, our Chun has inquired your Henry in February 21 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 21 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not 22 A. I don't remember. 22 know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 21 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton, 7 Page 38 Page 40 1 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? 3 A. Recendly. 4 Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. 4 Q. In the last few weeks? 5 This is Wing & Kwong? response to the letter that we've 6 A. Recendly. 8 surveyor, to Mr Kitching. 8 A. No. I don't no. No. No. 9 In the second paragraph, what is said is this: 9 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 10 "To avoid the possibility of any instability our 10 you wadwith Mr Katching		-		*
21 Q. Were you consulted before this letter was sent? 21 2017. We received a reply from Henry that he did not know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according to the seperience and final confirmed order material by please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 22 20 Do you have any recollection of having a conversation of the seperience and final confirmed order material by please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 22 31 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? 3 3 A. I don't remember, no. 1 4 Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. 5 5 This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've is just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to 6 7 no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity 8 8 8 surveyor, to Mr Kitching. 9 A. I don't remember, Mr Henry 11 site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars 10 You und with Mr Kitching about this letter? 14 Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? 14 A. I don't remember, as they indicated yesterday, will 15 A. No. 10 You areat this groblem would have been to leave the 16 <				· ·
22 A. I don't remember. 22 know the details of contract 1111. He then instructed 23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 23 us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according 24 with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 23 us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH', or 25 Leighton." 7 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 letter? 3 A. Recently. 2 4 Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. 5 A. Ne. 6 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 7 no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity 8 A. No. I don't - no. No. No. 9 In the second paragraph, what is said is this: 9 Q. And you dan or -do you receall any conversation that 10 "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our 1 A. I don't know. 11 site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars 1 A. I don't know. 12 of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry 10 MR PENNICO				
23 Q. Do you have any recollection of having a conversation 23 us to prepare materials of parallel threads, according 24 with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's 'WLH", or 25 Leighton." Page 38 Page 40 1 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 letter? 3 A. I don't remember, no. 4 Q. If the could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. 5 This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've 6 Q. In the last few weeks? 5 6 just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to 7 no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity 8 A. No. I don't - no. No. No. 9 In the second paragraph, what is said is this: 9 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 10 "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our 10 you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? 11 A. I don't - no. No. No. 9 Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that 10 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>· · ·</td>		-		· · ·
24 with Mr Kitching or could we scroll down a bit, 24 to his experience and final confirmed order material by 25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton." Page 38 Page 40 1 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? 3 A. I don't remember, no. 4 3 A. I don't remember, no. 4 Q. In the could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. 4 5 This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've 6 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 7 no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity 8 A. No. I don't no. No. No. 9 In the second paragraph, what is said is this: 9 Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that 10 "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our 11 A. I don't row. 9 11 At gen, Mr Lai, "MP ENNICOTT: All right. 13 Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, 12 of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Lai? 14 MR PENNICOTT: All right. 13				
25 please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or 25 Leighton." Page 38 Page 40 1 anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, 2 A. I don't remember, no. 1 Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this 2 letter? 3 A. I don't remember, no. 3 A. Recently. 4 Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. 4 Q. In the last few weeks? 5 5 This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've 6 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 7 no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity 8 A. No. I don't no. No. No. 9 In the second paragraph, what is said is this: 9 Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 10 "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our 10 you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? 11 site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars 1 A. I don't know. 12 of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry 13 Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, 14 Have you never been shown this letter? 14 Mt PENNICOTT: All right. 15 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Page 38Page 401anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh?1Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this letter?3A. I don't remember, no.2letter?4Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350.4Q. In the last few weeks?5This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've 65A. Recently.6just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity 85A. Yes.8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.6Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018?9In the second paragraph, what is said is this: 107February 2018?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars 120Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that 1012of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry 13Lai, five months before the start of the work."1A. I don't know.14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will 15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of 1 lines. Thank you, that's fine.18Q. So you have seen it but only recently?18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars, 21 2121 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>		-		
1anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent, before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh?1Again, Mr Lai, when was the first time you saw this2before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh?3A. I don't remember, no.3A. Recently.4Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350.5This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've full support location is not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity4Q. In the last few weeks?5This is not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity7February 2018?8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.8A. No. I don't no. No. No.9In the second paragraph, what is said is this:9Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry11A. I don't know.12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry12MR PENNICOTT: All right.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, last few weeks.20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars, 2120Yen you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he2424 <td< td=""><td>25</td><td>please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or</td><td>25</td><td>Leighton."</td></td<>	25	please Mr Holden, because I think that's "WLH", or	25	Leighton."
2before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh?2letter?3A. I don't remember, no.3A. Recently.4Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350.4Q. In the last few weeks?5This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've6Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in7no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity7February 2018?8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.9A. No. I don't no. No. No.9In the second paragraph, what is said is this:9Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars10You had with Mr Kitching about this letter?12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or24Yeu ouidn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23		Page 38		Page 40
3A. I don't remember, no.3A. Recently.4Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350.3A. Recently.5This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've6just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to6just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to6Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in7no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity7February 2018?8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.8A. No. I don't no. No. No.9In the second paragraph, what is said is this:9Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars11A. I don't know.12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry12MR PENNICOTT: All right.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you have seen it but only recently?18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. Have you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks220voic mot no couplers where				-
4Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350.4Q. In the last few weeks?5This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've6just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to7no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity5A. Yes.8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.6Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in9In the second paragraph, what is said is this:9Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars11A. I don't -no. No. No.12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry13MR PENNICOTT: All right.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks21uher end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitch	1	anyone else at Leighton, before correspondence was sent,	1	
5This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity5A. Yes.6just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity6Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in 77no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.9A. No. I don't no. No. No.9In the second paragraph, what is said is this: 10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our 119Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that 1010"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our 1110you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars 1211A. I don't know.12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry 		before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh?		
6just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to6Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in7no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity7February 2018?8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.8A. No. I don't no. No. No.9In the second paragraph, what is said is this:9Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars11A. I don't know.12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry12MR PENNICOTT: All right.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he2	2	before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no.	2	letter? A. Recently.
7no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity7February 2018?8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.8A. No. I don't no. No. No.9In the second paragraph, what is said is this:9Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars11A. I don't know.12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry12MR PENNICOTT: All right.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24 <t< td=""><td>2 3</td><td>before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no.</td><td>2 3</td><td>letter? A. Recently.</td></t<>	2 3	before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no.	2 3	letter? A. Recently.
8surveyor, to Mr Kitching.8A. No. I don't no. No. No.9In the second paragraph, what is said is this:9Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry12MR PENNICOTT: All right.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4	before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh?A. I don't remember, no.Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350.	2 3 4	letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks?
9In the second paragraph, what is said is this:9Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars11A. I don't know.12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.23overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5	before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh?A. I don't remember, no.Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've	2 3 4 5	letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes.
10"To avoid the possibility of any instability, our10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars10you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry11A. I don't know.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.23rebars?23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6	before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh?A. I don't remember, no.Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to	2 3 4 5 6	letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in
11site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars11A. I don't know.12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry12MR PENNICOTT: All right.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.23rebars?23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity 	2 3 4 5 6 7	letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018?
12of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry12MR PENNICOTT: All right.13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.17lines. Thank you, that's fine.18Q. So you have seen it but only recently?18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No.
13Lai, five months before the start of the work."13Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of18Q. So you have seen it but only recently?18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.23rebars?23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that
14Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai?14but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will15A. No.15have questions for Mr Lai.16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of18Q. So you have seen it but only recently?18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter?
 A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? A. Only recently. Q. So you have seen it but only recently? A. Yes, not at the time it was issued. Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks or A. Yes, last few weeks. Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he A. No. Is have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of Innes. Thank you, that's fine. So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to deal with this problem would have been to leave the Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars, which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to overcome the problem would have been just to get new rebars? A. If I had known the problem, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know.
16Q. Have you never been shown this letter?16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of17A. Only recently.16CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of18Q. So you have seen it but only recently?18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right.
17A. Only recently.17lines. Thank you, that's fine.18Q. So you have seen it but only recently?18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have,
18Q. So you have seen it but only recently?18So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will
19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai.
19A. Yes, not at the time it was issued.19deal with this problem would have been to leave the20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of
20Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks20Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,21or21which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? A. Only recently. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of lines. Thank you, that's fine.
22A. Yes, last few weeks.22overcome the problem would have been just to get new23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? A. Only recently. Q. So you have seen it but only recently? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of lines. Thank you, that's fine. So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to
23Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to23rebars?24you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he24A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? A. Only recently. Q. So you have seen it but only recently? A. Yes, not at the time it was issued. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of lines. Thank you, that's fine. So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to deal with this problem would have been to leave the
24 you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he 24 A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? A. Only recently. Q. So you have seen it but only recently? A. Yes, not at the time it was issued. Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of lines. Thank you, that's fine. So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to deal with this problem would have been to leave the Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars,
24 you in around the end of February 2018 about a letter he 24 A. If I had known the problem, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? A. Only recently. Q. So you have seen it but only recently? A. Yes, not at the time it was issued. Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of lines. Thank you, that's fine. So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to deal with this problem would have been to leave the Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars, which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? A. Only recently. Q. So you have seen it but only recently? A. Yes, not at the time it was issued. Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of lines. Thank you, that's fine. So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to deal with this problem would have been to leave the Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars, which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to overcome the problem would have been just to get new
25 had received from Wing & Kwong? Do you recall? 25 CHAIRMAN: How long would that have taken; do you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 before 12 February, to Wing & Kwong? Oh? A. I don't remember, no. Q. If we could go on in the same bundle, please, to 1350. This is Wing & Kwong's response to the letter that we've just looked at, and it's from Ben Cheung, I think, to no, it's not from Tommy Chan, senior quantity surveyor, to Mr Kitching. In the second paragraph, what is said is this: "To avoid the possibility of any instability, our site supervisor, Mr Ng, had deliberated the particulars of the relevant location with your engineer, Mr Henry Lai, five months before the start of the work." Have you seen this letter before, Mr Lai? A. No. Q. Have you never been shown this letter? A. Only recently. Q. So you have seen it but only recently? A. Yes, not at the time it was issued. Q. When you say "recently" you mean in the last few weeks or A. Yes, last few weeks. Q. If you didn't see the letter, did Mr Kitching speak to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 letter? A. Recently. Q. In the last few weeks? A. Yes. Q. And you were not shown this by Mr Kitching back in February 2018? A. No. I don't no. No. No. Q. And you did not do you recall any conversation that you had with Mr Kitching about this letter? A. I don't know. MR PENNICOTT: All right. Sir, you will be pleased to hear that's all I have, but I'm sure others, as they indicated yesterday, will have questions for Mr Lai. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you scroll down just a couple of lines. Thank you, that's fine. So would you agree, Mr Lai, that the obvious way to deal with this problem would have been to leave the Lenton couplers where they were but just get new rebars, which were threaded at the end in a tapered way, so to overcome the problem would have been just to get new rebars?

	Page 41		Page 43
1	A. I wouldn't know, as I haven't dealt with Lenton before,	1	Q. So you would look at how they lay the rebars and screw
2	so I'm not aware of their operations.	2	the rebars into a coupler?
3	CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware whether they had a yard a	: 3	A. I see them lay the rebars.
4	the site?	4	Q. Do you see them screwing the rebars into the couplers,
5	A. No.	5	because surely that's part of their work?
6	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	6	A. If that was necessary, yes.
7	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, I imagine, as it's 16 minutes past 11,	7	Q. So, in the sites where it was necessary for the rebars
8	that might be a good time to have 15 minutes.	8	to be screwed into a coupler, you would observe that?
9	CHAIRMAN: That might be an idea. Quarter of an hour.	9	A. If that was the procedures that was happening, yes.
10	You are just reminded you are still giving your	10	Q. But this is during your routine inspection?
11	evidence, Mr Lai.	11	A. Yes.
12	WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you.	12	Q. How long were these routine inspections?
13	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	13	A. Five to ten minutes.
14	(11.17 am)	14	Q. Or may it depend on the site, the size of the location
15	(A short adjournment)	15	that you were inspecting?
16	(11.36 am)	16	A. On the location, five to ten minutes.
17	Cross-examination by MR TSOI	17	Q. Well, after that, there would come to a point where the
18	MR TSOI: Mr Lai, I appear for Wing & Kwong and I have some	18	rebar fixers would tell you they've completed the rebar
19	questions for you.	19	fixing?
20	I'm sure you told us about this: you joined Leighton	20	A. The rebar fixers don't tell me directly.
21	as an engineer in 2013; is that right?	21	Q. The foreman?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. The foreman.
23	Q. You told us you were promoted to senior engineer in	23	Q. In this case, Ah Chun?
24	2018?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. Right, Ah Chun will tell you directly the rebar fixing
	Page 42		Page 44
1	Q. Can you recall which month? This was last year.	1	has been completed?
2	A. April.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. April? I see.	3	Q. The next official step is called a hold-point check?
4	I'm going to ask you about these inspections, these	4	A. Yes.
5	routine inspections, rebar fixing checks, pre-pour	5	Q. And part of it is the rebar fixing check?
6	checks, because as you may know I'm not really	6	A. Yes.
7	a construction lawyer, so I need to know the	7	Q. Before the rebar fixing check, so before you asked
8	information.	8	an MTR personnel to come and check it with you, would
9	As I understand it, when there's rebar fixing work	9	you yourself inspect the completed works first, once?
10	on site, you would conduct routine inspection?	10	A. Yes.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. So that would be an informal check before you call up
12	Q. And you would do that every day?	12	MTR to ask for a rebar fixing check?
13	A. Where necessary, yes, for the works.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. So you do it every day?	14	Q. At the rebar fixing check, there would be an MTR
15	A. If there's rebar fixing, yes.	15	individual there, an engineer?
16	Q. That's what I asked. Now, is that twice a day, three	16	A. Yes.
17	times a day?	17	Q. And if that's passed, then what happens? Then there's a
18	A. Twice; once in the morning, once in the afternoon.	18	pre-pour check?
19	Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat that?	19	A. That would be correct.
20	A. Once in the morning, once in the afternoon.	20	Q. So that personnel from MTR who conducted the rebar
21	Q. During these routine inspections, what exactly do you	21	fixing check would then, what, leave the site?
22	do? Do you stand there and observe?	22	A. Leave the work location.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Leave the location, and you would then call the relevant
24	Q. And you look at the rebar workers conducting their work?	24	MTR personnel to do the pre-pour check?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. Not directly.

	Page 45		Page 47
1	Q. Not directly. So how do you	1	Q. But the works were being conducted on 4 January.
2	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, I think the pre-pour check is done after	2	Routine inspection, not hold-point check yet; I'll come
3	more work is done.	3	to that. Routine inspection?
4	MR TSOI: After more work is done. I'm so sorry. That's	4	A. Routine inspection, yes.
5	why I'm asking for information, yes.	5	Q. Yes, on the 4th; right?
6	CHAIRMAN: What happens is I'm going to jump in and	6	A. Routine inspections.
7	MR TSOI: Sure, I'm learning myself.	7	Q. Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN: show off my learning. My understanding is	8	A. Yes.
9	that once that's done, then they have to do formwork,	9	Q. On the 4th?
10	because when you pour concrete, without formwork, it's	10	A. Routine inspections.
11	just going to go all over the floor.	11	Q. Twice?
12	MR TSOI: Yes, I remember one co-counsel teaching me that.	12	A. Depends the time it starts.
13	CHAIRMAN: Then you have to also make sure you are not going	13	Q. I'm asking: did you conduct it twice?
14	to be left with cavities in the concrete, brought about	14	A. It depends when the work starts.
15	by, for example, lumps of polystyrene and things like	15	Q. Did you recall when the work started?
16	left lying around.	16	A. I don't recall.
17	So there you are, I've given you my lecture and	17	Q. Can you then tell us, in normal practice, whether it
18	I can sit back now.	18	would have been once or twice?
19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: The Chairman has learned a great	19	A. At least once.
20	deal about construction.	20	Q. At least once. Okay.
21	MR TSOI: The Chairman definitely knows more about that than	21	How long was that routine inspection?
22	me.	22	A. Five to ten minutes.
23	What I'm going to do now is take you through the	23	Q. Five to ten minutes. All right.
24	various locations, because I'm still not sure about the	24	So, after that, there was the hold-point inspection;
25	timing, so I'm going to take you to the various	25	right?
	Page 46		D 10
			Page 48
1	locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints,	1	Page 48 A. (Nodded head).
1 2		1 2	A. (Nodded head).Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check
	locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints,		A. (Nodded head).
2	locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment.	2	A. (Nodded head).Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check
2 3	locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that?	2 3	A. (Nodded head).Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes?A. Yes.Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that
2 3 4	locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment.	2 3 4	A. (Nodded head).Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes?A. Yes.Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan?
2 3 4 5	locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure.	2 3 4 5	A. (Nodded head).Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes?A. Yes.Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan?A. Five to ten minutes.
2 3 4 5 6	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape. Q. Right. So Chris Chan was there too; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. A. Yes. Q. So, for this one, for this location, did you conduct 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape. Q. Right. So Chris Chan was there too; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. A. Yes. Q. So, for this one, for this location, did you conduct a routine inspection on 4 January? You would have? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape. Q. Right. So Chris Chan was there too; right? A. Yes. Q. What tools did he have with him to check the rebar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. A. Yes. Q. So, for this one, for this location, did you conduct a routine inspection on 4 January? You would have? A. I don't remember. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape. Q. Right. So Chris Chan was there too; right? A. Yes. Q. What tools did he have with him to check the rebar fixing part?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. A. Yes. Q. So, for this one, for this location, did you conduct a routine inspection on 4 January? You would have? A. I don't remember. Q. But you would have, according to your normal practice; 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape. Q. Right. So Chris Chan was there too; right? A. Yes. Q. What tools did he have with him to check the rebar fixing part? A. The drawings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. A. Yes. Q. So, for this one, for this location, did you conduct a routine inspection on 4 January? You would have? A. I don't remember. Q. But you would have, according to your normal practice; yes? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape. Q. Right. So Chris Chan was there too; right? A. Yes. Q. What tools did he have with him to check the rebar fixing part? A. The drawings. Q. And you looked at the connections between the rebar and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. A. Yes. Q. So, for this one, for this location, did you conduct a routine inspection on 4 January? You would have? A. I don't remember. Q. But you would have, according to your normal practice; yes? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape. Q. Right. So Chris Chan was there too; right? A. The drawings. Q. And you looked at the connections between the rebar and the coupler?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 locations of the shunt neck joint and the stitch joints, and can you then tell me what happened during that time. So if we go back to page BB6363. Have you got that? A. Yes. Sorry, just a moment. Q. Sure. A. Sorry. Thanks. Q. This is the NAT pour summary; do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What I understand from this, if we go to entry 45, that explains the rebar fixing work at the shunt neck bay 3 track slab, and that took place, as recorded, on 4 January and completed on 4 January. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? It was inspected on 5 January. I'm so sorry, it was poured on 5 January, but we don't know when it was inspected from the chart. A. Yes. Q. So, for this one, for this location, did you conduct a routine inspection on 4 January? You would have? A. I don't remember. Q. But you would have, according to your normal practice; yes? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. (Nodded head). Q. So you called Chris Chan to do the rebar fixing check part of the hold-point inspection; yes? A. Yes. Q. So, for this particular location, how long was that rebar fixing check with Chris Chan? A. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Q. Five to ten minutes. Now, during that rebar fixing check, in order to do it properly, you would have to check that the rebars were screwed into the couplers; right? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Sorry? A. That would be one of the checks. Q. Yes. Now, what tools did you have with you? A. I had the drawings and tape. Q. Right. So Chris Chan was there too; right? A. Yes. Q. What tools did he have with him to check the rebar fixing part? A. The drawings. Q. And you looked at the connections between the rebar and

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

	Page 49		Page 51
1	connected properly?	1	with Chris Chan?
2	A. To my knowledge, yes.	2	A. It was conducted but I do not remember who it was from
3	Q. Yes, and Chris Chan must have satisfied himself that the	3	MTR.
4	connection was conducted properly?	4	Q. You don't remember who it was from MTR?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Right. So, after that, there was some clean-up, the	6	Q. I thought this was part of the stitch joint.
7	construction of the formwork, as explained by the	7	A. Yes.
8	Chairman. There would come a time where there was	8	Q. And you were sure yesterday you conducted the stitch
9	a pre-pour check?	9	joint rebar fixing checks with Chris Chan.
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Sorry, my apologies. Yes, Chris Chan.
11	Q. You were also the Leighton member for the pre-pour	11	Q. So it is Chris Chan?
12	check?	12	A. Yes.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. So this time, it is Chris Chan again?
14	Q. How long did that pre-pour check take?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. Five to ten minutes.	15	Q. Again, how long was the rebar fixing check for this?
16	Q. Five to ten minutes, all right.	16	A. Five to ten minutes.
17	Is this a big area or a small area?	17	Q. Five to ten minutes?
18	A. Small.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Small, right.	19	Q. And the pre-pour check?
20	So let's move on to the next area, entry 58c, the	20	A. The same.
21	old East West Link stitch joint 1112/1111 East Wall	21	Q. The same?
22	I think that was the next entry, 19 January. So the	22	A. Yes.
23	work was conducted between 19 and 28 January; do you see	23	Q. Lets go through the list. 58a: how many times you did
24	that?	24	routine inspection for that?
25	A. Yes.	25	A. Three.
	Page 50		Page 52
1	Q. So you did inspection every day, routine inspection,	1	Q. Three times?
2	twice a day, during that period?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. When there were workers there.	3	Q. How long was the rebar fixing check?
4	Q. But we see the work was from 19 to 28 January?	4	A. Rebar fixing check
5	A. It could have been stopped in the middle. The work	5	Q. Yes.
6	could have stopped in the middle.	6	A with personally or?
7	Q. It could have stopped in the middle.	7	Q. Well, did you do rebar fixing check personally?
8	A. Yes.	8	I thought you did it
9	Q. But it's not recorded?	9	A. Was it for the hold-point rebar fixing check?
10	A. This only marks the start and the end date of the rebar	10	Q. Yes, hold-point rebar fixing check.
11	fixing.	11	A. Five to ten minutes.
12	Q. So how many times do you estimate you would have done	12	Q. Five to ten minutes.
13	routine inspection for this East Wall?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. Three to four times.	14	Q. This was also with Chris Chan?
15	Q. Three to four times in total?	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. The pre-pour check?
17	Q. And each time would be, what, five to ten minutes?	17	A. Five to ten minutes.
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. How about the next one, 59b 58b, sorry. My typo.
19	Q. For this East Wall then, there was the concrete pouring	19	This is the stitch joint 1112/1111 West Wall. So how
20	on 22 March, so that's quite far away from the	20	many routine inspections?
21	completion of the works; is that right?	21	A. Once.
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. One?
23	Q. When was the rebar fixing check for this wall?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. I don't remember.	24	Q. How long was the joint hold-point check, rebar fixing
25	Q. You don't remember? But you remember you conducted that	25	check?

	Page 53		Page 55
1	A. Five to ten minutes.	1	Q. Move on to item 54.
2	Q. With Chris Chan again?	2	This is the North South Link stitch joint 1112 track
3	A. Yes.	3	slab. Again, can you estimate the number of routine
4	Q. And the pre-pour check?	4	inspections you did there?
5	A. Five to ten minutes.	5	A. Twice daily.
6	Q. All right. The next one, entry 48, the shunt neck bay 3	6	Q. Twice daily. And how long was the rebar fixing check?
7	wall.	7	A. For the?
8	This was from 13 February to the 28th, that was the	8	Q. For this location.
9	rebar fixing work; right?	9	A. Five to ten minutes.
10	A. 13 to 28th.	10	Q. The same?
11	Q. Is that right?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. And Chris Chan was there too; right?
12	Q. So how many inspection, routine inspections,	12	A. Yes.
14	approximately?	13	Q. And the pre-pour check, the same?
15	A. Approximately If it's working day, it would have	14	A. Yes, five to ten minutes.
16	been once a twice per working day.	16	Q. I think I have conducted enough of this exercise. So is
17	Q. So how many routine inspections in total do you	10	it your evidence that for each of the locations we see
18	estimate?	18	here, for the three stitch joints and the shunt neck
19	A. That depends on the working day, as I said, yes.	19	joint, you would have conducted inspection every day, if
20	Q. All right. We see how long it took to commence and	20	it's a working day, and for the pre-pour check, for each
20	complete, but can you estimate how many times you would		of these locations, it would be five to ten minutes with
21	have inspected it routinely? Once, twice, three times,	21	Chris Chan, and the pre-pour check would be sorry,
22	four times? I don't know. You tell me.	22	the rebar fixing check would be five to ten minutes with
23	A. Twice.	23 24	Chris Chan, and then you also conducted a pre-pour check
24	Q. Twice?	24 25	which is also five to ten minutes?
25		23	
	Page 54		Page 56
1	A. Yes, once or twice.	1	A. Yes.
2	Q. For that 15 days of work, twice?	2	Q. Right. You say you performed the rebar fixing check,
3	A. If it was working day, yes.	3	these hold-point inspection rebar fixing checks, with
4	Q. Sorry, I don't understand. I'm asking for the total,	4	Chris Chan?
5	not every day. So can you give us an approximate total		A. Sorry, can you repeat your question?
6	of how many routine inspections you would have	6	Q. You say that you conducted these rebar fixing checks
7	conducted?	7	with Chris Chan?
8	A. The start and end dates don't take the holiday into	8	A. For?
9	account.	9	Q. For the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint.
10	Q. Yes, right. So are you saying that you inspected it in	10	A. Yes.
11	total twice; that's your estimation?	11	Q. Of course you have seen evidence that he said he was
12	A. No, on the working day, if it's a working day, I would	12	never invited to do so?
13	have inspected once or twice.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. We will work that out ourselves.	14	Q. Now, at these inspections, how long does it take to fill in a RISC form? You have a joint inspection right
15	And the rebar fixing check, that was again with	15	in a RISC form? You have a joint inspection, right,
16	Chris Chan, I assume?	16 17	called a hold-point inspection?
17	A. Yes.	17 18	A. Yes. And for that purpose you need to fill in a PISC form?
18	Q. Yes?	18 10	Q. And for that purpose you need to fill in a RISC form?
19 20	A. Yes.	19 20	A. Yes.
20	Q. And how long was that, the rebar fixing check?		Q. How long does it take to fill in a RISC form?A. Five to ten minutes.
21	A. It depends five to ten minutes.	21	
22	Q. Five to ten minutes?	22 23	Q. Five to ten minutes?
23	A. Five to ten minutes.	23 24	A. Yes.
24 25	Q. Pre-pour? A. Same.	24 25	Q. Let's look at one of these RISC forms. I think you've been taken to this, I'm not sure, but page BB338.
		2.)	Deen taken to this. I in not sure, but bage BB338.

	Page 57		Page 59
1	So we see the information there. We see, for	1	inappropriate for him, after a certain period of time
2	example, your name is typed; yes?	2	had elapsed, to then fill in retrospective forms.
3	A. Yes.	3	MR TSOI: Perhaps at the time, if I could just ask, at the
4	Q. Then you would sign it, and then there's a bit to be	4	time, within the reasonable time.
5	completed by MTR; yes?	5	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
	A. Yes.	6	
6		7	MR TSOI: Within the reasonable time you say you were too
7	Q. These RISC forms could be filled in after the	8	busy CHAIRMAN: Because my understanding sorry, I'm not giving
8	inspection, after the event?		
9	A. Within a reasonable time period, yes.	9	another lecture is that the purpose of a RISC form is
10	Q. So it could be filled in retrospectively, so to speak;	10	a request form, so it's a request to do something prior
11	yes?	11	to inspection. You fill it out prior to inspection
12	A. Within a reasonable time period, yes.	12	saying, "Can you please do this".
13	Q. We know that you never retrospectively made any RISC	13	MR TSOI: Yes, but my point, of course, Chairman, is that he
14	forms, right, for the three stitch joints and the shunt	14	can't get Chris Chan to sign it because he never did the
15	neck joint?	15	inspection.
16	A. Sorry, can you repeat that?	16	CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course.
17	Q. You did not make any rebar fixing RISC forms, checks,	17	MR SHIEH: A few observations. I stand up on this occasion
18	RISC forms, for the three stitch joints and the shunt	18	because it's going to be short.
19	neck joint after the inspections?	19	First of all, Mr Chairman was completely right. He
20	A. No.	20	did say it would be inappropriate. But my learned
21	Q. Right. The information that MTR has to fill in is in	21	friend's point, however, with some sarcasm or
22	part B, so all they have to do is sign the date of the	22	insinuation, as always, is that, "You say you were too
23	inspection and basically say who conducted the	23	busy even until now." These are the three words which
24	inspection and say whether they were happy with the	24	caught my eyes, because if it is his suggestion that
25	work; yes?	25	within a reasonable time afterwards he still didn't do
	Page 58		Page 60
1	A. Yes.	1	that, that might be appropriate, but if he were to say
2	Q. For the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint,	2	"even until now", the hidden insinuation is that he
3	you say that you were too busy to fill in the RISC	3	could actually have done it even now.
4	forms?	4	That would match Mr Chairman's observation that he
5	A. Yes.	5	did say it would be inappropriate. So that's the first
6	Q. But, in truth, isn't the situation this: you can't get	6	point.
7	the RISC forms signed by MTR because Chris Chan has said	7	The second point is that my learned friend said he
8	he never inspected it with you?	8	could not get Chris Chan to sign it "because Chris Chan
9	A. Disagree.	9	had said he never inspected it with you."
10	Q. So you were able to get Chris Chan to sign on a RISC	10	I'm not sure whether my learned friend is going to
11			
	form when he said he never inspected the site with you?	11	make submissions through the guise of cross-examination
	form when he said he never inspected the site with you? A. That's his statement.	11 12	make submissions through the guise of cross-examination, because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness
12	A. That's his statement.	12	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness
12 13	A. That's his statement.Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes?	12 13	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my
12 13 14	A. That's his statement.Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes?A. I inspected with him.	12 13 14	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the
12 13 14 15	A. That's his statement.Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes?A. I inspected with him.Q. He's lying?	12 13 14 15	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms
12 13 14 15 16	A. That's his statement.Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes?A. I inspected with him.Q. He's lying?CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures?	12 13 14 15 16	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to
12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That's his statement.Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes?A. I inspected with him.Q. He's lying?CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures?MR TSOI: No, but that's the point, because there won't be.	12 13 14 15 16 17	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to this witness based on what we now know to be Chris
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. That's his statement. Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes? A. I inspected with him. Q. He's lying? CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures? MR TSOI: No, but that's the point, because there won't be. He never inspected it. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to this witness based on what we now know to be Chris Chan's position. That's point 1. And point 2, he
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. That's his statement. Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes? A. I inspected with him. Q. He's lying? CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures? MR TSOI: No, but that's the point, because there won't be. He never inspected it. CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that, yes. Okay. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to this witness based on what we now know to be Chris Chan's position. That's point 1. And point 2, he should actually start with the procedure for getting
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. That's his statement. Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes? A. I inspected with him. Q. He's lying? CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures? MR TSOI: No, but that's the point, because there won't be. He never inspected it. CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that, yes. Okay. MR TSOI: So I'm putting it to you again. You say you were 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to this witness based on what we now know to be Chris Chan's position. That's point 1. And point 2, he should actually start with the procedure for getting MTRC to sign these RISC forms, because whoever from MTRC
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. That's his statement. Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes? A. I inspected with him. Q. He's lying? CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures? MR TSOI: No, but that's the point, because there won't be. He never inspected it. CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that, yes. Okay. MR TSOI: So I'm putting it to you again. You say you were too busy to produce RISC forms, even until now, because 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to this witness based on what we now know to be Chris Chan's position. That's point 1. And point 2, he should actually start with the procedure for getting MTRC to sign these RISC forms, because whoever from MTRC did the inspection, we know, as a matter of fact, the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's his statement. Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes? A. I inspected with him. Q. He's lying? CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures? MR TSOI: No, but that's the point, because there won't be. He never inspected it. CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that, yes. Okay. MR TSOI: So I'm putting it to you again. You say you were too busy to produce RISC forms, even until now, because you are just too busy. You were too busy at the time 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to this witness based on what we now know to be Chris Chan's position. That's point 1. And point 2, he should actually start with the procedure for getting MTRC to sign these RISC forms, because whoever from MTRC did the inspection, we know, as a matter of fact, the MTRC's position is that someone from the MTRC did do the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. That's his statement. Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes? A. I inspected with him. Q. He's lying? CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures? MR TSOI: No, but that's the point, because there won't be. He never inspected it. CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that, yes. Okay. MR TSOI: So I'm putting it to you again. You say you were too busy to produce RISC forms, even until now, because you are just too busy. You were too busy at the time and you are too busy now; even retrospectively you can't 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to this witness based on what we now know to be Chris Chan's position. That's point 1. And point 2, he should actually start with the procedure for getting MTRC to sign these RISC forms, because whoever from MTRC did the inspection, we know, as a matter of fact, the MTRC's position is that someone from the MTRC did do the inspection, whether it's Chris Chan or someone else.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. That's his statement. Q. So he's lying; he did inspect it with you, yes? A. I inspected with him. Q. He's lying? CHAIRMAN: Sorry, are there Chris Chan signatures? MR TSOI: No, but that's the point, because there won't be. He never inspected it. CHAIRMAN: I appreciate that, yes. Okay. MR TSOI: So I'm putting it to you again. You say you were too busy to produce RISC forms, even until now, because you are just too busy. You were too busy at the time 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 because we now know Chris Chan, in his witness statement, said he did not do any inspection, but my learned friend, if he were to actually explore the reasons for not asking MTRC to fill in the RISC forms he could not possibly have been putting questions to this witness based on what we now know to be Chris Chan's position. That's point 1. And point 2, he should actually start with the procedure for getting MTRC to sign these RISC forms, because whoever from MTRC did the inspection, we know, as a matter of fact, the MTRC's position is that someone from the MTRC did do the

	Page 61		Page 63
1	Within a reasonable time, did you ever attempt to	1	Q. And you were alone in that exercise as well?
2	ask Chris Chan to fill in RISC forms?	2	A. Yes.
3	A. The RISC form was not generated.	3	Q. You've been asked this before but since you have been
4	Q. Right. I'm suggesting to you it's a suggestion from	4	working on the 1112 contract, by this time, for some
5	me to you that what you say, you were too busy, is	5	months this is January 2017
6	untrue, because Chris Chan never inspected these joints	6	A. Yes.
7	with you.	7	Q you have only ever seen red-capped couplers?
8	A. Disagree.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Couplers. For stitch joints 1 and 3, on the 1112 side	9	Q. In all these months?
10	of the interface, there were couplers with red caps; is	10	A. Yes.
11	that right? 1112, that's the Leighton side, there were	11	Q. It never struck you as strange that suddenly, in January
12	couplers with red caps?	12	2017, you see yellow-capped couplers?
13	A. Yes.	13	A. It didn't cross my mind at the time.
14	Q. On the 1112 side of the contract that you worked with,	14	Q. You never spoke to any of your superiors?
15	the Leighton contract, you've only ever seen red-capped	15	A. I no.
16	couplers?	16	Q. You never spoke to Joe Tam?
17	A. Yes.	17	A. No.
18	Q. You've never seen yellow-capped couplers?	18	Q. Or Chan Hon Sun?
19	A. No.	19	A. No.
20	Q. When the red-capped couplers were exposed, did you	20	Q. You see, why I'm asking whether you spoke with them is
21	inspect them?	21	because they might have known, they might be a source of
22	A. No.	22	information, but it just never struck you to ask them;
23	Q. You did not inspect them? You did not look whether they	23	right?
24	were exposed or not?	24	A. Sorry?
25	A. I didn't open the cap to inspect the coupler.	25	Q. It just never struck you to ask them?
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Q. Right, but you looked at whether or not they were	1	A. No.
2	exposed, surely?	2	Q. And it's on that assumption that you allowed the BOSA
3	A. Yes.	3	rebars to be used when the 1111 side of the interface
4	Q. So you could see the caps?	4	was Lenton couplers; yes?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. The rebar fixing were done according at my knowledge at
6	Q. And you would see that they were all red?	6	the time, but only BOSA involved.
7	A. Red caps.	7	Q. I see.
8	Q. In this process or in this procedure, were you alone all	8	Do you accept that the parallel threaded rebar
9	the time or did you have someone else inspecting whether	9	cannot be screwed into the Lenton coupler?
10	the couplers were exposed with you?	10	A. At the remedial works time, yes, I was notified at the
11	A. Sorry, can you	11	time.
12	Q. When you inspected to see whether the couplers were	12	Q. Would you accept now, as a matter of fact
13	exposed, were you alone or did you have a team, did you	13	A. Now, yes.
14	have other people with you or what?	14	Q that the parallel threaded rebar can't be screwed
15	A. Alone.	15	into a Lenton coupler?
16	Q. You were alone?	16	A. Yes.
17	A. Alone.	17	Q. Do you accept that if you tried to do that, there would
18	Q. Just like when you were inspecting whether the GKJV side		be lots of threads exposed?
19	of the interface, whether their couplers were exposed;	19	A. I may need a demonstration to see.
20	yes?	20	CHAIRMAN: Here we go. I did it just a little
21	A. We were notified that they were exposed.	21	MR TSOI: Actually, I haven't seen it myself.
22	Q. You were notified they were exposed, but did you check?	22	CHAIRMAN: Can I give it to somebody? Thank you very much
23	A. Yes.	23	MR PENNICOTT: It's very heavy. (Physical exhibit handed).
24	Q. That's why I asked: you checked that they were exposed?	24	MR TSOI: I really thank the Chairman.
25	A. Yes.	25	A. Okay, I see it now.

	Page 65		Page 67
1	Q. Do you accept that there would be a lot of threads	1	Q. Right? It's quite obvious, isn't it?
2	exposed?	2	A. I see it now, yes.
3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It's probably worth trying it,	3	Q. So are you saying to this Commission that, having spent
4	Mr Lai. I've tried it.	4	a total of one hour or two hours of rebar fixing checks,
5	A. (Demonstrating). Okay, I see it now, yes.	5	you never spotted one single defective rebar coupler
6	MR TSOI: For rebars that are not connected to a coupler, in	6	connection, in the entire stitch joint or shunt neck
7	the sense that it's not even in contact with a coupler,	7	joint?
8	that would be very obvious; right? Because all the	8	A. I was not aware of any defective connections, and during
9	threads of the rebars would be showing.	9	my routine checks I may have just looked at the general
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can we have it back on the bench,	10	arrangement, I looked at some couplers, but not all of
11	please.	11	them. So I haven't spent my time checking 100 per cent
12	MR TSOI: Sorry. Actually, can I have a look?	12	of the couplers, so I didn't I wasn't aware of any
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: One end is rather rusty, so	13	disconnections.
14	you'll	14	Q. So you are agreeing with me, having spent one to two
15	MR PENNICOTT: Or getting dirty.	15	hours of rebar fixing checks, you never spotted a single
16	MR TSOI: I'm not sure if you've answered the question	16	defective connection?
17	yes. So, for a rebar that is not connected to	17	A. I didn't, no.
18	a coupler, in the sense that it is not even in contact,	18	Q. And, from our total, you would have conducted between
19	that would be even more obvious, because all the threads	19	four hours to 15 hours of routine inspection of those
20	would be exposed?	20	12 locations. Four hours to 15 hours. Are you telling
21	A. If you lay it out like this, yes.	21	us that during those routine inspections you never once
22	Q. Right. So, from our calculation, for the three stitch	22	saw a rebar fixer being unable to screw in the rebar
23	joints and the shunt neck joint, you would have done,	23	into a coupler?
24	for the rebar fixing checks, approximately an hour to	24	A. I was not notified of any problems.
25	two hours of rebar fixing check inspections; that's from	25	Q. Is that what you are saying to the Commission?
	Page 66		Page 68
1	our calculation?	1	A. I was not notified of any problems.
2	A. In total?	2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, that's a different answer to
3	Q. Yes, because there were 12 locations.	3	the question, isn't it?
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Sorry, could you repeat your question?
5	Q. And the pre-pour check would be the same:	5	MR TSOI: You never saw, in the four to 15 hours of routine
6	60 minutes/an hour in total?	6	inspection, any rebar fixers having difficulty screwing
7	A. Yes.	7	in the rebars into couplers, ever?
8	Q. No, 60 minutes to two hours, I'm so sorry. That's our	8	A. I didn't, no.
9	estimate. So you've got an hour to two hours of rebar	9	Q. No. Could that really be true?
10	fixing check inspection, and an hour to two hours of	10	A. Yes.
11	pre-pour check inspections?	11	Q. Seepage. Now, you were first notified of the seepage in
12	A. In total.	12	February 2017; yes?
13	Q. Yes. I want to look at page CC1323. That's a photo	13	A. Yes.
14	Mr Pennicott has shown you.	14	Q. Who told you?
15	Would you say the disconnection of the rebar is	15	MR PENNICOTT: "2018".
16	pretty obvious, the disconnect of the rebar?	16	MR TSOI: Sorry, 2018. Right, 2018.
17	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can we enlarge that very slightly	17	Who told you?
18	somewhere?	18	A. I don't remember.
19	Sorry, whereabouts is the disconnect?	19 20	Q. You don't remember who told you about the water seepage?
20	MR TSOI: If you look at this particular example, the top	20	A. By MTR? Yes.
21	one you see a disconnect. Yes, there's a gap.	21	Q. By MTR?
22	A. Okay, I see it now. Yes.	22 23	A. Yes.Q. Okay. Did anyone in Leighton talk to you about the
23	Q. And the bottom one is quite clear. Yes, this one in the middle: vec?	23 24	water seepage in February?
24	middle; yes? A. Okay.	24 25	A. When we were notified, possibly, yes.
25			

	Page 69		Page 71
1	Q. When you were notified?	1	until recently?
2	A. Yes.	2	A. Yes.
3	Q. So who spoke to you?	3	Q. Jon Kitching said, having received this letter, he came
4	A. I don't remember.	4	to speak to you.
5	Q. You don't remember who spoke to you about the water	5	A. Yes.
6	seepage?	6	Q. He never showed you the letter?
7	A. No.	7	A. I don't remember.
8	Q. After you were informed of the water seepage, did you	8	Q. Did he say to you at the time that Wing & Kwong was
9	talk to your superiors, Joe Tam or Chan Hon Sun?	9	making allegation against you?
10	A. I don't remember.	10	A. I don't remember.
11	Q. You don't remember?	11	Q. You don't remember?
12	A. No.	12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Did there come a point where Jon Kitching came to talk	13	Q. You've worked for Leighton from 2013 to so far, this
14	to you about the water seepage?	14	is 2018; right? So five years, four years?
15	A. I don't remember.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. You don't remember?	16	Q. Has there ever been an occasion where a sub-contractor
17	A. Yes.	17	wrote to your company to say that you instructed them to
18	Q. Let's look at his statement. Page CC6489.	18	do defective work?
19	This is Jon Kitching's witness statement. If you	19	A. No.
20	look at paragraph 11:	20	Q. No. Right. Let's start again.
21	"While the investigation into the defects was	21	When Jon Kitching came to talk to you, did he tell
22	underway, I instructed Leighton's commercial team on the	22	you, "A sub-contractor has written to us, accusing you
23	project to write to all sub-contractors that were	23	of instructing them to do defective work"?
24	involved"	24	This was last year.
25	Do you see that in paragraph 11?	25	A. I don't remember.
	Page 70		Page 72
1	A. Yes, I see it now.	1	Q. Sorry, two years ago no, last year, 2018.
2	Q. If we jump to paragraph 14:	2	A. Sorry.
3	"On or around 23 and 26 February 2018, Leighton	3	Q. Do you remember? You don't remember?
4	received letters from Wing & Kwong in response to	4	A. May have a conversation about it but I don't remember
5	Leighton's letter and backcharge These letters	5	exactly.
6	essentially alleged that Wing & Kwong were not	6	Q. May have a conversation about it?
7	responsible for the issues and acted on the	7	A. Yes.
8	instruction of (Henry Lai)."	8	Q. All right. What was the conversation about?
9	Do you see that?	9	A. I don't remember the contents.
10	A. Yes, I see it.	10	Q. You don't remember the contents?
11	Q. The next paragraph:	11	A. Yes.
12	"After receiving those letters, I spoke to Henry Lai	12	Q. Do you remember him telling you that a sub-contractor
	again."	13	has written to the company accusing you? Do you
13			
13 14	Do you see that?	14	remember him saying that?
	•	14 15	remember him saying that? A. He may have but I don't remember.
14	Do you see that?		
14 15	Do you see that? A. Yes.	15	A. He may have but I don't remember.
14 15 16	Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. So he must have spoken to you before, but let's	15 16	A. He may have but I don't remember.Q. He may have but you don't remember? All right.
14 15 16 17	Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. So he must have spoken to you before, but let's concentrate on this:	15 16 17	A. He may have but I don't remember.Q. He may have but you don't remember? All right. Now, 23 February, this was after the site meeting,
14 15 16 17 18	Do you see that?A. Yes.Q. So he must have spoken to you before, but let's concentrate on this:" I spoke to Henry Lai again."	15 16 17 18	A. He may have but I don't remember.Q. He may have but you don't remember? All right. Now, 23 February, this was after the site meeting, was it not, with Ah Chun be and Jon Kitching and Cheung
14 15 16 17 18 19	 Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. So he must have spoken to you before, but let's concentrate on this: " I spoke to Henry Lai again." A. Sorry, paragraph 15? 	15 16 17 18 19	A. He may have but I don't remember.Q. He may have but you don't remember? All right. Now, 23 February, this was after the site meeting, was it not, with Ah Chun be and Jon Kitching and Cheung Chi Wai and Regina Wong; is that right?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. So he must have spoken to you before, but let's concentrate on this: " I spoke to Henry Lai again." A. Sorry, paragraph 15? Q. Yes.	15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. He may have but I don't remember. Q. He may have but you don't remember? All right. Now, 23 February, this was after the site meeting, was it not, with Ah Chun be and Jon Kitching and Cheung Chi Wai and Regina Wong; is that right? A. Which date, sorry?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. So he must have spoken to you before, but let's concentrate on this: " I spoke to Henry Lai again." A. Sorry, paragraph 15? Q. Yes. A. Yes. 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. He may have but I don't remember. Q. He may have but you don't remember? All right. Now, 23 February, this was after the site meeting, was it not, with Ah Chun be and Jon Kitching and Cheung Chi Wai and Regina Wong; is that right? A. Which date, sorry? Q. The letter is the 26th, you see.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. So he must have spoken to you before, but let's concentrate on this: " I spoke to Henry Lai again." A. Sorry, paragraph 15? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Let's look at the letter. You will find that at EE277, 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. He may have but I don't remember. Q. He may have but you don't remember? All right. Now, 23 February, this was after the site meeting, was it not, with Ah Chun be and Jon Kitching and Cheung Chi Wai and Regina Wong; is that right? A. Which date, sorry? Q. The letter is the 26th, you see. A. Okay.

	Page 73		Page 75
1	A. I can't remember the date exactly.	1	A. I was surprised, yes.
2	Q. Was it before or after this letter?	2	Q. Well, this is your bit. This is the stitch joint. You
3	A. I don't know.	3	are supposed to inspect it. Surely you talked to
4	Q. In the letter are you saying you never saw this	4	someone about it in Leighton and said, "Hold on,
5	letter, the 26 February one?	5	I inspected this. It was normal. I don't know why
6	A. I saw it recently.	6	there's this allegation." Surely you spoke to someone
7	Q. You saw it recently?	7	in Leighton about this; right, surely? Or you don't
8	A. Yes.	8	remember?
9	Q. Because in that letter it talks about your instructions	9	A. I don't remember.
10	and the mismatch, you see.	10	Q. I see. Let's start again. Now, at the site meeting
11	A. Yes, in the letter. Yes.	11	with Ah Chun and Jon Kitching and Cheung Chi Wai, did
12	Q. Yes. Now, did anyone raise that with you, that there	12	you recall Jon Kitching asking any questions of
13	was a mismatch allegation in Wing & Kwong's letter?	13	Ah Wah sorry, of Ah Chun, the foreman?
14	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, when you say "mismatch"?	14	A. I don't remember.
15	MR TSOI: The parallel threaded rebar, in the letter.	15	Q. You don't remember Jon Kitching asking any questions?
16	CHAIRMAN: The letter, thank you, yes. Thank you.	16	A. I don't remember the events, but they were chatting and
17	A. Sorry?	17	I was aside.
18	MR TSOI: Did anyone raise the issue of mismatch with you,	18	Q. They were chatting, you were aside?
19	in Leighton, when they received this letter around that	19	A. Yes.
20	time, 26 February 2018?	20	Q. So you're not interested?
21	A. I think it was discovered and recorded in the NCR.	21	A. I had other works ongoing as well. I initiated the
22	Q. Right. So you knew about it?	22	meeting, yes.
23	A. When the NCR was issued.	23	Q. Sorry, you initiated the meeting, you attended the
24	Q. When the NCR was issued. So you knew when the NCR was	24	meeting, but you were aside, not interested in the
25	issued about the Lenton coupler; right?	25	meeting?
	Page 74		Page 76
1	A. Yes, at that time, yes	1	A. I was doing something else. They had their own chats.
2	Q. I see. Because that's completely inconsistent with what	2	Q. So, in the site meeting, you were doing something else
3	you said this morning. You said you discovered about	3	in the same room; yes?
4	the Lenton coupler when it was remedial works. So which	4	A. It was an open area.
5	one is it? Did you discover it when the NCR was issued	5	Q. I see. So you're just not involved in the meeting?
6	or at the time of the remedial work?	6	A. The inspection I was, just wasn't the initial meeting.
7	A. I remember the NCR was issued in February.	7	Q. Right. You see, this is what I'm getting at. You had
8	Q. Right. So, at that time, you knew there was a mismatch	8	received by then the NCR, right, so you know exactly
9	of material?	9	it's about the stitch joint, the area you inspected,
10	A. Only at that time, yes.	10	supposedly; yes?
11	Q. Right. Were you shocked?	11	A. Yes.
	A. Yes.	12	Q. And this meeting, you called for it, and it was about
12			
13	Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton?	13	the stitch joint?
13 14	Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton?A. I don't remember, but I don't remember.	14	A. Leighton called for it.
13 14 15	Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton?A. I don't remember, but I don't remember.Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on,	14 15	A. Leighton called for it.Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for
13 14 15 16	Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton?A. I don't remember, but I don't remember.Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai.	14 15 16	A. Leighton called for it.Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said.
13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton? A. I don't remember, but I don't remember. Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai. A. I just don't remember. 	14 15 16 17	A. Leighton called for it.Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said.A. No, I asked Wing & Kwong to attend the meeting because
13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton? A. I don't remember, but I don't remember. Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai. A. I just don't remember. Q. Okay. Let's try again. You don't remember speaking to 	14 15 16 17 18	A. Leighton called for it.Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said.A. No, I asked Wing & Kwong to attend the meeting because I had their contact, but it was called for by the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton? A. I don't remember, but I don't remember. Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai. A. I just don't remember. Q. Okay. Let's try again. You don't remember speaking to anyone about the mismatch; right? That's your evidence 	14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Leighton called for it.Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said.A. No, I asked Wing & Kwong to attend the meeting because I had their contact, but it was called for by the company.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton? A. I don't remember, but I don't remember. Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai. A. I just don't remember. Q. Okay. Let's try again. You don't remember speaking to anyone about the mismatch; right? That's your evidence so far; yes? 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Leighton called for it.Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said.A. No, I asked Wing & Kwong to attend the meeting because I had their contact, but it was called for by the company.Q. Right. So you called Wing & Kwong, Ah Chun, over for
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton? A. I don't remember, but I don't remember. Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai. A. I just don't remember. Q. Okay. Let's try again. You don't remember speaking to anyone about the mismatch; right? That's your evidence so far; yes? A. Yes. 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Leighton called for it. Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said. A. No, I asked Wing & Kwong to attend the meeting because I had their contact, but it was called for by the company. Q. Right. So you called Wing & Kwong, Ah Chun, over for a meeting; right?
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton? A. I don't remember, but I don't remember. Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai. A. I just don't remember. Q. Okay. Let's try again. You don't remember speaking to anyone about the mismatch; right? That's your evidence so far; yes? A. Yes. Q. But this is the work that you have spent an hour/two 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Leighton called for it. Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said. A. No, I asked Wing & Kwong to attend the meeting because I had their contact, but it was called for by the company. Q. Right. So you called Wing & Kwong, Ah Chun, over for a meeting; right? A. Yes.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton? A. I don't remember, but I don't remember. Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai. A. I just don't remember. Q. Okay. Let's try again. You don't remember speaking to anyone about the mismatch; right? That's your evidence so far; yes? A. Yes. Q. But this is the work that you have spent an hour/two hours inspecting, and hours of routine inspection. Were 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Leighton called for it. Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said. A. No, I asked Wing & Kwong to attend the meeting because I had their contact, but it was called for by the company. Q. Right. So you called Wing & Kwong, Ah Chun, over for a meeting; right? A. Yes. Q. And you were not actually involved in the meeting?
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 Q. Did you talk to anyone about it, in Leighton? A. I don't remember, but I don't remember. Q. You don't remember? This was last year. Come on, Mr Lai. A. I just don't remember. Q. Okay. Let's try again. You don't remember speaking to anyone about the mismatch; right? That's your evidence so far; yes? A. Yes. Q. But this is the work that you have spent an hour/two 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Leighton called for it. Q. I thought you called for it. You said you called for it. "I called for it", you said. A. No, I asked Wing & Kwong to attend the meeting because I had their contact, but it was called for by the company. Q. Right. So you called Wing & Kwong, Ah Chun, over for a meeting; right? A. Yes.

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

	Page 77		Page 79
1	A. A site inspection is still a meeting, yes.	1	Q. You don't remember?
2	Q. Hold on. This is a meeting with Jon Kitching; right?	2	A. No.
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. This was of course, when you received the NCR, the
4	Q. And Cheung Chi Wai?	4	stitch joint that you inspected, and you called Ah Chun
5	A. I was not involved in that meeting.	5	for the site meeting; right?
6	Q. You were not involved in that meeting?	6	A. Sorry?
7	A. No.	7	Q. You called Ah Chun, right, for the site meeting?
8	Q. You see, Ah Chun, Jon Kitching and Cheung Chi Wai, all		A. Yes.
9	of them say you were in that meeting, so which one is	9	Q. Did you call him directly?
10	it? Were you in the meeting or were you not in the	10	A. Yes.
11	meeting? I'm kind of lost.	11	Q. What did you tell him?
12	A. I was in the meeting	12	A. Sorry?
13	Q. So now you were in the meeting, okay. And?	12	Q. What did you tell him when you called him directly?
14	A. They had their discussions. I wasn't aware of what was	13	What did you say?
15	spoken.	15	A. Said request a meeting.
16	Q. You were not aware of what was spoken?	15	Q. For what?
17	A. Correct.	17	A. Would have been about the seepage.
18	Q. They were talking about the stitch joint that you	17	
19		18	Q. Would have been about the seepage?A. Yes.
	inspected. You were not aware of what was spoken?		
20	Seriously?	20	Q. Did you say anything else?
21	A. Yes.	21	A. I don't remember.
22	Q. So what were you doing in the meeting?	22	Q. You don't remember. But you knew about the location,
23	A. I was close by the table that they were meeting, but	23	right? It's about the seepage of the stitch joint?
24	I had other works ongoing as well.	24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Did you care about what they said to each other? Did	25	MR TSOI: Sorry, something troubles you, Mr Chairman?
	Page 78		Page 80
1	you care about Ah Chun said?	1	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Tsoi, I really don't want to hold you
2	A. I didn't take much notice at the time.	2	back, but it's not the aim, and I made that clear in the
3	Q. No. I said: did you care about what Ah Chun may say or	3	first portion of the Inquiry, to look to pinning
4	what Jon Kitching may be asking?	4	individual
5	A. If there was any discussion needs to pass back onto me,	5	MR TSOI: I understand.
6	I'm sure my seniors would have told me afterwards.	6	CHAIRMAN: guilt, if I can put it that way. That's
7	Q. Sorry, can you explain that again? If there was	7	perhaps an overstatement.
8	something that your seniors thought they need to pass to	8	MR TSOI: I understand.
9	you, they would come to you afterwards?	9	CHAIRMAN: What we are more interested in as a Commission
10	A. Yes.	10	obviously general conduct and inter-reaction, but we are
11	Q. But this is the joint you inspected and you worked	11	looking more at oversight, management issues, and
12	with Ah Chun, right, and you were there? You were just	12	culpability there. Do you see what I mean?
13	not involved in that meeting?	13	MR TSOI: I was about to come to that.
14	A. I was involved in the meeting, for the site inspections.	14	CHAIRMAN: And I appreciate entirely that there is
15	Q. Now I'm a bit lost. So Jon Kitching was talking to	15	a conflict between the bar fixers who you represent and
16	Ah Chun, right, through Cheung Chi Wai?	16	Leightons as to what happened, and that's why we were
17	A. Yes.	17	both giving you
18	Q. But you were not involved in that process?	18	MR TSOI: Some leeway.
19	A. They were chatting, yes.	19	CHAIRMAN: Well, a fair amount of leeway, but it's just to
20	Q. So you were not involved in that process? You didn't	20	remind you that this is not a criminal trial, as such,
21	know what they were talking about?	21	of each individual. It's more looking at, through the
22	A. No.	22	evidence of individuals, what is reflected by way of
23	Q. Afterwards, did Jon Kitching come say to you what he	23	a broader aspect as to oversight and management and
23	asked Ah Chun and what Ah Chun said?	24	safety issues, and all that sort of stuff.
25	A. I don't remember.	25	MR TSOI: I will come to that and I will bear in mind
25			

	Page 81		Page 83
1	completely that this not a criminal trial.	1	Q. No, did you raise it with your superior?
2	CHAIRMAN: As I say, it's not meant as a criticism.	2	A. I don't remember.
3	MR TSOI: No, no, sometimes the old habits will just pop in	3	Q. You don't?
4	and I can't stop it.	4	A. I don't remember.
5	So let's talk about that. This is now the stitch	5	Q. I'm suggesting you didn't, because you knew, for the
6	joint that you had supposedly inspected and now we see	6	three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint, you were
7	all this disconnect and cracking and problems; right?	7	the one who told Ah Chun to do it that way.
8	A. Yes.	8	A. I didn't tell him to do it in any way. It was just
9	Q. Now, rebar and coupler use or connections, that's not	9	a normal rebar fixing.
10	just the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint,	10	Q. When you learned about the use of Lenton couplers on the
11	is it? It happened on other locations of NSL and the	11	1111 side of the interface, did you talk to anyone about
12	EWL; you see?	12	it? It must have been a shock to you, because you
13	A. Yes.	13	didn't know before?
14	Q. And you did inspections for those as well, right, or	14	A. Yes, I've raised it out that I was not notified in any
15	some of those?	15	way.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Who did you raise it with?
17	Q. Did it not concern you that if this is the type of	17	A. At the time, my senior would be
18	quality of work that you passed inspection, then you may	18	Q. Joe? Chan Hon Sun? Joe Tam? Jon Kitching?
19	have some concern about the other locations that you	19	A. No, it was Colin.
20	inspected? Did that cross your mind?	20	Q. Colin?
21	A. At that time, we had no defects reported.	21	A. Mitchell.
22	Q. No, but now you know about the defect, and now you see	22	Q. All right. Did you talk to Jon Kitching, Joe Tam or
23	there was, for example, the photo I showed you, there	23	Chan Hon Sun about it?
24	was a complete disconnect with the rebar and the	24	A. No.
25	couplers, that you supposedly inspected; right?	25	Q. Did you talk to Regina Wong?
	Page 82		Page 84
1	A. Mm-hmm.	1	A. Regina, I don't think so, no.
2	Q. But you have also inspected other areas of the NAT.	2	Q. Were they surprised at what you said to them, that you
3	Were you not concerned that your inspection may be not	3	didn't know about the 1111 side of the interface used
4	as satisfactory as it should be, in those other	4	Lenton couplers?
5	locations as well? Did you care?	5	A. I don't remember their reactions.
6			A. I don't remember men reactions.
	A. Yes, I care. Yes.	6	Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The
7	A. Yes, I care. Yes.Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know		
7 8		6	Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The
	Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know	6 7	Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to.
8	Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the	6 7 8	Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to.A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was
8 9	Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"?	6 7 8 9	Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to.A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes.
8 9 10	Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"?A. They are we have conducted other checks in the	6 7 8 9 10	Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to.A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes.Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked?
8 9 10 11	Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"?A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to.A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes.Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked?A. Yes.
8 9 10 11 12	Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"?A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel.Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to.A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes.Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked?A. Yes.Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't?
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"?A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel.Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North Approach Tunnel, and if this is what I missed then we'd 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching? A. No, because at the time Colin was my boss and I talked
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North Approach Tunnel, and if this is what I missed then we'd better check those as well"? Did you say that to your 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching? A. No, because at the time Colin was my boss and I talked to him directly, yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North Approach Tunnel, and if this is what I missed then we'd better check those as well"? Did you say that to your boss? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching? A. No, because at the time Colin was my boss and I talked to him directly, yes. Q. Now, we've seen the statement of Jon Kitching. He says
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North Approach Tunnel, and if this is what I missed then we'd better check those as well"? Did you say that to your boss? A. No, if it's issued in NCR, then we have own our proposal 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching? A. No, because at the time Colin was my boss and I talked to him directly, yes. Q. Now, we've seen the statement of Jon Kitching. He says he spoke to you about the Wing & Kwong letters; right?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North Approach Tunnel, and if this is what I missed then we'd better check those as well"? Did you say that to your boss? A. No, if it's issued in NCR, then we have own our proposal to try to confirm the inspections. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching? A. No, because at the time Colin was my boss and I talked to him directly, yes. Q. Now, we've seen the statement of Jon Kitching. He says he spoke to you about the Wing & Kwong letters; right? A. Okay, from the statement, yes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North Approach Tunnel, and if this is what I missed then we'd better check those as well"? Did you say that to your boss? A. No, if it's issued in NCR, then we have own our proposal to try to confirm the inspections. Q. Yes, I understand that, but can you answer the question: 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching? A. No, because at the time Colin was my boss and I talked to him directly, yes. Q. Now, we've seen the statement of Jon Kitching. He says he spoke to you about the Wing & Kwong letters; right? A. Okay, from the statement, yes. Q. Do you now recall or accept that he did speak to you
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North Approach Tunnel, and if this is what I missed then we'd better check those as well"? Did you say that to your boss? A. No, if it's issued in NCR, then we have own our proposal to try to confirm the inspections. Q. Yes, I understand that, but can you answer the question: did you raise that concern with your boss? Because 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching? A. No, because at the time Colin was my boss and I talked to him directly, yes. Q. Now, we've seen the statement of Jon Kitching. He says he spoke to you about the Wing & Kwong letters; right? A. Okay, from the statement, yes. Q. Do you now recall or accept that he did speak to you about the Wing & Kwong letters? Or you don't remember?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did you say this to your boss: "Hold on, I don't know how I missed this, this is obvious, but let's check the other locations that I also inspected"? A. They are we have conducted other checks in the tunnel. Q. Right. That's not my question though. Did you raise it with your boss or your superiors that, "Hold on, I also did inspections for other inspections in the North Approach Tunnel, and if this is what I missed then we'd better check those as well"? Did you say that to your boss? A. No, if it's issued in NCR, then we have own our proposal to try to confirm the inspections. Q. Yes, I understand that, but can you answer the question: did you raise that concern with your boss? Because after your inspection, this is what happens. Did you 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. What exactly did you say to them; do you remember? The people you spoke to. A. I remember I told Colin that I didn't know and I was shocked, yes. Q. You told Colin you didn't know and you were shocked? A. Yes. Q. Did you tell Jon Kitching? You say you didn't? A. No. Q. You didn't talk to Jon Kitching? A. No, because at the time Colin was my boss and I talked to him directly, yes. Q. Now, we've seen the statement of Jon Kitching. He says he spoke to you about the Wing & Kwong letters; right? A. Okay, from the statement, yes. Q. Do you now recall or accept that he did speak to you about the Wing & Kwong letters? Or you don't remember? A. I don't remember.

	Page 85		Page 87
1	Q. Was he shocked that you didn't know that Lenton couplers	1	MR TSOI: That I have to seek assistance from
2	were used on the 1111 side of the interface?	2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Mr Shieh, is this an organisation
3	A. I don't remember his reaction.	3	chart with Joe Leung having replaced Joe Tam?
4	Q. You don't remember his reaction; you just remember	4	MR SHIEH: Just a wild guess: maybe Colin Mitchell replaced
5	telling him, yes?	5	Joe Tam, because Joe Tam
6	A. Yes.	6	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Like I said earlier, we will do our
7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, I may have missed something		homework in the break.
8	here. Can I be reminded who Colin is?	8	MR SHIEH: We can ask the witness, maybe.
9	MR TSOI: It's an individual called Colin Mitchell, who is	9	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: But we now know Colin Mitchell is
10	a construction manager.	10	the construction manager. Thank you very much.
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you. That's fine. I will do	10	MR TSOI: Yes, because if you leave it on the screen, you
11	my homework in a break.	11	see, Mr Lai, Regina Wong, whose name is directly above
	-		
13	MR TSOI: I'm doing it right now.	13	you, she attended various interface meetings, you see,
14	Is he above Joe Tam?	14	and she knew about the Lenton couplers.
15	A. I'm not sure of their positions, the grades, how we	15	A. Yes.
16	would distinguish whether	16	Q. And Chan Hon Sun, your immediate superior when you were
17	Q. If we go to CC535, we can see an organisation chart that	17	working at the NAT site Chan Hon Sun
18	may show, I'm not sure.	18	A. Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Ah yes, I see, underneath Jon	19	Q he knew about the Lenton couplers, because he
20	Kitching in the middle. But that's in a different	20	attended some of the interface meetings?
21	branch of the organisation, isn't it? Maybe. Where's	21	A. On that basis, yes.
22	Joe? I've lost Joe Tam now.	22	Q. Joe Tam, he knew about the Lenton couplers too, because
23	MR TSOI: I can't see Joe Tam either.	23	people reported to him?
24	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Joe Leung.	24	A. On that basis, yes.
25	MR PENNICOTT: What date is this?	25	Q. You know Jim Wong, right, you said?
	Page 86		Page 88
1	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Maybe because it's a different date,	1	A. Yes.
2	is it?	2	Q. Who is Jim Wong?
3	MR TSOI: February 2018, yes.	3	A. Jim Wong, he was at the time on the NAT Tunnel senior
4	MR SHIEH: The one at 535, we can see Colin Mitchell, and on	4	site agent.
5	the right-hand side, next to HHS, down there is Joe	5	Q. Right, and you worked with him too; right?
6	Leung, and then there's Regina Wong and Henry Lai.	6	A. Yes.
7	If we have the one at CC2/535, if we find Colin	7	Q. You see, all these people knew about the Lenton couplers
8	Mitchell, then maybe 4 o'clock, Colin Mitchell, one	8	at the relevant time. You are the only one who says you
9	sees.	9	didn't.
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Can we go to that one,	10	A. I never received any information from them.
11	please.	11	Q. From any of them?
12	MR SHIEH: CC2/535.	12	A. No.
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It is 535?	13	Q. And afterwards, when the water seepage came out, you
14	MR SHIEH: Yes.	14	never spoke to any one of them about the Lenton
15	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So where is Joe Tam on here? I've	14	couplers?
15	lost him.	16	A. I didn't knew they were Lenton couplers at the time.
10	MR SHIEH: Joe Tam is not here, but Henry Lai is 4 o'clock	17	Q. No, you said when the NCR came out, so seepage
17	to Colin Mitchell.	17 18	
18 19	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes. So we have Henry Lai, Regina		A. Yes, the seepage now.
			Q you then knew about the Lenton couplers?
20	Wong, Joe Leung, and then Colin Mitchell, in the line	20	A. Yes. Then, when the
21	of in the hierarchy.	21	Q. So after that, did you speak to any one of them?
22	MR TSOI: Yes. I'm very grateful.	22	A. I don't believe I spoke to any one of them. I spoke to
23	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So this is presumably, this is	23	Colin about that I had no information about the Lenton
24	an organisation chart showing Joe Leung having replaced	24	couplers.
25	Joe Tam; is that right?	25	Q. You only spoke to Colin?

	Page 89		Page 91
1	A. Yes.	1	Q. That was the relevant time at the relevant time,
2	Q. Why didn't you speak to Joe Tam? Because Joe Tam, you	2	about the interface?
3	see Joe Tam and Chan Hon Sun, they were your	3	A. At the
4	superiors when you worked on the NAT site. Why didn't	4	Q. Meetings that took place in 2015 to 2017.
5	you speak to them?	5	A. I never saw them, never saw the minutes.
6	A. They were not my superiors at the time that it was	6	Q. You never saw those minutes?
7	discovered, so I spoke to my immediate senior.	7	A. I only saw them during the remedial stage, that there
8	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Your immediate senior is Joe Leung?	8	were mentions of Lenton couplers used at the interface
9	A. Joe Leung, yes, at the time, but I spoke with Colin.	9	joints.
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Which is one up?	10	Q. Right. Now, you saw those minutes, right, at the
11	A. Yes.	11	remedial stage?
12	MR TSOI: Sorry, but Regina Wong here is right above you;	12	A. Yes.
13	right? But you didn't speak to her either?	13	Q. So you saw who attended those meetings
14	A. No.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. BB6363, please. We are going back to that chart.	15	Q on behalf of Leighton?
16	I'm sure you know	16	A. Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one question	17	Q. Did you not talk to those attendees and ask them, "Why
18	I apologise did you attend any of the interface	18	didn't you tell me about the Lenton couplers"? Because
19	meetings?	19	Regina Wong was there, Jim Wong was there. Why didn't
20	A. No.	20	you go and say, "Hey, look at the meeting minutes. You
21	CHAIRMAN: Were the Leighton attendees at those meetings	21	were there. Why didn't you tell me about the Lenton
22	senior to you?	22	couplers at the time?"
23	A. Yes.	23	A. At that time, I already reported that I didn't know
24	CHAIRMAN: Did those senior personnel, having attended	24	it didn't strike me to try to pinpoint why it was not
25	interface meetings, pass down information to you, that	25	passed down to me.
	Page 90		Page 92
1	you can remember, of any kind?	1	Q. I don't quite get that. This is your inspection. This
2	A. No.	2	is your area of inspection.
3	CHAIRMAN: Did you receive from those senior members of	3	CHAIRMAN: I suppose again, I'm not trying to take sides
4	Leighton, or more senior members of Leighton, any form	4	here.
5	of documentation telling you and members of the team	5	MR TSOI: No, no, no.
6	with you what had transpired at those interface	6	CHAIRMAN: But we are talking about a large organisation,
7	meetings?	7	which has rank and hierarchy senior engineers,
8	A. Not during the construction period.	8	project managers they are undertaking work, and
9	CHAIRMAN: Okay. When you say "not during the construction	9	perhaps, I don't know, but it might be worthy just to
10	period", do you mean at any other period you did?	10	canvass the degree to which there is familiarity between
11			
1 1 0	A. It was later that the tried to identify the Lenton	11	people of different levels of authority or not.
12	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was	11 12	MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that.
12 13	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage.		MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not
	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage. CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the	12	MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with
13	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage.CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not	12 13	MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do
13 14	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage. CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the	12 13 14	MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it
13 14 15 16 17	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage. CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not verbally advised by any of your seniors who had attended interface meetings of what had transpired, nor did you	12 13 14 15 16 17	MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it more broadly. There are hierarchies and in different
13 14 15 16 17 18	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage. CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not verbally advised by any of your seniors who had attended interface meetings of what had transpired, nor did you receive any form of written memorandum as to what had	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage.CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not verbally advised by any of your seniors who had attended interface meetings of what had transpired, nor did you receive any form of written memorandum as to what had transpired at the interface meetings?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it more broadly. There are hierarchies and in different cultures sometimes those hierarchies are more pronounced.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage.CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not verbally advised by any of your seniors who had attended interface meetings of what had transpired, nor did you receive any form of written memorandum as to what had transpired at the interface meetings?A. No.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it more broadly. There are hierarchies and in different cultures sometimes those hierarchies are more pronounced. MR TSOI: Yes, but as Mr
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage. CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not verbally advised by any of your seniors who had attended interface meetings of what had transpired, nor did you receive any form of written memorandum as to what had transpired at the interface meetings? A. No. CHAIRMAN: So, on that basis, nothing of relevance to you? 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it more broadly. There are hierarchies and in different cultures sometimes those hierarchies are more pronounced. MR TSOI: Yes, but as Mr CHAIRMAN: I just make that comment so that any questions
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage. CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not verbally advised by any of your seniors who had attended interface meetings of what had transpired, nor did you receive any form of written memorandum as to what had transpired at the interface meetings? A. No. CHAIRMAN: So, on that basis, nothing of relevance to you? A. No. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it more broadly. There are hierarchies and in different cultures sometimes those hierarchies are more pronounced. MR TSOI: Yes, but as Mr CHAIRMAN: I just make that comment so that any questions you put can then be within that, or at least bearing in
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage. CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not verbally advised by any of your seniors who had attended interface meetings of what had transpired, nor did you receive any form of written memorandum as to what had transpired at the interface meetings? A. No. CHAIRMAN: So, on that basis, nothing of relevance to you? A. No. MR TSOI: So there was a point that you saw the interface 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it more broadly. There are hierarchies and in different cultures sometimes those hierarchies are more pronounced. MR TSOI: Yes, but as Mr CHAIRMAN: I just make that comment so that any questions you put can then be within that, or at least bearing in mind that comment.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 couplers, then we tried to find the minutes. So it was at a later stage, like remedial stage. CHAIRMAN: All right. So, in other words, during the construction period, if I understand it, you were not verbally advised by any of your seniors who had attended interface meetings of what had transpired, nor did you receive any form of written memorandum as to what had transpired at the interface meetings? A. No. CHAIRMAN: So, on that basis, nothing of relevance to you? A. No. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR TSOI: I understand. I could ask that. CHAIRMAN: I can imagine perhaps a very junior engineer not particularly wishing to sidle up to and be chummy with a project manager, for example, at a site meeting. Do you see the point I make? I'm just trying to look at it more broadly. There are hierarchies and in different cultures sometimes those hierarchies are more pronounced. MR TSOI: Yes, but as Mr CHAIRMAN: I just make that comment so that any questions you put can then be within that, or at least bearing in

	Page 93		Page 95
1	superior at any time?	1	competence as an engineer, to look for faults, to check
2	A. He was during various construction stages, yes.	2	rebar fixing works and all that; yes?
3	Q. But by the time of the remedial work was he still your	3	A. Yes.
4	superior or were you just colleagues?	4	Q. Right? Surely, when you knew that Lenton couplers were
5	A. No, he was not my superior.	5	used, by April or by the remedial work stage
6	Q. Right, so at that time he's not your superior. How	6	A. Yes.
7	about Regina Wong?	7	Q and that someone else in the company also knew, in
8	A. Yes, she was for the remedial works, yes.	8	your team, you would want to speak to them about it,
9	Q. Joe Tam?	9	surely?
10	A. He was not here.	10	A. No.
11	Q. He was not here; right?	11	Q. No? You just don't care?
12	A. Yes.	12	A. I care but there was no need to speak to them.
13	Q. "Not here" as in no longer in Leighton?	13	Q. Sir, I've got some handwriting I can't decipher. I'm so
14	A. He was not in the project.	14	sorry. I might come back to that.
15	Q. He was not in the project?	15	I'm so sorry, page 6363 we were on, the chart.
16	A. Yes.	16	I want you to go to entry 45. That is the shunt
17	Q. So he's no longer your superior at the time when you did	17	neck bay 3 track slab; do you see that?
18	the remedial works?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. No.	19	Q. Now, the work, the rebar fixing work there, commenced
20	Q. So you didn't report to him or anything?	20	and completed on 4 January?
21	A. No.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So Chan Hon Sun, because he knew about the Lenton	22	Q. And the pre-pour sorry, the pouring of the concrete
23	couplers, because you saw the minutes, he attended some	23	was 5 January?
24	of the interface meetings?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. As you know, and you know this is coming, Ah Chun's
	Page 94		Page 96
1	Q. Did you not ask Chan Hon Sun, who is now no longer in	1	evidence is that on 3 January he called you about the
2	your team, who is not your superior, "Hey, you didn't	2	Lenton couplers. You deny that; right?
3	tell me about the Lenton couplers"?	3	A. Yes.
4	A. I didn't think of it at that time, no.	4	Q. So he never called you?
5	Q. And Joe Tam too, I suppose?	5	A. No.
6	A. Yes.	6	Q. And on the 4th Wing & Kwong, just as normal, went to the
7	Q. Why not?	7	site and did the rebar fixing, with the Lenton couplers
8	A. Didn't think of it at the time.	8	there?
9	Q. You were just happy that you can say, "I didn't know	9	A. The work went on, yes.
10	about the Lenton couplers"?	10	Q. Yes, so they must have using parallel threaded rebars
11	A. At the time the remedial work already started.	11	and screwing them into Lenton couplers, that must be
12	Q. Right.	12	a matter of fact, that's what happened, yes, on the 4th?
13	A. So, yes, I just didn't think of it at the time, to ask	13	A. I was not aware of any problems.
14	what happened in the past.	14	Q. Yes, and then you inspected the works with Chris Chan
15	Q. Yes, but this is a matter, as you say in your third	15	A. Yes.
16	statement this is a matter that went to your	16	Q of MTR
17	professional integrity, and you are the person who	17	A. Yes.
18	passed inspections of these locations. Surely you	18	Q and you both passed the inspection?
19 20	wanted to say something to the people who knew about the		A. Yes.
20	Lenton couplers; right? That's only natural, isn't it?	20	Q. If I can take you to the other entry, 5 January,
21	A. Sorry, can you say that again?	21	entry 38. So that is the East West Link bay 4 East Wall
22 23	Q. You said, in your third statement, that these are	22	pour 3, et cetera, and that we see the commencement of the rehar fixing work on 5 January?
23 24	matters that go to your professional integrity; right? A. Yes.	23 24	the rebar fixing work on 5 January? A. Yes.
24	А. 103.	24	A. 103.
25	Q. And they are. They go to your competence in inspection,	25	Q. So, when the shunt neck joint bay 3 track slab was being

	Page 97		Page 99
1	poured, Ah Chun's team was already somewhere else,	1	Q. If you admit that you told a sub-contractor to screw in
2	conducting rebar fixing work; do you agree with that?	2	a parallel threaded rebar into a taper-cut coupler, your
3	A. Yes.	3	career with Leighton is over?
4	Q. I'm going to suggest to you, on 3 January, Ah Chun did	4	A. No.
5	make a phone call to you, informing you about the	5	Q. Oh, so Leighton would continue to engage you, would
6	tapered threaded couplers. Do you agree with that? A. Disagree.	6	they?
7 8	Q. You disagree. And there was no reason why he would take	7 8	A. No. I wouldn't have given those instructions.Q. In fact, your career as an engineer may be over?
9	the risk of trying to screw in the parallel threaded	9	A. I never receive any problems and no instructions were
10	rebar into a Lenton coupler, because you just can't	10	given by me to him.
11	screw them in?	11	Q. That's why I'm suggesting to you that you came to this
12	A. Sorry, can you say that again?	12	Commission yesterday and today and you have no choice
13	Q. There is no reason, but for your instruction, for him to	13	but to lie about notwithstanding you have spent one
14	screw in or try to screw in parallel threaded rebars?	14	to two hours looking at these joints, you did not see
15	CHAIRMAN: I'm not sure about that question, because I don't	15	a single defective connection with the rebar and
16	know that he can really answer that, because there may	16	coupler. Do you accept that?
17	be reasons that we haven't come to yet	17	A. I was not
18	MR TSOI: I shall take refuge in Mr Pennicott's question and	18	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, there are two questions there. One
19	answer.	19	suggests telling an untruth. The other suggests he
20	Now, I'm not going to take you through Ah Chun's	20	didn't see the
21	statement of the various conversations that he says he	21	MR TSOI: Because of what I say, the "didn't see" is the
22	had with you, unless the Commission wants me to, because	22	untruth.
23	your case is simply that he never did, he never called	23	CHAIRMAN: All right.
24 25	you, he never told you anything. A. Yes.	24 25	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It just may be slightly complicated
23	A. Tes. Page 98	23	Page 100
1	Q. You've worked in Leighton since 2013. This is your	1	MR TSOI: No, it's my fault.
2	first long-term job after you graduated?	2	CHAIRMAN: I think we have the picture. I don't think you
3	A. Yes.	3	need to put it to him.
4	Q. In fact, you were promoted in April, so after the water	4	MR TSOI: I see the time. Can I just ask for a slightly
5	seepage matter came to light you were promoted to senior	5	earlier break, just to make sure I haven't missed
6	engineer?	6	anything? I'm pretty sure I've completed my
7	A. Yes.	7	cross-examination, but I just want to check to make
8	Q. Ah Chun is a rebar fixer no, sorry, a foreman; yes?	8	sure.
9	A. Yes.	9	CHAIRMAN: Yes, certainly. It's about two minutes to 1.00
10	Q. On site, normally, if there were any problems, he would	10	now. We can then have the luncheon adjournment.
11	call you and you would give him instructions?	11	MR PENNICOTT: Before you rise, can I just have a word with
12	A. Sorry, say that again.	12	Mr Tsoi and Mr Shieh, because I know there's a witness,
13	Q. On site, when he was working with you, he would call you	13	the next Wing & Kwong witness, there may be reasons for
14	and seek instructions, and you would tell him what to	14	him to be released, to save him sitting around this
15	do?	15	afternoon.
16	A. If there were problems, yes.	16	CHAIRMAN: Yes, of course.
17	Q. That's his normal practice?	17	(Discussion off the record)
18 10	A. Yes.Q. Of course, Ah Chun, being a foreman, if it is said by	18 19	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, if my learned friends Mr Khaw and Mr Boulding are still going to be the sort of time that
19 20	Q. Of course, An Chun, being a foreman, if it is said by Wing & Kwong, he can just go somewhere; we don't know.	19 20	Mr Boulding are still going to be the sort of time that they indicated last night, and for reasons which may
20	But you, if you admit that you told Ah Chun to screw in	20	become apparent later, my view is that we should the
21	the parallel threaded rebars into Lenton coupler as much	21	next witness is Ben Cheung, Mr Cheung from Wing
23	as possible, your career at Leighton would be over;	23	& Kwong we should stand him down this afternoon.
	would you accept that?	24	CHAIRMAN: All right.
24		24	CHAIRMAN. All light.

	Page 101		Page 103
1	we lose it. I don't think it's going to be critical.	1	The first matter is Leighton's failure to issue RISC
2	But I am told by Mr Shieh that there might be another	2	forms. As I read your witness statement, you say, do
3	witness statement coming from Leighton which might be	3	you not, that during the construction period, MTR's
4	relevant to Mr Cheung in any event.	4	engineers and inspectors did not complain about or
5	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. You have a far better idea	5	mention the fact that RISC forms were not completed for
6	of what lies ahead and the matters there. I will rest	6	rebar fixing and pre-pour inspection; that's your
7	with that.	7	evidence, is it not, Mr Lai?
8	MR PENNICOTT: Right.	8	A. Sorry, which statement?
9	CHAIRMAN: So we can give Mr Cheung, is it?	9	Q. If you would like to look at your statement, we can go
10	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.	10	to your first statement at paragraph 30. That's CC93
11	CHAIRMAN: We can give him the required notice that he	11	and 94.
12	needn't stay this afternoon.	12	If we pick it up just before we go on to the next
13	MR PENNICOTT: I think we should do that, yes.	13	page, do you see that there you say:
14	CHAIRMAN: All right. When should we start this afternoon,	14	"During the construction period, MTRCL's
15	how are we looking, absent Mr Cheung?	15	construction engineer/inspector of works did not
16	MR PENNICOTT: I think it would be safer to start at 2.15 in	16	complain about or mention the fact that RISC forms were
17	any event, unless I'm told it's going to be shorter.	17	not completed for rebar fixing checks and pre-pour
18	MR BOULDING: Sir, a lot of my thunder has been stolen, so	18	checks."
19	I'm going to be a little bit shorter than I predicted	19	Do you see that?
20	yesterday, I would have thought 15 or 20 minutes,	20	A. Yes.
21	because a lot of the matters I wanted to ask this	20	Q. I've got to suggest, Mr Lai, that that's simply not
22	witness about have been ventilated already.	22	correct, is it? That's not correct.
23	CHAIRMAN: All right. Fine.	23	A. As I aware, I was I didn't receive complaint.
24	MR KHAW: Mr Chairman, I said 40 minutes yesterday. I think		Q. Well, it's right, is it not, that Mr Tony Tang you
25	I will be about 30 to 35 minutes.	25	know Mr Tony Tang, do you not, of MTR?
	Page 102		Page 104
1	CHAIRMAN: That was obviously a careful refinement	1	A. Yes.
	overnight!	2	Q. And he made repeated oral complaints to you, in 2016 and
2 3	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, on that basis, probably 2.30 is all	3	2017, in relation to outstanding RISC forms; that's
4	right.	4	correct, isn't it?
5	CHAIRMAN: We will start at 2.15, out of an abundance of	5	A. Yes, he may have.
6	caution.	6	Q. So, on the basis of that answer, for you to suggest, as
7	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, indeed.	7	you do, in the paragraph of your witness statement that
8	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. 2.15.	8	we've just looked at, that "MTR's construction
9	(1.01 pm)	9	engineer/inspector of works did not complain about or
9 10	(The luncheon adjournment)	10	mention the fact that RISC forms were not completed for
11	(2.17 pm)	11	rebar fixing checks and pre-pour checks", is simply not
12	MR TSOI: May it please you, Chairman and Professor, I have		correct, is it?
12	no further questions.	12	A. But the complaint was a later stage. It wasn't during
13	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.	13	the construction stage.
14	Who is going next?	14	Q. Well, it was during 2016 and 2017, as Mr Tony Tang says
16	MR BOULDING: I'll go next, sir.	16	and you've just agreed.
17	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	17	A. Well, he may have said it and I may have overlooked it.
18	Cross-examination by MR BOULDING	18	Q. So you accept Mr Tang told you and made complaints to
10	MR BOULDING: Good afternoon, Mr Lai.	10	you in relation to the outstanding RISC forms? That's
20	A. Good afternoon, sir.	20	your evidence now, is it, Mr Lai?
20	Q. As you probably heard me say before the luncheon	20	A. I'm unsure. I'm unsure.
21	adjournment, many of the matters that I wanted to ask	21	Q. Well, I don't want there to be any doubt about this.
22	you about have been covered already by my learned	22	Please can we look at Mr Tony Tang's witness statement,
23 24	friends, but there are one or two points that I would	23 24	which is at BB126. If you would be kind enough to look
24	like to ask you about.	24	at paragraph 25, do you see Mr Tang says there:
123	ince to ask you about.	L_J	at paragraph 25, uo you see with rang says there.

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

	D 105		
	Page 105		Page 107
1	"For most of the inspections that I conducted in NAT	1	Mr Kong goes so far as to draw the attention of the
2	(other than the North Fan Area), Leighton never	2	recipients to the relevant clause in the General
3	submitted any RISC form."	3	Specification? Do you see that clause, G12.4.3?
4	As a matter of fact, that's correct, isn't it?	4	A. Yes.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. And for good measure, if we go on to BB2246, we can see,
6	Q. Then:	6	can we not, that he went so far as to append it?
7	"Between 2016 and 2017, I made repeated oral	7	A. Okay. Sorry?
8	complaints to Henry Lai" and we'll forget about the	8	Q. Is that an answer?
9	other two for the time being "in relation to the	9	A. Sorry, can you repeat the question?
10	outstanding RISC forms, but to no avail."	10	Q. Yes. What I was suggesting to you is that if you were
11	What he says there, I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is	11	to go to page BB2246 and it looks as though you are
12	correct, is it not?	12	there that Mr Kenneth Kong went so far as to draw the
13	A. He may have said it but I don't remember.	13	recipients of the email's attention to clause G12.4.3 of
14	Q. We heard that answer many, many times during the course	14	the General Specification; correct?
15	of your evidence, Mr Lai.	15	A. Yes.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. And he says go back to BB245 in the second
17	Q. But it doesn't stop there because we were taken by	17	paragraph, having identified that clause:
18	Mr Tsoi this morning to a document that I'd just like to	18	" your current performance near 'zero submission
19	look at with you, if I may: BB2245, please.	19	of RISF' is totally unacceptable for the above-mentioned
20	Here we see, do we not, an email that I think	20	locations.
21	Mr Pennicott or Mr Tsoi took you to this morning, do you	21	In order to avoid any breaching of the contract
22	see, 24 March 2017?	22	obligation and please chase your guys to take
23	A. Yes.	23	immediately follow-up action for this issue."
24	Q. You can take it from me that that email, whilst it	24	Do you see that?
25	doesn't go direct to you, goes to eight Leighton	25	A. Yes.
	Page 106		Page 108
1	employees, with the first person being Mr Ian	1	Q. If we look up at the recipients, we can see, can we not,
2	Rawsthorne; do you see that?	2	under the "cc", that this email goes to a Mr Hon Sun
3	A. Yes.	3	Chan; correct?
4	Q. We can see that he is saying, can we not:	4	A. Yes.
5	"Dear Ian,	5	Q. And Hon Sun Chan was, was he not, your boss at the time,
6	It is very disappointed for your frontline	6	your direct boss?
7	engineers/agents without submit the request for	7	A. Yes.
8	inspection form to our inspectors/construction engineers	8	Q. And do I assume that he drew the contents of the very
9	I/II for any black and white notice of works through the	9	important email that we've just been through together to
10	RISF for a certain months. This cases were mostly	10	your attention?
11	happened at SAT, NAT and HHS respectively. The	11	A. He may have.
12	contractor should adequate notice MTR through the RISF	12	Q. Thank you. Well, I've got your answer, but I suggest it
13	to our construction engineers I/II/inspectors to carry	13	would be rather surprising if he didn't, wouldn't it?
14	out the individual on-site inspection."	14	A. Yes.
15	So we can see, can we not, that that's the nature of	15	Q. Thank you. I think I can move on from that matter and
16	Mr Kenneth Kong's, senior inspector of works's,	16	go on to another matter I'd like to deal with with you,
17	complaint?	17	please, Mr Lai. That's Chris Chan.
18	A. Yes.	18	Now, it's right, is it not, that for the first few
19	Q. And he is correct, is he not, that is something which	19	concrete pours in the NAT, you issued the RISC forms to
20	Leighton indeed should have done?	20	document the request for rebar fixing and pre-pour
21	A. Sorry, can you say it again?	21	inspections? That's what you did, did you not?
22	Q. Yes. He is correct in his complaint: Leighton ought to	22	A. For which pours, may I ask?
23	have been submitting RISC forms, should they not?	23	Q. The NAT. You issued RISC forms to document the reques
24	A. Yes.	24	for both rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections?
25	Q. We can see, can we not, that in the second paragraph	25	A. Can I see the reference of it, please?

	Page 109		Page 111
1	Q. Let's go to your witness statement again, CC93.	1	A. No, I can't.
2	I didn't think this was going to be contentious, Mr Lai,	2	Q. And it was interesting yesterday that Mr Pennicott
3	but obviously your recollection is not very good at all,	3	questioned you about this particular matter. Do you
4	is it?	4	remember being questioned by Mr Pennicott about
5	Go to CC93, if you would be so kind. There, to	5	inspections in the three stitch joints and the shunt
6	stimulate your recollection, do we see you say:	6	neck joint?
7	"For the first few concrete pours in the NAT,	7	A. Yes.
8	I issued the RISC forms to document the request for	8	Q. And pages 131, 132 of the transcript read as follows,
9	a rebar fixing check and a pre-pour check."	9	because I want to remind you of what you said.
10	Is that what you did?	10	Mr Pennicott says:
11	A. I remember, for rebar for bay 3 and 4.	11	"So you were involved in both the routine
12	Q. So you want to qualify that by saying it was just bays 3	12	inspections and the hold-point inspections in relation
13	and 4, do you?	13	to the bay 3 and the shunt neck joint; is that right?
14	A. From my recollection, yes.	14	Answer: Yes, correct.
15	Q. But then it's right, is it not, that your workload got	15	Question: And at paragraph 24 of your witness
16	very heavy; correct?	16	statement, you say:
17	A. Yes.	17	'I was involved in the joint inspection of the rebar
18	Q. And it was very heavy, you tell us, do you not, when the	18	fixing works with MTR.'
19	three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint were being	19	As I understand it, again, your evidence is that was
20	constructed; correct?	20	Chris Chan; is that right?"
21	A. Yes.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. As a result, you tell us, do you not, that you didn't	22	Q. "Answer: This one, you are referring to the shunt neck
23	have time to complete the RISC forms before the formal	23	joint?
24	inspections with MTR?	24	Question: Yes.
25	A. Yes.	25	Answer: I gave my evidence for the stitch joint."
	Page 110		Page 112
1	Q. Unfortunately for you, your workload never eased up, did	1	Then Mr Pennicott presses you and says:
2	it, and you forgot? You forgot to complete the RISC	2	"Yes, but who do you say inspected the shunt neck
3	forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections	3	joint then, if it wasn't Chris Chan?
4	at both the three stitch joints and the shunt neck	4	
5			Answer: For that, I'm unsure."
-	tunnel; correct?	5	Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these
6	A. My workload never eased up, yes.	5 6	Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago?
6 7	A. My workload never eased up, yes.Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for	5 6 7	Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes.
6	A. My workload never eased up, yes.Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the	5 6	Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two
6 7 8 9	A. My workload never eased up, yes.Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's	5 6 7 8 9	Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago?A. Yes.Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the
6 7 8 9 10	A. My workload never eased up, yes.Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it?	5 6 7 8 9 10	Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago?A. Yes.Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers
6 7 8 9 10 11	A. My workload never eased up, yes.Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it?A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago?A. Yes.Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago?A. Yes.Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it?A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. Q. In addition, it's also correct, is it not, that you can 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply cannot remember who from MTR conducted the rebar fixing
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. Q. In addition, it's also correct, is it not, that you can produce any record in writing, any record in writing 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply cannot remember who from MTR conducted the rebar fixing inspections for the three stitch joints and the shunt
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. Q. In addition, it's also correct, is it not, that you can produce any record in writing, any record in writing that Chris Chan 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply cannot remember who from MTR conducted the rebar fixing inspections for the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's right, isn't it? You simply cannot
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. Q. In addition, it's also correct, is it not, that you can produce any record in writing, any record in writing that Chris Chan MR SHIEH: You mean he can't? 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply cannot remember who from MTR conducted the rebar fixing inspections for the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's right, isn't it? You simply cannot remember?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. Q. In addition, it's also correct, is it not, that you can produce any record in writing, any record in writing that Chris Chan MR SHIEH: You mean he can't? MR BOULDING: "He cannot". Sorry. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply cannot remember who from MTR conducted the rebar fixing inspections for the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's right, isn't it? You simply cannot remember? A. No, I remember.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. Q. In addition, it's also correct, is it not, that you can produce any record in writing, any record in writing that Chris Chan MR SHIEH: You mean he can't? MR BOULDING: "He cannot". Sorry. You cannot produce any record in writing that Chris 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply cannot remember who from MTR conducted the rebar fixing inspections for the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's right, isn't it? You simply cannot remember? A. No, I remember. Q. Let's see what Mr Chan says. If we go to BB117, and
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. Q. In addition, it's also correct, is it not, that you can produce any record in writing, any record in writing that Chris Chan MR SHIEH: You mean he can't? MR BOULDING: "He cannot". Sorry. You cannot produce any record in writing that Chris Chan in fact conducted the rebar fixing inspections at 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply cannot remember who from MTR conducted the rebar fixing inspections for the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's right, isn't it? You simply cannot remember? A. No, I remember. Q. Let's see what Mr Chan says. If we go to BB117, and then if we could go to paragraph 25.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. My workload never eased up, yes. Q. And, moreover, you forgot to complete the RISC forms for the rebar fixing and the pre-pour inspections at the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. It's also correct, is it not, that you cannot produce to this Commission of Inquiry any record in writing that you requested Chris Chan to conduct rebar fixings at any of the three stitch joints or the shunt neck joint? A. Yes. Q. In addition, it's also correct, is it not, that you can produce any record in writing, any record in writing that Chris Chan MR SHIEH: You mean he can't? MR BOULDING: "He cannot". Sorry. You cannot produce any record in writing that Chris 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Now, it's right, is it not, that all of these inspections took place, what, about two years ago? A. Yes. Q. And we know, do we not, that over two years ago/two years ago you conducted many other inspections of the works, the rebar and the pre-pour, with MTR's engineers and inspectors; that's right, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. Again, without any documentary records; that's correct, isn't it? A. Yes. Q. And what I suggest to you, Mr Lai, is that you simply cannot remember who from MTR conducted the rebar fixing inspections for the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint; that's right, isn't it? You simply cannot remember? A. No, I remember. Q. Let's see what Mr Chan says. If we go to BB117, and

	Page 113		Page 115
1	A. Yes.	1	inspections for the three stitch joints and the shunt
2	Q. And this is Chris Chan you know Chris Chan, don't	2	neck joint, and neither did he conduct those
3	you?	3	inspections. That's what I suggest to you.
4	A. Yes, work-wise.	4	A. I disagree.
5	Q. And here we can see that Mr Chan and he's coming to	5	MR BOULDING: Thank you very much, Mr Lai.
6	give evidence in due course:	6	MR SHIEH: I wonder whether or not my learned friend is
7	"I was never asked to inspect the 3 stitch joints or	7	going to put any positive case to this witness as to
8	the 1111/1112 shunt neck joint. This was because	8	whether or not it is MTRC's case that someone other than
9	I expected that Leighton would have contacted MTRCL's	9	Chris Chan, whether asked by this witness or not,
10	IOWs [inspector of works] or ConE II [construction	10	actually accompanied him to inspect the rebars, or
11	engineer II] to conduct the necessary inspection.	11	whether it is the MTRC's case that no one from MTRC
12	I must emphasise that I was never informed of any rebar	12	actually accompanied him to do any rebar inspection, or
12	coupling problems relating to the 3 stitch joints and/or	12	whether my learned friend wants to keep that powder dry.
13	the 1111/1112 shunt neck joint."	14	MR BOULDING: The answer
14	Now, you've had the opportunity to reflect upon the	15	MR SHIEH: Because we ploughed through the MTRC witness
16	contents of that paragraph, have you not, Mr Lai?	16	statements and we couldn't find an iota of evidence on
17	A. Yes.	10	what the MTRC's position is.
18	Q. And what he says in the first sentence, "I was never	18	MR BOULDING: The MTRC's position will become clear when the
10	asked to inspect the 3 stitch joints or the 1111/1112	10	evidence is given, when it's tested under oath.
20	shunt neck joint", that's correct, is it not? You never	20	I emphasise that the purpose of my cross-examination is
20	asked him to inspect, did you?	20	to test the credibility of this witness's statement that
21	A. No, that's not correct.	21	it was Mr Chris Chan who he contacted to come and
22	Q. We heard evidence, did we not, earlier today from you as	23	inspect and who indeed inspected. I trust I have made
			that clear and I trust I've done it, and Mr Chan is
24	to whether or not you instructed Mr Ng of Wing & Kwong to perform the rebar works	24	going to come along on oath to tell you that he wasn't
25		23	
1	Page 114	1	Page 116
1	MR SHIEH: I wonder whether my learned friend has finished	1	contacted and he didn't inspect in due course. And it's
2	examining the question of Mr Chris Chan, the topic of $M_{\rm c}$ Cl $= 2$	2	no part and parcel of this element of my cross-examination to do what my learned friend suggests
3	Mr Chris Chan?	3	
4	MR BOULDING: No, I'm still with him.	4	that I might want to do, and I do not propose to do so.
5	MR SHIEH: Very well.	5	So thank you very much.
6	MR BOULDING: We heard evidence today as to whether or no	: 6 7	Thank you, Mr Lai.
7	you had instructed your friend, Mr Ng of Wing & Kwong,		Questioning by THE TRIBUNAL
8	to perform the rebar works at the stitch joint and the	8 9	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can I just ask a couple of questions, if
9	shunt neck joint in a defective fashion. Do you		I may, Mr Lai. When you joined Leighton, were you
10	remember discussing that with Mr Tsoi this morning,	10	instructed as to the importance in the quality assurance process of the RISC forms?
11 12	during the course of his cross-examination of you? A. Yes.	11 12	A. Yes.
12	Q. And MTR's position on this, I have to emphasise, is	12	A. Tes. CHAIRMAN: And so you knew it was an integral part of your
13 14	Q. And MTR's position on this, I have to emphasise, is entirely neutral, because we do not know what went on	15	job?
14 15	between you and Mr Ng, if indeed anything went on at	14	J007 A. Yes.
15 16	all. But can I put this proposition to you. If it be	15	A. Tes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. And that would explain, perhaps, why it
	found if it be found that you did instruct Mr Ng	16	was, when everything started, you were filling out the
17 18	to carry out defective work, can I suggest that that	17	RISC forms?
18 19	would provide an explanation as to why you didn't	18 19	A. Sorry?
20	contact Mr Chan to inspect: because you didn't want him	20	CHAIRMAN: That would explain why, when we first look at
20	to see the defective work? That would be	20	what happened, you were, at the beginning, completing
21 22		21	RISC forms?
22	an explanation, wouldn't it? A. I disagree.	22	A. Yes.
23 24	Q. I've simply got to suggest to you, Mr Lai, that you	23 24	A. Tes. CHAIRMAN: Do you recall anybody coming to you when you did
24 25	never asked Mr Chris Chan to inspect the rebar fixing	24 25	not complete RISC forms, to say how important it was
	never asked with Chinis Chain to hispect the fedar fixing	25	not complete Kise forms, to say now important it was

that you do so and to urge you to catch up with any

1

	that you do so and to urge you to catch up with any	1	a lot of time doing extra work in the end result, that
2	backlog or to make sure that future RISC forms were	2	nothing happened. Are you able to explain that?
3	completed?	3	A. I was just focusing to get the site work done. The
4	A. There may have been, yes.	4	paperwork was behind and I made a mistake of not doing
5	CHAIRMAN: Let me put it this way. We are all made up	5	the paperwork and I'm aware of it, yes.
6	differently. We've got different characters and	6	CHAIRMAN: All right. Thank you.
7	different personalities. But and I put this purely	7	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I have a follow-up question or
8	to illustrate the question for myself, and I imagine	8	that? You may not be aware, Mr Lai, but I've been
9	for a lot of people in this room, if they knew that	9	a site engineer working for a contractor. I spent the
10	an important part of their professional job was to do	10	first part of my career in a job very similar to yours.
11	something and they didn't do it, there would often be	11	A. Yes.
12	a pang in the stomach. Do you know what I mean? A sort	12	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Why was your workload so heavy?
13	of, "Oh dear, I'd better get this done, otherwise I'm	13	What was it that was taking your time that prevented you
14	going to be in considerable trouble", and consequently,	14	from doing these important quality forms?
15	when people do come to your office and say, "Look, hang	15	A. I was the only responsible engineer for a large part of
16	on, what's going on? You've got to do it", it's the	16	the site, primarily for NAT, which covers the top and
17	sort of thing that one would remember.	17	bottom levels of the tunnel. My workload includes the
18	But you don't have any memory of that?	18	external areas for the NAT, which would have been the
19	A. I'm sorry?	19	drainage works and other parts of the NAT.
20	CHAIRMAN: You don't have any memory of, number one, feeling		So, basically, I was on my own for patrolling around
21	deeply concerned that you were falling behind?	21	the site. I would have been responsible for the
22	A. I was. I was concerned, yes.	22	paperworks as well. But when I get on site, it would
23	CHAIRMAN: Okay. And then, number two, of people coming to		take me the full day just to do my patrolling, twice
23	you and saying, "Look, what's going on? We must get	24	daily, because of the size of the area that I was
25	work done."	25	responsible. By the time I'm done with my patrolling,
	Page 118		Paga 120
	1460 110		Page 120
1	A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes,	1	site inspections, it would have been near the end of
1 2		1 2	
	A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes,		site inspections, it would have been near the end of
2	A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes,I was behind on the paperwork, yes.	2	site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own.
2 3	A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes,I was behind on the paperwork, yes.CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even	2 3	site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming?
2 3 4	A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes.CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork?	2 3 4 5	site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work
2 3 4 5	A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes.CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork?A. Yes, sir.	2 3 4 5	site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in.
2 3 4 5 6	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor 	2 3 4 5 6	site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming?A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of no putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of non putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of no putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of no putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around answering the questions? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that. A. I may have explained it, but just the nature that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around answering the questions? A. Toughly, by other means. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that. A. I may have explained it, but just the nature that we were not getting another new people coming in, I just had to get on with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around answering the questions? A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, I missed that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that. A. I may have explained it, but just the nature that we were not getting another new people coming in, I just had to get on with it. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So would it be correct to say that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of no putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around answering the questions? A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, I missed that. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: "Toughly". 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that. A. I may have explained it, but just the nature that we were not getting another new people coming in, I just had to get on with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around answering the questions? A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, I missed that. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: "Toughly". A. Toughly, by other means. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that. A. I may have explained it, but just the nature that we were not getting another new people coming in, I just had to get on with it. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So would it be correct to say that something had to give, looking back on it, and what gave
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around answering the questions? A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, I missed that. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: "Toughly". A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: Yes, they would try to get photographic records 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that. A. I may have explained it, but just the nature that we were not getting another new people coming in, I just had to get on with it. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So would it be correct to say that something had to give, looking back on it, and what gave was not the site work; what gave was the paperwork?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of nor putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around answering the questions? A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, I missed that. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: "Toughly". A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: Yes, they would try to get photographic records and other forms of record and everything else. It's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that. A. I may have explained it, but just the nature that we were not getting another new people coming in, I just had to get on with it. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So would it be correct to say that something had to give, looking back on it, and what gave was not the site work; what gave was the paperwork? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. At that moment, I just focused on the site work, so yes, I was behind on the paperwork, yes. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So you focused on the site work, even though you were behind on the paperwork? A. Yes, sir. CHAIRMAN: Okay. Were you aware what the consequence of no putting in the paperwork, the RISC forms, would be? A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: And what would be the likely consequence? A. We will get questions of the work, the work will be questioned, the completed work will be questioned. CHAIRMAN: And how would your colleagues have to go around answering the questions? A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry, I missed that. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: "Toughly". A. Toughly, by other means. CHAIRMAN: Yes, they would try to get photographic records and other forms of record and everything else. It's just that I'm a little puzzled and I accept that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 site inspections, it would have been near the end of work already and everything was done on my own. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Did you find it overwhelming? A. Yes, but it was the situation that I just had to work in. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Did you think of going to your superiors and simply saying, "Look, I just can't manage this on my own"? I appreciate that's difficult. Nobody wants to go to their boss and say, "I can't manage this on my own." Nobody does. It's almost a personal criticism. But sometimes you are forced into that. A. I may have explained it, but just the nature that we were not getting another new people coming in, I just had to get on with it. CHAIRMAN: Okay. So would it be correct to say that something had to give, looking back on it, and what gave was not the site work; what gave was the paperwork? A. Yes.

Page 117

1

a lot of time doing extra work in the end result, that

- 22 as to how it is, when you knew it was important, when
- 23 you knew it was integral to the system, and when you 24
- knew that the consequences of not doing it meant that 25 a lot of your colleagues would have to go and spend

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

23

24

25

MR KHAW: Mr Lai --

Q. -- I represent the government, but in view of the

A. Good afternoon.

Page 119

	D 121		D 102
	Page 121		Page 123
1	questions which were just asked by Mr Chairman and	1	A. Yes.
2	Mr Commissioner, I probably do not need my original	2	Q. Then you must have had some discussion with your
3	32.5 minutes with you.	3	superior as to how we could remedy the situation, how we
4	If I may start with the RISC forms first, as you	4	could solve this problem? You must have talked about
5	were just discussing that point.	5	this; right?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Perhaps, yes.
7	Q. You just told you that you actually realise the	7	Q. Yes?
8	importance of the RISC forms.	8	A. Yes.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. So now if I can just try to cast your memory back to
10	Q. To sum up, basically, it is "the" documents which serve	10	what you actually discussed with Joe Tam. I mean, did
11	the purpose of ensuring that the construction works,	11	Joe Tam give you any suggestion regarding what to do?
12	inspected at each hold point, were in order and also	12	Because you admit it's a serious problem.
13	ready to go into the next stage?	13	A. I don't remember the conclusion of that conversation.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Right. Nothing, nothing whatsoever?
15	Q. Earlier on, perhaps this morning, I think, when	15	A. I don't remember. I don't.
16	Mr Pennicott asked you some questions about the RISC	16	Q. Right. Now, let me ask you another matter regarding the
10	form, you told us that you originally wanted to complete	17	hold-point inspection. You were supposed to complete
17	the RISC forms on the same day, ie on the same day of	18	the RISC forms; okay? You failed to do so. I mean you
		18 19	
19 20	your inspection. Do you remember that?		failed to do a number of them. When you were conducting
20	A. Yes.	20	the hold-point inspection now, apart from this RISC
21	Q. So the reason why you originally wanted to complete	21	form that you were supposed to complete, did you make
22	those RISC forms on the same day, after the inspection,	22	any other records?
23	was that you realised that it was important to compile,	23	A. No.
24	to do those forms, either at or shortly after the	24	Q. Did you take pictures?
25	inspection, or at least within a reasonable time after	25	A. I'm not sure.
	Page 122		Page 124
1	the inspection; right?	1	Q. Try to remember. Did you or did you not?
2	A. Yes.	2	A. I may have. I may have.
3	Q. You were aware of the importance of having such	3	Q. Did you check whether you actually took pictures of any
4	contemporaneous documents, so to speak?	4	of the RISC form inspections? Did you check?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. I lost my phone and the photos were in that period, and
6	Q. This morning, again when you were answering	6	I could not retrieve any pictures.
7	Mr Pennicott's questions, you told us that you in fact	7	Q. How unfortunate. Right.
8	did tell Joe Tam about your mistake, about the fact that	8	So when you talked to Joe Tam, did you talk about
9	you failed to complete the RISC forms; remember that?	9	the fact that you actually took some pictures during the
10	A. Yes.	10	hold-point inspections, "Ah, I might have some
11	Q. Unfortunately, that was the first time you told us about	11	pictures" did you tell him about these things?
12	this communication between you and Joe Tam regarding	12	A. I don't remember our conversation, no.
12	your failure to complete the RISC forms; right?	12	Q. Thank you. If I may then ask you another topic to
13	A. Yes.	13 14	take a look at your statement, paragraph 27.
14	Q. Now, when Mr Pennicott then asked you, "So what did you		
			CHAIRMAN: Sorry, this is can I just ask this, and you
16	talk about when you informed Mr Joe Tam that you failed	16 17	may smile when you answer that's permissible
17	to complete the RISC forms?", according to your	17	because I'm not of the technological age, but at this
18	evidence, you said, "Oh, it's just some short chats"	18	time, could you sync your photos through to the cloud?
19	between the two of you; you could not remember the	19 20	Because a lot of people do that. Even I do that.
20	details, right?	20	MR KHAW: Mr Chairman, you are very advanced!
21	A. Yes.	21	CHAIRMAN: So it's evidence of the Neolithic age catching
22	Q. First of all, you told us that you made a mistake. At	22	up.
23	the time when you told Joe Tam about your failure to	23	Did you do that sort of thing?
24	complete the RISC forms, did you feel bad about it? Did	24	A. I did not.
25	you?	25	CHAIRMAN: You did not?

	Page 125		Page 127
1	A. No.	1	Q. But you were quite new at that time in Leighton; right?
2	CHAIRMAN: Okay. But if you weren't doing the RISC forms,	2	A. Yes.
3	you would have known that some other form of evidence	3	Q. So if you talk about experience, you can't really say
4	may come in handy?	4	you had a long experience in dealing with these things?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Yes.
6	MR KHAW: I was about to just take you to paragraph 27 of	6	Q. Did you actually think of asking anyone in Leighton,
7	your witness statement. There, you were talking about	7	"Hey, when I do the routine check, can you tell me what
8	some routine checks; do you see that?	8	to do"?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. I didn't think of it at the time.
10	Q. You say:	10	Q. So, basically, you would agree that you would have to
11	"Whenever I was on site, I saw MTRCL's inspector of	11	exercise your own judgment? You would have to use your
12	works (Tony and Chung) carrying out routine checks at	12	own common sense?
13	the NAT, the 3 stitch joints and the shunt neck joint.	13	A. From my experience.
14	Sometimes I also saw MTRCL's construction engineers	14	Q. Thank you. There's a minor point that I wish to just
15	(Chris and Kappa) conducting routine site	15	explore with you. That is paragraph 16. I remember
16	inspections Members of Leighton staff and I would	16	Mr Pennicott was on this paragraph this morning as well.
17	communicate with them They would also let us know if	17	I just want to clarify this with you. You say:
18	they identified any defects that [would need] to be	18	"I am aware that the ends of rebar that were subject
19	rectified."	19	to testing were painted but, at that time, I did not
20	Very well. Then:	20	realise that this was to indicate their testing status.
21	"MTR's construction engineers/inspectors of works	21	Rebar was deployed on site before the test results were
22	were always aware of what was happening"	22	received in order to achieve the progress required by
23	Now, you talk a lot about the work carried out by	23	MTR"
24	the MTR engineers, their staff. You didn't say much	24	Now, when you say, "Rebar was deployed on site
25	about what you were supposed to do during the routine	25	before the test results were received", do you mean that
	Page 126		Page 128
1	checks.	1	the rebars were there, ready/available for the workers'
2	I would like to just try to gather from you more	2	use before they were even before they had completed
3	information about what you were supposed to do during	3	the testing?
4	those routine checks; okay?	4	A. Yes.
5	A. Okay.	5	Q. It's a very normal phenomenon on site?
6	Q. We all know you were responsible for checking the	6	A. Yes.
7	condition or quality of the rebar fixing work and also	7	Q. Did you find anything was wrong with that? I mean,
8	cementing works?	8	having made the rebars available for use before they
9	A. Yes.	9	were tested?
10	Q. For the purpose of carrying out let's talk about the	10	A. It was already ongoing and I was not made aware of any
11	routine checks first. Let's not talk about the	11	problems.
12	hold-point inspections first. For the routine checks,	12	Q. Right. So you didn't raise any particular questions
13	did anyone in Leighton tell you what to check, what to	13	when you were working on the site?
14	look for?	14	A. No.
15	A. No.	15	Q. Thank you. Finally, if I may just take you to one point
16	Q. Did you receive any document, instruction, et cetera,	16	that Mr Tsoi for Wing & Kwong had discussed with you.
17	a piece of paper, telling you, "Hey, Henry, when you go	17	Now, you remember Mr Tsoi asked you questions about
18	to do the routine check, these are the things you need	18	the correspondence between Leighton and Wing & Kwong,
19	to look at, these are the things you need to pay	19	and you were referred to some of the letters in which
20	attention to"?	20	Wing & Kwong actually pointed out that you gave them
21	A. No.	21	instructions to proceed, et cetera, et cetera. We've
22	Q. So on what basis then did you know what to do during the	22	seen all that; remember?
23	routine checks?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. From the basis from my experience gathered from previous		Q. You were asked whether Mr Kitching actually discussed
25	sites.	25	those letters with you; do you remember that?

	Page 129		Page 131
1	A. Sorry, can you say again?	1	you had to do; did you always talk to him?
2	Q. Yes. Mr Tsoi actually asked you about whether	2	A. No.
3	Mr Kitching told you or talked to you about those	3	Q. So it was quite rare for you to speak to him?
4	letters between Wing & Kwong and Leighton; remember	4	A. Yes.
5	that? You said you cannot remember.	5	Q. Do you agree with me that in that case, if there was
6	A. Yes. Yes.	6	an occasion that he actually spoke to you about
7	Q. Now, if we can have a look at Mr Kitching's statement	7	something wrong regarding the work that you were
8	for the time being. CC6487.		
	If I can first ask you to take a look at the third	8 9	supposed to do, you would remember that conversation?
9	-		A. Sorry, can you repeat that again? Sorry.
10	page, internal page 3, paragraph 13. You will remember,	10	Q. You just told us that it was quite rare for you to talk
11	I hope, that you have seen this paragraph. I believe	11	to Mr Kitching, because he is quite high up.
12	Mr Tsoi took you to this paragraph. It was about the	12	A. Yes.
13	letter between Leighton and Wing & Kwong and	13	Q. If there was an occasion where the two of you had to
14	a backcharge notice, et cetera.	14	discuss something, about something wrong which was
15	A. Okay.	15	detected, and that something wrong related to your work,
16	Q. And then paragraph 14, again, it's about the	16	something that you might have failed to detect, if there
17	correspondence between Wing & Kwong and Leighton; do you		was such a conversation you should have remembered?
18	see that?	18	A. Perhaps, yes.
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. Did you remember that there was this conversation
20	Q. Then Mr Tsoi also asked you about paragraph 15; do you	20	between you and Mr Kitching, as described in
21	see that?	21	Mr Kitching's witness statement?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Yes.
23	Q. But if you can move up to little bit, if we can go to	23	Q. Did you consider this a serious matter, when Mr Kitching
24	paragraph 9. Perhaps we can start from paragraph 6	24	talked to you about this?
25	first. This is before the correspondence between Wing	25	A. Yes.
	Page 130		Page 132
1	& Kwong and Leighton; okay? Now:	1	Q. I suppose Mr Kitching was also very serious about it?
2	"In early February the existing crack became	2	A. Yes.
3	noticeably wider along the perimeter of the NSL stitch	3	Q. I don't think he smiled kindly on this at the time when
4	joint William Holden [then] reported the crack to me	4	he talked to you.
5	and recommended that we break open a few areas of the	5	A. Probably not.
6	concrete along the NSL stitch joint in order to	6	Q. No. Were you criticised for not doing your job
7	identify the cause of the cracking and water leakage.	7	properly?
8	I agreed and left William to obtain approval from MTRCL	8	A. I don't remember.
9	and proceed with the investigation."	9	Q. Was Mr Kitching quite unhappy when he talked to you
10	So that is what Mr Kitching said.	10	about the defects found?
11	Then if we can go to paragraph 9, he said:	11	A. I don't remember.
12	"When I learned of the defects at the NAT stitch	12	Q. If you can't remember, you can't remember. I'm just
13	joints and the joint at the shunt neck joint,	13	trying to apply my mind to the thinking behind this
14	I personally sought out and spoke to Leighton's engineer	14	whole incident, because when you just told us that you
15	who supervised for these works, Mr Henry Lai. During	15	remember there was this conversation, you remember that
16	that conversation, I asked Henry why the rebar was not	16	both of you were very serious about it, the simple
17	properly connected to the couplers at the NAT stitch	17	question I asked was whether you were criticised for not
18	joints and the shunt neck joint and pressed him to	18	doing your job properly. You could not remember
19	explain what happened. I cannot recall the exact words	19	anything to that effect.
20	of the conversation but the gist of Henry's response was	20	A. No.
21	that he had no idea why the defects had occurred and did	21	Q. Do you remember whether you received any warning from
22	not remember anything of note"	22	Leighton or any reprimand from anybody?
23	Okay? Now, let's pause here. Before February,	23	A. I had to undergo an internal survey.
24	early February, 2018, last year, did you always have	24	Q. Which is?
		·	
25	a chance to speak to Mr Kitching, in view of the work	25	A. I forgot the name of it.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
	Page 133		Page 135
1	Q. "Internal survey" is like an appraisal of your work?	1	CHAIRMAN: Were you aware that if, for example, you had to
2	A. Yes.	2	get new reinforcing bars in these stitch joints, because
3	Q. And that internal survey related particularly to this	3	of the Lenton couplers, that would perhaps cause
4	problem; it was caused by this problem?	4	a two/three-day delay, maybe longer?
5	A. Yes.	5	A. Perhaps, yes.
6	Q. So it was not a normal appraisal, it was a particular	6	CHAIRMAN: Okay.
7	one, dealing with this particular problem?	7	A. But that would depend on the procurement of the Lenton
8	A. Yes.	8	couplers, which I at that time, I had no knowledge of
9	Q. Apart from that internal survey, did Leighton take any	9	the whole process of it.
10	steps or did anyone from Leighton discuss with you,	10	CHAIRMAN: And of course you've already said that you didn'
11	"Hey, Henry, these are what happened. We have to avoid	11	even know they were Lenton couplers at the time.
12	these things in the future, so these are the things that	12	A. Correct.
13	you need to bear in mind"? Did anyone talk to you about	13	CHAIRMAN: Nobody told you that. But you felt the pressure
14	these things?	14	of the need to get the work moving?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Orally or in writing?	16	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
17	A. Orally.	17	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, before Mr Shieh re-examines, if he has
18	Q. Who talked to you about these things?	18	any re-examination, can I just raise one point?
19	A. Jon and Colin.	19	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
20	Q. Jon and Colin?	20	MR PENNICOTT: And I'm rightly reminded about it by those
21	A. Yes.	21	instructing me, for which I'm grateful.
22	Q. Both of them?	22	You may recall that during the course of Mr Tsoi's
23	A. Yes.	23	re-examination of Mr Ng, at some point yesterday
24	Q. Jon, you mean Jon Kitching?	24	afternoon, there was essentially a three-way
25	A. Jon Kitching, yes.	25	conversation between you, sir, Mr Tsoi and myself
	Page 134		Page 136
1	Q. You just mentioned the survey which was conducted.	1	regarding the distinction between somebody who carries
2	A. Yes.	2	out a routine inspection and somebody who carries out
3	Q. Do you know whether that survey has been disclosed to	3	the hold-point inspection.
4	this Commission?	4	Sir, I think you were expressing the view, or
5	A. I'm unsure. I'm not sure.	5	certainly raising the issue, as to whether there ought
6	Q. You're not sure. And I suppose that survey was	6	to be somebody independent carrying out the hold-point
7	conducted shortly after February 2018?	7	inspection
8	A. I believe so, yes.	8	CHAIRMAN: That's right, yes.
9	Q. And you got promoted in about April 2018; right?	9	MR PENNICOTT: from the routine inspection. You will
10	A. Yes.	10	probably recall that.
11	MR KHAW: I have no further questions.	11	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN: There's just one little area I would like to test	12	MR PENNICOTT: The sort of punchline of that discussion was
13	with you, if I may.	13	this.
14	We've heard from other statements that the work at	14	CHAIRMAN: You said you would
15	the stitch joints was raised often. To your knowledge,	15	MR PENNICOTT: First of all, you said:
16	were there any concerns about the time it was taking to	16	"Because there's a big difference between having an
17	get the work completed?	17	independent inspector from Leightons coming along and
18	A. Yes.	18	looking at something afresh, with no working connection
19	CHAIRMAN: Did you feel under any particular pressures in	19	with a person, and somebody who has been working day by
20	that regard, as a young engineer?	20	day and giving instructions as to that very work"
21	A. Yes.	21	I then said:
22	CHAIRMAN: Did you discuss that with your superiors at all,	22	"Sir, you can guarantee that I will be exploring
	not the fact you felt under pressure, but how you could	23	this with Mr Henry Lai when he gives evidence."
23	not the fuel you felt ander pressure; but not you could		
23 24	to try and avoid delay?	24	Sir, the position is that both in his statement and
		24 25	Sir, the position is that both in his statement and I think a number of times in his evidence he has

	Page 137		Page 139
1	accepted quite openly that he was responsible for both	1	qualified member of an engineering institution?
2	the routine inspection and the hold-point inspection.	2	A. No.
3	That is the factual position from this witness.	3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: You are not?
4	I didn't feel it appropriate, therefore, to carry on and	4	A. No.
5	explore why that was. It may be that there are other,	5	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you.
6	more senior, people amongst Leightons that we may want	6	CHAIRMAN: Nothing arising? Good.
7	to try to address that issue with.	7	Mr Lai, thank you very much indeed. Your evidence
8	But I thought I would raise it with you now just in	8	is now completed and you are free to go.
9	case there was anything you wish to ask Mr Lai about on	9	WITNESS: Thank you.
10	that particular point.	10	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much. No, we have accepted that	11	(The witness was released)
12	that is the gist of what Mr Lai says.	12	We have made reasonably good progress.
13	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, and if we want to take it up with more	13	MR PENNICOTT: Yes. Sir, as discussed just prior to lunch,
14	senior people at Leighton as to why that was, we can do	14	we've stood down Mr Cheung, primarily, I have to say, on
15	so in due course. That's the view I've taken. I just	15	the basis that Leighton had given us an indication that
16	wanted to make sure so that we weren't missing anything.	16	another witness statement is coming, I'm not sure from
17	CHAIRMAN: Thank you very much.	17	whom, but it deals with certain of Mr Cheung's evidence.
18	MR TSOI: Chairman, just before Mr Shieh re-examines, can	18	That being the case, certainly I and certainly Mr Tsoi
19	I just put on the record I might be wrong, but I had	19	and perhaps others need to look at that witness
20	not been made aware from Leighton that there was this	20	statement, I think, before we start examining Mr Cheung.
21	internal survey, which was a warning to Mr Lai.	21	So, sir, on that basis, that really concludes the
22	Certainly Mr Lai himself has not disclosed it in his	22	business for today and for this week.
23	statement. Of course it's a matter for my learned	23	However, can I, just in case anybody has missed
24	friend whether he discloses those materials.	24	it next week, sir, we will obviously, once we've
25	MR PENNICOTT: It's not only a matter for Mr Shieh, it's	25	dealt with Mr Cheung, then return to the Leighton
	Page 138	20	Page 140
1	a matter for me and the Commission as to whether we wish	1	witnesses. They are listed in the provisional hearing
2	to see it. Of course I have heard the cross-examination	2	timetable for next week, but can I just draw attention
3	by Mr Khaw of this witness. I'm not going to make	3	to a couple of things on that timetable, just in case,
4	a decision on the hoof. I will discuss that with those	4	as I say, people have missed it. It's right towards the
5	instructing me when we adjourn this afternoon, and we'll	5	end of the timetable. Thank you.
6	take a view as to whether we wish to ask Leighton to	6	That is, at the request of Leighton and MTR
7	disclose it or not.	7	respectively, we've given a time slot to Mr Jeff Lii,
8	MR TSOI: I'm grateful.	8	an engineer from Leighton, and he will be taken, come
9	CHAIRMAN: Mr Shieh, I think at last we get back to you.	9	what may, on Tuesday, 4 June, in the morning. That will
10	MR SHIEH: I have vacillated between a minute and 20 seconds	10	either be at 10 o'clock or when we finish whatever
11	or two minutes and five seconds, but I have now decided	11	witness is left over, as it were, from Monday evening.
12	that I have no re-examination.	12	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I just have a couple of questions,	13	MR PENNICOTT: And also, at the request of the MTR,
14			
14	Mr Lai.	14	Mr Sebastian Kong will be similarly called but on
14 15	Mr Lai. Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with	14 15	Mr Sebastian Kong will be similarly called but on Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances.
15	Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with	15	Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances.
15 16	Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with the Particular Specification for the contract?	15 16	Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances. Sir, this morning, we have received a request from
15 16 17	Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with the Particular Specification for the contract? A. I will look it up where it's appropriate, yes.	15 16 17	Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances. Sir, this morning, we have received a request from Leighton that Mr Karl Speed, if possible, be given
15 16 17 18	Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with the Particular Specification for the contract?A. I will look it up where it's appropriate, yes.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Were you aware there was	15 16 17 18	Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances. Sir, this morning, we have received a request from Leighton that Mr Karl Speed, if possible, be given a definite date, either on the morning of the 5th or the
15 16 17 18 19	Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with the Particular Specification for the contract?A. I will look it up where it's appropriate, yes.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Were you aware there was a Particular Specification for interfacing requirements	15 16 17 18 19	Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances. Sir, this morning, we have received a request from Leighton that Mr Karl Speed, if possible, be given a definite date, either on the morning of the 5th or the morning of 6 June. I will look into that and see
15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with the Particular Specification for the contract?A. I will look it up where it's appropriate, yes.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Were you aware there was a Particular Specification for interfacing requirements between contracts?	15 16 17 18 19 20	Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances. Sir, this morning, we have received a request from Leighton that Mr Karl Speed, if possible, be given a definite date, either on the morning of the 5th or the morning of 6 June. I will look into that and see whether that can be accommodated. I'm sure it can be
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with the Particular Specification for the contract?A. I will look it up where it's appropriate, yes.COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Were you aware there was a Particular Specification for interfacing requirements between contracts?A. I didn't know at the time.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances. Sir, this morning, we have received a request from Leighton that Mr Karl Speed, if possible, be given a definite date, either on the morning of the 5th or the morning of 6 June. I will look into that and see whether that can be accommodated. I'm sure it can be but it's a question of which date, whether it's the 5th
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Mr Lai, as the site engineer, were you familiar with the Particular Specification for the contract? A. I will look it up where it's appropriate, yes. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Were you aware there was a Particular Specification for interfacing requirements between contracts? A. I didn't know at the time. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: You didn't know? 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thursday morning, on 6 June, in similar circumstances. Sir, this morning, we have received a request from Leighton that Mr Karl Speed, if possible, be given a definite date, either on the morning of the 5th or the morning of 6 June. I will look into that and see whether that can be accommodated. I'm sure it can be but it's a question of which date, whether it's the 5th or the 6th.