Commission of Inquiry into the Construction Works at and near the Hung Hom Station Extension under the Shatin to Central Link Project

	Page 1		Page 3
1	Wednesday, 12 June 2019	1	Examination by MR PENNICOTT
2	(10.01 am)	2	MR PENNICOTT: Ms Kang, good morning.
3	MR BOULDING: Good morning, sir. Good morning, Professor.	3	A. Good morning.
4	MTR's next witness is Ms Kappa Kang Pu.	4	Q. My name is Ian Pennicott, as Mr Boulding has just
5	Good morning, Ms Kappa Kang.	5	indicated, and I act for the Commission; I'm one of the
6	WITNESS: (In English) Good morning.	6	lawyers for the Commission.
7	MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)	7	First of all, thank you very much indeed for coming
8	(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter	8	along to give evidence to the Commission this morning,
9	except where otherwise specified)	9	and secondly, Ms Kang, if at any time you wish to have
10	Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING	10	a short break, please tell me and we will have a short
11	MR BOULDING: It's correct, is it not, that you have	11	break.
12	provided a witness statement in this Inquiry for the	12	A. (In English) Thank you.
13	learned Commissioners' assistance?	13	Q. First of all, can I just ask you a few introductory
14	A. (In English) Yes.	14	questions, which are these. My understanding is that
15	Q. If we could go to BB14/9463, do we there see the first	15	between August 2010 and August 2013, you were a graduate
16	page of the witness statement that you've prepared?	16	engineer with the MTR. Is that right?
17	A. I see that, yes.	17	A. (In English) Yes.
18	Q. If you would be kind enough to go on to page BB14/9467.	18	Q. And during that period, as I understand it, you worked
19	A. I see that.	19	on the Express Rail Link and the South Island Line
20	Q. Do we see your signature below the date of 28 May 2019?	20	project?
21	A. Yes, correct.	21	A. (In English) Yes.
22	Q. Are the contents of that witness statement true to the	22	Q. In August 2013 to May 2014, you were, I believe,
23	best of your knowledge and belief?	23	a ConE III, a construction engineer III; is that right?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. (In English) Yes.
25	Q. Now I'd like to fix your position, if I may, in the	25	Q. And can you tell us what responsibilities you had in
	Page 2		Page 4
1	overall organisation of MTR on SCL. For that purpose,	1	that period?
2	could I take you, please, to bundle B2 at page 582.	2	A. (In English) I was assigned to SCL1112 project in that
3	You can see there, can you not, Ms Kappa Kang, the	3	period, and I was assigned to be responsible for the
4	MTR SCL project management organisation chart as of	4	concourse modification works during that period.
5	16 January 2017; correct?	5	Q. Thank you very much. Then, as I understand it, from
6	A. Correct.	6	around about June 2014 right up until June 2018, when
7	Q. Then if we go down the far left-hand column come in	7	I understand you left the MTR, you were a construction
8	one set of photographs, if you will do we there see	8	engineer II, ConE II
9	your photograph next to Chris Chan's photograph?	9	A. (In English) Yes.
10	A. Yes, that's correct.	10	Q initially responsible for the NAT area but then, from
11	Q. If we look at the line of command, you tell us in your	11	about mid-2015 onwards, also for the SAT EWL area; is
12	witness statement, do you not, that you reported to	12	that right?
13	Chris Chan and ultimately to Joe Tsang; is that correct?	12	A. (In English) Yes.
14	A. Correct.	13	Q. And, as we've seen from the organisation chart that
15	Q. Thank you very much, Ms Kappa Kang. What's going to		Mr Boulding took you to, you reported to Chris Chan and
16	happen now is that Mr Pennicott or Mr Calvin Cheuk,	16	Joe Tsang?
17	counsel for the Commission, will ask you some questions.	17	A. (In English) Yes, during that period.
18	Then various other lawyers in the room get the	18	Q. During that period. Thank you.
19	opportunity to ask you questions. The learned	19	Can I then, Ms Kang, deal with two a couple of
20	Commissioners can ask you questions at any time they	20	topics that you don't refer to in your witness
20	like. Then it might be the case that I'll have one or	20	statement.
22	two questions for you at the end. Do you understand?	21	A. Mm-hmm.
23	A. Understood.	22	Q. I'm going to deal with them very quickly
23	MR BOULDING: Please remain seated there.	23	A. (In English) Okay, no problem.
25	WITNESS: (In English) Thank you.	25	Q not in any great detail. The first is that you
			x. not in any grow down. The mot is that you

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	Page 5		Page 7
1	attended very regularly between January 2015 and January	1	thank you very much.
2	2017 a series of meetings called interface meetings. Do		A. (In English) I see it.
		23	Q. In this particular minute, 9.4.1, Ms Kang, we can see,
3	you remember that?		· · ·
4	A. (In English) Yes.	4	under the second bullet point, there is a material
5	Q. And I think you acknowledge that you attended most of	5	submission from the GKJV, and it refers to mechanical
6	those meetings, not all of them but most of them?	6	splicing system of rebar resubmission, and that minute
7	A. (In English) I'm not sure but I need to check the	7	repeated itself in many future meeting minutes
8	records.	8	A. Mm-hmm.
9	Q. Okay. We can perhaps do that if we need to. And	9	Q in broadly the same wording; it did change from time
10	Mr Chris Chan, who gave evidence yesterday	10	to time.
11	A. Mm-hmm.	11	So do you remember that topic being discussed?
12	Q when I asked him a few questions about those	12	A. If it's written like this, the item would have been
13	meetings, indicated that he thought that since you were	13	discussed at that time. For 1111, there's such
14	working with him as the ConE II, that it was just a good	14	a submission, and the submission had passed to Leighton,
15	idea in principle	15	and Leighton would check with the supplier regarding
16	A. (In English) Okay.	16	compatibility at a later stage. That's my
17	Q that the two of you attended those meetings? Do you	17	understanding.
18	remember I don't know whether you were here yesterday	18	Q. Yes. And at the time, Ms Kang, of this meeting and the
19	or not.	19	subsequent meetings, was that a matter that you paid
20	A. (In English) Yes, I am here yesterday, I was here.	20	particular attention to?
21	Q. So you perhaps heard him say that.	21	A. (In English) At that time, I didn't pay special
22	A. Mm-hmm.	22	attention to this sentence.
23	Q. Was that your understanding of why you were asked to	23	Q. Okay.
24	attend those meetings, that is that as the ConE II,	24	A. (In English) I wonder whether it is the first time to
25	matters may arise that may be relevant to your work?	25	mention this item in the meeting?
	Page 6		Page 8
1	A. (In English) Yes, know more about the works.	1	Q. It was mentioned in one earlier meeting or perhaps two
2	Q. And one of the topics, the many topics that was touched	2	earlier meetings, but I'm taking you to this one because
3	upon/discussed at those interface meetings were the	3	this is the first one you attended.
4	stitch joints?	4	A. (In English) Okay, yes.
5	A. Mm-hmm.	5	Q. What I would like to do, however, is take you to one
6	Q. In the sense that, as we've seen with a number of	6	other meeting in this series, which is meeting 19, which
7	witnesses, including Mr Chan, the Gammon-Kaden Joint	7	we will find at CC2/847.
8	Venture provided certain details of the splicing	8	A. Okay.
9	assemblies that they were going to use on their side of	9	Q. And this is, you can see, meeting number 19, and it took
10	the stitch joint. Do you recall that?	10	place about just over a year later than the previous
11	A. (In English) Mm-hmm. Yes, should be.	11	meeting we just looked at, and it's 18 January 2016. Do
12	Q. Is that a matter that you paid particular attention to,	12	you see that?
13	Ms Kang, or not?	13	A. I see that.
14	A. (In English) Can I see the minutes?	14	Q. If we could scroll down, please, and go to page 849.
15	Q. Yes, of course you can. Let's look at CC2/772.	15	I'm afraid, Ms Kang, it's a bit messy because of the
16	Ms Kang, I've taken you to this one because it was	16	Track Changes, but if we just read this minute here, it
17	the first one you attended on 9 January 2015.	17	says:
18	A. (In English) Okay.	18	"The following material submissions which would be
19	Q. You can see your name there.	19	used at 1111/1112 interface boundary advised by GKJV in
20	A. Mm-hmm.	20	previous interface meeting"
21	Q. We can provide you with a hard copy or you can use the	21	And then the second bullet point is the only one we
22	screen.	22	are interested in:
23	A. (In English) Yeah or I can use the screen? Okay, no	23	"Mechanical splicing system of rebar T40 coupler
24	problem. Thank you.	24	is BOSA; others are Lenton"
25	Q. And if we could scroll down, please there we are,	25	Do you see that?
_			-

	Page 9		Page 11
1	A. I see that.	1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Okay. Ms Kang, were you aware, at the time of this	2	Q. Okay. Thank you very much. You can put one that away.
3	meeting, during the course of your duties on the project	3	Thank you very much.
4	as ConE II, that Leighton were using BOSA couplers?	4	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask
5	Were you aware of that?	5	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, sir.
6	A. BOSA, in this location? Are you saying BOSA in this	6	CHAIRMAN: who did you think would eventually check
7	location?	7	regarding compatibility?
8	Q. Generally.	8	A. You mean the compatibility of the couplers?
9	A. (In English) Generally.	9	CHAIRMAN: Yes, as per the standard statement that started
10	A. BOSA coupler, yes, I think it is.	10	to appear in the interface minutes.
		11	A. Leighton would check the responsibility of the couplers.
11	Q. And you were aware that not just in the stitch joints	12	CHAIRMAN: And it wasn't reduced down to any particular
12	but generally speaking in the various areas of the		
13	project, Leighton were using BOSA couplers?	13	personalities at any stage or any particular team within
14	A. I didn't pay any special attention	14	Leighton?
15	A. (In English) to which plan they use.	15	A. That I'm not sure. Regarding which team of Leighton
16	Q. Right. There's a mention here in this meeting minute of	16	being responsible, I think those being involved in the
17	Lenton couplers.	17	meeting would check the compatibility themselves.
18	A. Mmm.	18	CHAIRMAN: All right. So, from your perspective as an MTRCL
19	Q. Did that mean anything to you at the time, Ms Kang?	19	person, Leighton had assumed responsibility and they
20	A. At that time, my understanding was they used different	20	would presumably discharge that responsibility at some
21	brand coupler, but I didn't know about the details in	21	stage?
22	relation to different brands of couplers and how they	22	A. That's correct.
23	are different.	23	MR PENNICOTT: Now, Ms Kang, can I move on to ask you a few
24	Q. Right. So you had no knowledge at the time of the	24	questions about routine inspections of the works.
25	differences between a BOSA coupler and a Lenton coupler?	25	Ms Kang, did you yourself carry out routine or informal,
	Page 10		Page 12
1			-
1	A. That's correct, because my recollection is that we	1	as they are sometimes called, inspections of the rebar
1 2	A. That's correct, because my recollection is that we didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures	1 2	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch
	•		works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out
2	didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings.Q. Okay. All right.	2	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch
2 3	didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings.	2 3	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out
2 3 4	didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings.Q. Okay. All right.	2 3 4	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar?
2 3 4 5	didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings.Q. Okay. All right.Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to	2 3 4 5	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar?A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean?
2 3 4 5 6	didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings.Q. Okay. All right.Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is	2 3 4 5 6 7	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar?A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean?A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to
2 3 4 5 6 7	didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings.Q. Okay. All right.Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar?A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean?A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings.Q. Okay. All right.Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar?A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean?A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings.Q. Okay. All right.Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar?A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean?A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar?A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean?A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. This is the RFI at 3333, and you will see from 3341, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine inspection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. This is the RFI at 3333, and you will see from 3341, I think it is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine inspection? A. It depends. Routine inspection, if we are talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. This is the RFI at 3333, and you will see from 3341, I think it is A. (In English) Yes, yes, I see it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine inspection? A. It depends. Routine inspection, if we are talking about safety, Thursday afternoon there is routine inspection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. This is the RFI at 3333, and you will see from 3341, I think it is A. (In English) Yes, yes, I see it. Q the answer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine inspection? A. It depends. Routine inspection, if we are talking about safety, Thursday afternoon there is routine inspection. If there is progress of work that we are concerned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. This is the RFI at 3333, and you will see from 3341, I think it is A. (In English) Yes, yes, I see it. Q the answer. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine inspection? A. It depends. Routine inspection, if we are talking about safety, Thursday afternoon there is routine inspection. If there is progress of work that we are concerned about, we would do more site walks in a week, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. This is the RFI at 3333, and you will see from 3341, I think it is A. (In English) Yes, yes, I see it. Q the answer. A. Yes, correct. I can see that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine inspection? A. It depends. Routine inspection, if we are talking about safety, Thursday afternoon there is routine inspection. If there is progress of work that we are concerned about, we would do more site walks in a week, for instance two or three times at least.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. This is the RFI at 3333, and you will see from 3341, I think it is A. (In English) Yes, yes, I see it. Q the answer. A. (In English) The answer? A. Yes, correct. I can see that. Q. Right. And our understanding so far is that whilst you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine inspection? A. It depends. Routine inspection, if we are talking about safety, Thursday afternoon there is routine inspection. If there is progress of work that we are concerned about, we would do more site walks in a week, for instance two or three times at least. Q. Thank you very much. And what did you see was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 didn't discuss the specs or the installation procedures at the interface meetings. Q. Okay. All right. Okay, Ms Kang, the second matter that I just want to mention very briefly, hopefully without going to it, is that in May 2016, Leighton sent a request for information, an RFI, number 1510, to the MTR, and you had some involvement in dealing with the answer to that RFI. Do you remember that? A. RFI, I would consolidate the reply to be sent out in relation to RFIs, NAT, SAT and areas that I was responsible for. You refer to the RFI I'd like to have a look at that which you are talking about. Q. Of course. It's CC6/3333. This is the RFI at 3333, and you will see from 3341, I think it is A. (In English) Yes, yes, I see it. Q the answer. A. (In English) The answer? A. Yes, correct. I can see that. Q. Right. And our understanding so far is that whilst you sent the answer under cover of this email or in this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 works generally? I'm not talking about the stitch joints yet. Generally speaking, did you carry out routine, informal inspections of the rebar? A. (In English) Routine inspection, you mean? A. For routine inspection, we would go out to the site to look at the progress of works, safety, environmental. If there are certain procedures, like rebar fixing, we would have a look at the condition, the general condition, as well. Q. Right. And would you carry out these types of inspections, routine inspections, on a regular basis? A. Yes, on a regular basis. Yes. Q. How often, perhaps, during the course of the week would you go on a site walk and carry out a routine inspection? A. It depends. Routine inspection, if we are talking about safety, Thursday afternoon there is routine inspection. If there is progress of work that we are concerned about, we would do more site walks in a week, for instance two or three times at least. Q. Thank you very much. And what did you see was the primary purpose of carrying out those inspections? Why

	Page 13		Page 15
1	A. Well, as I said a moment ago, these routine inspections	1	Q. And in particular you carried out hold-point inspections
2	would be looking at the general condition on site. As	2	of the rebar?
3	engineers, there are a lot of areas that we are	3	A. (In English) Yes.
4	concerned about on site: progress of work, safety,	4	Q. And our understanding at the moment, I think confirmed
5	environmental compliance, all the rest of it; we have to	5	yesterday, was that the general/usual division of
6	look at them.	6	responsibility was that the engineers, such as you,
7	Q. All right. Would the routine inspections include	7	would carry out the hold-point inspections on the rebar,
8	looking at, in particular, the connection of threaded	8	and the IOWs, the inspectors of works, would carry out
9	rebar into couplers?	9	inspections of the pre-pour concrete. Is that your
10	A. Well, as a matter of fact, we would not look at them in	10	understanding?
11	particular about the coupler connection. We would look	11	A. I don't agree with you entirely. My understanding is
12	at them in general.	12	that for 1112, ConE team and the IOW would carry out the
13	Q. Right. Ms Kang, were you in the habit of taking	13	rebar inspections.
14	photographs during the course of any of these routine	14	Q. In what circumstances would the IOW, as opposed to
15	inspections?	15	a member of the ConE team, carry out a rebar hold-point
16	A. Yes, I would.	16	inspection?
17	Q. And once you've taken those photographs, what would	17	A. Well, in general well, under certain circumstances,
18	happen to them?	18	like the NAT engineers, myself and Chris Chan, if we are
19	A. After I've taken the photos, most of the time I would	19	otherwise engaged, if we are busy with other meetings,
20	keep them for my record. If there are any problems,	20	we would delegate the job to the IOW to look at the
21	I would send the photos to the WhatsApp groups, and	21	rebars.
22	there are many WhatsApp groups. I would let them know	22	A. (In English) Sorry, I would like to
23	about the situation.	23	A. And the IOW includes AIOW and SIOW and IOW.
24	Q. Okay. Just break that down a bit. Would you only take	24	Q. Right. Okay. So I think the answer to my question then
25	a photograph if you detected a problem or a potential	25	is that ordinarily, if you or Chris Chan were available
	Page 14		Page 16
1	problem?		
	•	1	as engineers, you would carry out the rebar formal
2	A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are	2	inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level,
3	A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the	2 3	inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection?
3 4	A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know	2 3 4	inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection?A. Yes, correct.
3 4 5	A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it.	2 3 4 5	inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection?A. Yes, correct.Q. All right.
3 4 5 6	A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it.Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp	2 3 4 5 6	inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection?A. Yes, correct.Q. All right.Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is
3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it.Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you	2 3 4 5 6 7	inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection?A. Yes, correct.Q. All right.Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various
3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it.Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection?A. Yes, correct.Q. All right.Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it.Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send?A. I would keep them for my own record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos on site and they put them on the server. We do have 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that? A. Mmm.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos on site and they put them on the server. We do have a server called "Site photos", and every single day in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q there were a number of RISC forms issued/submitted in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos on site and they put them on the server. We do have a server called "Site photos", and every single day in different areas, there are a lot of photos that would be 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q there were a number of RISC forms issued/submitted in relation to the various certain bays in the NSL
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos on site and they put them on the server. We do have a server called "Site photos", and every single day in different areas, there are a lot of photos that would be stored there. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q there were a number of RISC forms issued/submitted in relation to the various certain bays in the NSL area
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos on site and they put them on the server. We do have a server called "Site photos", and every single day in different areas, there are a lot of photos that would be stored there. Q. But you didn't put your photographs on that server; is 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q there were a number of RISC forms issued/submitted in relation to the various certain bays in the NSL area A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos on site and they put them on the server. We do have a server called "Site photos", and every single day in different areas, there are a lot of photos that would be stored there. Q. But you didn't put your photographs on that server; is that right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q there were a number of RISC forms issued/submitted in relation to the various certain bays in the NSL area A. Yes. Q between about January 2016 and July 2016. Do you see
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos on site and they put them on the server. We do have a server called "Site photos", and every single day in different areas, there are a lot of photos that would be stored there. Q. But you didn't put your photographs on that server; is that right? A. Right. Q. Now, in addition to the informal or routine inspections that took place, Ms Kang, I understand that you carried 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q there were a number of RISC forms issued/submitted in relation to the various certain bays in the NSL area A. Yes. Q between about January 2016 and July 2016. Do you see that? A. I see that. Q. There were nine in total, and it is our understanding
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Well, I would take photos generally, and if there are problems I would send these photographic records to the WhatsApp group, to let the WhatsApp group people know about it. Q. And if you didn't send the photographs to the WhatsApp group, what happened to the other photographs that you didn't send? A. I would keep them for my own record. Q. So you wouldn't send them to some general MTR storage facility for photographs; you would keep them for your own record, is that it? A. Yes. That's what I do with the photos. I know the inspectors of MTRC would take a lot of progress photos on site and they put them on the server. We do have a server called "Site photos", and every single day in different areas, there are a lot of photos that would be stored there. Q. But you didn't put your photographs on that server; is that right? A. Right. Q. Now, in addition to the informal or routine inspections 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 inspections, but if not, then an IOW, at whatever level, may be requested to do that inspection? A. Yes, correct. Q. All right. Could we please look at BB9/6363. Ms Kang, this is a document prepared by the MTR, and it contains various details in relation to the NAT area of the project. Is it a table/schedule that you have seen before? A. Recently, yes. Q. Okay. You will perhaps appreciate then that in the green areas, green shaded areas towards the top and I'm focusing on the "Rebar fixing" columns; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q there were a number of RISC forms issued/submitted in relation to the various certain bays in the NSL area A. Yes. Q between about January 2016 and July 2016. Do you see that?

	Page 17		Page 19
1	for at least seven of them. Have you had an opportunity	1	A. Yes.
2	of looking at those RISC forms recently?	2	Q. And my understanding is that those columns represent the
3	A. Yes.	3	person who did the inspection, that's "YW", whoever that
4	Q. And do you agree with me that you were responsible for	4	may be; P is a pass; N is that no follow-up action is
5	doing the rebar inspections for seven, at least seven,	5	required; and Y is closed out. We can pick that up from
6	of those items?	6	the columns heading at the top.
7		7	A. Mmm.
	A. I agree.	8	Q. So are you saying that you had no responsibility, so far
8	Q. That's helpful. It will save us looking at them. Thank you to those who showed you the schedule.		
9		9	as you were aware, to fill in those boxes?
10	Ms Kang, however, there is just one point I wanted	10	A. That's correct.
11	to ask you about in relation to those seven or eight,	11	Q. So who do you say was responsible for filling in those
12	and it's this. You are presumably aware or were aware	12	boxes?
13	that the MTR kept a RISC register. Is that something	13	A. I gave I would give this form to SIOW. I don't know
14	you were aware of back in 2015/2016?	14	whether it would be done by the SIOW or it would be
15	A. If you are talking about a RISC form register, I didn't	15	handed over to the administrative assistant for
16	know much at that time, but when remedial works were	16	completion. I don't know.
17	carried out in the beginning of at the beginning of	17	Q. Okay. So your position, your evidence, is that you were
18	last year, we tried to find the history, the RISC forms,	18	not responsible for inputting anything into this
19	and I was shown the register by the inspectors. Then	19	schedule?
20	I realised that the register was kept in our server.	20	A. (In English) Yes.
21	Q. All right. Mr Tony Tang, who's going to give evidence,	21	Q. All right. That's going to save some time.
22	hopefully, later today has told us in his witness	22	Can I ask you to go back to the schedule, please
23	statement that it was the responsibility of either the	23	A. (In English) The summary?
24	construction engineer who did the inspection or the IOW	24	Q the summary schedule, please.
25	who did the inspection of updating the RISC register and	25	Ms Kang, can I just draw your attention, as I did to
	Page 18		Page 20
1	recording that the inspections had been carried out. Do	1	Chris Chan yesterday, to this point. As I mentioned
2	you agree with that?	2	a little while ago, the RISC forms that we do see
3	A. I don't quite agree. Let me tell you the procedure of	3	towards the top of the page were issued during the
4	accepting RISC forms. When I receive a RISC form, it	4	period, as I indicated, 13 January to 26 July, and
5	would be from SIOW, Kobe Wong or Victor Tung, and when	5	thereafter, in this particular area, in the NAT NSL and
6	I completed the form I would hand it back to Kobe or	6	EWL areas, not one single RISC form was issued after
7	Victor and then I won't follow up anymore.	7	26 July, leaving aside the remedial works.
8	Q. Can I ask you, please, to be shown a page of the RISC	8	Were you aware of that situation, Ms Kang?
9	register. It's at BB13/8815.168.	9	A. I was not aware that there was no form at all, not at
10	Down the left-hand side, please could we find 10259.	10	that time.
11	Scroll down a bit. There we are.	11	Q. Right. Do the contents of the table in that respect
12	Ms Kang, can I just draw your attention to the	12	surprise you?
13	number someone has helpfully put the hand on it; do	13	A. Yes, correct.
14	you see that 10259? Okay? Ms Kang, that is one of	14	Q. Why didn't you insist on Leighton issuing RISC forms to
15	the RISC forms, indeed it's the first RISC form, on the	15	trigger rebar inspections, Ms Kang?
16	schedule at BB9/6363, which you were one of the ones	16	A. First, on some occasions, there was no RISC form, we
17	that you were responsible for.	10	kept reminding Leighton to submit the forms. They would
18	It's easier to see it on the screen, actually.	17	say, "Yes, yes, we will do that as quickly as possible."
19	A. Yes.	18 19	I have informed Joe Tsang and my senior, and also there
20	Q. If we can go to the right, please thank you very	19 20	was some discussion among with the inspectors. We
20			
	much we see that the various columns, where just	21	were aware that there was a case of lack of RISC forms.
22	above some initials and a "P" for pass and an "N" for no	22	But at that time I was not told no senior had told me
23	and a "Y" for yes against this particular RISC form	23	that I should not go for rebar inspection without any
24	on the schedule, all the boxes are blank. Do you see	24 25	RISC form. Usually, I would not be informed by phone,
25	that?	25	over phone, by my senior, my engineer, that I would need

	Page 21		Page 23
1	to inspect the rebars the following day and I would do	1	Now, Ms Kang, can we now turn our attention to the
2	that.	2	stitch joints, and indeed the shunt neck joint. Let's
3	Q. I understand, Ms Kang, from those who are lucky enough	3	start with the shunt neck joint.
4	to speak Cantonese, that you mentioned	4	My understanding of your evidence so far as the
5	A. (In English) Do I need to speak in English?	5	shunt neck joint is concerned is that you cannot
6	Q. No, you don't, not at all, Ms Kang, but I understand you	6	remember whether you carried out the rebar fixing
7	mentioned Chris Chan during the course of that answer;	7	hold-point inspection. Is that right?
8	is that right?	8	A. Yes. I don't quite remember, yes.
9	A. Chan Chun Wai.	9	Q. The rebar inspection of the shunt neck joint we
10	MR BOULDING: It would seem there's something wrong with	10	understand from on this schedule, and if you look
11	that translation.	11	down at item 45
12	MR PENNICOTT: Yes, that's what I've been told.	12	A. Yes, I can see that.
13	A. (In English) I can speak in English?	13	Q probably took place on either 4 January or 5 January,
14	Q. You can speak English if you wish, Ms Kang, it's	14	on the basis that the rebar was completed on the 4th and
15	a matter for you, but the alternative is to repeat your	15	the bay was concreted the following day, on the 5th. Do
16	answer, or I'll repeat the question and you can answer.	16	you see that, Ms Kang?
17	A. (In English) Thank you.	17	A. Yes, I can see that.
18	CHAIRMAN: Perhaps, Mr Pennicott, you can repeat the	18	Q. Do you know whether you were in Hong Kong on 4 and
19	question.	19	5 January 2017?
20	MR PENNICOTT: My question was, Ms Kang I'll put it	20	A. I was out of town, because at that time I was on
21	again; just think about it the question was: why	21	honeymoon in New Zealand. I remember that vividly.
22	didn't you insist on Leighton issuing RISC forms to	22	Q. How long were you away for?
23	trigger the rebar inspections? That was the question.	23	A. (In English) 16 days.
24	Would you like to repeat your answer?	24	Q. Now, with that answer in mind, can I ask you, please, to
25	A. (In English) I think, at that time, the ConE team and	25	look at a document, but before we do that can I just
	Page 22		Page 24
1	the inspector teams were fully aware of the condition,	1	remind you, just in case you've forgotten you were
2	the lack of the RISC form or late submission of the RISC	2	a T3, as I understand it, Ms Kang, a T3 under the RGE
3	form, but no senior asked me my senior didn't ask me	3	inspection stream. Do you remember that?
4	to suspend the rebar inspection just due to the lack of	4	A. NAT structure T3, yes. RGE stream T3, yes.
5	the RISC form or late submission of the RISC form. So	5	Q. Right. And, in that capacity, you had to fill in lots
6	I still conduct the rebar inspection when I received the	6	of sheets; do you remember that?
7	call from Leighton's engineer. That's my answer. Thank	7	A. (In English) Yes.
8	you.	8	Q. If we could first of all pick up the reference so the
9	Q. Thank you. And when you say, "No senior asked me", is	9	Commission has it. In BB4 at 2299, there's a letter of
10	that a reference to Chris Chan?	10	15 April, Ms Kang I'm not suggesting you've seen it
11	A. Chris Chan and Joe Tsang. Both were my senior.	11	before, but it goes from Andy Leung to the Highways
12	Q. Right. Just a follow-up question on that, Ms Kang. Did	12	Department, and it's submitting the site supervision
13	you yourself receive telephone calls direct from the	13	plan, do you see that, for the NSL tunnels and EWL
14	Leighton engineers?	14	structures in NAT; do you see that?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And if you received a direct call, did you just	16	Q. Right. Then if we go over the page to page 2301,
17	automatically then carry out the rebar inspection or did	17	please, we see your name appearing as one of the two
18	you first of all, before you made that decision, discuss	18	T3s; do you see that?
19	it with Mr Chan?	19	A. Yes.
20	A. Well, when I received these requests for inspection,	20	Q. And so far as the frequency level of site inspection is
21	this kind of phone call, I would tell Chris because he's	21	concerned, it says "5 (full time)", and as I understand
22	my senior. I had to tell him when I would be out of the	22	that, Ms Kang, what that really means is you were
1	office, and I would be out on site to look at the	23	full-time on site, not necessarily full-time
23			-
23 24 25	rebars. I would tell my senior, yes. Q. Okay.	24 25	supervision, but full-time on site. Is that your understanding?

	Page 25		Page 27
1	A. Well, according to this paper, yes.	1	CHAIRMAN: Yes, that sort of thing.
2	Q. I think that's all we need really to look at for this	2	MR PENNICOTT: One recognises that this is under the RGE
3	purpose, Ms Kang.	3	stream, which is the registered geotechnical engineer,
4	The next documents we are going to look at are in	4	so some of this is quite technical, and I'm not
5	a couple of places and unfortunately I have looked in	5	I emphasise I am not using these sheets or Ms Kang's
6	the less convenient one but never mind. Could you	6	position as a T3 in support of any contention that she
7	please be shown BB9/6449.	7	was responsible alone for inspecting the rebar. That's
8	A. I can see that.	8	a different matter entirely.
9	Q. Ms Kang, this is not for you, it's for the	9	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
10	Commissioners, but sir, you may recall from part 1 of	10	MR PENNICOTT: All I'm doing is, having looked at these
11	the Inquiry that we looked at a number of similar	11	documents, what they do help us to ascertain is when
12	documents with witnesses during the course of the	12	Ms Kang was on the site.
13	Inquiry, and here are some more.	13	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you.
14	Now, Ms Kang, at 6449	14	MR PENNICOTT: Do you understand that, Ms Kang?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. (In English) Yes.
16	Q so far as I can tell, this is the first sheet that	16	Q. Just to pick up on another point, Ms Kang if there
17	you compiled or completed, and the sheets go on in the	17	was a weekend and you weren't working, presumably we
18	bundle right the way through to 6524, and stretch	18	won't find a reference on these sheets?
19	therefore from 18 January 2016 to 6 April 2018. As	19	A. Yes, that's right.
20	I understand it, you were the T3 for the whole of that	20	Q. Similarly for a public holiday?
21	period; is that right?	21	A. Right.
22	A. Correct.	22	Q. What about if you were on annual leave; what would
23	Q. So, going back to 6449, we see first of all, down the	23	happen then?
24	left-hand side of the document, Ms Kang, under the	24	A. Well, no reference either.
25	heading, "Item number" with the hash sign, we see "G2",	25	Q. Right. Could I ask you, please, to go to, by way of
	Page 26		Page 28
1	"G3", "G6", and so forth; do you see that?	1	example only, page just to make sure I've not missed
2	A. Yes.	2	something 6475.
		-	something 0475.
3	Q. Do you know what those refer to, "G2", "G3", and so	3	Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang,
3 4	forth?		Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that?
	forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the	3	Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes.
4	forth?	3 4	Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that?
4 5 6 7	forth?A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities	3 4 5	Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that?A. (In English) Yes.Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's?
4 5 6	forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that	3 4 5 6	Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that?A. (In English) Yes.Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's?A. That's right.
4 5 6 7 8 9	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be 	3 4 5 6 7	Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that?A. (In English) Yes.Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's?A. That's right.Q. Sorry, they are yours?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties". 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties", rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties", rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties" rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I, for example 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were some public holidays over Christmas, and then we see
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties" rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I, for example CHAIRMAN: Just to bring me up to speed on it. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were some public holidays over Christmas, and then we see 28 and 29 December; do you see that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties", rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I, for example CHAIRMAN: Just to bring me up to speed on it. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were some public holidays over Christmas, and then we see 28 and 29 December; do you see that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties" rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I, for example CHAIRMAN: Just to bring me up to speed on it. MR PENNICOTT: G1 and G2 don't appear but they are simply routine duties, but G3 says, for example: 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were some public holidays over Christmas, and then we see 28 and 29 December; do you see that? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. Then there is a gap to 9 January; do you see that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties" rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I, for example CHAIRMAN: Just to bring me up to speed on it. MR PENNICOTT: G1 and G2 don't appear but they are simply routine duties, but G3 says, for example: "Verify non-conformity and instruct rectification 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were some public holidays over Christmas, and then we see 28 and 29 December; do you see that? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. Then there is a gap to 9 January; do you see that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties" rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I, for example CHAIRMAN: Just to bring me up to speed on it. MR PENNICOTT: G1 and G2 don't appear but they are simply routine duties, but G3 says, for example: "Verify non-conformity and instruct rectification works immediately. Notify all relevant parties in 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were some public holidays over Christmas, and then we see 28 and 29 December; do you see that? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. Then there is a gap to 9 January; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. And subject to one point
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties", rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I, for example CHAIRMAN: Just to bring me up to speed on it. MR PENNICOTT: G1 and G2 don't appear but they are simply routine duties, but G3 says, for example: "Verify non-conformity and instruct rectification works immediately. Notify all relevant parties in respect of the non-conformity and monitor that 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were some public holidays over Christmas, and then we see 28 and 29 December; do you see that? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. Then there is a gap to 9 January; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. And subject to one point A. (In English) Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 forth? A. What they refer to, the site supervision plan from the government, the RGE stream T3 has certain responsibilities, and these are the responsibilities referred to. The G1, G2 maybe not G2 and that would refer to the kind of duties that would have to be undertaken. That is my understanding. Q. Yes. That is entirely right. And I'm not going to go to it but the reference for that, if anybody wants it, is B5, that's the old bundle, 2676 to 2770. CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Pennicott when you say "the duties" rather than me going to it, they describe what sort of duties? Like, for example, what G5 meant. MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I, for example CHAIRMAN: Just to bring me up to speed on it. MR PENNICOTT: G1 and G2 don't appear but they are simply routine duties, but G3 says, for example: "Verify non-conformity and instruct rectification works immediately. Notify all relevant parties in 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Now, you will see at the foot of this page, Ms Kang, that you've put "Kappa" on 4 October; do you see that? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The initials that we then see along the rest of the columns, are those your initials or somebody else's? A. That's right. Q. Sorry, they are yours? A. (In English) Mine, my signature. Q. All right. Well, that's a relief. Good. To get to the point where we had left off a moment ago, if we go, please, to 6482. A. I can see that. Q. If we look at the dates along towards the top, we see the dates of 22, 23 December, then I assume there were some public holidays over Christmas, and then we see 28 and 29 December; do you see that? A. Yes, I can see that. Q. Then there is a gap to 9 January; do you see that? A. Mmm. Q. And subject to one point

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

	Page 29		Page 31
1	period?	1	take place until sometime later, on 9 September. Do you
2	A. (In English) Yes.	2	see that?
3	Q. Okay. All right. And we know that, as I indicated	3	A. (In English) Yes.
4	earlier, the shunt neck bay track slab at least must	4	Q. And so, on one view, the rebar inspection presumably
5	have been well, I say "must have been" should have	5	could have taken place anywhen between 29 July, or
6	been inspected on 4 or 5 January?	6	30 July, perhaps, and 8 September?
7	A. That's correct.	7	A. (In English) Yes.
8	Q. And it appears that you were not there?	8	Q. So, Ms Kang, do you have any recollection at all of
9	A. That's correct.	9	carrying out the rebar inspection on that internal
10	Q. But can I point out this, Ms Kang, so again we don't	10	stitch joint between those dates?
11	miss something: there was an interface meeting on	11	A. No, I have no recollection.
12	6 January 2017 which you are recorded as attending.	12	Q. Do you positively say that you didn't inspect that
13	A. (Chinese spoken)	13	stitch joint, the rebar in that stitch joint?
14	Q. I can show you the minutes if you wish. Do you have any	14	A. When I received the questions from the COI around
15	recollection of being at that meeting on 6 January 2017?	15	20 May, I did try my best to recall my memory, to recall
16	A. Yesterday, I looked up the documents. I discovered the	16	the issues. But I cannot remember. In my written
17	same problem. I have double-checked. I'm sure I was	17	statement, I have already put down something. Usually,
18	out of town. I think there must be something wrong with	18	the practice of rebar inspection would be that after
19	the meeting minutes. I know the two contractors are	19	I receive a phone call, I will tell Chris, and
20	responsible for the minutes, they might have forgotten	20	an inspection would usually be done with inspectors, and
21	to delete my name. On that day, I was out of town.	21	after it's done I would send a WhatsApp message, saying
22	Q. All right.	22	what I have inspected. There would be a record.
23	Ms Kang, in an endeavour to shortcircuit things,	23	I would notify the ConE team and IOW.
24	when you mentioned, as you just did, that you were	24	So I try to recall whether I had done this
25	looking up documents yesterday, you discovered the same	25	inspection. I have lost my phone. But Victor Tung,
	Page 30		Page 32
1	problem, you double-checked and you are sure you were	1	SIOW, had maintained a lot of WhatsApp messages. He
2	out of town, did you look at these documents that I'm	2	checked his messages and he could not find any messages
3	now showing you as part of your research yesterday?	3	for this location that I had sent him. So I'm not sure
4	A. No, not this one. I looked up the interface meeting	4	that I had carried out any rebar inspection at that
5	minutes.	5	location.
6	Q. All right. Now, that was the shunt neck.	6	Q. All right.
7	Now, so far as the three stitch joints are	7	With regard to the NSL 1111/1112 stitch joint,
8	concerned, I have looked through all of these sheets,	8	that's the interface stitch joint, we can see that the
9	with perhaps one exception in relation to a particular	9	rebar, from our sheet at number 51, was carried out on
10	wall, and it appears that you were in Hong Kong and on	10	5 and 6 July.
11	the site when the rebar fixing had been completed.	11	A. Yes.
12	A. I would need to check this.	12	Q. If we look at 6499, in the other file, in B9, we can see
13	Q. I was afraid you would say that. So let's just test it	13	that you were at least present on site on 5, 6 and
14	this way.	14	7 July; do you see that?
15	A. (In English) Yes, no problem.	15	A. I think I was on duty.
16	Q. If one looks at let's do the internal stitch joint	16	Q. Yes. Again, Ms Kang, thinking back, do you have any
17	first, 1112/1112 stitch joint. If you could go, please,	17	recollection at all of carrying out the rebar inspection
18	to BB9/6495, we see that we know from our chart that		at that interface stitch joint?
19	the rebar started on 29 May, which doesn't appear on	19	A. I really cannot remember. Maybe I should add, for 1112,
20	here in your sheet. But the rebar to the roof of that	20	I was responsible for a big area, NAT, NFA and SAT.
21	stitch joint sorry; yes finished on 29 July, which	21	Apart from the tunnel structures, there were other
22	is also, if we go to 6501, not shown on your chart,	22	cooling towers, transformer rooms, utilities. I had to
23	largely because it was a Saturday.	23	inspect a lot of rebars. If you ask me for a particular
24	A. Yes.Q. The concreting we can see on that stitch joint did not	24 25	location whether I did any inspection, I have tried my best and I cannot be sure.
25			bast and Leannot be sure

	Page 33		Page 35
1	Q. But, Ms Kang, these stitch joints are very discrete,	1	A. I don't think I I don't get the orientation. I don't
2	particular areas. They are not unique because there are	2	know which angle it's taken from so I cannot say.
3	three of them. But	3	MR PENNICOTT: Right. Having shown you this photograph,
4	A. Yes.	4	Ms Kang, does it jog your memory as to perhaps whether
5	Q they are special, they are different to just the	5	you saw and inspected this area back in or on 24 January
6	ordinary run of bays that you would be expecting on	6	2017?
	a day-to-day basis. They are different. Does that not	7	A. I still don't remember.
7	help you to remember whether or not you inspected these	8	I'd like to ask a question. 24 January 2017 how
8 9	areas?	8 9	should I put it maybe other colleagues were on the
		10	site.
10	A. I have considered this point. Are stitch joints special		
11	or specially located or with special details? Well,	11	Q. Maybe they were, Ms Kang, but the problem we have is
12	I don't really think so, because usually, when we do the	12	this. Mr Chris Chan is quite clear in his own mind that
13	inspection, it would be a bay of 20 by 30 metres. The	13	he did not carry out the inspection of any of the stitch
14	stitch joints would be in a very small location. Is the	14	joints, although there is some contrary evidence from
15	location special? I don't think I have a deep	15	Leighton which perhaps contradicts that. You can't
16	impression about the locations, whether I did the	16	remember whether you inspected the stitch joints.
17	inspection.	17	I understand Mr Tony Tang's evidence is that he didn't
18	Q. Right. Just to complete the exercise, Ms Kang	18	inspect the rebar of the stitch joints but he does
19	A. Mm-hmm.	19	accept that he did the pre-pour checks.
20	Q the EWL interface stitch joint if we look at our	20	And so we are left wondering who amongst the MTRC's
21	sheet, the track slab it's 58a on our sheet here	21	personnel, if anyone, inspected these three stitch
22	was carried out, the track slab was carried out between	22	joints. That is our problem, Ms Kang, and that's why
23	22 January and 24 January, and concreted on the same	23	I'm asking you these questions.
24	day, 24 January, that's 2017. And we can see from	24	A. Understood. Understood. Thank you.
25	page 6484 in BB9 that you were present on the site	25	Q. Okay.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	A. Correct.	1	Ms Kang, can I ask you, please, to be taken to the
2	Q on those dates or on that day. So this time,	2	exhibit to your witness statement. That's at BB14/9468.
3	obviously it's the EWL stitch joint it hasn't got	2	
		3	A. (In English) Yes.
4	a roof, it's just in an open trough.	3 4	A. (In English) Yes.Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp
4 5	a roof, it's just in an open trough. A. Correct.		-
		4	Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp
5	A. Correct.	4 5	Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp
5 6	A. Correct.Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar	4 5 6	Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of
5 6 7	A. Correct.Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer?	4 5 6 7	Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right?
5 6 7 8	A. Correct.Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer?A. (In English) Same answer.	4 5 6 7 8	Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right?A. Correct.
5 6 7 8 9	A. Correct.Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer?A. (In English) Same answer.A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right?A. Correct.Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where
5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Correct.Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer?A. (In English) Same answer.A. Yes.Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. Q. Ms Kang, this is a photograph we understand to have been 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it 18 November, you see on the right-hand side, before
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. Q. Ms Kang, this is a photograph we understand to have been taken by Mr Tony Tang. I understand it was taken on 24 January 2017. And I understand, therefore, that it 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it 18 November, you see on the right-hand side, before 9471?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. Q. Ms Kang, this is a photograph we understand to have been taken by Mr Tony Tang. I understand, therefore, that it was taken at the EWL stitch joint essentially, it 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it 18 November, you see on the right-hand side, before 9471? A. (In English) For bay 6 and 7 Q. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. Q. Ms Kang, this is a photograph we understand to have been taken by Mr Tony Tang. I understand it was taken on 24 January 2017. And I understand, therefore, that it was taken at the EWL stitch joint essentially, it appears to me, after the track slab rebar had been 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it 18 November, you see on the right-hand side, before 9471? A. (In English) For bay 6 and 7 Q. Yes. A. (In English) should be 13 November.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. Q. Ms Kang, this is a photograph we understand to have been taken by Mr Tony Tang. I understand it was taken on 24 January 2017. And I understand, therefore, that it was taken at the EWL stitch joint essentially, it appears to me, after the track slab rebar had been completed. Do you see that? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it 18 November, you see on the right-hand side, before 9471? A. (In English) For bay 6 and 7 Q. Yes. Q. I see. Beg your pardon, yes. Which is the other one,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. Q. Ms Kang, this is a photograph we understand to have been taken by Mr Tony Tang. I understand it was taken on 24 January 2017. And I understand, therefore, that it was taken at the EWL stitch joint essentially, it appears to me, after the track slab rebar had been completed. Do you see that? A. Yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it 18 November, you see on the right-hand side, before 9471? A. (In English) For bay 6 and 7 Q. Yes. A. (In English) should be 13 November. Q. I see. Beg your pardon, yes. Which is the other one, it's either the 1st or the 18th?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. Q. Ms Kang, this is a photograph we understand to have been taken by Mr Tony Tang. I understand it was taken on 24 January 2017. And I understand, therefore, that it was taken at the EWL stitch joint essentially, it appears to me, after the track slab rebar had been completed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. First of all, Ms Kang, do you know which is Gammon's 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it 18 November, you see on the right-hand side, before 9471? A. (In English) For bay 6 and 7 Q. Yes. A. (In English) should be 13 November. Q. I see. Beg your pardon, yes. Which is the other one, it's either the 1st or the 18th? A. 7, bay 2.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Correct. Q. Again, any recollection at all of inspecting the rebar there? It's the same answer? A. (In English) Same answer. A. Yes. Q. If you are feeling okay, Ms Kang, we'll probably be breaking in about ten minutes anyway, so you're okay. A. Thank you. So it's ten minutes? Q. Could I ask you, please, to be shown a photograph, in BB14/9505. A. I can see that. Q. Ms Kang, this is a photograph we understand to have been taken by Mr Tony Tang. I understand it was taken on 24 January 2017. And I understand, therefore, that it was taken at the EWL stitch joint essentially, it appears to me, after the track slab rebar had been completed. Do you see that? A. Yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. This is exhibit 1, where you attach a number of WhatsApp messages. And, as I understand it, those are WhatsApp messages that you have retrieved with the assistance of Mr Victor Tung. Is that right? A. Correct. Q. If one, as it were, looks then at page 9473, where you've scheduled out various areas my understanding is, just trying to piece them all together, that the first one, the first WhatsApp message, relates to number 5, 28 October? A. (In English) Yes. Q. The next one relates to the first of is it 18 November, you see on the right-hand side, before 9471? A. (In English) For bay 6 and 7 Q. Yes. A. (In English) should be 13 November. Q. I see. Beg your pardon, yes. Which is the other one, it's either the 1st or the 18th?

	Page 37		Page 39
1	WhatsApp messages that tie into numbers 5, 7 and 8 on	1	(11.27 am)
2	the schedule. I've had some difficulty understanding	2	(A short adjournment)
3	where the other areas actually are.	3	(11.49 am)
4	Let's just take you one at random the second one,	4	Cross-examination by MR TSOI
5	9 September; do you see that?	5	MR TSOI: Ms Kang, I act for Wing & Kwong, the rebar fixing
6	A. Yes. 9 September, yes.	6	sub-contractors. I have a few questions for you.
7	Q. It says: "MTR Kappa: rebar of sp4 was inspected.	7	Can I just turn your mind back to one of the answers
8	Targeted to pour on Monday."	8	that you gave this morning, in relation to the issue of
9	Do you recall where that is?	9	routine inspection carried out by yourself. I think
10	A. (In English) "sp4" means sump pit 4.	10	your answer was you would do two or three times a week
11	A. I don't quite remember this is EWL or NSL sump pit.	11	of these routine inspections on the site. Is that
12	Q. NSL sump pit, okay, all right.	12	right?
13	CHAIRMAN: Sorry	13	A. (In English) At least.
14	A. (In English) Sorry, I'm not sure whether it was EWL or	14	Q. At least two or three times.
15	NSL. I need to check the drawing because my memory.	15	Now, in relation to the coupler connection, you said
16	But it's a sump pit for the tunnel structure.	16	this: that we would not look in particular about the
17	MR PENNICOTT: All right. Then one we were particularly	17	coupler connection. Can I ask you about that answer.
18	puzzled by was number 4, 6 October, where it says, "MTR	18	You obviously know that the Leighton engineer would
19	Kappa: rebar at bay 9 and 10", because we haven't	19	carry out daily routine inspection; is that right?
20	managed to find anywhere that has a bay 9 and 10, but we	20	A. Leighton engineers, they would be on site every day,
21	are ready to be corrected.	21	yes.
22	A. My understanding is NFA, North Fan Area, HHS, there is	22	Q. Right, and they would carry out a daily routine
23	a triangular area with noise enclosure area. I think	23	inspection?
24	that's the location where we have bay 9 and 10.	24	A. I believe so, on the part of Leighton.
25	Q. Right, so that would be in the NFA?	25	Q. So if there was any issue in relation to coupler
	Page 38		Page 40
1	A. Correct.	1	connection, would you expect the Leighton engineer to
2	Q. Understood. Thank you very much.	2	inform you about it?
3	And I imagine that number 6 is the same location as	3	A. Yes, definitely.
4	number 2 but a different aspect of the work. This was	4	Q. So perhaps is that why you would not concentrate in
5	a wall this time.	5	particular on the coupler connection on your own routine
6	A. (In English) It's a wall.	6	inspection, perhaps because you would rely on the
7	Q. All right.	7	Leighton engineer to inspect those things?
8	So, as I understand it, Ms Kang, what you've done	8	A. Well, rely on Leighton engineers? I would perform my
9	here is you've, with Mr Tung's assistance, or the	9	role, to look at what I'm supposed to look at.
10	assistance of his phone, tried to list out every message	10	Q. Right, but in relation to coupler connection, is it the
11	where you can see that your name appears and you were	11	case that because if there was a problem you would
12	involved?	12	expect the Leighton engineer, in terms of any problems
13	A. Mmm. Correct.	13	with the coupler connection, to inform you, so when you
14	MR PENNICOTT: Understood. Okay.	14	did your own routine inspection you won't look in
15	Sir, I am happy to say that I have finished, so	15	particular at the coupler connection? Is that a fair
16	perhaps we could have a break now.	16	way to put it?
17	CHAIRMAN: Yes. How long would you	17	A. I think everybody on site, if they spot any problems,
18	MR PENNICOTT: 15 minutes is okay.	18	they would raise it.
19	CHAIRMAN: Good. A 15-minute break.	19	Q. Right.
		- ·	A inspectors or engineers on the part of Leighton, MTR,
20	Ms Kang, when you are giving your evidence and we	20	
21	have these breaks, you are not entitled to discuss your	21	whatever.
21 22	have these breaks, you are not entitled to discuss your evidence with anybody else during those breaks; okay?	21 22	whatever. Q. Right.
21 22 23	have these breaks, you are not entitled to discuss your evidence with anybody else during those breaks; okay? WITNESS: (In English) Okay. No problem.	21 22 23	whatever. Q. Right. Next I want to ask you about your interaction with
21 22	have these breaks, you are not entitled to discuss your evidence with anybody else during those breaks; okay?	21 22	whatever. Q. Right.

	Page 41		Page 43
1	fixing check, but when there's no RISC form; right? So	1	there's no one else that could accompany you from MTR,
2	that's the particular situation I want to ask you about.	2	then you would go and inspect the rebar fixing works at
3	So in terms of procedure, you would receive a phone	3	the hold point?
4	call from a Leighton engineer?	4	A. Yes, it's likely.
5	A. Yes. Without a RISC form, I would receive a phone call.	5	Q. Now, we know that your practice is, after you have
6	Q. Right. And in that situation, after you receive the	6	conducted a rebar hold-point inspection, you would take
7	call, you said you would inform Chris Chan?	7	a picture of it and then you would WhatsApp it to
8	A. Right.	8	a group in MTR to say that you've inspected the works.
9	Q. And then you yourself would attend the rebar fixing	9	Is that your practice?
10	hold-point inspection?	10	A. Yes. Not necessarily taking photos but I would send
11	A. I would go along with IOW together with Leighton's	11	a message, yes.
12	engineers for the hold-point inspection.	12	Q. Did everyone from MTR who conducted rebar fixing
13	Q. So I want to ask you about that. So in terms of the	13	hold-point check do the same thing, or it's not
14	rebar fixing check, is it only you from MTR or is it you	14	necessarily the case?
15	and an inspector of works from MTR who would jointly	15	A. Not necessarily the case. I've seen some messages from
16	conduct the rebar fixing check?	16	Tony.
17	A. In general, I, the inspector, Leighton's engineers,	17	Q. So can I just get this right, that there would be
18	sometimes together with Chris; if we are on site, we	18	situations where although an MTR representative may have
19	would together do the inspection.	19	conducted a rebar fixing hold-point check, he does not
20	Q. And if you did conduct the rebar fixing check with	20	in fact necessarily have to send a WhatsApp to other
21	an inspector of works, who would that normally be?	21	members of MTR to confirm that he has conducted the
22	A. Normally, it would be IOW Tony Tang. My recollection is		check? There might be a situation like that?
23	that other SIOW or AIOW would be likely as well.	23	A. Well, my practice is I would send a WhatsApp. I'm not
24	Q. So this is the rebar fixing check, not the pre-pour	24	sure about the practices of other colleagues. They may
25	check?	25	not.
	Page 42		Page 44
1	A. That's correct, yes. The rebar, yes.	1	Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we
2	Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's	2	Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out
	Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that		Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call
2 3 4	Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at	2 3 4	Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not
2 3 4 5	Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint.	2 3 4 5	Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect	2 3 4 5 6	Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right?A. Pre-pour check?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right?A. Pre-pour check?Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him?A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him?A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one representative from MTR; would there be situations like 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct a pre-pour check, when the inspector of works has not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one representative from MTR; would there be situations like that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct a pre-pour check, when the inspector of works has not been informed whether there had been a rebar fixing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one representative from MTR; would there be situations like that? A. Yes, there were situations like that. In some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct a pre-pour check, when the inspector of works has not been informed whether there had been a rebar fixing check completed already? That's the situation I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one representative from MTR; would there be situations like that? A. Yes, there were situations like that. In some situations, the inspectors may be otherwise engaged and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct a pre-pour check, when the inspector of works has not been informed whether there had been a rebar fixing check completed already? That's the situation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one representative from MTR; would there be situations like that? A. Yes, there were situations like that. In some situations, the inspectors may be otherwise engaged and they are not available; I would look at the rebars 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct a pre-pour check, when the inspector of works has not been informed whether there had been a rebar fixing check completed already? That's the situation? A. Well, I would look at the rebars and I would go along
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one representative from MTR; would there be situations like that? A. Yes, there were situations like that. In some situations, the inspectors may be otherwise engaged and they are not available; I would look at the rebars together with the Leighton engineers myself. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct a pre-pour check, when the inspector of works has not been informed whether there had been a rebar fixing check completed already? That's the situation? A. Well, I would look at the rebars and I would go along with the inspectors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one representative from MTR; would there be situations like that? A. Yes, there were situations like that. In some situations, the inspectors may be otherwise engaged and they are not available; I would look at the rebars Q. Then can I pinpoint on that type of situation. So one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct a pre-pour check, when the inspector of works has not been informed whether there had been a rebar fixing check completed already? That's the situation? A. Well, I would look at the rebars and I would go along with the inspectors. If the conditions are acceptable, the inspector would be beside me. It would be known to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Now, it's no criticism against you whatsoever, but it's just that Mr Tang has said in his witness statement that he did not conduct rebar fixing checks in relation to at least the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint. So obviously, if it were the case that you did inspect them, you didn't inspect it with him? A. I am not sure, in his witness statement, whether he did the inspection in relation to the three stitch joints. But generally he will look at the rebars. I'm not sure he did so in relation to the three stitch joints though. Q. Will there be situations where, when MTR is requested to conduct a rebar fixing hold-point check, that only one representative of MTR would be present? So let's say the construction engineer I or construction engineer II, like yourself, or an inspector of works, but just one representative from MTR; would there be situations like that? A. Yes, there were situations like that. In some situations, the inspectors may be otherwise engaged and they are not available; I would look at the rebars together with the Leighton engineers myself. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. After the hold-point inspection for the rebar, we understand that some further works will be carried out at the location and then there will be what we call a pre-pour hold-point check. Now, you yourself did not conduct the pre-pour hold-point check; is that right? A. Pre-pour check? Q. Yes. A. No, I don't do it. It's not my duty. Q. As you understand the situation, is it the case that a Leighton engineer would sometimes call up the MTR inspector of works to conduct this pre-pour check? A. For the details, I think you need to put this to the inspectors. Q. What I'm trying to understand, Ms Kang, is that could there be a situation where a Leighton engineer would call an inspector of works from MTR to conduct a pre-pour check, when the inspector of works has not been informed whether there had been a rebar fixing check completed already? That's the situation? A. Well, I would look at the rebars and I would go along with the inspectors.

	Page 45		Page 47
1	before I inspect the rebars, if I mean, I would talk	1	confirming that the rebar fixing check has been
2	to Chris, I mean, if there was nothing in particular and	2	completed satisfactorily. Could there be a situation
3	everybody knows it's okay.	3	like that? Not the fault of MTR or your own, but
4	Q. I thank you for that answer. It's very clear to us what	4	because of the request from the Leighton engineer.
5	your practice it.	5	A. I still don't quite understand. You mean the Leighton
6	A. (In English) Yes.	6	engineer would directly go for the IOW?
7	Q. I've got no question or quarrel with your practice.	7	Q. Yes.
8	A. Yes.	8	A. That's normal, the IOW would be contacted direct. The
9	Q. Because we have a situation here where Mr Tony Tang	9	IOW will not know whether MTR has already checked the
10	confirmed that he did the pre-pour hold-point checks for	10	rebars and then it would proceed to the pouring of
11	the three stitch joints and the shunt neck joint, but he	11	concrete.
12	did not conduct the rebar fixing hold-point check for	12	Q. Not right. Can I clarify that?
13	those locations. So we now have a situation, as	13	A. (Chinese spoken).
14	confirmed in his evidence, that there may not be	14	Q. No, sorry. Okay, it's my fault.
15	an inspector of works who conducted the rebar fixing	15	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: We need gaps so we can get
16	check, you see. So I want to ask you about those kinds	16	MR TSOI: I'm so sorry, I'm having a conversation with the
17	of situation.	17	witness. I shouldn't do.
18	Now, because we know from the Leighton side, most	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: You are, yes.
19	likely it is going to be the same Leighton engineer who	19	MR TSOI: I'm so sorry.
20	conducts the rebar fixing hold-point check, and it's the	20	MR SHIEH: I thought the witness has not misunderstood, but
21	same engineer who would then conduct a pre-pour check,	21	anyway
22	you see.	22	MR TSOI: Maybe I misunderstood myself which is usually the
23	A. You mean the rebar inspection and pre-pour check would	23	case. Okay.
24	be conducted by the same Leighton engineer?	24	In a situation where the Leighton engineer calls up
25	Q. From the Leighton side, yes.	25	the inspector of works directly for a pre-pour check
	Page 46		Page 48
1	A. Well, yes.	1	A. (In English) Yes.
2	Q. I can tell you that's been confirmed, for example, by	2	Q because the Leighton engineer supposedly had already
3	Mr Henry Lai who said that he did the rebar fixing	3	conducted the rebar fixing check we know that because
4	hold-point check and also the pre-pour check.	4	it's the same engineer
5	A. Understood.	5	A. (In English) Okay.
6	Q. So the situation I'm trying to explore is whether it's	6	Q is it not natural that the Leighton engineer, when
7	a possibility because of course the MTR pre-pour	7	asking for a pre-pour check, to tell the inspector of
8	check individual, the individual from MTR who's	8	works, "The rebar fixing check is done, you can now come
9	responsible for the pre-pour check, he may rely on	9	for a pre-pour check"? Because it's the same engineer
10	information being passed to him by the Leighton	10	from the Leighton side.
11	engineer, you see.	11	A. (In English) Yes.
12	A. I don't agree. I believe he would check whether the MTR		Q. So that would be normal, because you can't ask for
112	-		
13	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely	13	a pre-pour check unless you have done the rebar fixing
14	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step?	14	check?
14 15	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step?Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the	14 15	check? A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works,
14 15 16	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step?Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my	14 15 16	check? A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was
14 15 16 17	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step?Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my fault.	14 15 16 17	check?A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was carried out or not, to carry out. Then he can carry out
14 15 16 17 18	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step?Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my fault.The situation I'm trying to explore is because	14 15 16 17 18	check? A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was carried out or not, to carry out. Then he can carry out the next step of the works.
14 15 16 17 18 19	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step?Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my fault.The situation I'm trying to explore is because the Leighton engineer would sometimes directly contact	14 15 16 17 18 19	check?A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was carried out or not, to carry out. Then he can carry out the next step of the works.Q. Exactly. So, if the Leighton engineer who conducted the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step?Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my fault.The situation I'm trying to explore is because the Leighton engineer would sometimes directly contact the inspector of works to do a pre-pour check, and it	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	check?A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was carried out or not, to carry out. Then he can carry out the next step of the works.Q. Exactly. So, if the Leighton engineer who conducted the rebar fixing check calls the inspector of works and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step?Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my fault.The situation I'm trying to explore is because the Leighton engineer would sometimes directly contact the inspector of works to do a pre-pour check, and it happened in this case, could it be the case that the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	check?A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was carried out or not, to carry out. Then he can carry out the next step of the works.Q. Exactly. So, if the Leighton engineer who conducted the rebar fixing check calls the inspector of works and says, "We have done the rebar fixing check, it's now
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step? Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my fault. The situation I'm trying to explore is because the Leighton engineer would sometimes directly contact the inspector of works to do a pre-pour check, and it happened in this case, could it be the case that the Leighton engineer would call up the inspector of works 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	check?A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was carried out or not, to carry out. Then he can carry out the next step of the works.Q. Exactly. So, if the Leighton engineer who conducted the rebar fixing check calls the inspector of works and says, "We have done the rebar fixing check, it's now done, you can now come and do the pre-pour check",
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step? Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my fault. The situation I'm trying to explore is because the Leighton engineer would sometimes directly contact the inspector of works to do a pre-pour check, and it happened in this case, could it be the case that the Leighton engineer would call up the inspector of works of MTR, no fault of MTR's, right, but the Leighton 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	check?A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was carried out or not, to carry out. Then he can carry out the next step of the works.Q. Exactly. So, if the Leighton engineer who conducted the rebar fixing check calls the inspector of works and says, "We have done the rebar fixing check, it's now done, you can now come and do the pre-pour check", that's possible, isn't it?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 has really checked. You said the inspector would rely on Leighton's information for the next step? Q. No, not quite. Perhaps you should wait for the question. Sorry, that was my fault; it's completely my fault. The situation I'm trying to explore is because the Leighton engineer would sometimes directly contact the inspector of works to do a pre-pour check, and it happened in this case, could it be the case that the Leighton engineer would call up the inspector of works 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	check?A. (In English) Yes, but the IOW, the inspector of works, should be well known whether the rebar inspection was carried out or not, to carry out. Then he can carry out the next step of the works.Q. Exactly. So, if the Leighton engineer who conducted the rebar fixing check calls the inspector of works and says, "We have done the rebar fixing check, it's now done, you can now come and do the pre-pour check",

	Page 49		Page 51
1	Q. Right. That's what I'm getting at. Then the inspector	1	something be sent for record? That's my practice. But
2	of works would go and do the pre-pour check; that's	2	I don't think that's the practice of other people.
3	normal?	3	Q. So there may be no evidence that they did in fact
4	A. (In English) Yes.	4	conduct the rebar fixing check?
5	Q. Right. So, in fact, it could be the case, it's	5	A. Evidence? That's why I wanted to check the records on
6	possible, not that it happened all the time, it's	6	our phones.
7	possible, that the inspector of works took the word of	7	MR TSOI: That's all the questions I want to ask. Thank you
8	the Leighton engineer to say that the rebar fixing check	8	very much.
9	has been done, and then he then goes on to do the	9	WITNESS: (In English) Thank you.
10	pre-pour check?	10	MR SHIEH: Mr Chairman, in the normal course of events it
11	It's possible?	11	should be our turn, but in view of the way the
12	A. (In English) Possible?	12	government has put some of its questions, I don't want
13	A. Are you talking about the possibility?	13	to say "no questions" now, only for me to ask to reopen,
14	Q. Again, I wish to emphasise, I'm not putting fault on MTR	14	so can I ask to decide after I have heard the
15	whatsoever. But it's possible?	15	government's questions?
16	A. (In English) But the IOW has a responsibility have	16	CHAIRMAN: I can certainly see that. That's seeking to save
17	the duty to check whether the rebar inspection had been	17	time, as opposed to gain a tactical advantage. There's
18	carried out or not.	18	no tactical advantage in the Commission of Inquiry as
19	Q. Yes.	19	such. It's not an adversarial contest.
20	A. (In English) Yes.	20	Yes, are you happy to proceed now?
21	Q. But he can check that with the Leighton engineer.	21	MS PANG: Yes, I don't mind going first.
22	A. (In English) And also he should check with MTR	22	CHAIRMAN: Good.
23	engineer or other inspector of works.	23	Cross-examination by MS PANG
24	Q. Yes, in the normal situation, in a perfect world he	24	MS PANG: Good afternoon, Ms Kang.
25	should, but he could also just believe the Leighton	25	A. (In English) Good afternoon.
	Page 50		Page 52
1	engineer; is that right?	1	Q. My name is Ellen Pang and I represent the government.
2	A. (In English) I can't comment.	2	I have a couple of questions I would like to explore
3	Q. It's all right. As I say, I'm just trying to explore	3	with you.
4	the situation, the possibilities, without any fault on	4	First of all, just for my benefit, I would like to
5	MTR whatsoever. Right.	5	understand a bit more about your duties as an engineer.
6	In terms of situations where there is no RISC form	6	You mentioned earlier that you would conduct routine
7	request but there's a request for inspection, would you	7	inspection and we know that you also carried out
8	say that MTR, to a certain extent, would rely on the	8	hold-point inspection for the rebars, and we know that
9	Leighton engineer in relation to the information about	9	you attend interface meetings. So is that all that you
10	works? So, for example, the rebar fixing is ready or	10	would need to cover as an engineer, or are there also
11	the pre-pour check is now ready to be conducted?	11	other works that you would need to do as an engineer?
12	A. Not really. Our inspectors were there full-time. They	12	A. (In English) As an engineer, we need to make sure that
13	would send lots of photos to the WhatsApp group. There	13	the construction project deliver within to meet the
14	would be a daily progress summary. There would be	14	programme. Sorry.
15	photos, summary, bullet points. So everyone with the	15	A. We are responsible for delivering the project. Our
16	MTRC would understand the progress of work on the site.	16	routine duties would include making sure, in the case of
17	We didn't have to rely on any Leighton engineer to	17	construction, that things are done in accordance with
18	understand the progress or the stage we were in.	18	the regulations there are many regulations or
19	Q. Perhaps as a final question: if those responsible in MTR	19	plans and drawings, and to make sure the safety and also
20	conducted the rebar fixing checks at the three stitch	20	environmental compliance on site, and many, many other
21	joints and the shunt neck joint, would one expect there	21	things; many, many other duties as well.
22	to be photographic evidence sent by those individuals to	22	Q. That's a lot to do. Would you say that hold-point
23	an MTR group, to confirm that they have completed the	23	inspection or conducting hold-point inspection is
24	rebar fixing check in those locations?	24	a matter that you would normally prioritise, because if
25	A. So you are saying that after the rebar inspection, would	25	you don't do the hold-point inspection then it might

	Page 53		Page 55
1	affect the progress, so would that normally take	1	conduct rebar inspection for the stitch joints and also
2	priority over other work?	2	the shunt neck joint: Chris Chan, yourself and Mr Tony
3	A. There are many important issues on site. Whether this	3	Tang. Is that right?
4	would be prioritised as such, I couldn't say that,	4	A. I think ConE team, the inspector team, can do the
5	because sometimes you have other duties, other meetings	5	inspection. The ConE team includes Chris, myself, and
6	to attend, when you are called upon to do the hold-point	6	senior construction manager or senior construction
7	inspection you might have to ask other colleagues to do	7	engineer Joe Tsang. For the inspectors, they include
8	the rebar inspection, instead of I would just do the	8	the senior inspector, Kenneth Kong; Victor Tung; and
9	rebar inspection and withhold other things.	9	Tony Tang. Further down, AIOWs: Wan Yiu Wing, Wong
10	Q. I understand. Perhaps one last question on this topic.	10	Wai Chung. These are the names that I remember.
11	Would you say that conducting rebar inspection is a main	11	Q. So all of these people may have conducted hold-point
12	part of your duties?	12	inspection for rebar?
13	A. It's my duty. Is it a main part? It's an important	13	A. Yes, correct.
14	duty, I would say.	14	Q. I would like to focus on Tony Tang. Do you recall that
15	Q. Thank you. Ms Kang, have you ever attended any training	15	I think my learned friend Mr Tsoi has asked you whether
16	sessions given by BOSA?	16	Mr Tony Tang was involved in the hold-point inspection
17	A. No. I never attended any training offered by BOSA.	17	for rebars, and your answer to him was he was involved
18	Q. If I remember correctly, you joined MTR as a graduate	18	in the rebar inspection. Do you recall that exchange?
19	engineer; right? I'm just wondering who gave you	19	A. I remember I did say that, yes.
20	instruction or who told you how to conduct inspection of	20	Q. I'd just like to clarify, if you can recall, which area
21	the connection between rebar and couplers?	21	Mr Tony Tang was responsible for insofar as rebar
22	A. No one instructed me.	22	inspection is concerned. I ask you this because Mr Tony
23	Q. To you, what would be a proper connection between	23	Tang mentioned in his witness statement that he was only
24	a rebar and a coupler, or how would you determine if	24	responsible for rebar inspection for the NFA area but
25	a rebar has been properly connected to a coupler?	25	not the other areas for the NAT. So I'd like to see if
	Page 54		Page 56
1	A. If you are talking about 2016-2017, I had no such	1	you can help us on that.
2	knowledge about the proper connection or whether they	2	A. Well, I didn't specify NFA or NAT. My understanding is
3	are properly connected.	3	that in the 1112 contract, the inspectors can look at
4	Q. So is it the case that when you conduct hold-point	4	the rebars. I have seen some WhatsApp messages in the
5	inspection for rebar works, you would only focus on	5	group about the location that he checked regarding the
6	spacing, number of rebars, et cetera, but you would not	6	rebars, although I cannot remember the exact location.
7	check that a rebar has been fully screwed into	7	Q. So you can only say that you have a general impression
8	a coupler? Is it the case? Or perhaps it might be	8	that he was involved in the rebar inspection, but you
9	easier if you tell us what you would look for when you	9	cannot say whether he has been involved in a particular
10	are conducting a hold-point inspection for rebar.	10	area or not?
11	A. Rebar inspection, what would I look out for? I would	11	A. Right, let me put it this way. When I prepared my
12	have the up-to-date working drawings or construction	12	witness statement, as I said a moment ago, I retrieved
13	drawings, I would make sure the bar size, bar diameter,	13	the information from Victor Tung's WhatsApp message, and
14	bar spacing length, lap length, they should be aligned	14	I saw Tony having sent the messages, but the location he
15	with the construction drawing. That's what I would be	15	inspected, perhaps we can check about the exact
			1
16	looking at.	16	location.
16 17	looking at. Q. So is it right to say that you would not focus	16 17	Q. I see. So moving on to how a hold-point inspection is
17	Q. So is it right to say that you would not focus	17	Q. I see. So moving on to how a hold-point inspection is
17 18	Q. So is it right to say that you would not focus particularly on the connection between a rebar and	17 18	Q. I see. So moving on to how a hold-point inspection is actually carried out I would like to focus on the communication within the ConE team and between the ConE team and also the IOWs. You recall telling us earlier
17 18 19 20 21	Q. So is it right to say that you would not focus particularly on the connection between a rebar and a coupler? That would not be your focus?	17 18 19 20 21	Q. I see. So moving on to how a hold-point inspection is actually carried out I would like to focus on the communication within the ConE team and between the ConE team and also the IOWs. You recall telling us earlier that sometimes you would receive a phone call directly
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So is it right to say that you would not focus particularly on the connection between a rebar and a coupler? That would not be your focus?A. That's right. I would look at them in general, to see whether they are connected, but I would not check them in detail.	17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I see. So moving on to how a hold-point inspection is actually carried out I would like to focus on the communication within the ConE team and between the ConE team and also the IOWs. You recall telling us earlier that sometimes you would receive a phone call directly from Leighton engineer about rebar inspection; that's
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So is it right to say that you would not focus particularly on the connection between a rebar and a coupler? That would not be your focus?A. That's right. I would look at them in general, to see whether they are connected, but I would not check them in detail.Q. I see.	 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Q. I see. So moving on to how a hold-point inspection is actually carried out I would like to focus on the communication within the ConE team and between the ConE team and also the IOWs. You recall telling us earlier that sometimes you would receive a phone call directly from Leighton engineer about rebar inspection; that's correct, right?
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So is it right to say that you would not focus particularly on the connection between a rebar and a coupler? That would not be your focus?A. That's right. I would look at them in general, to see whether they are connected, but I would not check them in detail.	17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. I see. So moving on to how a hold-point inspection is actually carried out I would like to focus on the communication within the ConE team and between the ConE team and also the IOWs. You recall telling us earlier that sometimes you would receive a phone call directly from Leighton engineer about rebar inspection; that's

	Page 57		Page 59
1	sometimes he would receive a phone call, and if he's	1	A. Well, for the WhatsApp group, ConE team and inspectors
2	unavailable then he would delegate to you. As far as	2	would have access to the information. Any circumstances
3	you're aware, that happens as well; is that right?	3	where I informed one party only? As I said, my practice
4	A. Yes. When he's not available, I would help him to look	4	is I would inform Chris, and Chris would be kept
5	at the rebars.	5	informed in any case. I look at the rebar with the
6	Q. Would there be a situation where a Leighton engineer	6	inspectors; the inspectors would know about that. I'm
7	contacts an IOW directly for rebar inspection? I'm	7	not sure. Maybe I would ring up and tell them.
8	talking about rebar inspection.	8	Q. Perhaps I will ask this. Can you rule out the
9	A. Well, that I'm not sure, whether they would approach the	9	possibility that there would be a situation where you've
10	inspectors direct. I have to check whether the Leighton	10	only informed the ConE team but perhaps not the IOW?
11	engineers would approach the inspectors direct about the	11	Could that happen?
12	rebar inspection.	12	A. Well, it's not impossible.
13	Q. Is it fair to say that there is no fixed point of	13	Q. Would you accept that, in that situation, how does MTR
14	contact on the part of MTR for rebar inspection? So	14	ensure that every single area has been inspected either
15	sometimes it might be Chris, it might be you, and	15	by ConE or IOW or both? If you would not or you may
16	possibly IOW?	16	not communicate with each other on every single
17	A. Correct.	17	occasion, then is there a mechanism, or how can MTR
18	Q. After you finish conducting rebar inspection, if there	18	ensure that every single area has in fact been
19	is no RISC form, how would you record the result of the	19	inspected?
20	inspection? For example, pass or fail, and on some	20	A. Well, I think in terms of communication, I would have to
21	occasions you might have some notes to add; where would	21	make sure that everybody would be in the know. The
22	you record that information?	22	situation you mention, ConE team knew but inspectors
23	A. As I said a few moments ago, generally I would send	23	didn't know now, the inspectors would be on site, and
24	a WhatsApp message to the group, and I would keep the	24	when they are requested to do the pre-pour check, they
25	photos. And if there are any about a week or a month	25	do have the duty to verify whether rebar inspection did
	D 50		
	Page 58		Page 60
1	-	1	Page 60 take place in that area before they proceed to the next
1 2	later, I would know that I have inspected that	1 2	-
	-		take place in that area before they proceed to the next
2	later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable.	2	take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage.
2 3	later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful.	2 3	take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they
2 3 4	later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your	2 3 4 5	take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify.
2 3 4 5	later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465,	2 3 4 5	take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage.CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify.A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has
2 3 4 5 6	later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan	2 3 4 5 6	take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage.CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify.A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on?
2 3 4 5 6 7	later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable.Q. Right. That's helpful.Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last	2 3 4 5 6 7	take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage.CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify.A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on?A. (In English) Is this your question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage.CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify.A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on?A. (In English) Is this your question?CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so for every single occasion; there would be occasions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that rebar inspection has taken place at a particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so for every single occasion; there would be occasions where you only reported orally or in person? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that rebar inspection has taken place at a particular location. If they didn't see the message, they can ring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so for every single occasion; there would be occasions where you only reported orally or in person? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that rebar inspection has taken place at a particular location. If they didn't see the message, they can ring up the ConE team. We are sitting in the same office.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so for every single occasion; there would be occasions where you only reported orally or in person? A. Correct. Q. And here you say you usually inform the ConE team or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that rebar inspection has taken place at a particular location. If they didn't see the message, they can ring up the ConE team. We are sitting in the same office. It would not be hard for them to approach us about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so for every single occasion; there would be occasions where you only reported orally or in person? A. Correct. Q. And here you say you usually inform the ConE team or inspector of works. So am I right in saying that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that rebar inspection has taken place at a particular location. If they didn't see the message, they can ring up the ConE team. We are sitting in the same office. It would not be hard for them to approach us about whether we have done the inspection. A simple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so for every single occasion; there would be occasions where you only reported orally or in person? A. Correct. Q. And here you say you usually inform the ConE team or inspector of works. So am I right in saying that you would not notify everybody on every single occasion, so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that rebar inspection has taken place at a particular location. If they didn't see the message, they can ring up the ConE team. We are sitting in the same office. It would not be hard for them to approach us about whether we have done the inspection. A simple communication like that would suffice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so for every single occasion; there would be occasions where you only reported orally or in person? A. Correct. Q. And here you say you usually inform the ConE team or inspector of works. So am I right in saying that you would not notify everybody on every single occasion, so there would be occasions when you only notified the ConE 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that rebar inspection has taken place at a particular location. If they didn't see the message, they can ring up the ConE team. We are sitting in the same office. It would not be hard for them to approach us about whether we have done the inspection. A simple communication like that would suffice. CHAIRMAN: Could I ask this: was it then part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 later, I would know that I have inspected that particular area and that would be acceptable. Q. Right. That's helpful. Can I ask you to had take a look at one of your paragraphs in your witness statement at BB9465, paragraph 11. We have looked at this with Mr Chris Chan yesterday, so you might have an impression. The last sentence on this page: "After I conducted a rebar fixing hold-point inspection, I would usually inform the ConE team or inspectors of works, or both, by way of a WhatsApp message, or orally in person or telephone call." Now, first of all focusing on the means of communication, do you agree that while you may send a WhatsApp message reporting on the results of the inspection, sometimes you may or you may not do so for every single occasion; there would be occasions where you only reported orally or in person? A. Correct. Q. And here you say you usually inform the ConE team or inspector of works. So am I right in saying that you would not notify everybody on every single occasion, so 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 take place in that area before they proceed to the next stage. CHAIRMAN: I think the question may be how would they verify. A. Well, MTR ConE team, how can they verify the message has been passed on? A. (In English) Is this your question? CHAIRMAN: No. You have said, I think, "Now, the inspectors would be on site, and when they are requested to do a pre-pour check, they would have to verify whether a rebar hold-point check had already taken place." So they receive a request, "Can we do a pre-pour check?" They need to make sure that there has already been a hold-point rebar check. Question: how do they check that out? A. Well, I send the WhatsApp message, they would know that rebar inspection has taken place at a particular location. If they didn't see the message, they can ring up the ConE team. We are sitting in the same office. It would not be hard for them to approach us about whether we have done the inspection. A simple communication like that would suffice.

	Page 61		Page 63
1	works would always go back to the MTR ConE team and say,	1	there would have been nothing built into MTR's system to
2	"Can you confirm that the rebar inspection has already	2	require an IOW to check with the ConE team separately
3	taken place"?	3	before a pre-pour check, it's not a specific requirement
4	A. Well, if they are not sure the rebars have been	4	in PIMS; would you agree with that?
5	inspected, they probably would do this.	5	A. I would have to check the details of MTR PIMS or whether
6	CHAIRMAN: But there's nothing built into the system that	6	there's any similar requirement in the specs. Because
7	says they must do that?	7	we are talking about the duties of inspectors. I don't
8	A. I think procedurally, it is not specified as originally,	8	know the details. But I understand that's what we did
9	no.	9	on site.
10	CHAIRMAN: So somebody comes along I'm just wondering it	10	Q. Do you recall that my learned friend Mr Tsoi asked you
11	people may just assume that if they are asked to do	11	earlier about a situation where an IOW was not involved
12	a pre-pour check, then there must have been a rebar	12	in the rebar inspection; do you remember that?
13	check earlier?	13	A. (Nodded head).
14	A. Well, I think we have to ask the inspector whether they	14	Q. Do you specifically recall situations where Tony Tang or
15	have the assumption like this.	15	other IOWs calling you up to ask, "Hi, Kappa, it would
16	CHAIRMAN: All right.	16	be nice to do a pre-pour check for this area; have you
17	MS PANG: Ms Kang, if there is a RISC form, then the IOW	17	already completed the rebar inspection"? Do you recall
18	would be able to tell from the RISC form that rebar	18	those situations?
19	inspection has been completed so he need not check with	19	A. Well, I have such memory, yes. Some inspector did call
20	you separately; is that correct?	20	me up. Whether it's Tony or any specific inspector,
21	A. Yes, I think so. If the RISC form is available on time,	21	I cannot recall. But I did receive calls.
22	I think they would check the information there.	22	CHAIRMAN: You remember that, do you?
23	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I just ask one question. You have	23	A. Yes, I remember, there were such calls, but I cannot
24	to forgive me asking this because I'm not an engineer,	24	remember from whom.
25	but some major projects which require teams of people to	25	MS PANG: So presumably for those areas you have not sent
	Page 62		Page 64
1	operate, in the command centre, if I can call it that,	1	a WhatsApp message to the group and that's why the IOW
2	they might often have a big chart up on the wall where	2	needs to check with you; right?
3	everybody could go to the chart and you would see, you	3	A. I believe he just didn't know whether rebar had been
4	know, "Bay 20: delay" or something; "Bay 21: hold-point	4	checked. That's why he had to verify.
5	check on rebars confirmed on the 15th", a ready source	5	Q. Right. Ms Kang, if you had sent a WhatsApp message to
6	of visual reckoning. Anything like that in your offices	6	the group, and I assume the group would also include
7	there? Because you shared offices with Leighton.	7	IOWs; right?
8	A. Not according to my recollection.	8	A. (Nodded head).
9	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	9	Q. If you had sent a message, then presumably they would
10	MS PANG: To follow up on my earlier question so, if	10	know you had inspected; right?
11	there is no RISC form or if a RISC form is not submitted	11	A. (Witness nodded).
12	on time, then you said you would expect the IOW to check		Q. So my question is, for those situations when they had to
13	with the ConE team. You've told us earlier	13	call you separately, would you accept that you did not
14	basically, you have given us a list of people who might	14	send a WhatsApp message informing everyone that you
15	be responsible for conducting rebar inspection. So you	15	conducted rebar inspection?
16	are expecting the IOW to go through the list and check	16	A. Sorry, I don't quite understand the question.
17	with each of them to see if a rebar inspection has been	17	Q. Perhaps I can try again. So the WhatsApp group would
18	conducted? Is that what you are saying?	18	include both members from ConE team and the IOW; right?
19	A. Well, I mean if he doesn't know whether the rebar	19 20	A. (In English) Yes.
20	inspection has been done for the bay he is responsible,	20	Q. So if you had sent a message to the group saying,
21	he should verify or check whether the inspection did	21	"I have conducted rebar inspection for area A",
		22	starten the total 11 1 1 1 1 1
22	take place.	22	et cetera, then the IOW would also be able to see that;
22 23	take place. Q. Ms Kang, would you accept that in an ideal world where	23	right?
22	take place.	23	

	Page 65		Page 67
1	separately to check who did the rebar inspection for	1	Q. This is the document you reviewed, correct, which you
2	area A?	2	say incorrectly shows you were present when in fact you
3	A. Mm-hmm.	3	were not?
4	Q. Would you agree?	4	A. (In English) Yes.
5	A. (In English) Yes.	5	Q. Thank you. Can I just then cross-check a certain
6	MS PANG: I think that's all that I need to ask.	6	document with you: BB9/6482.
7	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, just one final question from me. Looking	7	You remember looking at this document earlier this
8	back on all of this now, it appears to me, as	8	morning?
9	a non-engineer, that without the RISC forms being filled	9	A. (In English) Yes.
10	out as they should have been prior to hold-point	10	Q. Now, this document showed that you were present on site
11	inspections taking place, once they were no longer	11	on 29 December 2016; correct?
12	therefore operative, the other methods for checking who	12	A. (In English) Yes.
13	had done inspections and who hadn't were all a bit	13	Q. It showed, again, that you were on site on 9 January
14	casual. Would you agree with that or not?	14	2017; correct?
15	A. Agree.	15	A. (In English) Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just say it appears to me, as	16	Q. Now, you said that you were in New Zealand on honeymoon
17	an engineer, that they were a bit casual.	17	for I think 16 days; correct?
18	I have one question for you, Ms Kang. Did you ever	18	A. (In English) Yes.
19	receive training in PIMS?	19	Q. Now, there was not 16 days between 29 December and
20	A. I cannot really recall. When I was a graduate engineer,	20	9 January, so can I perhaps suggest to you that maybe
21	whether there was an induction course on PIMS, I cannot	21	you had misplaced your could it be that you had
22	recall. But even if there was one, it would last for	22	misplaced your 16 days of honeymoon? It's not that
23	one hour. We would be told where you could find the	23	period of honeymoon doesn't actually cover 4 or
24	documents, and so on. There would be no details.	24	5 January but covered maybe a later period in January?
25	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So at most you received one hour	25	Could that be the case?
	Page 66		Page 68
1	training on PIMS?	1	A. I need to check. I remember it's during Christmas to
2	A. I believe so.	2	early January.
3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. Thank you.	3	Q. Right, no, because we can count, but
4	CHAIRMAN: I suspect Mr Shieh, is there anything that	4	A. (In English) Yes.
5	arises?	5	Q. But anyway
6	Cross-examination by MR SHIEH	6	A. I am also
7	MR SHIEH: I have one topic to explore with the witness.	7	MR SHIEH: I have no further questions for you, Ms Kang.
8	Ms Kang, earlier on you remember you were asked	8	Thank you very much.
9	about whether you were in Hong Kong during certain	9	WITNESS: (In English) Thank you.
10	periods in January 2017. Do you remember?	10	MR BOULDING: I have no further questions. Thank you very
11	A. (In English) Yes.	11	much, Ms Kang.
12	Q. And you referred specifically to an interface meeting	12	Sir, I don't know whether you've got any further
13	minute that you had reviewed I think before coming to	13	questions, or Professor?
14	this hearing and you said someone might have forgotten	14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: No.
15	to delete your name from the list of attendees on behalf	15	CHAIRMAN: No. Thank you very much. Your evidence is
16	of MTRC. Do you remember that?	16	completed now. Thank you for your assistance.
17	A. (In English) Yes.	17	WITNESS: (In English) Thank you so much.
18	Q. Can I ask you to look at that document. It is at BB3,	18	CHAIRMAN: Thank you. You can leave now.
19	page 1791.	19	(The witness was released)
20	A. I see that.	20	MR BOULDING: My next witness, sir, is Mr Tony Tang.
21	Q. I believe that it is this document, this set of minutes,	21	MR TANG SIU HANG, TONY (affirmed in Cantonese)
22	which Mr Pennicott in front of me was intending to show	22	(All answers given via simultaneous interpreter
23	to you, which is dated 6 January 2017, which shows your	23	except where otherwise specified)
24	name. You see your name there, Ms Kang?	24	Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING
25	A. (In English) Yes, I see.	25	Q. Good afternoon, Mr Tang.

Г

		Page 71
1 A. (In English) Yes.	1	the very top as construction manager, but just come
2 Q. I assume you are going to give your evidence in	2	down. If you follow that line down, do we there see
3 Cantonese?	3	your face, a photograph of your face? I think you're
4 A. That's correct.	4	the fifth photograph down; is that you, Mr Tang?
5 Q. You have produced two witness statements for the	5	A. Yes, I can see that.
6 assistance of the learned Commissioners in this Inquiry.	6	Q. And do we understand from your evidence that you report
7 Please can we go to the first statement which is at	7	to the senior inspector of works who at that time was
8 bundle BB1/121.	8	a Mr Kenneth Kong?
9 There do we see the first page of your first	9	A. Correct.
10 statement, Mr Tang?	10	Q. Thank you. I have no further questions for you at this
11 A. Yes.	11	stage, but Mr Pennicott, who is the counsel to the
12 Q. Then if we can go on to page BB138, there do we see you	ır 12	Commission of Inquiry, will ask you some questions
13 signature under the date of 2 May 2019?	13	first. Then there are various other lawyers in the room
14 A. Yes, correct.	14	who have the opportunity to ask you questions.
15 Q. Then if we could go back, please, to page 121. We see,	15	Prof Hansford and the Commissioner can ask you questions
16 do we not, in paragraph 1, that you tell the learned	16	at any time. Then it might be that I'll have some
17 Commissioners that you are an inspector of works on the	17	further questions for you in due course. Do you
18 SCL project; correct?	18	understand that?
19 A. Correct.	19	A. I understand.
20 Q. Then in paragraph 3 you give, do you not, a potted	20	MR BOULDING: Please sit there and listen carefully to the
21 history of your career with the MTR from when you joined	1 21	questions.
in 2010 through to the current time?	22	Examination by MR PENNICOTT
23 A. Correct.	23	MR PENNICOTT: Mr Tang, good afternoon.
24 Q. Then if we can look at your supplemental statement,	24	A. Good afternoon, sir.
25 please, and if we can go for that purpose to BB14/9495.	25	Q. As Mr Boulding has indicated, my name is Ian Pennicott,
Page 7	0	Page 72
1 There do we see the first page of your supplemental	1	I'm one of the counsel to the Commission; I'm going to
2 witness statement, Mr Tang?	2	ask you some questions. First of all, thank you very
3 A. Correct.	3	much for coming along to give evidence to the Commission
4 Q. Then if we go on to the second page of that documer		today.
5 9496, do we see your signature under the date of 3 Jun		Mr Tang, as I understand it, you were an inspector
6 2019?	6	of works on the SCL project, and on contract 1112, from
7 A. Correct.	7	June 2013 right up to date; is that right?
8 Q. I'm right in thinking, am I not, that in that	8	A. Correct.
9 supplemental statement you qualify the evidence set of	ut 9	Q. A period of some, now, six years. And since around
10 in your first statement so far as what you say could be		September/October 2013, you have been responsible
11 seen in various photographs to which you refer? Does		primarily for the NAT area but also the NFA; is that
12 that summarise what you do?	12	right?
13 A. Yes, correct.	13	A. I think the exact date is in the statement. I think
14 Q. Now, subject to those qualifications, do you confirm		it's correct.
15 that the contents of those statements are true to the	15	Q. Right. And I think also you say in your statement that
16 best of your knowledge and belief?	16	you've had, since about April 2018, some involvement
17 A. Absolutely correct.	17	with the HHS area?
18 Q. I just want to ask you one further question, and that i		A. Correct.
19 by reference to the MTR organisation chart. We'll fin		Q. And I imagine, by the time you arrived in the HHS area
20 that at B2/582.	20	in April 2018, essentially all the civils work had
21 If you look in the top left-hand corner, you can	21	finished; is that right?
22 see, can you not, that this is the organisation chart	22	A. Mostly completed, yes.
for MTR as of at January 2017; do you see that?	23	Q. Right. Now, can we just understand this point, Mr Tang.
24 A. Yes.	24	My understanding is that your evidence is that you were
	at 25	not involved in the formal rebar fixing inspections in

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

	Page 73		Page 75
1	the NAT area, including the three stitch joints and the	1	A. Well, I think as an inspector myself, the first thing
2	shunt neck joint. Is that correct?	2	I turn up for work is to do a site walk. I have to
3	A. NAT, EWL and NSL, yes, right.	3	understand what's going on at the site, whether things
4	Q. Right. But you say in I think your second witness	4	are going on normally, whether there is anything out of
5	statement you had some limited involvement in formal	5	the ordinary. So I would my routine inspection is
	rebar fixing inspections in the NFA. Is that correct?	6	
6			a site walk. That's always my habit.
7	Have I understood that correctly?	7	Q. Right. Were these photographs taken on principally your
8	A. Correct.	8	mobile phone or did you have a special camera, or what
9	Q. So that we understand it, what was your limited	9	did you use?
10	involvement in the formal rebar inspections in the NFA?	10	A. Well, at the early stage, I would let me put it this
11	What part did you play?	11	way. I would use my digital camera at the beginning.
12	A. Well, as a matter of fact, mainly the engineers would be	12	I've gone through two digital cameras on site. Then
13	responsible for looking at the rebars. Now, for NSL and	13	WhatsApp became the order of the day and I had to report
14	EWL, I would do the site walks, and there are I have	14	on the progress of what's going on site; I switched to
15	to report on the progress and I didn't have time to look	15	the handset to do the photography.
16	at what I considered to be more complicated rebar work.	16	Q. Okay. I understand. And am I right in thinking that
17	Now, for the rebar hold-point inspections, it is	17	all or at least most of those photographs would be
18	about complicated structures and I would spend an awful	18	uploaded onto the MTRC server?
19	long time.	19	A. The photographs that I took, yes.
20	Q. Right. My understanding is, from your evidence, that so	20	Q. All right. Now, in paragraph 15 of your witness
21	far as the NAT areas are concerned, including the stitch	21	statement, first witness statement, starting at the very
22	joints, your expectation was that the formal hold-point	22	bottom of page BB1/123, you set out, in a series of
23	inspections of the rebar would be carried out by one of	23	subparagraphs, your evidence in relation to the RISC
24	the engineering team. Is that right?	24	form process. Do you see that, Mr Tang?
25	A. Correct.	25	A. Yes, I do.
	Page 74		Page 76
1	Q. Right. That might be a ConE I or a ConE II; is that	1	Q. Right. I just wanted to ask you about subparagraph (7)
2	right?	2	on page 124. You say there:
3	A. Correct.	3	"The IOW/ConE would update the RISC form register
4	Q. Okay. As you tell us in your witness statement, you	4	recording: (i) who conducted the relevant inspection;
5	and I'm focusing on the NAT area generally at the	5	(ii) outcome of the inspection; and, (iii) whether
6	moment, Mr Tang conducted routine daily surveillance	6	re-inspection was required, or the RISC form had been
7	on site, and you tell us what aspects of the work that	7	closed out."
8	you focused on. That's the general works being	8	Do you see that, Mr Tang?
9	constructed, general progress, general site management,	9	A. Yes.
10	and safety; those are the matters that you focused on?	10	Q. Now, when you say, "The IOW/ConE would update the RISC
11	A. Correct.	11	form register", do you mean the IOW or the ConE that had
12	Q. What you did do during the course of your site	12	actually carried out the inspection?
13	surveillance, Mr Tang, was take lots of photographs. Is	13	A. What I mean is, whether we are talking about IOW or
14	that right?	14	ConE, when they are done with the inspection, they have
15	A. Yes.	15	the duty to sign off a form. Once that form has been
16	Q. Right. Indeed, a bundle that we have in the hearing,	16	signed off, we have to update people about the status in
17	BB10, has 594 of your photographs, or something of that	17	the register.
18	order, practically a whole file full of photographs. We	18	Q. Who inputs the information into the register, Mr Tang?
19	are going to be looking at some other photographs in	19	A. My understanding is whoever was responsible for the
20	a moment.	20	hold-point inspection is responsible for inputting the
21	A. Okay, sir.	21	information.
22	Q. But, as a general point, Mr Tang, what was the purpose	22	Q. I ask you this, Mr Tang, because we've just heard from
23	of taking all of these photographs? Were you required	23	Ms Kappa Kang, who indicated to us that she did not at
23	to do that? Did you do it as a matter of interest?	24	any time input any information into the register. Now,
25	What was your purpose in taking all these photographs?	25	she carried out a lot of hold-point inspections, it
	mat was your purpose in taking all these photographs?		she carried out a fot of hold point hispections, it

	Page 77		Page 79
1	would appear. She says that once she had filled in the	1	A. Correct.
2	part C of the form, she would have given it back to	2	Q. Can you explain what's happened?
3	an IOW, such as yourself, to allow you to do or the	3	A. There might be a typo, typing error. We should look at
4	IOW, not necessarily you personally, Mr Tang to input	4	the form, who signed the form, that would be the
5	the information. Is that wrong?	5	verifiable information.
6	A. Well, once the form has been signed, it would not be	6	Q. Do you recall yourself, Mr Tang, inputting information
7	handed back to the IOW. It would be handed to SIOW.	7	into this register?
8	Q. All right. So would it be the SIOW, perhaps, who	8	A. Yes, yes, I can remember that.
9	inputted the information into the RISC register?	9	Q. So is this a situation where Ms Kang may have passed the
10	A. As I've said, whoever carried out the inspection should	10	RISC form to you, you've inputted the information, but
11	be responsible for this register. Whether it's SIOW,	11	you have incorrectly recorded your own initials rather
12	whether he would he would countersign at some part,	12	than Ms Kang's? Is that a possibility?
13	I can't remember, he would countersign the form.	13	A. This was possible.
14	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, can you help me again. Which form are we		Q. Okay.
15	talking about?	15	CHAIRMAN: Is this an opportune moment?
16	MR PENNICOTT: The RISC form, sir.	16	MR PENNICOTT: Just one more question, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN: Because we are talking about a register and	10	CHAIRMAN: Of course.
17	a RISC form.	17	MR PENNICOTT: There are and I can show you some examples
19	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.	19	if necessary, Mr Tang a number of instances where
20	CHAIRMAN: My understanding was you got the RISC form and		I think we may even be able to pick one up here on this
	you took information and you put it into a separate		page, by coincidence instances where the various
21		21	
22	register.	22 23	columns under "Inspection" and "Action require" are
23	MR PENNICOTT: Correct.		blank. There's one there. You need to scroll to the
24	CHAIRMAN: I've got a little confused as to the two.	24	left just to pick up whether it is a separate item.
25	MR PENNICOTT: That's entirely right.	25	A bit further, please. Yes.
	Page 78		Page 80
		1	
1	Mr Tang, can we just test the process in this way.	1	And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in
2	Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at	2	And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has
2 3	Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329.	2 3	And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the
2 3 4	Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you	2 3 4	And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right?
2 3 4 5	Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang?	2 3 4 5	And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely.
2 3 4 5 6	Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that.	2 3 4 5 6	And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right?A. It's possible. It's likely.MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient
2 3 4 5 6 7	Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right?A. It's possible. It's likely.MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right?A. It's possible. It's likely.MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment.CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC register, at BB13/8815.175. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning. Sir, I have taken the view, for a number of reasons,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC register, at BB13/8815.175. If we can focus on the RISC form 10625 that we've 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning. Sir, I have taken the view, for a number of reasons, that the work we should do for the rest of this week is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC register, at BB13/8815.175. If we can focus on the RISC form 10625 that we've just looked at do you see that, Mr Tang? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning. Sir, I have taken the view, for a number of reasons, that the work we should do for the rest of this week is to complete all of the MTR's witnesses. An optimistic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC register, at BB13/8815.175. If we can focus on the RISC form 10625 that we've just looked at do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning. Sir, I have taken the view, for a number of reasons, that the work we should do for the rest of this week is to complete all of the MTR's witnesses. An optimistic view would be that we might complete them tomorrow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC register, at BB13/8815.175. If we can focus on the RISC form 10625 that we've just looked at do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. So submitted, it says, 19 May, which is right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning. Sir, I have taken the view, for a number of reasons, that the work we should do for the rest of this week is to complete all of the MTR's witnesses. An optimistic view would be that we might complete them tomorrow evening, Thursday, or perhaps the more realistic and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC register, at BB13/8815.175. If we can focus on the RISC form 10625 that we've just looked at do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. So submitted, it says, 19 May, which is right. If we can then scroll over to the right-hand side of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning. Sir, I have taken the view, for a number of reasons, that the work we should do for the rest of this week is to complete all of the MTR's witnesses. An optimistic view would be that we might complete them tomorrow evening, Thursday, or perhaps the more realistic and perhaps a little bit pessimistic view is we will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC register, at BB13/8815.175. If we can focus on the RISC form 10625 that we've just looked at do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. So submitted, it says, 19 May, which is right. If we can then scroll over to the right-hand side of the register, rather than seeing what I thought we might 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning. Sir, I have taken the view, for a number of reasons, that the work we should do for the rest of this week is to complete all of the MTR's witnesses. An optimistic view would be that we might complete them tomorrow evening, Thursday, or perhaps the more realistic and perhaps a little bit pessimistic view is we will complete them sometime on Friday.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Can I ask you please to be shown the RISC form at BB1/329. Now, this is, or should be, RISC form 10625. Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Sir, for your information, it's one of the RISC forms on the NAT pour summary. If we scroll down, we can see a bit further down, please that so far as MTR is concerned, the rebar inspection was carried out by Kappa Kang, the ConE II, on 19 May 2016, described as "satisfactory". Do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. Right. Then if we could please look at the RISC register, at BB13/8815.175. If we can focus on the RISC form 10625 that we've just looked at do you see that, Mr Tang? A. I see that. Q. So submitted, it says, 19 May, which is right. If we can then scroll over to the right-hand side of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 And presumably, Mr Tang, if there are no entries in the "Inspection" or the "Action" column, somebody has omitted, forgotten to input the information from the RISC form into the register; is that right? A. It's possible. It's likely. MR PENNICOTT: Okay. Sir, that would be a convenient moment. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you. How are we doing time-wise? MR PENNICOTT: Sir, we are doing well. I just wonder I know it's 1.05 and we have a witness in the witness box, but I wonder if it might be worth just mentioning what the proposed plan is for the rest of the week. CHAIRMAN: Yes. MR PENNICOTT: which I know we discussed briefly this morning. Sir, I have taken the view, for a number of reasons, that the work we should do for the rest of this week is to complete all of the MTR's witnesses. An optimistic view would be that we might complete them tomorrow evening, Thursday, or perhaps the more realistic and perhaps a little bit pessimistic view is we will

	Page 81		Page 83
1	were, adjourn and then resume with the Pypun witnesses,	1	After having given the description of the RISC form
2	followed by the government witnesses, on Monday morning.	2	process in paragraph 15, you then say:
3	I have mentioned that to one or two of my learned	3	"However, it should be noted that the majority of
4	friends, not many of them, certainly not all of them.	4	the formal hold-point inspections that I conducted in
5	Sir, so that's what I would recommend. On that	5	NAT were carried out without the relevant RISC forms
6	basis, I am very confident that the two Pypun witnesses	6	being in place at the time. This was because on many
7	and the three government witnesses will certainly	7	occasions, I received phone calls from Leighton's
8	comfortably be dealt with in the three days next week.	8	frontline staff (including Regina Wong, Isaac Ng, and
9	Indeed, I think it more than likely that we can finish	9	Henry Lai) requesting that I conduct the necessary
10	those five witnesses in two days rather than three and	10	inspection on their promise that the relevant RISC forms
11	I think that's a view that's shared by others.	11	would be submitted shortly thereafter for my signature.
12	So, sir, that I thought I should just mention how	12	In order not to hold up the construction progress at
13	we are doing on timing is one answer to the question.	13	site, I acceded to these requests and conducted the
14	CHAIRMAN: That's good to hear, that we are within our	14	necessary inspections, and where I was satisfied with
15	schedule.	15	the conditions, I gave the relevant permission for works
16	MR PENNICOTT: I think we are, sir.	16	to proceed to the next stage, with the understanding
17	Another by-product of that approach is it will give	17	that I would receive the corresponding RISC forms
18	Pypun some certainty that they will be required on	18	shortly thereafter for my signature."
19	Monday morning and won't be perhaps hanging around on	19	So, in short, Mr Tang, you permitted, as
20	Friday, depending on of course where we get to with the	20	I understand it, Leighton to verbally initiate the
21	MTR witnesses.	21	inspection process; is that right?
22	CHAIRMAN: All right. Good. And I think this afternoon we	22	A. Yes, but I have to go back to the point that they made
23	are going to adjourn just a little early.	23	a promise that they would give me back the forms in
24	MR PENNICOTT: A little early, sir, maybe by 10 or	24	a short period of time. So that's why I could do it.
25	15 minutes.	25	Q. Yes. But by doing that and adopting that approach, you
	Page 82		Page 84
1	CHAIRMAN: Right. We will run through until 4.45, and being	1	in effect relaxed the RISC form process?
2	as we are not being squeezed against a wall for time and	2	A. No, it's not really relaxation of the process. It's
3	it's now nearly quarter past it's 1.10 one we will	3	an undertaking on both sides.
4	resume at 2.30; would that be all right?	4	Q. Did anyone authorise sorry, did anyone at MTR
5	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.	5	authorise you to adopt this approach?
6	CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you.	6	A. No.
7	Mr Tang, you are in the middle of giving your	7	Q. So you did this on your off your own bat, was it,
8	evidence at the moment, and this is a statement that	8	Mr Tang?
9	I give to all the witnesses: during the breaks that	9	A. Well, can I just say a bit more about the RISC form
10	occur, you are not entitled to discuss your evidence	10	submission process?
11	with anybody else, okay, including any lawyers or	11	Q. Of course, but I'd like you to address the question as
12	friends or anything like that. Okay? You have to, you	12	to why you thought you were entitled to adopt this
12	know in other words, it's not like a football match.	12	approach.
14	You can't go outside and have the coach come up and say,	14	A. Because, on the form submission process, this took some
15	you know, "You should do this", or, "You should have	15	time, and in my witness statement I mentioned this.
16	done that." Do you understand me?	16	Now, INCITE, Leighton generated a RISC form number, and
17	WITNESS: Fully understood.	17	then Leighton's engineer or the person who submitted the
18	CHAIRMAN: Thank you.	18	form wrote something relevant, and then it would go
19	(1.10 pm)	19	through Leighton's document control, it would be
20	(The luncheon adjournment)	20	registered in their system, and then the form,
21	(2.34 pm)	20	I remember, would come through their document control
22	MR PENNICOTT: Mr Tang, good afternoon.	22	and then, through that channel, it would be sent to our
23	A. Good afternoon.	23	AA for registration, and then after it's registered it
24	Q. If we could please look at paragraphs 16 and 17 of your	24	will be passed on to the main con the principal ConE,
25	first witness statement. That's at BB125.	25	and then the date is stamped and then it will come back
L			A. Contraction of the second s

	Page 85		Page 87
1	to us.	1	Q. Okay. In paragraphs 18 and 19, and indeed for the next
2	So the process takes a relatively long time. So at	2	few paragraphs in your witness statement, Mr Tang, you
3	the time, this approach was more workable.	3	explain how you dealt with the RISC forms that you did
4	Q. What I'm interested in, Mr Tang, is this. You adopted	4	receive, and in particular how you would record a late
5	this approach which you have described to us, and I'm	5	submission, if that was appropriate. Do you recall all
6	assuming, but tell me if I'm wrong, that your senior,	6	that?
7	your boss, knew about it. Is that right?	7	A. Yes, I recall that.
8	A. I believe my senior inspector would know about this	8	Q. Right. Then, at paragraph 25 of your witness statement,
9	process. At a very early stage, I think it was Dick	9	you say this:
10	Kung, they allowed us four hours for the submission of	10	"For most of the inspections that I conducted in NAT
11	form. Those four hours was not sufficient. Then	11	(other than the North Fan Area), Leighton never
12	I described the process and that process would take	12	submitted any RISC form."
13	a bit longer time for the forms to come back to us.	13	Mr Tang, you I can show you the document if
14	Q. At one point, I think Kenneth Kong was the senior	14	necessary, but you may be aware that on the NAT area,
15	inspector of works; is that right?	15	after about July 2016, no RISC forms at all were
16	A. No, not Kenneth Kong. Dick Kung. I think he left the	16	submitted so far as the rebar is concerned and I think
17	post in October 2015. Dick Kung, I think.	17	the pre-pour inspections as well in the NAT area. Is
18	Q. That was October 2015. Did Dick Kung know about this	18	that something that you were aware of in 2016 or 2017?
19	process?	19	A. Yes, I knew about it.
20	A. You mean Dick Kung	20	Q. Right. And you say in paragraph 25:
21	Q. Yes.	21	"Between 2016 and 2017, I made repeated oral
22	A or Kenneth Kong? Dick Kung knew about it.	22	complaints to Henry Lai, Chan Hon Sun, and Joe Tam
23	Q. Right. Who took over from Dick Kung?	23	(construction manager of Leighton) in relation [to] the
24	A. After Dick Kung left, I think it was Pedro So, and for	24	outstanding RISC forms, but to no avail."
25	Pedro So actually at the early stage, there were two	25	Now, so far as Henry Lai is concerned, you say
	Page 86		Page 88
1	seniors, one was Dick Kung and one was Pedro So.	1	"repeated oral complaints" was this over a long
2	Q. Did Pedro So know about the practice, the approach?	2	period of time, Mr Tang, or a number of complaints in
3	A. He should know about it.	3	a short period of time? Can you explain to us the
4	Q. Did you discuss it with him?	4	nature of the repeated complaints you made to Mr Lai,
5	A. I don't have recollection of that. I should have	5	first of all?
6	discussed it and he should know about it.	6	A. Actually, when Henry Lai told me that he needed some
7	Q. Right.	7	inspections, I would agree and say yes, and then I would
8	CHAIRMAN: Could I ask you just one question. The evidence	8	tell him, "Could you please submit your RISC forms,
9	indicates that a lot of the RISC forms that were	9	could you remember to submit the RISC forms." So that
10	promised to you either came very late to you or did not	10	repeated itself over and over again. And I also
11	come at all. Did that alter your attitude towards	11	mentioned that to Chan Hon Sun. I recall in 2017, in
12	allowing further inspections without RISC forms?	12	June, he had left, so at the later stage I didn't
13	A. You mean about Leighton's attitude or mine?	13	interact with him that much. I had also contacted
14	CHAIRMAN: About the RISC forms coming late from Leighton or	14	Joe Tam, because our offices are close, I could reach
15	not at all, and whether that changed your attitude.	15	him on foot and I would make these oral requests.
16	A. No, I still believed in what others said. They promised	16	Q. Yes. Just focusing on Henry Lai for the moment, what
17	to give me the forms as soon as possible and I continued	17	was his reaction to your constant and repeated
18	to believe in that.	18	complaints?
19	MR PENNICOTT: In your witness statement, you do mention	19	A. On each occasion he would say, "Yes, I'll submit it as
20	Mr Kenneth Kong, who you spoke to prior to him sending	20	soon as possible", and I had also attempted to use
21	an email of 24 March 2017, which we've looked at	21	WhatsApp and remind him, and I had to keep on reminding
22	a number of times. So did Mr Kenneth Kong, in his	22	him.
23	position of senior inspector of works, did he know about	23	Q. All right. So far as Joe Tam is concerned obviously
24	this practice generally?	24	he's more senior, he's the construction manager of
25	A. He should know about it.	25	Leighton for the particular area we're concerned with

	Daga 90		Daras 01
	Page 89		Page 91
1	what was his reaction when you spoke to him?	1	bottom of the page, Mr Tang, you will see that the shunt
2	A. He would also say, "Okay, I'll remind Henry Lai."	2	neck bay 3 track slab, the commencement of the rebar and
3	That's more or less what he said.	3	indeed the completion of the rebar was on 4 January
4	Q. All right.	4	2017. Do you see that?
5	Then you refer to the email that was sent by	5	A. Yes.
6	Kenneth Kong. And, as I understand it, Mr Tang, from	6	Q. And the concrete was poured, if you go to the right-hand
7	your evidence, it may well have been as a result of	7	column, on 5 January, the following day; do you see?
8	a conversation that you had with Mr Kong that he sent	8	A. Correct.
9	that email. Is that right?	9	Q. So I deduce from that that you must have done the
10	A. Actually, my dialogue with Kenneth Kong, I had you	10	pre-pour inspection either late perhaps in the day on
11	can call it a complaint. I said that there was no RISC	11	4 January or perhaps early in the morning on 5 January.
12	form and I made that complaint more than once. And as	12	Would that be right?
13	I discovered the missing RISC forms, I had mentioned	13	A. Correct.
14	that to him.	14	Q. Right. Mr Tang, do you this all happened in a very
15	Q. Right. So it was possible that the email that we've	15	short space of time so far as the track slab in bay 3 is
16	seen was prompted by your discussions and your	16	concerned, just over two days, it would appear. Do you
17	complaints to Mr Kong; is that right?	17	have any recollection, specific recollection, as to who
18	A. I have a deeper impression of that, because I was in his	18	did the rebar hold-point inspection as opposed to the
19	office and he said, "Yes, I'll send you an email to the	19 20	pre-pour?
20	counterpart", that is Leighton, and then he said, "Yes,	20	A. This occurred in 2017. There were also missing RISC
21 22	I've done what you requested." So I recall that distinctly.	21 22	forms, so I cannot be sure which engineer did that work. Q. All right. Do you recall what steps, if any, you took
22	Q. All right.	22	to ascertain whether the rebar fixing hold-point
23	And as you say in paragraph 27, first sentence, of	23 24	inspection had in fact taken place?
25	your witness statement:	2 4 25	A. Actually, before the pre-pour, I have a habit, where
23	Page 90	23	Page 92
1		1	6
1	"Unfortunately, despite [your] repeated oral	1 2	I ask the relevant engineers whether they had inspected, and the information would come from engineers or from
2 3	complaints and Kenneth Kong's written protest, the situation did not improve."	2	Leighton's Henry Lai. I would ask them which colleague
4	And you stand by that evidence, Mr Tang; is that	4	had done the inspection, and I would also confirm that
4 5	right?	5	over the phone, whether they had done it.
6	A. I'm sorry, could you ask the question again?	6	Q. Do you have any recollection of whom you may have spoker
7	Q. Yes. You say that despite your oral complaints and	7	to in relation to the inspection, rebar inspection, of
8	Mr Kong's protest in the email, the situation did not	8	the shunt neck at bay 3 track slab?
9	improve. And you stand by that, as I understand it,	9	A. I have no recollection.
10	Mr Tang; is that correct? You are still of that view?	10	Q. And I assume that you have no record of any conversation
11	A. That is correct.	11	or anything of that nature?
12	Q. Now, moving on to the stitch joints, Mr Tang my	12	A. I don't have records. My phone had malfunctioned and
13	understanding is that you personally carried out the	13	I have lost all my WhatsApp records, so there's no
14	formal hold-point inspections in respect of the	14	record.
15	pre-pours to all three stitch joints. Is that right?	15	Q. All right.
16	A. As far as I remember, yes. There might have been	16	Again, Mr Tang, if we look at item 58a on this
17	colleagues assisting me with an inspection but I do	17	schedule, you will see that this is the EWL stitch
18	recall doing that.	18	joint, the interface stitch joint on the EWL track slab,
19	Q. Right. What about the shunt neck: is the position the		was carried out so far as the rebar is concerned between
20	same, that you carried out the pre-pour check to the	20	22 and 24 January, and again the concrete was poured on
21	shunt neck joint?	21	24 January. Do you see that?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Yes, I see it.
23	Q. I wonder if you could look at that sheet that's just in front of your them. It's $PPO(c2c2)$	23 24	Q. Again, Mr Tang, do you have any recollection of who
	Q. I wonder if you could look at that sheet that's just in front of you there. It's BB9/6363.If we look at item 45 on the sheet, towards the	23 24 25	Q. Again, Mr 1 ang, do you have any recollection of who carried out the rebar inspection in relation to that item?

	Page 93		Page 95
1	A. It should be our engineers. I have no recollection idea	1	which purpose, we need to go to, as I understand it,
2	who did it and/or who I had phoned, which engineer I had	2	B14, starting at 9499.
3	phoned; I have no recollection.	3	First of all, Mr Tang, can I just confirm with you,
4	Q. Again, let's just get this clear, Mr Tang: your evidence	4	as it were, in overall terms, what we are about to look
5	is that before you carried out the pre-pour inspection,	5	at. First of all, Mr Tang, I understand that the first
6	you would have found out from somebody that the rebar	6	five photographs that's 9499 to 9503 are five
7	inspection had taken place; is that right?	7	photographs dealing with the shunt neck. Can you just
8	A. Yes.	8	first of all confirm that?
9	Q. Did you make that an invariable practice of yours, to	9	A. Correct.
10	make sure that the rebar inspection had taken place,	10	Q. Then we have two photographs, at 9504 and 9505, which
11	before you were prepared to do the pre-pour inspection?	11	deal or the subject matter of which is the EWL stitch
12	A. If I were to do the pre-pour inspection, I had this	12	joint. Is that right?
13	habit, to confirm which colleague had done the work	13	A. Yes.
14	before I assisted with the pre-pour work.	14	Q. We will look at some of those in a moment. I'm just
15	Q. All right.	15	trying to get the parameters.
16	Now, Mr Tang, you tell us in your witness statement	16	Then, Mr Tang, from 9506 to 9512, there are seven
17	that and we've touched on this earlier you were in	17	photographs dealing with the NSL 1111/1112 stitch joint,
18	the habit also of taking a lot of photographs. We've	18	that is the interface stitch joint in the NSL area. Is
19	discussed that briefly earlier. Do you remember that?	19	that correct?
20	A. Yes.	20	A. Correct.
21	Q. In paragraph 36 of your first witness statement, you say	21	Q. Then the remainder of the photographs, from 9513
22	that during the course of your daily surveillance, and	22	onwards, that is up to page 9532, all concern the
23	in your hold-point inspection, that's the pre-pour	23	internal 1112 stitch joint?
24	inspection as I understand it, you took various	24	A. Correct.
25	photographs in the stitch joints and the shunt neck?	25	Q. Now, back to the shunt neck photographs, and we'll need
	Page 94		Page 96
1	A. Yes.	1	to take these in a particular order to understand the
2	Q. And you say in paragraph 36 of your original witness	2	sequence, I think. So if we could start, please, with
3	statement:	3	9503. This is a photograph, one of the few, that is
4	" the now known defective coupler connections at	4	actually dated in this series, dated 24 December 2016.
5	the three stitch joints were not readily apparent to the	5	Do you see that, Mr Tang?
6	naked eye during my daily surveillance."	6	A. Yes, I see it.
7	Then you make reference to the photographs that are	7	Q. Christmas Eve. What work can we see being done in this
8	included.	8	photograph?
9	Now, in paragraph 4 of your second witness	9	A. This is waterproofing work.
10	statement, I think you have now qualified that	10	Q. Okay. Just to confirm, this is in the shunt neck joint,
11	observation. That's at BB9495. That's BB14. Because	11	in bay 3 shunt neck?
12	you now say:	12	A. Yes, correct.
13	"I have now had an opportunity to study the photos	13	Q. All right.
14	taken at the three stitch joints during the rebar fixing	14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can I just be clear: is the concrete
15	and concreting works of the track slabs as retrieved	15	we're looking at part of contract 1111? The far end of
16	from MTR's server. I wish to clarify that having now	16	that waterproofing, is that 1111?
17	carried out a detailed and close examination of these	17	A. Correct.
18	photos and upon reflection, it is possible that some of	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right.
19	these photos may show defective coupler connections."	19	MR PENNICOTT: Yes. So we are looking north.
20	Obviously I recognise that you make that	20	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: We are looking north, yes.
21	clarification while reiterating various points that you	21	I understand.
22	make in paragraph 5.	22	MR PENNICOTT: Then the photograph at 9502, showing
23	Unfortunately, Mr Tang, I'm going to need some help	23	a similar situation, no date, but we assume taken
24	from you to identify the photographs which you say may	24	probably on the same day.
25	show defective coupler connections; all right? For	25	Then we fast-forward a number of days. If we go to,

	Page 97		Page 99
1	I think, just to pick up the date, but I'm not sure it's	1	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: So, sorry so we understand or
2	the first one in the sequence if you go to 9501, we	2	we've been told, Mr Pennicott, that between 9499 and
3	are now at 5 January 2017; do you see that, Mr Tang?	3	9500, two hold points were passed?
4	A. Yes, I see it.	4	MR PENNICOTT: Correct. That is right, yes. Both the rebar
5	Q. It seems to me that the sequence is in fact 9499 goes	5	and the pre-pour. That must be right, yes.
6	first.	6	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Well, that's what we've been told.
7	A. Correct.	7	MR PENNICOTT: Told, yes.
8	Q. Then possibly 9500, which perhaps is the last one.	8	Then moving on, Mr Tang, could we go, please, to
9	A. The last one. It's 9501 first and then 9500.	9	photograph 9504. As I understand it which we cannot
10	Q. Yes, understood. Yes. So the last one is 9500, where	10	track a date for, but we will perhaps propose a date in
11	I think we can see, can we not, the concreting works	11	a moment, as a matter of deduction. What I understand
12	taking place?	12	we're looking at at 9504, Mr Tang, is a shot of the EWL
13	A. Correct.	13	stitch joint. Is that right?
14	Q. Okay. First of all perhaps the only question I need	14	A. Right.
15	to ask after all that we've not been able to detect	15	Q. First of all, can you tell us which side is Leighton's
16	any possible sightings of defective rebar in those	16	and which side is Gammon?
17	photographs. Is that right, Mr Tang, or have you been	17	A. Well, put it simply, the side with the blue waterstop,
18	able to spot something?	18	that's 1111. That's the 1111 contract. So it's quite
19	A. For the photos, I talk about the shunt neck, true, we	19	easy to distinguish.
20	didn't see anything.	20	Q. That's very helpful.
21	Q. They are at least helpful photographs to pinpoint the	21	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: We are looking west.
22	dates when this work was carried out, so that's very	22	MR PENNICOTT: We are looking west, sir.
23	helpful.	23	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: At the Polytechnic building in the
24	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, just so that I understand	24	background.
25	that so each of these photographs is dated, then, is	25	MR PENNICOTT: We are indeed, sir. A very good spot. We
	Page 98		Page 100
1	it?	1	had worked that out as well, at least I say "we"
2	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, it's quite complicated. In this	2	those either side of me had worked that out.
3	sequence that we've been given in this file, only very	3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Yes.
4	few are dated. However, if one takes the additional	4	MR PENNICOTT: So on the left-hand side L for Leighton,
5	step of going to the folders that have the site diaries	5	left for Leighton the south side, we can see a series
6	in, whilst I don't think they are part of the site	6	of rebar going into what appear to be holes in the
7	diaries, there are lots of photographs in the same	7	concrete. Mr Tang, on this photograph, can one detect
8	folders. From there, one can sometimes pick up the	8	any potentially defective rebar, rebar fixings?
9	dates of the photographs. Indeed Mr Lam is, as it were,	9	A. Well, let me explain briefly. I took this photo and
10	tracking as I am speaking the photographs that are in	10	I recall I saw workers hacking out or exposing the
11	the box in the diaries.	11	couplers on the Leighton side. So, at the bottom, you
12	For example, we know that 9499 assuming that the	12	can see not all the rebars were fixed, and so the rebar
13	annotation in the diary box is right, the 9499	13	fixing was still in progress.
14	photograph was taken on 4 January, which would seem to	14	Q. Right. When you say "at the bottom" we can zoom in
15	fit.	15	or we have a gadget that points us at these areas.
16	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It fits.	16	(Using magnifying device).
17	MR PENNICOTT: Yes.	17	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It's the far end, underneath the
18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the next one, where the		scaffolding, I think.
19	concreting is	19	A. Yes, correct.
20	MR PENNICOTT: Is 5 January.	20	MR PENNICOTT: All right. And what about on the Gammon
21	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: is presumably 5 January?	21	side: are there any problems here? (Using magnifying
22	MR PENNICOTT: Correct. That's right.	22	device).
23	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Okay. I understand.	23	A. When I took the photo, perhaps, yes, I would have seen
A A			
24 25	MR PENNICOTT: Yes. It is, by reference to the other file, 5 January, yes, that's right.	24 25	something like this. But let me say that the rebar fixing was still in progress, so that's why I didn't

	Page 101		Page 103
1	take notice about the status of the rebar. I took the	1	MR PENNICOTT: That was my next question.
2	photo mainly because I wanted to tell the relevant	2	This area, Mr Tang, I appreciate was about 2 to
3	colleagues that the rebar fixing was in progress, and	3	3 metres across, of that order; is that right?
4	then the couplers were being exposed. That's all.	4	A. I recall it should be 2 metres.
5	Q. All right.	5	Q. 2 metres. Okay. How long would it take if you have
6	Then if we go to the next photograph, which is the	6	any knowledge of this how long would it take to
7	one I showed Ms Kang this morning sir, we can	7	concrete this sort of area?
8	reasonably confidently tell you that according to the	8	A. If I refer to the concrete pour, 30 metres, roughly,
9	MTR, this photograph was taken on 24 January 2017, which	9	it's about 30 metres of concrete.
10	is the date, according to the sheet, when the rebar on	10	Q. Yes. So how long do you think that would take to pour?
11	the track slab of the EWL stitch joint was completed.	11	A. It would depend on the concrete, the volume. Sometimes,
12	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And indeed the date of the	12	you would have to wait for the concrete to arrive.
13	concreting.	13	Q. Yes. But assuming a reasonably continuous operation,
14	MR PENNICOTT: And indeed the date of the concreting, that's		which I understand this normally would have to be, we're
15	right.	15	just talking a few hours or what?
16	First of all, Mr Tang, I think I'm right in saying,	16	A. It should be done in a few a couple of hours.
17	am I not, that we've now switched around and we are	17	Q. Okay. Now to the question: do you say that any
18	looking in the other direction; is that right?	18	potential defective connections can be seen in this
19	A. Correct.	19	photograph?
20	Q. So Gammon now left, Leighton right?	20	A. It is possible.
21	A. Yes.	21	Q. Can you point to anything in particular? (Using
22	Q. So we can see at the far end, presumably the east end,	22	magnifying device).
23	the vertical rebar for the eastern wall; is that right?	23	A. On the left-hand side when I look at this picture
24	A. Correct.	24	now, the lower left corner, the gap there. This area,
25	Q. Back to the question or let's, before we get there	25	yes. You can see also at the bottom corner.
	Page 102		Page 104
1	is this, in your view, to your recollection, a photo of	1	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, what is it we're looking at?
2	the completed rebar in the track slab area of the EWL?	2	I can see where we are looking, but what is it you are
3	A. I remember when I took the picture I used WhatsApp to	3	pointing out to us?
4	send the photo, and I mentioned the percentage of the	4	A. You might see some of the threads being exposed.
5	completion.	5	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Really?
6	Q. Right. Do you recall what percentage that was?	6	MR PENNICOTT: By and large would you agree, Mr Tang this
7	A. I recall it should be between 80 and 90 per cent.	7	is the Gammon side it is difficult to see any
8	Q. All right. But as was just mentioned obviously, one	8	couplers themselves, but the rebar does appear to be
9	is assuming that the details on the table are correct	9	going into the concrete or into the wall?
10	this was the date upon which not only was this rebar	10	A. Could you ask the question again?
11	completed, but also, as Prof Hansford just mentioned,	11	Q. Sure. If one just runs one's eye up there and uses the
12	the concrete was also poured.	12	gadget if necessary, you can see that the rebar appears
13	A. I think it should be the same date, if you look at the	13	at least to be going into the wall, into the concrete,
14	concrete pour summary.	14	and we can't see, it seems to me, any particular
15	Q. So, to adopt Prof Hansford's observation a short while	15	couplers obvious. But nonetheless the rebar does seem
16	ago, between this photograph, whatever time it was	16	to be going into the concrete; do you see?
17	taken, and the end of the day, another two inspections	17	A. The majority of it, yes.
18	should have taken place, that is the rebar fixing and	18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, Mr Tang, based on your
19	the pre-concrete pour?	19	previous answer, can you point out any threads here?
20	A. Yes.	20	I'd just like to see what you think is a picture of
21	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Well, actually, it's between this	21	a thread being exposed. It would be helpful if you
22	photo and not the end of the day, because	22	could point that out to us.
23	MR PENNICOTT: No.	23	A. (Using magnifying device) The lower left corner in the
24	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: you've got to allow time for the		picture, in this corner, the lowest bar I have more
25	concreting.	25	time to look at the relevant pictures and you can see it

	Page 105		Page 107
1	now.	1	then you might see the defects.
2	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Can you put that back again, sorry	. 2	Q. Okay.
3	A. (Using magnifying device) This one. This one.	3	Then if we could go, please, to 9513. We are now
4	MR PENNICOTT: And on the Leighton side, any potential	4	moving on to the internal stitch joint. And presumably,
5	problems running down the Leighton side, on the right,	5	looking at 9513, Mr Tang, this is rebar still in
6	Mr Tang?	6	progress, is that right, or would that be its completed
7	A. On the Leighton side, I don't see any problems there.	7	situation?
8	Q. All right.	8	A. You mean BB9513; right?
9	Now, on the next series, 9506 to 9512 now,	9	Q. Yes, I do.
10	Mr Tang, you've got the hard copies of the photographs	10	A. It's concrete pouring.
11	in front of you there, and I would invite you, to try to	11	Q. Concrete pouring?
12	make this process a little bit quicker, to just look at	12	A. Yes.
13	the seven photographs that we've got there of the	13	Q. All right. Sorry.
14	interface NSL stitch joint and invite you to point out	14	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Just so that I can understand, this
15	any potential defects on any of the photographs. Take	15	orange pipe that we see laying on top of the steel, is
16	your time.	16	that for the pumping of the concrete?
17	A. BB9511.	17	A. Yes, that's the concrete pipe, correct, the orange one.
18	Q. Right. So what are you pointing out to us what do	18	MR PENNICOTT: Sorry, yes, Mr Tang is clearly right. This
19	you wish to point out to us, Mr Tang?	19	photograph, we are told, is taken on 7 June, which
20	A. In this picture, the rebar work is not finished, but if	20	tallies with the date at line 54 for the concrete pour
21	you pay attention (using magnifying device), you can see	21	of the track slab on the internal stitch joint.
21	some exposed threads or suspected exposed threads in	22	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Indeed. Reinforcement having been
23	these areas.	23	completed the previous day.
23	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I'm not sure my eyesight is good	24	MR PENNICOTT: It appears so, yes. Yes.
25	enough.	25	And at 9514, I assume that is the concreting
	Page 106		Page 108
1	MR PENNICOTT: We should also have started by asking: is	1	operation continuing. This time, with plenty of people
2	that the Leighton or the Gammon side we're looking at?	2	in sight.
3	A. This side is Gammon, because if you look at the top part	3	Then, Mr Tang, I think one can move over a number of
4	of the picture	4	photographs, because one can't actually see much, if
5	Q. Yes, I can see the waterproofing.	5	any, rebar in place, but can I just ask you this. 9516.
6	A you can see the blue waterstop. It's very easy to	6	This is obviously taken, Mr Tang, before the rebar had
7	differentiate.	7	started; would that be right?
8	Q. Thank you.	8	A. Correct.
9	So, looking at the photograph in the diary box, we	9	Q. What is the worker doing on the right-hand side there?
10	are told that this was taken on 6 July 2017.	10	A. Well, looking at the photo, I think it's some rebar
11	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Which is the date for completion of		fixers, or it's a rebar fixer there, starting to take
12	the track slab steel; is that right?	12	out the coupler cap.
13	MR PENNICOTT: Correct, sir. And obviously, if that's	12	Q. All right. So some preparatory works before the rebar
14	Gammon on the left, we are looking we can see the	14	starts?
15	East Wall in the distance, I guess. Although we just	15	A. Yes, correct.
16	have "wall" on the sheet.	16	Q. Okay. Now, if you go to 9519 sorry, sir, I should
17	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: And the track slab is shown to have		have mentioned, at 9516, the date of that photograph is
18	been concreted two days later.	18	31 May.
19	MR PENNICOTT: On the 8th, yes, that's right.	19	Now, 9519 again, Mr Tang, can I just ask you to
20	Mr Tang, are there any other points in relation to	20	flick through the remainder of the photographs and take
21	the seven photographs that you would wish to draw the	20	your time, and draw our attention to anything that you
22	Commission's attention to as showing potential defects	21	think is relevant to potential defective connections.
23	in the fixings?	22	A. I believe, for the photos that followed, we wouldn't be
24	A. For the others, it would be relatively difficult to see,	23 24	able to see any defects.
25	but then in the BB9511 that I just referred to, yes,	24 25	MR PENNICOTT: Right.
		25	mariliana and a state of the st

Commission of Inquiry into the Construction Works at and near the Hung Hom Station Extension under the Shatin to Central Link Project

	Page 109		Page 111
1	Thank you very much, Mr Tang.	1	(A short adjournment)
2	Sir, I have no further questions.	2	(3.56 pm)
3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I have one question at this point.	3	Cross-examination by MR TSOI
4	Mr Tang, you have shown us or you have pointed out to us	4	MR TSOI: Mr Tang, I act for Wing & Kwong, the rebar fixing
5	where you should be able to see some defective couplers.	5	sub-contractor in this case, and I do have a few
6	There was one photograph, the bottom left-hand corner,	6	questions.
7	where you said, "You should be able to see defective	7	Can I first of all ask you about your interaction
8	coupling with some threads exposed"; is that correct?	8	with the Leighton engineers, when you were asked to
9	A. Yes.	9	conduct the pre-pour hold-point checks.
10	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Are you saying that should have been		As I understand the situation, is it this, that
11	visible to those doing the pre-pour hold-point	11	a Leighton engineer, perhaps Regina Wong or Henry Lai,
12	inspection?	12	would call you after they themselves have conducted the
13	A. Well, actually, for the pre-pour inspection, the focus	13	rebar fixing check, and they would then call you and
14	would be to look at the bottom, to see whether there's	14	invite you to conduct the pre-pour check; is that right?
15	any debris. Now, I did not take much notice of the	15	A. Yes.
16	rebars, because there should be relevant colleagues, the	16	Q. Now, presumably you know that, for example let's take
17	engineers who would have looked at them. So my focus	17	Henry Lai as an example. He was the engineer from
18	would be at the bottom. That's why I wouldn't take much	18	Leighton who also conducted the rebar fixing check, in
19	notice of the rebars.	19	terms of the hold-point inspections; are you aware of
20	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I see. Thank you.	20	that?
21	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, can I just I've just been helpfully	21	A. As far as I know, yes.
22	reminded that before lunch I put a point to Mr Tang	22	Q. So presumably what happened was Henry would call you
23	which was not wholly accurate. It was all to do with	23	after he has conducted the rebar fixing check,
24	what Ms Kang had said in terms of where the RISC forms	24	presumably with an MTR engineer, so he would call you
25	or to whom the RISC forms were passed when the engineer	25	and say, "We have conducted the rebar fixing check, now
	Page 110		Page 112
1	had filled in the details on the RISC forms.	1	we invite you to come to do the pre-pour check"; is that
2	What she actually said was that when she received	2	how that would happen, normally?
3	a RISC form, it would be from the senior inspector of	3	A. Henry Lai and our engineers, after inspection they would
4	works, either Kobe Wong or Victor Tung, and that when	4	contact me, but before the pre-pour I would call the
5	she had completed the form she would hand it back to	5	engineers to confirm whether they have done the rebar
6	Kobe or Victor.	6	work.
7	So not back to you, Mr Tang, but back to one of the	7	Q. The question I want to ask you is this. When Henry
8	senior inspectors of works, and I think that's probably	8	calls you at the time, what would he say to you, if he
9	consistent with your understanding of the position; is	9	were to invite you to conduct a pre-pour check?
10	that right, Mr Tang?	10	A. He would say, "Tony, I'd like to make an appointment
11	A. Can you repeat the question, please? Sorry.	11	with you at a certain location to do the inspection",
12	Q. Yes. If the engineers, having filled out a RISC form,	12	and then I would ask him to submit the form, then
13	returned it to anyone, it wasn't you they returned it	13	I would ask, "Have you inspected the rebar?" If he
14	to, it was to one of the senior inspectors of works?	14	could give me the name, then I would call the
15	A. Yes, normally that's the procedure.	15	responsible engineer, that is the hold-point engineer,
16	MR PENNICOTT: Thank you very much.	16	and confirm that, and then I would follow up.
17	Sir, I see it's 3.40, so maybe ten minutes. I'm not	17	Q. You said just in your answer there I'm not trying to
18	sure what others who else has questions.	18	catch you out but you said that if he could give the
19	CHAIRMAN: Could I just check on that?	19	name of the MTR engineer who did the check with him; is
20	MR TSOI: I have some questions, Chairman.	20	that right? If Henry Lai could give you that name, then
21	CHAIRMAN: Okay. And government?	21	you would call that engineer; is that right?
22	MR CHOW: Yes, we do have several questions.	22	A. Yes.
172	MR PENNICOTT: Ten minutes.	23	Q. Were there occasions where Henry Lai simply told you,
23		. .	
23 24 25	CHAIRMAN: Ten minutes. Thank you. (3.40 pm)	24 25	"We have conducted the rebar fixing check", without specifying who the team of engineers were who conducted

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

Page 1131the rebar fixing check?2A. No, because, as I said just now, in the NAT or NSL,3there was only one engineer left and he knew who to call4exactly. So, after he gave the name, I don't think he5would remember the details wrong.6Q. On this part, for this part you see, you may have7heard that there is conflicting evidence between8Henry Lai and your Chris Chan in terms of whether	ned to
 A. No, because, as I said just now, in the NAT or NSL, there was only one engineer left and he knew who to call exactly. So, after he gave the name, I don't think he would remember the details wrong. Q. On this part, for this part you see, you may have heard that there is conflicting evidence between Q. So you prepared this on 30 June; is that r A. On site diary, if I may say a bit more. Q. Sure. A. When I went to the 1112 site, I was assig consolidate and print out the site diaries Yuman A. On site diary, if I may say a bit more. Q. Sure. 	ned to
 there was only one engineer left and he knew who to call exactly. So, after he gave the name, I don't think he would remember the details wrong. Q. On this part, for this part you see, you may have heard that there is conflicting evidence between A. On site diary, if I may say a bit more. Q. Sure. A. When I went to the 1112 site, I was assig consolidate and print out the site diaries Q. Sure. 	ned to
 4 exactly. So, after he gave the name, I don't think he 5 would remember the details wrong. 6 Q. On this part, for this part you see, you may have 7 heard that there is conflicting evidence between 4 Q. Sure. 5 A. When I went to the 1112 site, I was assig 6 consolidate and print out the site diaries 7 Q. Sure. 	
5would remember the details wrong.5A. When I went to the 1112 site, I was assig6Q. On this part, for this part you see, you may have6consolidate and print out the site diaries7heard that there is conflicting evidence between7Q. Sure.	
6Q. On this part, for this part you see, you may have6consolidate and print out the site diaries7heard that there is conflicting evidence between7Q. Sure.	
7 heard that there is conflicting evidence between 7 Q. Sure.	
8 Henry Lai and your Chris Chan in terms of whether 8 A and I must sign it and I would send it to	
	o the senior
9 Chris Chan did the rebar fixing check. I'm not sure if 9 inspectors for countersigning.	
10 you're aware of that. So, on the one hand, Henry Lai 10 Now, for content in the site diary, I was	only
11 said he did the rebar fixing checks on the three stitch 11 responsible for one page. It was the NAT	or the NFA
12 joints and the shunt neck joint with Chris Chan, but 12 site activities.	
13 Mr Chan says that he did not do so. 13 Q. Right.	
14 My question for you is this: can you now recall 14 A. Then, for the other items, it would be col	leagues from
15 whether, when you received the call from Henry Lai to do 15 different areas to input the work being dor	ne on
16 the pre-pour check, he said to you, "I have conducted 16 a day-to-day basis.	
17 the rebar fixing check with Chris Chan" or not? 17 Q. Sure. In fact, just to put your mind at eas	se, I'm not
18 A. As far as I know, in the NAT, in the majority of cases 18 going to criticise the content of it. I just w	ant to
19 Kappa Kang, Ms Kappa Kang, would inspect. Whether it 19 take you through one or two entries, to ma	ke sure
20 was done with Chris Chan, I don't recollect clearly. 20 I understand the site entries. All right?	
21 Q. Sure. It's not your fault, it's a long time ago. 21 So if you go to page CC5657.11981, wh	nich is entry
22 Can you yourself recall on any occasion, before you 22 number 12 of the site diary. Now, the bits	with the red
23 did the pre-pour checks for the three stitch joints and 23 highlight, can we see that there's a casting	concrete of
the shunt neck joint, whether you spoke to Chris Chan to 24 the base slab of the VRV room within grid	lline S/0-1?
25 confirm with him that he did the rebar fixing check or 25 A. Yes.	
Page 114	Page 116
1 not? 1 Q. So that would signify that an individual from	n MTR
2 A. I recall, yes, there were such occasions, because there 2 informed you that there was casting of the co	
3 were just two engineers. It's either Kappa Kang or 3 the VRV room area; is that right?	
4 Chris Chan. 4 A. Well, this, there were colleagues	
5 Q. I understand that. I'm trying to be quite specific. 5 Q. Yes.	
6 Can you recall specifically whether you spoke to 6 A in relation to HHS, writing something abo	out the site.
7 Chris Chan about the rebar fixing check, before you 7 It's not that they informed me but rather they	
8 yourself did the pre-pour check? If you can't remember, 8 responsible for inputting the information.	
9 that's fine, but if you can, then you can of course 9 Q. If you go to the lower-right corner, we again	n see your
10 inform us. 10 signature, I think, at the individual who prepa	
11 A. I honestly do not recall about Chris Chan because, as 11 the inspector of works, and we see your nam	
12 I said, on most occasions it was Kappa Kang. 12 right?	
13 Q. All right. Now I want to change to a completely 13 A. Yes, correct.	
14 separate topic. For this, first of all, I would like to 14 Q. Right. I just want to clarify one thing with	you. You
15 take you to an MTR site diary entry, which we can 15 might not have seen the RISC form for this V	/RV room, by
16 find and it's a long reference at page 16 want to show you it. Can I now take you to,	first of
17 CC5657.11972. 17 all, the Leighton version of the RISC forms,	which we
18 Yes, Chairman, I was as shocked as you when I saw 18 can find on the next page, .11982.	
19 there were 10,000 pages in that little page reference. 19 This is the Leighton version, and we see the	nat this
20 So have we got that? Yes. This I think is the MTR 20 is a pre-pour check RISC form that has been	printed out,
21 site diary record for the HHS area for 30 June 2017, and 21 and the engineer is Lam Wai Chung; do you	see that?
22 we see your name, I think, as the prepared individual, 22 A. Yes, I see it.	
23 the individual who prepared; I think we can see your 23 Q. But that's unsigned.	
24 name there, Tony Tang. Do you see that? Is that your 24 If you now turn to the next page yes, thi	s is the
25signature?25rebar fixing inspection RISC form for the same	ne area,

	ing from Station Excelsion ander the Shakin to Contral Enter respect		
	Page 117		Page 119
1	I think. This one is signed and it's also by Lam	1	"No invitation for general condition inspection of
2	Wai Chung from Leighton; do you see that?	2	formwork of footing (rejected)."
3	A. Yes.	3	Then we have an asterisk:
4	Q. Then we see there that the bits that were completed by	4	"Leighton please review your ITP system and brief to
5	MTR it says, "Incomplete fixing of coupler"; do you	5	your front staff, it is totally unacceptable, and please
6	see that?	6	tell me how to prevent the problem occur again."
7	A. Yes.	7	Do you see that?
8	Q. I just want to show you that this is the Leighton	8	A. Yes.
9	version of it, but now I want to show you the MTR	9	Q. Right. So from what we read from the RISC form, what
10	version of it, which we can find at page let's go to	10	happened was the rebar fixing check was in fact rejected
11	the pre-pour one because that's not the same, at	11	and then there was no pre-pour check?
12	page BB5796.	12	A. Yes, that's the case in this form.
13	This is the same pre-pour RISC form for the VRV	13	Q. Right.
14	room; do you see that?	14	Now, if I can take you to the RISC form register,
15	A. Yes, I see it.	15	that we can find at BB8815.210. We see that on 30 June
16	Q. Again it's 30 June and the engineer, Lam Wai Chung,	16	2017, these two RISC forms are listed; can you see that?
10	certified that it's ready for inspection at 2.30 on	10	That's at 12444 and 12445. These two are the RISC forms
17	30 June; do you see that?	18	we just looked at. These are the VRV room, the rebar
18 19	A. Yes, I see it.	18 19	fixing of the VRV room, and the cleanliness check of the
20	Q. The rebar fixing one is at page BB5794. So, just to put		VRV room; do you see that?
20	it in context, the rebar fixing RISC form, the MTR	20	A. Yes, I see it.
21	version, is the same as the one we find in the Leighton	21	Q. If we move on to the right, the information is not there
22	version; all right? So this is a rebar fixing RISC form	22	about who inspected it, what happened to the form,
23	for the VRV room.	23	things like that; do you see that?
24 25		24	A. Yes, I see that.
23	Do you see?	23	
	Page 118		Page 120
1	A. Yes, I see it.	1	Q. I suppose you were not the one who was meant to insert
2	Q. We understand what happened was this RISC form was not	2	the information, so you don't know why it's empty?
3	in fact issued on 30 June. It was issued on 4 July,	3	A. This morning, Mr Pennicott asked the same question.
4	a few days later, but of course you may not know that.	4	Q. Yes.
5	But if you look down the entry, it's the same entry	5	A. Well, for the RISC form register, in theory, those
6	I showed you the parts to be completed by MTR, we see	6	who've done the inspection, whether the inspections were
7	the comment is "Incomplete fixing of coupler", and lower	7	passed or not
8	down there we see a tick next to "Permission to carry	8	Q. Yes.
9	out the proposed work outlined in (4) above is not	9	A whoever did the inspection would input the
10	given"; do you see that?	10	information in this RISC form.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. Sure.
12	Q. Now, I want you to go to now two pages on, BB5796.	12	Now, if I can go back then to my original issue,
13	That's the MTR version of the pre-pour RISC form.	13	which is the MTR site diary entry I can repeat the
14	Unlike the Leighton version, this one has the	14	page; it's at page CC5657.11981 now, this is the VRV
15	signature of the engineer, Lam Wai Chung.	15	casting of the concrete. That is the entry of the work.
16	A. Yes.	16	So, having seen the RISC forms and the records, as
17	Q. Now, that shouldn't happen, is that not right? Because	17	I say, we know that the VRV room's rebar fixing check
18	Lam Wai Chung is the person who issued the rebar fixing	18	did not in fact pass inspection, it failed inspection;
19	RISC form, and he knew it has not been passed, but here	19	right? Then the concrete I'm sorry, then the
20	he is putting his signature on a form that says,	20	pre-pour check in fact never happened?
21	"I confirm the work is ready for a pre-pour check at	21	A. According to the site diary and the RISC form, yes.
22	2.30." So that shouldn't have happened, should it?	22	Q. Right. But yet we see an entry in the site diary that
23	A. Looking at this form, yes, it's the case.	23	there was a casting concrete of the base slab of the VRV
24	Q. If we move down more, we see the comments here which we		room; right?
25	don't find in the Leighton version:	25	A. Yes.
1 -		-	

	Page 121		Page 123
1	Q. So is this right, that the fact that a particular item	1	take you to the pour history, which is at page CC5651.
2	of work is in the MTR site diary does not mean that it	2	If you look at entry 64, you see that's the VRV room
3	had passed inspection. In fact, the example we just	3	slab concreting work. So that's 30 June.
4	looked at, it failed inspection and it's still in the	4	CHAIRMAN: Oh, I see that, yes. Thank you. And, sorry, the
5	site diary.	5	VRV room base slab. So one is 20 October, but that's
6	A. I repeat, this site diary, it just reflects the actual	6	bay 1.
7	activities in the site. That's all.	7	MR TSOI: Yes.
8	Q. Yes, exactly. But my question is more specific. My	8	CHAIRMAN: So we are looking at bay 2.
9	question is: can you now confirm that the fact that	9	MR TSOI: Yes.
10	there's an entry of an item of work, like the casting of	10	CHAIRMAN: Okay.
11	the concrete or the VRV room, doesn't mean that piece of	11	MR TSOI: I've been helpfully reminded by Ms Lau that that
12	work has passed inspection? In fact, it could fail	12	is the only concreting work on 30 June in HHS.
13	inspection and still find its way in the diary?	13	That's all I wanted to ask. Thank you so much.
14	A. I can't answer that.	14	Cross-examination by MR CHOW
15	Q. Well, it's there.	15	MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I have a few questions for Mr Tang.
16	A. I didn't draft this. I wasn't responsible for that	16	CHAIRMAN: Yes.
17	area.	17	MR CHOW: Good afternoon, Mr Tang. I represent the
18	Q. As you may appreciate, I have to ask you because the	18	government and I have some questions for you.
19	page says it was prepared by you, so that's why I have	19	My learned friend Mr Tsoi, acting for the steel
20	to ask you. But can you now confirm that that was the	20	fixers, has just taken you to a few RISC forms, so
21	case, that there is an item of casting concrete of the	21	I would then start by dealing with the issue of RISC
22	VRV room but in fact it failed inspection?	22	forms.
23	A. If we look at the RISC forms and look at the site diary,	23	Earlier, you told us that you have a habit of,
24	then what you say is correct.	24	whenever you were verbally requested by Leighton's
25	Q. Right. Please don't take me wrong, I'm not trying to	25	engineer to carry out pre-pour check hold-point
	Page 122		Page 124
1	criticise you whatsoever. It's just that before you	1	inspection, you have a habit of ascertaining whether
2	there was a Mr Karl Speed from Leighton who claimed that	2	there were rebar hold-point inspection taken place, and
3	if a piece of work is included in the site diary, it	3	you ascertained the engineer who conducted the rebar
4	means it's been inspected. Now we show that it's not in	4	hold-point inspection. You remember that?
5	fact the case.	5	A. Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, could I ask	6	Q. And you would then phone up the engineer, whoever
7	MR TSOI: Sure.	7	carried out the hold-point inspection for the steel
8	CHAIRMAN: so far as the dates are concerned	8	fixing work, to confirm; correct?
9	MR TSOI: The 30th.	9	A. Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN: They are all on the same day, are they?	10	Q. So that would apply to a situation where no RISC form
11	MD TEOL Vec 20 lung. In fact Chairman if you have any	11	
	MR TSOI: Yes, 30 June. In fact, Chairman, if you have any	11	had been issued by Leighton for the pre-pour check;
12	residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary	12	had been issued by Leighton for the pre-pour check; correct?
12 13			
	residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary	12	correct?
13	residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite	12 13	correct? A. Could you ask the question once again? The
13 14	residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page	12 13 14	correct? A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late.
13 14 15	residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page CHAIRMAN: That's perfectly all right. I just wanted to clear from my mind the possibility that it failed inspection; was then fixed up and was given a pass	12 13 14 15	correct?A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late.Q. Of course. About your habit of phoning up the engineer to confirm that rebar hold-point inspection had taken place, am I right in thinking that you would only do
13 14 15 16 17 18	residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page CHAIRMAN: That's perfectly all right. I just wanted to clear from my mind the possibility that it failed inspection; was then fixed up and was given a pass without any of the formalities, perhaps.	12 13 14 15 16	correct?A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late.Q. Of course. About your habit of phoning up the engineer to confirm that rebar hold-point inspection had taken place, am I right in thinking that you would only do that if on that particular occasion you received verbal
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page CHAIRMAN: That's perfectly all right. I just wanted to clear from my mind the possibility that it failed inspection; was then fixed up and was given a pass without any of the formalities, perhaps. MR TSOI: No, because as Ms Pang, I think Ms Pang 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correct?A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late.Q. Of course. About your habit of phoning up the engineer to confirm that rebar hold-point inspection had taken place, am I right in thinking that you would only do that if on that particular occasion you received verbal request from Leighton for pre-pour check, then you will
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page CHAIRMAN: That's perfectly all right. I just wanted to clear from my mind the possibility that it failed inspection; was then fixed up and was given a pass without any of the formalities, perhaps. MR TSOI: No, because as Ms Pang, I think Ms Pang questioned Mr Ronald Leung on this point, and he 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correct?A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late.Q. Of course. About your habit of phoning up the engineer to confirm that rebar hold-point inspection had taken place, am I right in thinking that you would only do that if on that particular occasion you received verbal request from Leighton for pre-pour check, then you will phone up the engineer to confirm that there had been
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page CHAIRMAN: That's perfectly all right. I just wanted to clear from my mind the possibility that it failed inspection; was then fixed up and was given a pass without any of the formalities, perhaps. MR TSOI: No, because as Ms Pang, I think Ms Pang questioned Mr Ronald Leung on this point, and he confirmed that in fact there was no remedial work for 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct?A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late.Q. Of course. About your habit of phoning up the engineer to confirm that rebar hold-point inspection had taken place, am I right in thinking that you would only do that if on that particular occasion you received verbal request from Leighton for pre-pour check, then you will phone up the engineer to confirm that there had been rebar fixing checked; is that right?
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page CHAIRMAN: That's perfectly all right. I just wanted to clear from my mind the possibility that it failed inspection; was then fixed up and was given a pass without any of the formalities, perhaps. MR TSOI: No, because as Ms Pang, I think Ms Pang questioned Mr Ronald Leung on this point, and he confirmed that in fact there was no remedial work for this VRV room. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct?A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late.Q. Of course. About your habit of phoning up the engineer to confirm that rebar hold-point inspection had taken place, am I right in thinking that you would only do that if on that particular occasion you received verbal request from Leighton for pre-pour check, then you will phone up the engineer to confirm that there had been rebar fixing checked; is that right?A. Yes.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page CHAIRMAN: That's perfectly all right. I just wanted to clear from my mind the possibility that it failed inspection; was then fixed up and was given a pass without any of the formalities, perhaps. MR TSOI: No, because as Ms Pang, I think Ms Pang questioned Mr Ronald Leung on this point, and he confirmed that in fact there was no remedial work for this VRV room. So we know that this problem was never fixed. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 correct? A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late. Q. Of course. About your habit of phoning up the engineer to confirm that rebar hold-point inspection had taken place, am I right in thinking that you would only do that if on that particular occasion you received verbal request from Leighton for pre-pour check, then you will phone up the engineer to confirm that there had been rebar fixing checked; is that right? A. Yes. Q. We know that there were also occasions that Leighton
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 residual doubt about that, we can go to the pour summary of the HHS, which is not Mr Pennicott's favourite page CHAIRMAN: That's perfectly all right. I just wanted to clear from my mind the possibility that it failed inspection; was then fixed up and was given a pass without any of the formalities, perhaps. MR TSOI: No, because as Ms Pang, I think Ms Pang questioned Mr Ronald Leung on this point, and he confirmed that in fact there was no remedial work for this VRV room. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct?A. Could you ask the question once again? The interpretation came late.Q. Of course. About your habit of phoning up the engineer to confirm that rebar hold-point inspection had taken place, am I right in thinking that you would only do that if on that particular occasion you received verbal request from Leighton for pre-pour check, then you will phone up the engineer to confirm that there had been rebar fixing checked; is that right?A. Yes.

	Page 125		Page 127
1	A. Yes.	1	have created a number in your own system; is that right?
2	Q. For those occasions, would you also have done the same,	2	And that's why you cannot update or do anything with the
3	by phoning up the engineer to confirm that the rebar	3	RISC register; is that what you are saying?
4	hold-point inspection had taken place?	4	A. If Leighton didn't submit a form, our register, the
5	A. Let me elaborate a little bit. So even after they	5	number would be in red. So if you input something, it
6	submit the form, when we receive the form it would be	6	means that we received a form, and it would be black.
7	late, so making a phone call, I would get a definite	7	That's what I recollect.
8	response, that is whether they had inspected or not, and	8	Q. Okay.
9	so on.	9	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, so are you saying that if Leightons didn't
10	Q. In other words, whenever you were required to carry out	10	submit a RISC form in advance but merely telephoned
10	the hold-point inspection, the pre-pour inspection, you	11	asking for an inspection, that the member of MTR who
12	would invariably phone up the engineer to confirm that	12	received that request and granted it would then enter
13	there had been hold-point inspection for the steel	13	details in the register, but would do so in red, on the
14	fixing works; right?	14	basis that the formalised RISC form had not yet been
15	A. Yes.	15	received?
16	Q. Now, you also mentioned that as far as you are	16	A. Let me explain it again. That's not how it works.
17	concerned, it is the duty of whoever is conducting the	17	First of all, Leighton staff must go into their INCITE
18	hold-point inspection to update the RISC register. Do	18	system and get a RISC form number, and then they have to
19	you recall that?	19	input something, that is the relevant location, what's
20	A. Yes.	20	to inspect, and so on. Then they would have to give it
21	Q. Now, the system of the RISC register, is it right that	21	to their office lady and then that lady would pass it to
22	it is independent from Leighton's INCITE system?	22	us. If Leighton staff has got a number but then they
23	A. The Leighton INCITE system and our MTR register are not		did not submit the form, then that means in the system
24	related.	24	that number has gone. And let's say there's another
25	Q. Okay. So even if Leighton fails to issue any RISC form,	25	person from Leighton who goes to INCITE again to get
	Page 126		Page 128
1	Page 126 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the	1	Page 128 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on
1 2	•	1 2	
	there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the		a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on
2	there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC	2	a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number.
2 3	there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right?	2 3	a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's
2 3 4	there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right?A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry.	2 3 4	a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology.
2 3 4 5	there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right?A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry.Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE	2 3 4 5	a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand
2 3 4 5 6	there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right?A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry.Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC,	2 3 4 5 6	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by
2 3 4 5 6 7	there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right?A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry.Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case	2 3 4 5 6 7	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right?A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry.Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? A. No, because if Leighton did not generate the question 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right? A. Let me try to explain it again slowly. Perhaps I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? A. No, because if Leighton did not generate the question I'm getting is if Leighton didn't issue a RISC form, can 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right? A. Let me try to explain it again slowly. Perhaps I'm a bit nervous so I didn't put it quite clearly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? A. No, because if Leighton did not generate the question I'm getting is if Leighton didn't issue a RISC form, can we at any time update the register? Actually, no. They 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right? A. Let me try to explain it again slowly. Perhaps I'm a bit nervous so I didn't put it quite clearly. First of all, a Leighton staff member has to go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? A. No, because if Leighton did not generate the question I'm getting is if Leighton didn't issue a RISC form, can we at any time update the register? Actually, no. They would submit the information and our administrative 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right? A. Let me try to explain it again slowly. Perhaps I'm a bit nervous so I didn't put it quite clearly. First of all, a Leighton staff member has to go into the INCITE system to get a RISC form number and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? A. No, because if Leighton did not generate the question I'm getting is if Leighton didn't issue a RISC form, can we at any time update the register? Actually, no. They would submit the information and our administrative assistant would hand it over to the senior inspector of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right? A. Let me try to explain it again slowly. Perhaps I'm a bit nervous so I didn't put it quite clearly. First of all, a Leighton staff member has to go into the INCITE system to get a RISC form number and then generate a form. Then, after they have dealt with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? A. No, because if Leighton did not generate the question I'm getting is if Leighton didn't issue a RISC form, can we at any time update the register? Actually, no. They would submit the information and our administrative assistant would hand it over to the senior inspector of works, and then we would go back to the server the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right? A. Let me try to explain it again slowly. Perhaps I'm a bit nervous so I didn't put it quite clearly. First of all, a Leighton staff member has to go into the INCITE system to get a RISC form number and then generate a form. Then, after they have dealt with the form, then they will have to hand it to their document
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? A. No, because if Leighton did not generate the question I'm getting is if Leighton didn't issue a RISC form, can we at any time update the register? Actually, no. They would submit the information and our administrative assistant would hand it over to the senior inspector of works, and then we would go back to the server the register and update the status. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right? A. Let me try to explain it again slowly. Perhaps I'm a bit nervous so I didn't put it quite clearly. First of all, a Leighton staff member has to go into the INCITE system to get a RISC form number and then generate a form. Then, after they have dealt with the form, then they will have to hand it to their document control. My understanding is that the document control
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 there's nothing to prevent MTR, having done the hold-point inspection, to update the MTR's own RISC register; right? A. Could you ask the question again? I'm sorry. Q. Now, as the two systems are independent the INCITE system of Leighton and the RISC register system of MTRC, they are two separate systems right? So in case where Leighton fails to issue any RISC form for a particular inspection, so there won't be any number, RISC number, there's no number for MTRC, and yet MTRC, according to the verbal request, conducted the hold-point inspection. For example, the pre-pour inspection that you did. Having done that inspection, whether it is passed or failed, you could always update MTR's own RISC register; right? A. No, because if Leighton did not generate the question I'm getting is if Leighton didn't issue a RISC form, can we at any time update the register? Actually, no. They would submit the information and our administrative assistant would hand it over to the senior inspector of works, and then we would go back to the server the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 a number and submit the form, then it's only then, on our register, we would see the number. Do you get what I'm trying to say? It's complicated. My apology. CHAIRMAN: That's all right. What I'm trying to understand is and I'm clearly wrong, and I stand corrected, by myself. It is to this effect. I thought that if they were under pressure, then they wouldn't go through any form of formality with the RISC forms or anything similar, but would simply telephone the MTR and say, "Look, can you do an inspection of bay 27 this afternoon at 4 o'clock and I'll get the forms across to you as soon as possible thereafter." What you are suggesting, I think, is that there still had to be some sort of shortened or truncated form whereby a number was seized and extracted from the INCITE system. Is that right? A. Let me try to explain it again slowly. Perhaps I'm a bit nervous so I didn't put it quite clearly. First of all, a Leighton staff member has to go into the INCITE system to get a RISC form number and then generate a form. Then, after they have dealt with the form, then they will have to hand it to their document

	Page 129		Page 131
1	relevant RISC form number.	1	of the inspection result for future purposes?
2	(Chinese spoken)	2	A. If I had carried out inspection, I would have taken
3	CHAIRMAN: Okay. That's doing it the proper way, isn't it?	3	photos, and then from the photos I would know what
4	That's doing it where you get the number, get the form,	4	I have done on that day.
5	and then you submit the request before there's been any	5	Q. Okay. Have you been trained in the PIMS system, the
6	form of inspection?	6	requirement of PIMS?
7	A. Yes.	7	A. I don't have much recollection of that.
8	CHAIRMAN: Now, if you don't want to do that because you are	8	Q. Are you aware that under PIMS you, as an inspector of
9	under pressure and you want to get the whole thing	9	works, if you have carried out inspection, you need to
10	moving, you don't do any of that; you just get on the	10	keep a record of the inspection results?
11	telephone and you phone somebody in MTR, like yourself,	11	Perhaps it's easier for me to refer to the relevant
12	for example, and you say, "Can you please do	12	part of PIMS. Bundle B3, page 1670, please. This is
13	an inspection and then I will deal with all the	13	exhibit 7.15.
14	technical bumpf, extracting numbers and everything else	14	You see the first item on the page, "Inspection
15	from INCITE, I'll deal with that tomorrow", and then you	15	requests and results". If we just yes. So the "P"
16	say, "Okay, fine, we appreciate you are under pressure;	16	stands for if we can go back one page, perhaps we can
17	I'll do the inspection."	17	see what "P" stands for, I think. Yes, "P" is the
18	A. Now, before the inspection, I would remind them to	18	preparer and "R" is the reviewer; do you see?
19	generate the form.	19	Now if we can then go to the second page, it shows
20	CHAIRMAN: Well, okay. Fine. You would remind them and	20	that now, the inspector of works, the senior
21	say, "Come on, guys, remember now, please submit the	21	inspector of works, has to prepare the inspection
22	form; okay?" But if you were going to do it without the	22	request and to keep the and the results.
23	RISC form, as I understand it, there appears to have	23	So am I right in saying that under the requirements
24	been no form of formality that had to be fulfilled prior	24	of PIMS, if inspection was carried out, some form of
25	to the physical inspection; correct?	25	record has to be kept for the result of the inspections?
	Page 130		Page 132
1	A. If there's no form and we still do it, it's not a proper	1	I originally thought that the RISC register is where you
2	procedure, but I would believe that Leighton I would	2	can keep all the results of records, but I have just
3	believe in Leighton's promise.	3	learned from you that under the PIMS system, even if you
4	CHAIRMAN: Sorry, Mr Chow. Thank you very much.	4	wanted to do so, you were not allowed to do so, so long
5	MR CHOW: But in actual fact on many occasions you did agree	5	as there is no RISC form number.
6	to conduct the inspection with Leighton without a RISC	6	So that's the position; right?
7	form; right?	7	A. Yes.
8	A. Let me say this again. There are two scenarios, two	8	Q. Okay.
9	cases. NAT is Henry Lai, we all know that. NFA, that's	9	CHAIRMAN: Sorry I just want to see if I understand this.
10	an Isaac Ng. Both areas were under my charge, but for	10	The contractor is very busy and they phone you for
11	Isaac Ng, he submitted almost all the forms. I heard it	11	a pre-pour hold-point inspection; okay?
12	was over 90 per cent of forms that were submitted.	12	A. (Nodded head).
13	So, for Isaac Ng, he would also submit forms late,	13	CHAIRMAN: You take them at their word that they will supply
14	but as I said earlier there's mutual trust. We agreed	14	the necessary RISC form later; okay? You come along and
15	that forms would be given to me later, so I believed in	15	you shall we say, you see a particular thing wrong,
16	that.	16	but it can be fixed immediately. So you all stand
17	Q. All right. It doesn't matter. What I would like to ask	17	around until it's fixed and then you go home; okay?
18	you is, for those occasions where there was no RISC form	18	Now, nothing has gone into any register, any
19	but you agreed to conduct inspection with, for example,	19	formalised register. You've got photographs but whether
20	Henry Lai, which you did conduct the inspection now,	20	those photographs are like a movie and tell a tale or
21	after the inspection, would you be able to input your	21	whether they are slightly disparate documents, we are
22	inspection result into the RISC register? Was it	22	not sure. There's no big chart up in the office showing
23	allowable under the RISC register system?	23	what's happened. And this particular pre-pour, nobody
24	A. No, it can't be done.	24	sends you the RISC form, everybody forget about it, and,
25	Q. Okay. So for those occasions, have you kept any record	25	shall we say, a year later somebody says, "Where is that

1done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That1A. Yes.2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to3what the photos are for.2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to4CHAIRMAN: Okay.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo5A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that6correct?7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?7A. Yes.8A. No.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11A. Yes, looking at the picture.11A. Yes, looking at the picture.		Page 133		Page 135
2 Okay? Do you understand me so far? 2 A. No, I didn't keep the record. 3 A. Yes. 2 A. No, I didn't keep the record. 3 Q. Right. Can I now move on to the photos. Just now, have been shown a number of photos. Can I just quic take you to two of the photos. Bundle BB14, pages 9 6 inspected, because your record is a purely personal 7 7 record which is a bunch of photographs; right? There's 8 8 no document, there's no electronic document. 8 9 A. If there were RISC forms, the RISC forms would be 7 10 proved, but the question now is that there are no RISC 10 11 for ind out what had happened. There would be no way of 10 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we at looking at the as and sking them if they can think back over 16 finding out other than going through each of the 10 10 A. Yes. 19 would say no. So then everybody is looking through 14 Iooking at the opposite direction; is that arg as you are 21 photographs meets that particular inspection; right? 21 A. Yes. 22 But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation 23 90 per cent is because the part that as far as you are <td>1</td> <td>RISC form"?</td> <td>1</td> <td>inspection?</td>	1	RISC form"?	1	inspection?
3 A. Yes. 3 Q. Right. Can I now move on to the photos. Just now, 4 CHAIRMAN: Now, at that stage, as I understand it, there's 5 really no clear and obvious way of discovering who even 6 5 really no clear and obvious way of discovering who even 6 inspected, because your record is a purely personal 7 7 record which is a bunch of photographs; right? There's 9 and 9505, please. Yes. 7 8 no document, there's no electronic document. 8 photos show the stitch joint in EWL slab; right? 10 proved, but the question now is that there are no RISC 10 Q. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side 11 forms. 10 Q. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side 12 CHAIRMAN: That's the point Tm making. I say let's assume 13 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we and 14 didn't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed 14 the ornspectors and asking them if they can think back over 15 15 to find out what had happened. There would be no way of 15 A. Yes. 16 16 finding out other than going through each of the 19 Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that	2	Okay? Do you understand me so far?	2	*
4 CHAIRMAN: Now, at that stage, as I understand it, there's really no clear and obvious way of discovering who even inspected, because your record is a purely personal record which is a bunch of photographs; right? There's no document, there's no electronic document. have been shown a number of photos. Bundle BB14, pages 9 and 9505, please. Yes. 7 Now, Mr Tang, just now you confirm that these two photos show the stitch joint in EWL slab; right? 9 A. If there were RISC forms, the RISC forms would be in proved, but the question now is that there are no RISC 9 11 forms. Q. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side the contract 1111 side; right? 12 CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume this one fell through the cracks and a year later we at this one fell through the cracks and a year later we at didn't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed to finding out other than going through each of the inspectors and asking them if they can think back over 18 a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably, 19 9 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we ar 10oking at the same stitch joint; correct? 13 their photographs to see if that particular batch of 20 10 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please, so we ar 10oking at the same stitch joint; correct? 14 their photographs to see if that particular inspection; right? 14 Now, Mithis a satana in a car, unerringity lead you 15 A. No documentation. 20 Earlier, you also menti			3	*
5 really no clear and obvious way of discovering who even inspected, because your record is a purely personal 5 take you to two of the photos. Bundle BB14, pages 9 6 inspected, because your record is a purely personal 6 and 9505, please. Yes. 7 record which is a bunch of photographs; right? There's 7 Now, Mr Tang, just now you confirm that these tw photos show the stitch joint in EWL slab; right? 9 A. If there were RISC forms, the RISC forms would be 9 A. Yes. 10 proved, but the question now is that there are no RISC 10 Q. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side the contract 1111 side; right? 11 forms. 12 CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume 14 1ooking at the same stitch joint; correct? 15 to finding out other than going through each of the 17 13 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we at 14 16 finding out other than going through 20 their photographs to see if that particular batch of 21 16 Q. Am I right in saying that these two photos were take 24 17 the same day? 18 A. Yes. 22 But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation 23 10 Q. Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are 24 concerned, the progress of thestel fixing work, at 24 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
6 inspected, because your record is a purely personal 6 and 9505, please. Yes. 7 record which is a bunch of photographs; right? There's Now, Mr Tang, just now you confirm that these twe 8 no document, there's no electronic document. Now, Mr Tang, just now you confirm that these twe 9 A. If there were RISC forms, the RISC forms would be Now, Mr Tang, just now you confirm that these twe 10 proved, but the question now is that there are no RISC In this one fell through the cracks and a year later we 14 didn't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed In this one fell through the cracks and a year later we 15 to find out what had happened. There would be no way of If we ulook at the next photo, 9505, please. So we at looking at the same stich joint; correct? 16 finding out other than going through each of the If we same day? 18 a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably, If we same day? 19 would say no. So then everybody is looking through If Ves. 20 but otherwise there's no form of easy documentation If we same day? 21 photographs meets that particular inspection; right? It A. Yes. 22 But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation It we same day?		-		
7 record which is a bunch of photographs; right? There's no document, there's no electronic document. 7 Now, Mr Tang, just now you confirm that these tw photos show the stitch joint in EWL slab; right? 9 A. If there were RISC forms, the RISC forms would be 10 0 The one which is put on the screen, on the right side the contract 1111 side; right? 12 CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume 13 10 Q. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side the contract 1111 side; right? 13 CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume 14 10 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we at 10 14 this one fell through the cracks and a year later we 14 10 O. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side 11 15 to finding out other than going through each of the 17 13 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we at 10 16 finding out other wespectors probably, understandably, 19 would say no. So then everybody is looking through 10 18 A. Yes. 12 But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation. 23 which will, like a stanav in a car, unerringly lead you 24 19 Q. So they are looking at the appoint on photos. That is 14 14 A. Yes. 13 Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to 3 90 per cent is because the part that is shown i				
8 no document, there's no electronic document. 8 photos show the stitch joint in EWL slab; right? 9 A. If there were RISC forms, the RISC forms would be proved, but the question now is that there are no RISC informs. 0 Q. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side in the contract 1111 side; right? 11 forms. 0 Q. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side in the contract 1111 side; right? 12 CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume it this one fell through the cracks and a year later we didn't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed its to find out what had happened. There would be no way of infinding out other than going through each of the inspectors probably, understandably, 12 A. Yes. 13 would say no. So then everybody is looking through their photographs meets that particular batch of 20 Portight? 14 A. Yes. 12 photographs meets that particular batch of 21 photographs meets that particular batch of 22 Q. Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are 23 concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at 24 14 done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That 2 S. A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've 25 Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to 3 90 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that 6 2 Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to 3 90 pe				
 A. If there were RISC forms, the RISC forms would be proved, but the question now is that there are no RISC forms. CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume it is one fell through the cracks and a year later we ididn't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed to find out what had happened. There would be no way of if finding out other than going through each of the inspectors and asking them if they can think back over is a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably, would say no. So then everybody is looking through 20 would say no. So then everybody is looking through 21 photographs meets that particular inspection; right? But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation which will, like a satmav in a car, unerringly lead you to your destination. A. Yes. A. Yes. But otherwise there's no form of the photos I took and if I've A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've A. No. CHAIRMAN: Okay. A. No. CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask in a squard and enclast question before we adjourn, just to finish off MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask in a squard are used in the protous is the photo; is that one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off A. Yes. B. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it. CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you. MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask in a squard and enclast question before we adjourn, just to finish off MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask in a squard procement. A. Yes. So a squard and enclast question before we adjourn, just to finish off A. Yes. CHAIRMAN: Shi a question before we adjourn, just to finish off MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask in the protuce. 				
10proved, but the question now is that there are no RISC10Q. The one which is put on the screen, on the right side11forms.11the contract 1111 side; right?12CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume11A. Yes.13this one fell through the cracks and a year later we11I hooking at the same stitch joint; correct?14tidin't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed14looking at the same stitch joint; correct?15to find out what had happened. There would be no way of15A. Yes.16finding out other than going through each of the16Q. Am I right in saying that these two photos were take17inspectors and asking them if they can think back over18A. Yes.18a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably,19Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that20their photographs to see if that particular batch of20right?21photographs meets that particular inspection; right?21A. Yes.22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation22Q. Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you24that stage, you noted as around 80 per cent to25A. No documentation, but for the photos. That is1A. Yes.2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to3what the photos are for.390 per cent usustanding ste				
11 forms. 11 the contract 1111 side; right? 12 CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume 12 A. Yes. 13 this one fell through the cracks and a year later we 13 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we and looking at the same stitch joint; correct? 15 to find out what had happened. There would be no way of 15 A. Yes. 16 finding out other than going through each of the 16 Q. Am I right in saying that these two photos were take 17 inspectors and asking them if they can think back over 18 A. Yes. 18 a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably, 19 Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that 20 their photographs meets that particular inspection; right? 21 A. Yes. 21 photographs meets that particular inspection; right? 21 A. Yes. 22 But otherwise ther's no form of easy documentation 22 Q. Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are 23 which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you 23 concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at 24 to your destination. 24 Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to				
12 CHAIRMAN: That's the point I'm making. I say let's assume 12 A. Yes. 13 this one fell through the cracks and a year later we 13 Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we and looking at the same stitch joint; correct? 14 didn't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed 15 A. Yes. 15 to find out what had happened. There would be no way of 16 G. Am I right in saying that these two photos were take 16 inspectors and asking them if they can think back over 18 A. Yes. 18 a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably, 18 A. Yes. 19 would say no. So then everybody is looking through 10 So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that 20 their photographs meets that particular inspection; right? 21 A. Yes. 22 21 photographs meets form of easy documentation 23 which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you 23 Yes. 22 23 whot wild put some descriptions on photos. That is 3 what the photos are for. 24 Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to 24 to your destination. 25 A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've				
13this one fell through the cracks and a year later we13Q. If you look at the next photo, 9505, please. So we and14didn't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed14looking at the same stitch joint; correct?15to find out what had happened. There would be no way of15A. Yes.16finding out other than going through each of the16Q. Am I right in saying that these two photos were take17inspectors and asking them if they can think back over18A. Yes.18a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably,18A. Yes.20their photographs to see if that particular batch of20So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that21photographs meets that particular inspection; right?21A. Yes.22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation23concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at24to your destination.2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo24CHAIRMAN: Okay.14A. Yes.23what the photos are for.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo90 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo4CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work				-
14didn't have that RISC form but we still suddenly needed14looking at the same stich joint; correct?15to find out what had happened. There would be no way of15A. Yes.16finding out other than going through each of the161617inspectors and asking them if they can think back over18a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably,1819would say no. So then everybody is looking through19Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that20their photographs to see if that particular batch of20Net were there's no form of easy documentation21photographs meets that particular inspection; right?21A. Yes.22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation22Q. Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you23concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at24to your destination.2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo3what the photos are for.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo4CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay.84A. No.5A. No.9090 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that57you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?48A. No.<				
15to find out what had happened. There would be no way of finding out other than going through each of the inspectors and asking them if they can think back over 1815A. Yes.18a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably, would say no. So then everybody is looking through 2016Q. Am I right in saying that these two photos were take the same day?19would say no. So then everybody is looking through 2019Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that right?21photographs meets that particular inspection; right? 2119Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that right?23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you 2420Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at that stage, you noted as around 80 per cent to25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?24to your destination. 252Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to 90 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo3what the photos are for. 41A. Yes.4CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that 7390 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that 66CHAIRMAN: Hingh. Okay. But there's nothing else that 7490. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was 66A. No.9Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was 697A. Yes, 88Q. But for the part shown in ph				
16finding out other than going through each of the16I GQ. Am I right in saying that these two photos were take17inspectors and asking them if they can think back over18a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably,19Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that20their photographs to see if that particular batch of20right?21A. Yes.21photographs meets that particular inspection; right?21A. Yes.22Q. Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you23concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at24to your destination.25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 1341done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is2090 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo3what the photos are for.2090 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: Okay.49504 had not been completed? That accounts for 10 to5A. No.99Percent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.7A. Yes.10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.		•		
17inspectors and asking them if they can think back over18a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably,19would say no. So then everybody is looking through20their photographs to see if that particular batch of21photographs meets that particular inspection; right?22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you24to your destination.25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've26Page 13427Page 13428Page 13429Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to3what the photos are for.4CHAIRMAN: Okay.5A. No.9CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?8A. No.9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off		••		
18a year, and the inspectors probably, understandably,18A. Yes.19would say no. So then everybody is looking through19Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that20their photographs to see if that particular batch of20right?21photographs meets that particular inspection; right?21A. Yes.22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you23concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at24to your destination.24that stage, you noted as around 80 per cent to25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 1341done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That1A. Yes.2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to3what the photos are for.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo4CHAIRMAN: Okay.5A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.56CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that6correct?7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I not				
19would say no. So then everybody is looking through their photographs to see if that particular batch of 2119Q. So they are looking at the opposite direction; is that right?22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation 2321A. Yes.23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you 2420Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are 2324to your destination.24to your destination.2425A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 1341done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That 21A. Yes.2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is 32Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to 33what the photos are for.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo4CHAIRMAN: Okay.520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that 65A. No.99504 had not been completed? That accounts for 10 the 57you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?7A. Yes.8A. No.9Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was completed at the time when you took the photo; is that 1010MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask 110Ne yes, looking at the picture.				
20their photographs to see if that particular batch of 2120right?21photographs meets that particular inspection; right?21A. Yes.22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation 2321A. Yes.23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you 2422Q. Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at 2424to your destination.22Q. Earlier, you noted as around 80 per cent to 2525A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 1341done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That 2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is 32Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to 33what the photos are for.1A. Yes.4CHAIRMAN: Okay.49504 had not been completed? That accounts for 10 to 55A. No.9per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that 66CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that 77A. Yes.8A. No.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was 99CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is tha 1010MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask 110a. Yes, looking at the picture.				
21photographs meets that particular inspection; right?21A. Yes.22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you2423which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you23concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at24to your destination.24that stage, you noted as around 80 per cent to25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 1341done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is33what the photos are for.2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to4CHAIRMAN: Okay.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo4CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that7A. Yes.8A. No.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is tha10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.				
22But otherwise there's no form of easy documentation22Q. Earlier, you also mentioned that as far as you are23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you23concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at24to your destination.24that stage, you noted as around 80 per cent to25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 1341done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is23what the photos are for.24CHAIRMAN: Okay.25A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.56CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?8A. No.99CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.910MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.				-
23which will, like a satnav in a car, unerringly lead you23concerned, the progress of the steel fixing work, at24to your destination.24that stage, you noted as around 80 per cent to25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 1341done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is13what the photos are for.24CHAIRMAN: Okay.25A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.56CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?8A. No.99CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.910MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask1011one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.				
24to your destination.24that stage, you noted as around 80 per cent to25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 1341done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is3what the photos are for.4CHAIRMAN: Okay.5A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?8A. No.9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off		-		
25A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've2590 per cent completion. Do you recall that?Page 134Page1done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That1A. Yes.2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to3what the photos are for.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo4CHAIRMAN: Okay.49504 had not been completed? That accounts for 10 to5A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that6correct?7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?8A. No.9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.				
Page 134Page1done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That1A. Yes.2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to3what the photos are for.2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to4CHAIRMAN: Okay.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo5A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that6correct?7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?8A. No.9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: M Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.		•		
1done inspection, I have the habit I would do this. That1A. Yes.2is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is2Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to3what the photos are for.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo4CHAIRMAN: Okay.49504 had not been completed? That accounts for 10 the5A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that6correct?7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?7A. Yes.8A. No.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.	25	A. No documentation, but for the photos I took and if I've	25	90 per cent completion. Do you recall that?
 2 is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is 3 what the photos are for. 4 CHAIRMAN: Okay. 5 A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it. 6 CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that 7 you can think of that was clearly and regularly done? 8 A. No. 9 CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you. 10 MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask 11 one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off 2 Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to 90 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo 90 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that 20 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that 6 correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was 9 completed at the time when you took the photo; is that 10 AR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask 11 A. Yes, looking at the picture. 		Page 134		Page 136
3what the photos are for.390 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo4CHAIRMAN: Okay.49504 had not been completed? That accounts for 10 the5A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that6correct?7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?7A. Yes.8A. No.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11A. Yes, looking at the picture.11A. Yes, looking at the picture.	1	-	1	A. Yes.
4CHAIRMAN: Okay.49504 had not been completed? That accounts for 10 to5A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.520 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that6CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that6correct?7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?7A. Yes.8A. No.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.	2	is, I would put some descriptions on photos. That is	2	Q. Am I right in thinking that you arrive at 80 to
 5 A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it. 6 CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that 7 you can think of that was clearly and regularly done? 8 A. No. 9 CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you. 10 MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask 11 one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off 5 20 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that 6 correct? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was 9 completed at the time when you took the photo; is that 10 A. Yes, looking at the picture. 	3	what the photos are for.	3	90 per cent is because the part that is shown in photo
 6 CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that 7 you can think of that was clearly and regularly done? 8 A. No. 9 CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you. 10 MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask 11 one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off 11 A. Yes, looking at the picture. 	4	CHAIRMAN: Okay.	4	9504 had not been completed? That accounts for 10 to
7you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?7A. Yes.8A. No.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.	5	A. So, if I have to look into that, I would find it.	5	20 per cent outstanding steel fixing work; is that
8A. No.8Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.	6	CHAIRMAN: All right. Okay. But there's nothing else that	6	correct?
9CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.9completed at the time when you took the photo; is that10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.	7	you can think of that was clearly and regularly done?	7	A. Yes.
10MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask10right?11one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off11A. Yes, looking at the picture.	8	A. No.	8	Q. But for the part shown in photo 9505, that part was
11 one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off 11 A. Yes, looking at the picture.	9	CHAIRMAN: Fine. Thank you.	9	completed at the time when you took the photo; is that
	10	MR CHOW: Mr Chairman, I note the time, but can I just ask	10	right?
12 this topic? 12 Q. All right. Now, I observed that, for example, the pho	11	one last question before we adjourn, just to finish off	11	A. Yes, looking at the picture.
	12	this topic?	12	Q. All right. Now, I observed that, for example, the photo
13 CHAIRMAN: I'm not quite sure 13 at 9505, the one that is shown on the screen, on the	13	CHAIRMAN: I'm not quite sure	13	at 9505, the one that is shown on the screen, on the
14 MR PENNICOTT: Sir, the imperative to finish early no longer 14 left side the concrete surface has been roughened by	14	MR PENNICOTT: Sir, the imperative to finish early no longer	14	left side the concrete surface has been roughened by
15 exists. 15 chipping. Is that correct?	15	exists.	15	chipping. Is that correct?
16 CHAIRMAN: Okay. 16 A. Yes.	16	CHAIRMAN: Okay.	16	A. Yes.
17 MR CHOW: Good. 17 Q. Was it done by Gammon or was it done by Leighton	17	MR CHOW: Good.	17	Q. Was it done by Gammon or was it done by Leighton?
18Just to finish off this topic. Other than the photo18A. I'm not sure.	18	Just to finish off this topic. Other than the photo	18	
	19		19	Q. And the surface was roughened as per the requirement of
20 notes, there is another very important information that 20 the contract; is that right?	1	notes, there is another very important information that	20	
21 you mentioned that you obtained from Leighton's 21 A. If that were a CJ, yes.	20			-
				Q. Can I just quickly take you to the relevant part of the
23 who conducts the rebar hold-point inspection. 23 specification, at bundle C5, page 3717.	21			
	21 22	who conducts the rebar hold-point inspection.	23	specification, at bundle CJ, page 5717.
25 who had carried out the rebar fixing hold-point 25 Specification. Clause 8.24 is about the preparation of	21 22 23	· ·		This is part of MTRC's Material and Workmanship

	Page 137		Page 139
1	the surface of the construction joint, and if we turn	1	Q. For those who know what threaded bar looks like
2	over go to the bottom of the page, subparagraph (5),	2	actually, it is pretty obvious to me that those are
3	it says:	3	exposed threads. Now, you were pretty familiar with the
4	"At all construction joints the surface shall	4	threaded bars at the time when you were working on site,
	be"	4 5	
5			because you have been seeing these materials day in and
6	If we can turn over the page, please.	6	day out; is that correct?
7	" roughened to expose the aggregate, care being	7	A. Well, I'd like to elaborate. At the stitch joint, when
8	taken to avoid damaging the aggregate, water bar and the		these defects were identified, I wasn't aware that they
9	arris of the joint. Wherever possible laitance and all	9	were Lenton couplers. I always thought they were BOSA
10	loose material shall be removed"	10	couplers. Nobody told me that there were Lenton
11	So this is as per the requirements of this	11	couplers. So why was I aware of Lenton couplers? It
12	provision that the surface of the construction joint has	12	was when I was doing remedial work, I was aware that
13	to be roughened.	13	they were Lenton couplers, but I didn't know previous,
14	If we can now go back to the photo.	14	prior to that.
15	So is this the kind of thing you have to check	15	When the works were done again, I realised they were
16	during pre-pour inspection?	16	tapered bars and they needed a torque test to check the
17	A. The picture reflects the progress, not the final	17	tightening. Then I was aware. But previously I didn't
18	outcome.	18	pay a lot of attention to these areas.
19	Q. Yes, but my question is, is this the kind of thing that	19	Q. In your second statement, you mention that you were also
20	you need to check and make sure, that the surface is	20	involved in steel reinforcement inspection in NFA area,
21	roughened, as part of your pre-pour inspection?	21	NAT Fan Area; right? Paragraph 2 of your second
22	A. Yes.	22	statement. In paragraph 2, at the end of paragraph 2,
23	Q. Okay. Now, on the right side, that is Leighton's side,	23	I believe, you said:
24	the way I see it, it doesn't seem to me that the surface	24	" but I was involved in some of the rebar fixing
25	has been roughened. Do you agree with me?	25	inspections in NFA."
	Page 138		Page 140
1	A. Looking at this picture, yes.	1	Do you see that?
2	Q. Just now, you confirmed that for the part of	2	A. Yes.
3	reinforcement we see in the photo has been completed,	3	Q. Do you mean the formal hold-point inspection for rebar?
4	and I would imagine it would be very difficult for	4	A. No. Part of it. You are referring to all the rebar
5	someone to go back, to go under the reinforcement to	5	works in NFA, whether I was responsible for that?
6	roughen the surface. Do you agree?	6	Q. No. I'm just asking, when you say you were involved in
7	A. Yes.	7	some of the rebar fixing inspections, the inspections
8	Q. Did you notice that at the time of the inspection?	8	that you refer to were the formal hold-point
9	A. I might have overlooked that.	9	inspections; is that right?
10	Q. Just now, you have identified to us the couplers that	10	A. Yes.
11	you think the connections were defective.	11	Q. Were there any couplers used in the NFA area?
12	I wonder whether I can borrow Mr Pennicott's gadget.	12	A. Not that I saw.
13	I don't know whether it works on my machine.	13	Q. Have you ever attended any training session organised by
14	MR PENNICOTT: It should do.	14	BOSA for inspection of BOSA's coupler connections?
15	MR CHOW: This is completely new to me. I never knew that	15	A. When the remedial works were done, the BOSA
16	we can do that. You can blow up certain parts of the	16	representative called or invited us and he came to the
17	photo by pointing at it.	17	site and he briefed the steel fixers on how to tighten
18	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: I would like one for my birthday!	18	the rebar, but not before that.
19	MR CHOW: So when is your birthday?	19	Q. So before the remedial works of the stitch joint, have
20	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: It's gone!	20	you received any or did you have any knowledge of how
21	MR PENNICOTT: You need a steady hand.	21	to inspect a properly installed coupler assembly?
22	MR CHOW: Okay. So this (using a magnifying device) is the	22	A. Not formally, but I had asked my colleague Mr Kobe Wong
23	part where you indicated we can possibly tell there's	23	and he had explained it to me.
24	some problem with the connection; right?	24	So why did I ask him? It's because, in the HUH area
			that he supervised, they used a lot of this material,

	Page 141		Page 143
1	and he told me, "You should screw it all in", and he	1	rebars for material testing in NAT."
2	said that with BOSA material we didn't need to do torque	2	Then in paragraph 55 you said:
3	test; you could see if there were one or two threads	3	"When a batch of rebars ordered by Leighton arrived
4	exposed, it would still be acceptable.	4	at NAT, Leighton frontline staff would orally request in
5	Q. At the time when you carried out your pre-pour	5	person or over the phone that I conduct sampling of
6	inspection of the stitch joints and the shunt neck	6	rebars for material testing. Formally, there should be
7	joint, did you have knowledge of how to inspect properly	7	a RISC form for the sampling of each batch of rebars."
8	connected coupler assemblies?	8	Now, what happened if Leighton forgot to notify you
9	A. Not formally.	9	of the arrival of a new batch of rebars? Now,
10	Q. How about informal, from Kobe Wong?	10	Mr Michael Fu told us that MTR's inspectors, of course
11	A. Well, the description I gave just now, in NAT the	11	including you, when their inspector conduct a routine
12	couplers used, I had asked Kobe Wong. But I was aware	12	site surveillance, they would have noticed the arrival
12	of the BOSA requirement but not for Lenton.	12	of this reinforcement. Can you confirm that?
14	Q. Okay. Do you agree that after a rebar was threaded, the	14	A. Mr Fu said that.
15	thread part became shiny, because the surface rust was	14	Q. In actual fact, can you confirm that when you conduct
16	sort of removed during the threading process?	16	general site surveillance, you would notice the arrival
17	A. I didn't pay particular attention to that.	17	of new reinforcement?
18	Q. Now, just now, when you were asked to look at the	18	A. It's rather hard, because in the rebar yard, the
10	photos, you said, well, perhaps we can notice from the	10	location, it's not that accessible. It's rather remote.
20	photos that there are some defects in the couplers, and	20	Now, if you ask me if I detected a batch of delivery
20	then you pointed out to us those one or two at the lower	20	I didn't focus on that because having rebars in the yard
21	part of the right-hand corner of the photos.	21	is very normal.
22	Now, I appreciate what you said, at the time when	22	Q. So what you are saying is that even if there are newly
23 24	you carried out the pre-pour inspection, you didn't pay	23	arrived reinforcement, you might not be able to notice
24 25	attention to the couplers; you just focused at the	24	that? Because first of all the steelyard was quite
23		23	
			$\mathbf{D}_{\text{res}} = 144$
1	Page 142	1	Page 144
1	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and	1	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it
2	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting.	2	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing
2 3	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence.	2 3	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right?
2 3 4	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what	2 3 4	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of
2 3 4 5	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting.I appreciate that is your evidence.What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned	2 3 4 5	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right?A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me,
2 3 4 5 6	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting.I appreciate that is your evidence.What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when	2 3 4 5 6	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right?A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find
2 3 4 5 6 7	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting.I appreciate that is your evidence.What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right?A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right?A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out.MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right?A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out.MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at the couplers, do you agree that the kind of defects that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released) CHAIRMAN: And tomorrow we start with?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at the couplers, do you agree that the kind of defects that we see today would be very obvious to you; you would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released) CHAIRMAN: And tomorrow we start with? MR PENNICOTT: We start with Victor Tung tomorrow morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at the couplers, do you agree? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidenc is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released) CHAIRMAN: And tomorrow we start with? MR PENNICOTT: We start with Victor Tung tomorrow morning. CHAIRMAN: 10 am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at the couplers, do you agree? A. You would have to pay very close attention. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidenc is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released) CHAIRMAN: And tomorrow we start with? MR PENNICOTT: We start with Victor Tung tomorrow morning. CHAIRMAN: 10 am. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, could you give us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at the couplers, do you agree? A. You would have to pay very close attention. Q. I would like to move on to the last topic, about 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released) CHAIRMAN: And tomorrow we start with? MR PENNICOTT: We start with Victor Tung tomorrow morning. CHAIRMAN: 10 am. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, could you give us an indication of the order expected order of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at the couplers, do you agree? A. You would have to pay very close attention. Q. I would like to move on to the last topic, about material testing. In paragraphs 54 and 55 of your first 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released) CHAIRMAN: And tomorrow we start with? MR PENNICOTT: We start with Victor Tung tomorrow morning. CHAIRMAN: 10 am. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, could you give us an indication of the order expected order of our witnesses from now on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at the couplers, do you agree? A. You would have to pay very close attention. Q. I would like to move on to the last topic, about material testing. In paragraphs 54 and 55 of your first statement, you said: 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidenc is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released) CHAIRMAN: And tomorrow we start with? MR PENNICOTT: We start with Victor Tung tomorrow morning. CHAIRMAN: 10 am. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, could you give us an indication of the order expected order of our witnesses from now on? MR PENNICOTT: Victor Tung, because he has been given
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 bottom of the stitch joint, to make sure it is clean and there are no foreign objects before concreting. I appreciate that is your evidence. What I want to ask you is, at the time you knew what the threaded bars looked like; right? If you had turned your mind to the couplers, when you carried out or when you took those two photos that we looked at, do you agree with me then the exposed threads would be very obvious to you and you would not have missed it; do you agree? A. When I took the pictures, I didn't pay special attention, but subsequently, when there were opportunities for me to review the pictures, I could see it, but at that point I didn't see it. Q. We have looked at the photos. Just imagine now you are standing at the same location as if you had looked at the couplers, do you agree? A. You would have to pay very close attention. Q. I would like to move on to the last topic, about material testing. In paragraphs 54 and 55 of your first 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 remote and secondly it would not strike you because it is quite normal to see reinforcement in a steel fixing yard; right? A. If I may add a bit more. Now, if there's a batch of rebars that's arrived, Leighton should have informed me, but if they don't do so, it would be hard for me to find out. MR CHOW: I have no more questions. Thank you very much. MR SHIEH: We have no questions. CHAIRMAN: Thank you. MR BOULDING: We have no re-examination, sir. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Good. Thank you very much indeed. Your evidence is completed. Sorry we've kept you a little bit late. But you don't need to return tomorrow. Okay? WITNESS: Thank you very much. (The witness was released) CHAIRMAN: And tomorrow we start with? MR PENNICOTT: We start with Victor Tung tomorrow morning. CHAIRMAN: 10 am. COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Sorry, could you give us an indication of the order expected order of our witnesses from now on?

	Page 145	
1	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Right. So we have five?	
2	MR PENNICOTT: We do.	
3	COMMISSIONER HANSFORD: Thank you.	
4 5	CHAIRMAN: Good. 10 am tomorrow morning. Thank you very much.	
6	(5.06 pm)	
7	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am the following day)	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12 13		
13 14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
	Page 146	
1	INDEX	
2 2 3	-	
2 2	INDEX PAGE	
2 2 3 4 4 5	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1	
2 2 3 4 4 5 5 6	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1	
2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT3	
2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7 8	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT3 Cross-examination by MR TSOI39	
2 2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 7	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT3 Cross-examination by MR TSOI39 Cross-examination by MS PANG51	
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT3 Cross-examination by MR TSOI39 Cross-examination by MS PANG51 Cross-examination by MR SHIEH66	
2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 10 11	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT3 Cross-examination by MR TSOI39 Cross-examination by MS PANG51 Cross-examination by MR SHIEH66 (The witness was released)68 MR TANG SIU HANG, TONY (affirmed in Cantonese)68 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING68	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 4\\ 4\\ 5\\ 5\\ 6\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 10\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 12\\ 12\end{array}$	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT3 Cross-examination by MR TSOI39 Cross-examination by MS PANG51 Cross-examination by MS PANG66 (The witness was released)	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 4\\ 4\\ 5\\ 5\\ 6\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 10\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 12\\ 12\\ 13\end{array}$	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT3 Cross-examination by MR TSOI39 Cross-examination by MS PANG51 Cross-examination by MR SHIEH66 (The witness was released)68 MR TANG SIU HANG, TONY (affirmed in Cantonese)68 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING68	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 4\\ 4\\ 5\\ 5\\ 6\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 10\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 13\\ 14 \end{array}$	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)1 Examination-in-chief by MR BOULDING1 Examination by MR PENNICOTT3 Cross-examination by MR TSOI39 Cross-examination by MS PANG51 Cross-examination by MS PANG66 (The witness was released)	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 4\\ 4\\ 5\\ 5\\ 6\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 10\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 13\\ 14\\ 14\\ 15\end{array}$	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 4\\ 4\\ 5\\ 5\\ 6\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 12\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array}$	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 4\\ 4\\ 5\\ 5\\ 6\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 10\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 21\\ 2\\ 13\\ 14\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19 \end{array}$	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)	
2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10 10 11 11 12 12 13 13 14 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 2\\ 3\\ 3\\ 4\\ 4\\ 5\\ 5\\ 6\\ 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 8\\ 9\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 11\\ 12\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	INDEX PAGE MS KANG PU, KAPPA (affirmed in Cantonese)	